

Safety and acute performance of atrial fibrillation ablation using a temperature-controlled, very high-power short-duration catheter and a new radiofrequency generator

Laurent Macle^{1,2} · Girish M. Nair³ · Allan Skanes⁴ · Martin Aguilar¹ · Alfredo Pantano⁵ · Yaariv Khaykin⁵ · Atul Verma^{5,6}

Received: 14 July 2023 / Accepted: 17 September 2023 / Published online: 6 November 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

The safety and effectiveness of ablation performed using conventional power temperature-controlled (QMODE; \leq 50 W) and very high-power short-duration (QMODE+; 90 W/4 s) mode with the QDOT MICRO catheter (QDM; Biosense Webster, Inc.) and the nMARQ generator have been reported [1–4]. The nGEN generator was developed to support the full suite of power-controlled, temperature-controlled, and multichannel radiofrequency (RF) ablation catheters. Initial studies for QDM and nGEN were limited to early experience with single or two-center studies, including reports of high rate of catheter tip char with QMODE+[5, 6]. This prospective study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04545619) was conducted at 4 Canadian sites to assess feasibility and safety of the QDM catheter with the nGEN generator in the clinical setting.

The study enrolled 40 participants aged \geq 18 years (mean age 61.7 \pm 9.34 years, 62.5% male, mean atrial fibrillation [AF] duration 52.4 \pm 51.3 months) with symptomatic paroxysmal or early persistent AF undergoing catheter ablation for pulmonary vein isolation (PVI). Follow-up was performed at 7 days for adverse events and medications and at 3 months for adverse events, medications, medical/hospitalization history, and electrocardiogram. Sites received Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee

Clinical trial registration NCT04545619.

Atul Verma atul.verma@mcgill.ca

- ¹ Montreal Heart Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada
- ² Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada
- ³ University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- ⁴ London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada
- ⁵ Southlake Regional Health Centre, Newmarket, ON, Canada
- ⁶ McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada

approval; all participants signed a patient informed consent form. The primary endpoint was confirmation of entrance block in all targeted pulmonary veins (PVs) after adenosine and/or isoproterenol challenge.

QMODE+ was used primarily for PVI with overlapping 3-mm lesion tags for anterior, inferior, and roof of left atrium and nonoverlapping lesions posteriorly to avoid esophageal heating. QMODE was used at operator discretion for thicker atrial tissue, touch-ups, and at non-PV sites. The first 14 participants underwent treatment with nGEN version 0 (V0); due to catheter tip char noted in other centers, software adjustments were made to the power ramp up to 90 W and the power titration in response to temperature to optimize performance [6]. The updated version, nGEN V1c, was used for the remainder of the study. One participant who did not undergo catheter insertion was excluded from the safety and effectiveness analysis sets, and 1 participant who had only non-PV targets ablated was additionally excluded from the effectiveness analysis set. PV entrance block was confirmed in 97.4% (37/38) of participants in the effectiveness analysis set and in all 37 participants treated with the study catheter alone (nonstudy catheter used in 1 procedure after connectivity troubleshooting was considered a failure). Nine (23.7%) of the procedures achieved PVI by QMODE+ only while 28 (73.7%) achieved PVI by both QMODE and QMODE+. Of the non-PV ablations in 16 participants, cavotricuspid isthmus lines were ablated by QMODE in 9 participants, QMODE+in 1 participant, and by both modes combined in 1 participant; QMODE+ was used for roof, posterior, and septal linear ablations in 6 participants. Protocol mandated activated clotting time ≥ 325 s was maintained throughout the procedure. The median (quartile 1/quartile 3) contact force was 13.8 g (12.5/16.2). Over a mean follow-up of 88 ± 8 days, there were no device-related adverse events. The study catheter was systematically examined for char after withdrawal; no char was observed

upon visual inspection. One serious adverse event (1/39 [2.6%]) was reported in the safety analysis set, a fever requiring admission, which was procedure related. Seven procedure-related, nonserious adverse events were reported in 6 participants, including 1 pericardial effusion and 1 hematoma not requiring medical intervention.

Given the contrasting observation of catheter tip char versus prior reports with QMODE+ablation [5, 6], an ad hoc analysis was performed to assess the relationship between baseline impedance and current change. Of 3352 analyzed lesions, 71.2% and 28.8% were delivered with QMODE+ and QMODE, respectively. Figure 1A shows the baseline impedance distribution across all lesions. Mean baseline impedance was $118.5 \pm 5.1 \Omega$ in 42.6% of QMODE+lesions. Maximum current inversely correlated with baseline impedance for QMODE + ablations (Fig. 1B), ranging from 0.95 to 0.87 A when the baseline impedance was in the optimal range of 110 to 130 Ω and > 0.95 A when baseline impedance was $< 110 \Omega$. Figure 1C shows an example of the current profile during 90 W, 50 W, and 30 W ablation delivery in a single participant. The increase in current in response to 90 W ablation was within 1 s, with fluctuation based on target catheter tip temperature and power, suggesting fast ramp up. Among ablatons performed with QMODE+, QMODE/50 W, and QMODE/30 W, the mean maximum current observed was 0.95 ± 0.05 A, 0.7 ± 0.04 A, and 0.62 ± 0.03 A, respectively.

Ablation lesions are formed when RF energy is delivered against resistive myocardial tissue. The resulting current is dependent on the power used and circuit impedance. Overheating due to excess current delivery can compromise safety [7]. Lesion size of overheated tissue has been reported to be significantly larger with lower circuit impedance [7]. Indeed, Mueller et al. observed greater catheter tip coagulum in patients with lower baseline impedance, albeit nonstatistically significant [6]. Additionally, this finding was resolved after repositioning the dispersive electrode to increase baseline impedance from 90 to 110Ω [6].

Although our observation of no catheter tip char contrasts with previous reports, it clinically validates the inverse association between baseline impedance and current flow with QMODE+ ablation [5, 6]. Interestingly, although no char was observed, 51% of QMODE+ lesions had a baseline impedance < 110 Ω , suggesting that additional factors may contribute to tissue overheating and char formation, such as contact force, catheter irrigation, intertag spacing, time interval between adjacent lesions, and anticoagulation.

To our knowledge, this is the first clinical report of the correlation between baseline impedance and current rise with QMODE+ ablation. Figure 1D lists the ablation settings



Fig. 1 A Baseline impedance distribution for QMODE+ and QMODE lesions. **B** Scatter plot representing inverse linear association between maximum current (y-axis) and baseline impedance (x-axis) for the 2387 lesions QMODE+ delivered during the study. The data are divided into 3 groups: baseline impedance <110 Ω , opti-

mal baseline impedance between 110 and 130 Ω , and baseline impedance > 130 Ω . **C** Current profile in a single study participant during QMODE+(90W), QMODE (50W and 30W) ablations. **D** Recommended optimal ablation and workflow settings based on this experience. ACT, activated clotting time observed in this clinical study for factors that may contribute to safety and particularly tip char minimization.

The limitations inherent in our study design include the small sample size, single arm, nonrandomized design, and lack of longer-term follow-up to assess ablation effectiveness.

In conclusion, the QDM catheter with the new nGEN generator demonstrated the acute safety and effectiveness of temperature-controlled RF ablation with no char observed. Careful consideration of multiple ablation settings may help minimize the risk of char and should be further investigated in future studies.

Acknowledgements We thank the following individuals for their efforts in trial execution, statistical analysis, and input during the development of this article: Bita Najimipour, Christopher Sierra, Arie Gertz, Luisa Montagna, Melissa Mert, Vitaliy Putra, Aisha Cornejo, Lee Ming Boo, Lycely Sepulveda-Torres, and Swati Trivedi. Writing and editorial support was provided by Michelle Hughes, PhD (Lumanity Communications Inc.), provided medical writing and editorial support, in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines, funded by Biosense Webster, Inc., under direction of the authors.

Funding This study was funded by the Biosense Webster, Inc.

Data availability Johnson & Johnson MedTech Companies have an agreement with the Yale Open Data Access (YODA) Project to serve as the independent review panel for the evaluation of requests for clinical study reports and patient-level data from investigators and physicians for scientific research that will advance medical knowledge and public health. Requests for access to the study data can be submitted through the YODA Project site at http://yoda.yale.edu.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethical approval Each of the four study sites received Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee approval.

Consent to participate All participants signed a patient informed consent form prior to study enrollment.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest All authors received support from Biosense Webster, Inc. for this manuscript. Laurent Macle has received grants or contracts from Abbott Medical, Medtronic, and Servier; has received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Biosense Webster, Inc., Abbott Medical, Medtronic, and Servier; has participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for Biosense Webster, Inc.; and is president of the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society. Girish M. Nair has received grants or contracts from Biosense Webster, Inc., the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Medtronic, and the University of Ottawa Heart Institute; has received consulting fees and support for attending meetings and/or travel from Boston Scientific Inc., Medtronic, and Biosense Webster, Inc.; has received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Biosense Webster, Inc. and Medtronic; and has served on the Primary Writing Panel of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation Guidelines Committee. Allan Skanes has received grants or contracts unrelated to the current study, consulting fees, payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events, and has participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for Biosense Webster, Inc. Martin Aguilar has received payment or honoraria for speakers bureaus from Biosense Webster, Inc. Alfredo Pantano reports no additional disclosures. Yaariv Khaykin has received consulting fees from the Canadian Heart Research Centre and EOCI Pharmacomm; has received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Medtronic, Novartis, and Servier; and has received payment for expert testimony and participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for Novartis. Atul Verma has received grants or contracts from Medtronic, Bayer, Biosense Webster, Inc., and Biotronik; has received consulting fees from Medtronic, Adagio Medical, Ablacon, Biosense Webster, Inc., Galaxy Medical, and Thermedical; and has received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Medtronic and Biosense Webster, Inc. He is currently affiliated with McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Reddy VY, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation with very high power, short duration, temperature-controlled lesions: the QDOT-FAST trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2019;5(7):778–86. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jacep.2019.04.009.
- De Potter T, et al. Temperature-controlled catheter ablation for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: the QDOT-MICRO workflow study. J Atr Fibrillation. 2021;13(6):20200460. https://doi.org/10.4022/jafib.20200460.
- O'Neill L, et al. Very high-power ablation for contiguous pulmonary vein isolation: results from the randomized POWER PLUS trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2023;9(4):511–22. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jacep.2022.10.039.
- Osorio J, et al. Very high-power short-duration, temperature-controlled radiofrequency ablation in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: the prospective multicenter Q-FFICIENCY trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2023;9(4):468–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacep.2022.10.019.
- Halbfass P, et al. Safety of very high-power short-duration radiofrequency ablation for pulmonary vein isolation: a two-centre report with emphasis on silent oesophageal injury. Europace. 2022;24(3):400–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/euab261.
- Mueller J, et al. Safety aspects of very high power very short duration atrial fibrillation ablation using a modified radiofrequency RF-generator: single-center experience. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2022;33(5):920–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.15433.
- Bhaskaran A, et al. Circuit impedance could be a crucial factor influencing radiofrequency ablation efficacy and safety: a myocardial phantom study of the problem and its correction. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2016;27(3):351–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.12893.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.