CORRECTION

CrossMark

Correction to: Reduced Value-Driven Attentional Capture among Children with ADHD Compared to Typically Developing Controls

Anthony W. Sali[1] · Brian A. Anderson[2] · Steven Yantis[3] · Stewart H. Mostofsky[4,5,6] · Keri S. Rosch[4,5,7]

Correction to: J Abnorm Child Psychol
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-017-0345-y

The authors would like to correct a few minor errors in our article, none of which change the conclusions or interpretations presented. First, we had incorrectly described the auditory feedback presented to participants when they failed to respond during the task. Second, we neglected to state the number of participants excluded in the delay discounting and symptom severity correlations due to outlying data. Third, we failed to note an exception to the statement that all participants completed both training sessions on the same day, as we had to deviate from this protocol for one participant. Fourth, we incorrectly reported Cohen's d for a small, non-significant effect and reversed the sign for a small, non-significant correlation. Finally, we omitted the non-significant diagnostic group by session interaction from Table 2. For completeness, we would like to add this non-significant interaction test as shown in the corrected table presented on the next page.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-017-0345-y

✉ Anthony W. Sali
  anthony.sali@duke.edu

1 Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

2 Department of Psychology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

3 Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

4 Center for Neurodevelopmental and Imaging Research, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA

5 Departments of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

6 Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

7 Neuropsychology Department, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA

Table 2 ANOVA results

Effect	F-statistic (p-value)	Partial eta-squared
Training phase behavioral accuracies		
Main effect of session	3.59 (0.065)	0.072
Main effect of target value	3.20 (0.08)	0.065
Main effect of diagnostic group	1.25 (0.269)	0.026
Diagnostic group x target value	0.38 (0.543)	0.008
Diagnostic group x session	0.90 (0.349)	0.019
Session x target value	0.76 (0.388)	0.016
Diagnostic group x session x target value	3.29 (0.076)	0.067
Test phase behavioral accuracies		
Main effect of diagnostic group	5.33 (0.025)	0.104
Main effect of distractor presence	2.40 (0.128)	0.050
Diagnostic group x distractor presence	0.01 (0.944)	< 0.001
Test phase VDAC		
Main effect of diagnostic group	14.97 (< 0.001)	0.246
Main effect of experimental half	5.76 (0.021)	0.111
Diagnostic group x half	0.62 (0.436)	0.013