Correction to: Room temperature synthesis of Bi/BiOBr composites for the catalytic degradation of ciprofloxacin using indoor fluorescent light illumination

Saifullahi Shehu Imam¹ · Rohana Adnan¹ · Noor Haida Mohd Kaus¹ · M. Hazwan Hussin¹

Published online: 6 May 2020

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to:

Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics (2019) 30:6263–6276
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-019-00930-z>

In the original version of this article, the y-axis in Fig. 7b–f does not start from zero, and therefore, the x-axis cuts were not accurate. In the corrected version, the y-axis in Fig. 7 was corrected to start from zero. The corrected version of

Fig. 7 and the caption is given below. The second sentence of the third paragraph in “Section 3.6 UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra” should now be read as “Table 1 shows the reduction in the bandgap energy value of Bi/BiOBr-20 to 2.36 eV compared to 2.85 eV for the pristine BiOBr.” Due to the above correction in the bandgap estimation, the corrected version of Table 1 is also given below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-019-00930-z>.

✉ Rohana Adnan
r_adnan@usm.my

¹ School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia,
11800 Penang, Malaysia

Fig. 7 UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (a) and Tauc's plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^{0.5}$ versus E (eV) for as-synthesized pristine BiOBr (b), Bi/BiOBr-5 (c), Bi/BiOBr-10 (d), Bi/BiOBr-20 (e) and Bi/BiOBr-30 (f)

Table 1 Bandgap energies (E_g) and corresponding VB (E_{VB}) and CB (E_{CB}) edge positions of pristine BiOBr and Bi/BiOBr composites

Samples	Band-gap, E_g (eV)	Conduction band, E_{CB} (eV)	Valence band, E_{VB} (eV)
BiOBr	2.85	0.255	3.105
Bi/BiOBr-5	2.78	0.29	3.07
Bi/BiOBr-10	2.69	0.335	3.025
Bi/BiOBr-20	2.36	0.50	2.86
Bi/BiOBr-30	2.22	0.57	2.79

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.