

## Erratum to: Validation of the PHQ-15 for Somatoform Disorder in the Occupational Health Care Setting

Lars de Vroege · Rob Hoedeman · Jasper Nuyen ·  
Klaas Sijtsma · Christina M. van der Feltz-Cornelis

Published online: 21 August 2012  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

### Erratum to: J Occup Rehabil (2012) 22(1):51–58 DOI 10.1007/s10926-011-9320-6

In the above-mentioned article, the efficiency rates in Table 2 are wrong. The correct efficiency rates should be:

PHQ-15 $\geq$ 6	PHQ-15 $\geq$ 7	PHQ-15 $\geq$ 8	PHQ-15 $\geq$ 9	PHQ-15 $\geq$ 10	PHQ-15 $\geq$ 11
36.5 %	44.9 %	53.3 %	59.8 %	65.4 %	69.2 %

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:  
[10.1007/s10926-011-9320-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-011-9320-6).

L. de Vroege (✉) · J. Nuyen · C. M. van der Feltz-Cornelis  
Trimbos Institute, Netherlands Institute of Mental Health  
and Addiction, Utrecht, The Netherlands  
e-mail: l.vroege@trimbos.nl

R. Hoedeman  
Department of Science, ArboNed Occupational Health Services,  
Utrecht, The Netherlands

R. Hoedeman  
Department of Health Sciences, University Medical Center  
of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

K. Sijtsma  
Department of Methodology and Statistics, Tilburg University,  
Tilburg, The Netherlands

C. M. van der Feltz-Cornelis  
Department of Developmental and Clinical Psychology,  
Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

C. M. van der Feltz-Cornelis  
Department of Psychiatry, GGZ Breburg Groep,  
Tilburg, The Netherlands

On p. 55, the efficiency rate in “Efficiency was 74.8 %, the highest value for all possible cut points” is incorrect. It should be replaced by: “Efficiency was 69.2 %, the highest value for all possible cut points.”

On p. 55, the efficiency rate in “At cut point 9, efficiency was 57.9 %” is incorrect. It should be replaced by: “At cut point 9, efficiency was 59.8 %.”

On p. 56, the efficiency rate in “Maximum specificity (78.6 %), and efficiency (74.8 %),....” is incorrect. It should be replaced by: “Maximum specificity (78.6 %), and efficiency (69.2 %), ...”.