Correction to: Interactional spaces of a high-rise group housing complex and social cohesion of its residents: case study from Kolkata, India

Soumi Muhuri¹ · Sanghamitra Basu²

Published online: 28 April 2021
© Springer Nature B.V. 2021

Correction to: Journal of Housing and the Built Environment
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10901-021-09830-3>

In the original publication of the article, the heading of the Table 1 was incorrectly published as “Sense of belonging to a group (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.889$, composite reliability $=0.890$)”. However, it is intended only for the first nine entries. The correct heading of the Table 1 is “Dimensions/Sub-dimensions” and the corrected Table 1 is given below.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10901-021-09830-3>.

✉ Soumi Muhuri
muhurisoumi@gmail.com

Sanghamitra Basu
s.basu.arp@gmail.com

¹ Department of Planning and Architecture, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha 769008, India

² Department of Architecture and Regional Planning, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, West Bengal 721302, India

Table 1 List of statements for measuring residential social cohesion

Dimensions/Sub-dimensions	Standardised loading	Five point scale
Sense of belonging to a group (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.889$, composite reliability = 0.890)		
1 I feel like I belong to this housing complex	0.71	5-Completely agree, 4-Agree, 3-Neither agree nor disagree, 2-Disagree, 1-Completely disagree
2 In a social gathering, I can identify usually neighbours by names	0.66	
3 I have very good friendship and associations with people of this place	0.78	
4 Living in this housing complex gives me a sense of community	0.71	
5 People around here are willing to help their neighbours	0.64	
6 Being a member of this housing complex makes me feel good	0.66	
7 If I need advice about something I can go to someone in the housing complex	0.62	
8 I feel strongly attached to this apartment building	0.67	
9 I feel at home on this housing complex	0.68	
<i>Neighbourliness (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.811$, composite reliability = 0.625)</i>		
Sub dimension 1: Strength of tie		
(Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.837$, composite reliability = 0.847)	0.72	
10 How many people's name do you know living in your building?	0.77	5-More than ten (10+)
11 With how many people would you say you have a neighbourly relationship?	0.85	4-Seven to nine (7-9)
12 How many people in your building do you consider to be your friends?	0.75	3-Four to six (4-6)
<i>Sub dimension 2: Neighbour assistance or help</i>		
(Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.803$, composite reliability = 0.817)	0.56	2-One to three (1-3)
13 How many people could you ask to watch your apartment while you are away?	0.61	1-Nil (0)
14 How many of your neighbours have called you on for help?	0.92	
15 How many of your neighbours have you called on for help?	0.77	
<i>Neighbour annoyance</i>		
(Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.656$, composite reliability = 0.664)		

Table 1 (continued)

Dimensions/Sub-dimensions		Standardised loading	Five point scale
16	Noise which my neighbours make can occasionally be a big problem	0.70	1-Completely agree
17	Some of my neighbours do not obey the rule while disposing of garbage	0.69	2-Agree
18	Use of the common spaces (lobby, corridor, common passage, garage etc.) for private purpose by some of my neighbours can be a big problem	0.60	3-Neither agree nor disagree
			4-Disagree
			5-Completely disagree

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.