



## Correction to: The Examined Life is Wise Living: The Relationship Between Mindfulness, Wisdom, and the Moral Foundations

Paul Verhaeghen<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 21 January 2021  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

**Correction to:**

**Journal of Adult Development (2020) 27:305–322**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-019-09343-y>

The original version of the article has contained some errors in text and tables.

The errors are given below and the original article has been updated.

**Participants:** Reliability estimates: For Sample A: median = 0.75; for Sample B: median = 0.85, ranging from .57 to .93)

**Measures and Procedure—Wisdom Scales:** Reliability of reflective subscale of 3D-WS = 0.80 and 0.85; of affective subscale = 0.75 and 0.83.

**Results—Factor Analysis of the Wisdom Scales:** Inter-correlations between the two factors = 0.47 and 0.14.

**Discussion—The Structure of Wisdom:** Next to last sentence (“The correlation between … of 0.11”) to be deleted.

**Discussion—Predicting Wisdom About the (Social) World:** Two-sentence section (“There is a hint … reached significance”) to be deleted.

**Discussion—Predicting Wisdom About the (Social) World:** Percentage in variance of wisdom explained about the (social) world = 5–29%.

**Discussion—Wisdom and the Moral Foundations:** Beta values for the final step in explaining the binding foundation from social conservatism = 0.52 and 0.75; from wisdom about the self = 0.25 and 0.14; and from wisdom about the (social) world – 0.07 and – 0.01.

The correct Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 are given below.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-019-09343-y>.

---

✉ Paul Verhaeghen  
verhaeghen@gatech.edu

<sup>1</sup> School of Psychology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 654 Cherry Street NW, Atlanta, GA 30320, USA

**Table 1** Factor analysis of the nine wisdom scales in both samples; principal axis analysis with oblimin rotation

	Sample A		Sample B	
	Factor 1 Wisdom about the self	Factor 2 Wisdom about the social world	Factor 1 Wisdom about the self	Factor 2 Wisdom about the social world
ASTI (total)	.55		.76	
SAWS-emotion regulation	.62		.72	
SAWS-experience	.75		.69	
SAWS-humor	.56		.73	
SAWS-openness	.44		.68	
SAWS-reminisce-reflect	.77		.65	
3D-WS-affective		.71		.77
3D-WS-cognitive		.65		.59
3D-WS-reflective		.64		.91

*N* = 260 for Sample A and 173 for Sample B. For legibility reasons, factor loadings below .30 are not represented

**Table 2** Correlation matrix for the background variables, mindfulness variables, and wisdom factors; Sample A data presented above the diagonal, Sample B below

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1. IPIP extraversion	1.00	.29***	.01	-.12*	.13*	.09	.10	.03	.12	.22***	-.22***	.13*	.40***	.31***	.25***	.06	.06
2. IPIP agreeableness	.25***	1.00	-.17***	-.02	.25***	.18***	.03	.28***	.36***	.19***	.00	.20***	.51***	.38***	.43***	.31***	.06
3. IPIP conscientiousness	.12	.30***	1.00	-.16***	.05	.18***	.03	.11	.09	.34***	-.11	.18***	.27***	.10	.08	.05	.19***
4. IPIP neuroticism	-.43***	-.34***	-.36***	1.00	-.09	-.04	-.03	.24***	.08	.53***	.60***	-.48***	-.34***	-.18***	-.24***	.06	-.04
5. IPIP intellect/imagination	.29***	.18*	-.02	-.20***	1.00	.07	.04	-.15*	.35***	.08	-.08	.07	.20***	.36***	.24***	.04	-.11
6. Social conservatism	-.04	.14	.23***	-.19*	-.11	1.00	-.05	.07	.16*	.15*	-.02	.14*	.24***	.18*	.03	.11	.34***
7. Age	-.05	.13	.07	-.08	-.08	.30***	1.00	-.07	.05	.03	.03	-.02	-.03	.03	.04	-.03	.08
8. Gender	.05	-.31***	-.17*	-.02	.03	-.07	-.21***	1.00	.04	-.03	.21***	-.05	.13*	.05	.16*	.30***	.00
9. Reflective awareness	.22***	.34***	.26***	-.18*	.43***	-.02	-.12	-.14	1.00	-.08	.22***	.23***	.35***	.60***	.26***	.37***	.23***
10. Controlled sense-of-self in the moment	.33***	.40***	.37***	-.62***	.21***	.05	.17*	-.10	.17*	1.00	-.54***	.42***	.43***	.22***	.23***	-.03	.01
11. Self-preoccupation	-.37***	-.22***	-.23***	.57***	-.19*	-.08	-.17*	-.08	-.02	-.56***	1.00	-.44***	-.27***	-.08	-.20***	.30***	.11
12. Self-compassion	.06	.16*	-.07	-.20***	.03	.05	.04	-.04	.17*	-.01	.17*	1.00	.48***	.41***	.29***	.14*	.17***
13. Self-transcendence	.52***	.59***	.34***	-.66***	.16*	.26***	.04	-.12	.43***	.54***	-.47***	.21***	.00	.57***	.41***	.35***	.24***
14. Wisdom about the self	.34***	.51***	.32***	-.47***	.40***	.10	.11	-.14	.66***	.45***	-.28***	.22***	.68***	1.00	.47***	.41***	.26***
15. Wisdom about the (social) world	.08	.17*	.10	-.12	.14	-.10	.10	-.08	.14	.16	-.09	-.06	.13	.14	1.00	.24***	-.00
16. Individualizing foundation	.09	.38***	.09	-.13	.17*	-.08	.06	-.15	.31***	.13	-.02	.03	.29***	.43***	.19*	1.00	.33***
17. Binding foundation	-.04	.20***	.20*	-.12	-.20*	.77***	.13	-.10	-.01	-.02	.09	.07	.31***	.16*	-.09	.07	1.00

N = 260 for Sample A and 173 for Sample B

IPIP International Personality Item Pool (ipip.org)

\*p &lt; .05

**Table 3** Results from hierarchical regression analyses to predict the wisdom factors

	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4	
	Sample A	Sample B						
Wisdom about the self								
IPIP extraversion	0.19**	0.08	0.16**	0.02	0.17**	0.03	0.11*	-0.06
IPIP agreeableness	0.24**	0.26**	0.08	0.17**	0.06	0.17**	-0.01	0.05
IPIP conscientiousness	0.01	0.07*	-0.06	0.01	-0.06	0.03	-0.08	0.02
IPIP neuroticism	-0.16**	-0.21**	-0.15**	-0.19**	-0.10	-0.17*	-0.06	-0.05
IPIP intellect/imagination	0.28**	0.31**	0.13**	0.11	0.16**	0.11	0.14*	0.18**
Age	-0.01	0.08	-0.02	0.13*	-0.01	0.12*	0.01	0.13*
Gender	0.07	-0.06	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.05	0.02
Reflective awareness			0.52**	0.50**	0.46**	0.49**	0.40**	0.38**
Controlled sense-of-self in the moment			0.15*	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.07	0.09
Self-preoccupation					0.04	-0.01	0.05	0.05
Self-compassion					0.19**	0.06	0.14*	0.03
Self-transcendence							0.28**	0.41**
R <sup>2</sup>	.296	.455	.506	.622	.526	.625	.561	.673
R <sup>2</sup> change	.296**	.455**	.210**	.167**	.020**	.003	.035**	.048**
Wisdom about the (social) world								
IPIP extraversion	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.04
IPIP agreeableness	0.33**	0.08	0.29**	0.07	0.28**	0.08	0.26**	0.08
IPIP conscientiousness	-0.04	0.01	-0.05	-0.01	-0.05	-0.04	-0.06	-0.04
IPIP neuroticism	-0.25**	-0.03	-0.25**	-0.01	-0.19*	-0.06	-0.18*	-0.07
IPIP intellect/imagination	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10
Age	0.02	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.10
Gender	0.14*	-0.04	0.14*	-0.03	0.15*	-0.03	0.14*	-0.03
Reflective awareness			0.11*	0.06	0.16*	0.07	0.14	0.08
Controlled sense-of-self in the moment			0.12	0.05	-0.02	0.05	-0.04	0.06
Self-preoccupation					-0.12	0.07	-0.12	0.07
Self-compassion					0.05	-0.10	0.03	-0.10
Self-transcendence							0.10	-0.01
R <sup>2</sup>	.286	.053	.302	.056	.312	.064	.316	.064
R <sup>2</sup> change	.286*	.053	.016	.003	.010	.008	.004	.000

N = 260 for Sample A and 173 for Sample B

IPIP International Personality Item Pool ([ipip.ori.org](http://ipip.ori.org))

\*p < .05; \*\*p < .01

**Table 4** Results from hierarchical regression analyses to predict the moral foundations

	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5	
	Sample A	Sample B								
Individualizing foundation										
IPIP extraversion	-0.06	-0.02	-0.04	-0.03	-0.01	-0.03	-0.06	-0.11	-0.10	-0.08
IPIP agreeableness	0.23**	0.34**	0.11	0.33**	0.10	0.34**	0.05	0.25*	0.03	0.22*
IPIP conscientiousness	0.06	0.01	0.01	-0.02	-0.00	-0.04	-0.03	-0.04	0.01	-0.05
IPIP neuroticism	-0.04	-0.03	-0.10	-0.10	-0.21*	-0.16	-0.17	-0.07	-0.16*	-0.04
IPIP intellect/imagination	0.15*	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.08	-0.03	-0.00
Social conservatism	0.01	-0.15	-0.00	-0.16	-0.01	-0.16	-0.03	-0.22*	-0.02	-0.19*
Age	-0.06	0.05	-0.05	0.09	-0.08	0.11	-0.06	0.13	-0.07	0.06
Gender	0.21**	-0.06	0.25**	-0.03	0.21**	-0.03	0.18*	-0.02	0.17*	-0.02
Reflective awareness			0.33**	0.19	0.22**	0.20*	0.17*	0.11	0.03	-0.06
Controlled sense-of-self in the moment			-0.05	-0.12	0.05	-0.11	0.02	-0.15	-0.00	-0.18
Self-preoccupation					0.38**	0.10	0.39**	0.17	0.39**	0.13
Self-compassion					0.10	-0.11	0.04	-0.15	-0.01	-0.14
Self-transcendence						0.27**	0.35*	0.15	0.17	0.42**
Wisdom about the self							0.41**	0.02	0.08	0.08
Wisdom about the (social) world	.158	.160	.233	.191	.300	.202	.329	.232	.404	.289
R <sup>2</sup>	.158**	.160**	.01075**	.033	.067**	.011	.029**	.031*	.075**	.057**
Binding foundation										
IPIP extraversion	-0.02	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01
IPIP agreeableness	-0.08	0.09	-0.12	0.10	-0.13	0.11	-0.15*	0.04	-0.14*	0.03
IPIP conscientiousness	0.21**	0.03	0.22**	0.04	0.21**	0.02	0.20**	0.03	0.22**	0.02
IPIP neuroticism	0.07	0.07	-0.02	0.02	-0.05	-0.06	-0.03	0.00	-0.04	0.01
IPIP intellect/imagination	0.02	-0.10	-0.03	-0.10	-0.01	-0.11	-0.02	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08
Social conservatism	0.54**	0.80**	0.54**	0.80**	0.54**	0.80**	0.53**	0.74**	0.52**	0.75**
Age	0.02	-0.10	0.02	-0.11	0.00	-0.09	0.01	-0.06	0.01	-0.08
Gender	-0.13	-0.04	-0.10	-0.05	-0.13*	-0.03	-0.14*	-0.02	-0.13*	-0.03
Reflective awareness			0.13	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02	-0.06	-0.06	-0.12
Controlled sense-of-self in the moment			-0.15*	-0.08	-0.12	-0.06	-0.13	-0.09	-0.16	-0.10
Self-preoccupation					0.21*	0.15*	0.22**	0.20**	0.21*	0.19**
Self-compassion					0.14	-0.09	0.12	-0.11*	0.09	-0.12*
Self-transcendence						0.10	0.28**	0.04	0.22*	
Wisdom about the self								0.25**	0.14	
Wisdom about the (social) world								-0.07	-0.01	

**Table 4** (continued)

	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5	
			Sample A		Sample B		Sample A		Sample B	
		Sample A	Sample B		Sample A	Sample B		Sample A	Sample B	
$R^2$	.361	.651	.391	.655	.419	.668	.423	.690	.448	.696
$R^2$ stepwise change	.361**	.651**	.030*	.004	.029*	.013	.004	.024**	.025*	.007

*N* = 260 for Sample A and 173 for Sample B

*IPIP* International Personality Item Pool ([ipip.ori.org](http://ipip.ori.org))

\* $p < .05$ ; \*\* $p < .01$

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.