

A standard form for scattered linearized polynomials and properties of the related translation planes

Giovanni Longobardi¹ · Corrado Zanella²

Received: 30 March 2023 / Accepted: 18 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we present results concerning the stabilizer G_f in $GL(2, q^n)$ of the subspace $U_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}, f(x)$ a scattered linearized polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$. Each G_f contains the q-1 maps $(x, y) \mapsto (ax, ay), a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. By virtue of the results of Beard (Duke Math J, 39:313–321, 1972) and Willett (Duke Math J 40(3):701–704, 1973), the matrices in G_f are simultaneously diagonalizable. This has several consequences: (*i*) the polynomials such that $|G_f| > q - 1$ have a standard form of type $\sum_{j=0}^{n/t-1} a_j x^{q^{s+jt}}$ for some *s* and *t* such that (s, t) = 1, t > 1 a divisor of *n*; (*ii*) this standard form is essentially unique; (*iii*) for n > 2 and q > 3, the translation plane \mathcal{A}_f associated with f(x) admits nontrivial affine homologies if and only if $|G_f| > q - 1$, and in that case those with axis through the origin form two groups of cardinality $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$ that exchange axes and coaxes; (*iv*) no plane of type \mathcal{A}_f , f(x) a scattered polynomial not of pseudoregulus type, is a generalized André plane.

Keywords André plane · Linear set · Linearized polynomial · MRD code · Partial spread · Projective line · Rank distance code · Translation plane

Mathematics Subject Classification $15B33 \cdot 51A40 \cdot 51E22 \cdot 51E14$

Giovanni Longobardi giovanni.longobardi@unina.it

> Corrado Zanella corrado.zanella@unipd.it

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "Renato Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo I, 80126 Napoli, Italy

² Dipartimento di Tecnica e Gestione dei Sistemi Industriali, Università degli Studi di Padova, Stradella S. Nicola, 3, 36100 Vicenza VI, Italy

1 Introduction

A scattered polynomial is an \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ such that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ the condition zf(y) - yf(z) = 0 implies that y and z are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly dependent. Scattered polynomials have been investigated for about 20 years because of their interest in various combinatorial contexts, such as blocking sets, planar spreads and the related translation planes [10, 23], and rank distance codes (*RD codes*), see [29] for a survey. The RD codes are codes in which words are matrices and the distance between two matrices A and B is rk(A - B). For an RD code C in $\mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$, an inequality analogous to Singleton's one holds:

$$\log_{a} |\mathcal{C}| \le \max\{m, n\}(\min\{m, n\} - d + 1) \tag{1}$$

where d is the minimum distance of C. As it follows immediately from the definition of a scattered polynomial f(x), the set $\mathcal{C}_f = \langle x, f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{a^n}}$ (seen as a subset of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ is a set of \mathbb{F}_q -linear endomorphisms of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} having rank at least n-1and therefore the equality holds in (1). In other words, C_f is a maximum rank distance *code*, or MRD code (see [32]). One aspect of scattered polynomials that makes them interesting objects of research is that they are rare. In fact, there are only three families of scattered polynomials defined for infinite values of n: those of pseudoregulus type [9, 22], the Lunardon-Polverino polynomials [23-25, 31], and the polynomials described in [19, 21, 28]. See [3, 5] for asymptotic results in this direction. In Sect. 3 of this paper we derive some results on the stabilizer in $GL(2, q^n)$ of the \mathbb{F}_q -subspace $U_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$ associated with a scattered polynomial f(x), which are essential for the main results contained in the following sections. In Sect. 4, we consider the class $S_{n,q}$ of the scattered polynomials such that the stabilizer of U_f has size greater than q-1. Any polynomial in $S_{n,q}$ admits a *standard form* $h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/t-1} c_j x^{q^{s+jt}}$, t > 1, i.e., for any $f(x) \in S_{n,q}$ the subspace U_f is in the same orbit of U_h under the action of $GL(2, q^n)$. Furthermore, such standard form is essentially unique. In Sect. 5, we consider the translation planes associated with scattered polynomials, as defined in [10]. We find that if f(x) is not in $\mathcal{S}_{n,q}$, then the plane \mathcal{A}_f has no affine central collineations. If on the contrary $f(x) \in S_{n,a}$, then there are only two centers of affine homologies of \mathcal{A}_f . The related two groups of homologies in GL(2, q^n) are such that those in one group have axes and coaxes that are, respectively, coaxes and axes of the homologies in the other group.

2 Preliminaries and notation

In this section, some fundamental notions about linear sets of the finite projective line are recalled. The reader may refer to the surveys [29, 30]. Throughout this paper, qdenotes a power of a prime p. Moreover, in the following for a set S of field elements (or vectors), we denote by S^* the set of nonzero elements (nonzero vectors) of S. Let $r, n \in$ $\mathbb{N}, r > 0, n > 1$. Let U be an r-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of the (2n)-dimensional vector space $V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2, \mathbb{F}_q)$. The following subset of $PG(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2, \mathbb{F}_q^n) = PG(1, q^n)$

$$L_U = \{ \langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{a^n}} : v \in U^* \}$$

is called \mathbb{F}_q -linear set (or just linear set) of rank r. The linear set L_U is scattered if it has the maximum possible size related to the given q and r, that is, $|L_U| = (q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$. Equivalently, L_U is scattered if and only if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left(U \cap \langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \right) \le 1 \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2.$$
(2)

Any \mathbb{F}_q -subspace U satisfying (2) is a scattered \mathbb{F}_q -subspace.

Clearly, any \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in PG(1, q^n) of rank greater than *n* coincides with PG(1, q^n). So, the linear sets of rank *n* are called linear sets of maximum rank. They are associated with linearized polynomials. An \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomial, or *q*-polynomial, in $\mathbb{F}_q^n[x]$ is of type $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^{q^i}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). If $a_k \neq 0$, then *k* is the *q*-degree of f(x). It is well known that the \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomials of *q*-degree less than *n* in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the endomorphisms of the vector space $V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ (see [18, Chapter 3] and [29, Subsection 3.1]). Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ be an \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomial and define

$$U_f = \{ (x, f(x)) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \},\$$

and $L_f = L_{U_f}$. Such L_f is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear set of maximum rank of PG(1, q^n), and is scattered if and only if f(x) is. Two \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomials f(x) and g(x) in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ are said to be GL-*equivalent* (or Γ L-*equivalent*) when a ϕ in GL(2, q^n) (resp. in Γ L(2, q^n)) exists such that $U_f^{\phi} = U_g$.

Since PGL(2, q^n) acts 3-transitively on PG(1, q^n), any linear set of maximum rank is projectively equivalent to an L_U such that $\langle (0, 1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \notin L_U$. Therefore, a linearized polynomial f(x) exists such that $U = U_f$.

By abuse of notation, L_f will also denote the set $\{f(x)/x : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}$ of the non-homogeneous projective coordinates of the points belonging to the set L_f .

We will now introduce some more elements that will be investigated in this paper. For more details on the relationship between scattered polynomials and translation planes see [10]. We adopt the notation $G_{\{T\}}$ for the setwise stabilizer of $T \subseteq S$ under the action of a group G acting on S. Let $G_f = \operatorname{GL}(2, q^n)_{\{U_f\}}$, and $G_f^\circ = G_f \cup \{O\}$, where O is the zero 2×2 matrix.

3 The stabilizer of a scattered subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{a^n}^2$

3.1 Algebraic properties and representation as right idealizer

Regarding main definitions on MRD codes, the reader can refer to [19, 29, 32]. Below we will recall just a few definitions, useful for understanding the next sections. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$ be a rank distance code, its *left idealizer* and *right idealizer* are defined as

$$I_L(\mathcal{C}) = \{ X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times m} : XC \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C} \},\$$

and

$$I_R(\mathcal{C}) = \{ Y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n} : CY \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C} \},\$$

respectively. When $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$, $m \leq n$, is a *linear* MRD code with minimum distance d, i.e., an optimal code which is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , it is well known that $I_L(C)$ is a field with $q \leq |I_L(C)| \leq q^m$; moreover, if $\max\{d, m - d + 2\} \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, its right idealizer $I_R(C)$ is a field as well, with $q \leq |I_R(C)| \leq q^n$ (see [24, Theorem 5.4] and [29, Result 3.4]). Actually, if these are fields, they are isomorphic to subfields of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} and \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , respectively. As a matter of fact, for any subfield \mathcal{M} of $\mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$ containing the identity matrix, \mathbb{F}_q^r is a right vector space over \mathcal{M} with exterior product $\mathbf{x}M$, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following holds:

Theorem 3.1 Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}$ be a linear rank distance code. If $I_L(C)$ (resp. $I_R(C)$) is a field, then it is isomorphic to a subfield of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} (resp. \mathbb{F}_{q^n}).

Given a scattered polynomial f(x), the set of q-polynomials

$$\mathcal{C}_f = \{ax + bf(x) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} = \langle x, f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$$

defines a linear MRD code of minimum distance n-1 over \mathbb{F}_q . Recall that, given two scattered polynomials f(x) and g(x) over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , the corresponding MRD codes \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_g are *equivalent* if there exist invertible $L_1, L_2 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that

$$L_1 \circ \varphi^{\rho} \circ L_2 \in \mathcal{C}_g$$
 for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_f$,

where \circ stands for the composition of maps and $\varphi^{\rho}(x) = \sum a_i^{\rho} x^{q^i}$ for $\varphi(x) = \sum a_i x^{q^i}$. We denote by (L_1, L_2, ρ) the equivalence defined above. In [31], the equivalence between two codes C_f and C_g is related to the Γ L-equivalence of the subspaces U_f and U_g , precisely

Theorem 3.2 [31, Theorem 8] Let f(x) and g(x) be scattered linearized polynomials. Then C_f and C_g are equivalent if and only if f(x) and g(x) are Γ L-equivalent.

If a rank distance code C is given as a subset of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$, then the notion of left and right idealizer can be rephrased in this setting as follow

$$I_L(\mathcal{C}) = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) : \varphi \circ f \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{C} \}$$

and

$$I_R(\mathcal{C}) = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) : f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{C} \}$$

respectively. By [24, Corollary 5.6] and Theorem 3.1, both are isomorphic to subfields of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . Note that any MRD code \mathcal{C}_f associated with a scattered polynomial f(x) has $I_L(\mathcal{C}_f)$ isomorphic to the field \mathbb{F}_{q^n} .

Proposition 3.3 [19, Lemma 4.1] Let $\mathcal{L}_{n,q}$ be the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space of all q-polynomials with q-degree less than n. Let $f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{n,q}$ and denote \mathcal{C}_f the associated MRD code. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_f)$ consists of elements of the type

$$g \mapsto \alpha x^{q^m} \circ g^\sigma \circ L$$

with invertible $L, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, m \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{f^{\sigma q^m}} \circ x^{q^m} \circ L = \mathcal{C}_f$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, m \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, there is a bijection between the set of all L such that $(\alpha x^{q^m}, L, \sigma) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_f)$ and all linear isomorphism between U_f to $U_{f^{\sigma q^m}}$. Furthermore, $I_R(\mathcal{C}_f)^*$ and G_f are isomorphic groups.

Remark 3.4 It is straightforward to verify that the group isomorphism constructed in [19, Lemma 4.1] can be extended to a field isomorphism between $I_R(\mathcal{C}_f)$ and G_f° . In particular, $|G_f^\circ| = q^t$, where *t* divides *n*.

Theorem 3.5 [12, Theorem 2.2] Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{n,q}$. Assume that one of the left and right idealizers of C is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . Then there exists an integer k such that $|C| = q^{kn}$ and C is equivalent to

$$\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^{q^{t_i}} + \sum_{j \notin \{t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}} g_j(a_0, \cdots, a_{k-1}) x^{q^j} \colon a_0, \cdots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\right\}$$
(3)

where $0 \le t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{k-1} \le n-1$ and the g_j 's are \mathbb{F}_q -linear functions from $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$ to \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . If the other idealizer of \mathcal{C} is also isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , then \mathcal{C} is equivalent to

$$\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^{q^{t_i}} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\right\} = \langle x^{q^{t_i}} : i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}.$$

Proposition 3.6 If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ is a scattered polynomial not Γ L-equivalent to a polynomial of pseudoregulus type, then G_f° is a subring of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2\times 2}$ isomorphic to a proper subfield \mathbb{F}_{q^t} of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} .

Proof By Proposition 3.3 the subring G_f° of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2\times 2}$ is a field isomorphic to the right idealizer of the MRD code C_f associated with f. If this is not isomorphic to a proper subfield of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , then by Theorem 3.5, C_f is equivalent to the MRD $\langle x, x^{q^{\ell}} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ for some $\ell \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $(\ell, n) = 1$. By Theorem 3.2, f is equivalent to a scattered polynomial of pseudoregulus type, a contradiction.

3.2 Stabilizers of all known maximum scattered subspaces

In this section we present all known scattered polynomials along with the stabilizers of their related subspaces, up to one case that we will deal with in Sect. 4. As usual,

 $N_{q^n/q^t}(x) = x^{(q^n-1)/(q^t-1)}, t$ a divisor of *n*, denotes the *norm* of $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^t} . We will identify any nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2 \times 2}$ with the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y)A$$

in GL(2, q^n). 1. $f(x) = x^{q^s}$, (s, n) = 1 (*pseudoregulus type*). In this case

$$G_f = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^{q^s}) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \}.$$

Cf. [11]. 2. $f(x) = x^{q^s} + \delta x^{q^{n-s}}$, (s, n) = 1, n > 3, $N_{q^n/q}(\delta) \neq 0, 1$ (Lunardon-Polverino type). For even n:

$$G_f = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^q) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{a^2}^* \}.$$

For odd *n*:

$$G_f = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \alpha) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_a^* \}.$$

Cf. [11].

3. $f(x) = \delta x^{q^s} + x^{q^{s+n/2}}, n \in \{6, 8\}, (s, n/2) = 1, N_{q^n/q^{n/2}}(\delta) \notin \{0, 1\}$, with some conditions on δ and q. In this case

$$G_f = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^{q^s}) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{a^{n/2}}^* \}.$$

Cf. [11].

4. $f(x) = x^q + x^{q^3} + \delta x^{q^5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^6}[x]$, with $\delta^2 + \delta = 1$ for q odd; some conditions on δ and q, for q even. In this case

$$G_f = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^q) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{a^2}^* \}.$$

Cf. [2, 13, 27].

5.
$$\psi_{h,t,s}(x) = x^{q^s} + x^{q^{s(t-1)}} + h^{1+q^s} x^{q^{s(t+1)}} + h^{1-q^{s(2t-1)}} x^{q^{s(2t-1)}},$$
 (4)

 $n = 2t, t \ge 3$, (s, n) = 1, q odd, $N_{q^n/q^t}(h) = -1$. Cf. [19, 21, 28]. The stabilizer is described below for t > 4. We add a proof since the description in the quoted works is not completely explicit.

Proposition 3.7 [19, 28] Let $\psi_{h,t,s}$ be the scattered linearized polynomial in (4). Assume t > 4. Then

$$G_{\psi_{h,t,s}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^q \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \right\}$$

🖄 Springer

if t is even, and

$$G_{\psi_{h,t,s}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \xi(h^{q^s} + h^{q^{s(t-1)}}) \\ \frac{\xi}{h^{q^s} + h^{q^{s(t-1)}}} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \ \xi^{q^s} + \xi = 0 \text{ and } (\alpha, \xi) \neq (0, 0) \right\}$$

$$(5)$$

if t is odd. In particular for $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$

$$G_{\psi_{h,t,s}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -4\eta \\ \eta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \ \eta^{q^s} + \eta = 0 \text{ and } (\alpha, \eta) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Proof Let $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ be an element in $G_{\psi_{h,t,s}}$. Then

$$\beta x + \delta \psi_{h,t,s} = \psi_{h,t,s}(\alpha x) + \psi_{h,t,s}(\gamma \psi_{h,t,s}(x))$$

As in the proof of [28, Proposition 4.13] and [19, Theorem 4.2], one obtains that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $\beta = \gamma = 0$, if *t* is even, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and

$$\gamma = \frac{\xi}{h^{q^s} - h^{-q^{(2t-1)s}}},\tag{6}$$

where $\xi^{q^s} + \xi = 0$, if t is odd. Matching the coefficient of x in both sides, one gets

$$\beta = \gamma^{q^s} h^{q^s - 1} - \gamma^{q^{s(t-1)}} h^{q^{s(t-1)} - 1} - h^{1 - q^{s(t+1)}} \gamma^{q^{s(t+1)}} + h^{1 - q^{s(2t-1)}} \gamma^{q^{s(2t-1)}}$$

Then, by (6), one obtains

$$\begin{split} \beta &= -\xi \left(\frac{h^{q^s}}{h^{q^{2s}+1}-1} + \frac{h^{q^{s(t-1)}}}{-1 - h^{1-q^{s(t-2)}}} + \frac{h^{q^s-q^{st}}}{h^{q^{s(t+2)}} - h^{-q^{st}}} + \frac{h^{q^{s(t-1)}-q^{st}}}{h - h^{-q^{s(2t-2)}}} \right) \\ &= -\xi \left(\frac{h^{q^s}}{h^{q^{2s}+1}-1} + \frac{h^{q^{s(t-1)}}}{h^{q^{s(2t-2)}+1}-1} + \frac{h^{q^s}}{h^{q^{st}+q^{s(t+2)}}-1} + \frac{h^{q^{s(t-1)}}}{h^{q^{s(t-2)}+q^{st}}-1} \right) \\ &= \xi (h^{q^s} + h^{q^{s(t-1)}}). \end{split}$$

Remark 3.8 Proposition 3.7 can be extended to $t \ge 3$. Indeed, for t = 3, it is enough to note that any matrix of the set in the right-hand side of Formula (5) stabilizes $U_{\psi_{h,3,s}}$. Then the stabilizer in GL(2, q^n) of $U_{\psi_{h,3,s}}$ coincides with this set, since it has to be a proper subfield of \mathbb{F}_{q^6} (cf. Proposition 3.6) and contains a matrix field of order q^2 . For t = 4, see Remark 4.4.

Remark 3.9 Proposition 3.7, Remark 3.8 and 4.4 extend [6, Proposition 7.8, Corollary 7.9 and 7.10] for $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$.

3.3 Simultaneous diagonalization

In [33], Willett specialized the results in [7, 8] and characterized all subrings \mathcal{M} of $r \times r$ matrices over a finite field which are fields. We will briefly recall the main results in [33].

Let $\mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$ be the ring of all square matrices of order r over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^e$ and consider $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ the collection of all subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$ which are fields with the matrix addition and multiplication inherited from $\mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$. Clearly, since the scalar matrices of $\mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$ form a matrix field, $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ is not empty.

Note that a matrix field \mathcal{M} does not need to have the identity matrix $I_r \in \mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$ as its own identity, and hence the invertibility of a matrix in \mathcal{M} is not equivalent to non-singularity. Moreover, each nonzero matrix in \mathcal{M} has the same rank of its identity element.

A monic polynomial

$$f(x) = x^k - a_1 x^{k-1} - \ldots - a_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$$

is called *primitive* if it has a primitive element of the extension \mathbb{F}_{a^k} as root.

Theorem 3.10 [33, Theorem 1] Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{r \times r}$, $|\mathcal{M}| = p^k$, for some $k \ge 1$. Then \mathcal{M} is a field if and only if

$$\mathcal{M} = \{A^i : 1 \le i \le p^k - 1\} \cup \{O\}$$
(7)

for some matrix A which is similar over \mathbb{F}_q to a matrix of the form diag $(0, \ldots, 0, D)$, with $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\ell \times \ell}$ satisfying f(D) = O, where $f(x) = x^k - a_1 x^{k-1} - \ldots - a_k$ is a primitive polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p .

Lemma 3.11 [33, Lemma 3] Let f(x) be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree k over \mathbb{F}_p and let d = (k, e). Then f(x) factors into d irreducible polynomials $f_i(x)$, $0 \le i \le d-1$, deg $f_i(x) = k/d$, over $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$.

Theorem 3.12 [33, Theorem 2] If D is an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_q such that f(D) = O where f(x) is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree k over \mathbb{F}_p , then D is similar over \mathbb{F}_q to a matrix of the form

$$diag(D_{n_0}, D_{n_1}, \dots, D_{n_\tau}) \quad 0 \le n_i \le d - 1$$
(8)

with $D_i = \text{diag}(C(f_i), C(f_i), \dots, C(f_i))$, where $C(f_i)$ is the companion matrix of the irreducibile factor $f_i(x)$ of f(x).

Theorem 3.13 Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix field in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2\times 2}$ isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^t} , $1 \leq t \leq n$, t a divisor of n. If \mathcal{M} contains a nonsingular matrix, then there is $P \in GL(2, q^n)$ such that

$$P\mathcal{M}P^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & x^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \right\},\tag{9}$$

where $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t}})$. In addition if diag $(x, x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t}}|\mathbb{F}_{q})$.

Proof Since $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_{q^n,2}$ and all its matrices are invertible, the matrix A in (7) is similar over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to a matrix $D \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2\times 2}$ satisfying f(D) = O where f(x) is a primitive polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p and its degree is k = et. Now, by Lemma 3.11, f(x) factors in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} into (et, en) = et = k polynomials of degree 1. Then $f_i(x) = x - \omega^{p^i}$ with $0 \le i \le k - 1$ and ω is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$.

By Theorem 3.12, the matrix *D* is similar over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to a matrix of form as in (8). We have to distinguish two cases:

i) $\tau = 0$, then *D* is similar over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to a matrix

$$D_{n_0} = \text{diag}(C(f_{n_0}), C(f_{n_0})) = \text{diag}(\omega^{p^{n_0}}, \omega^{p^{n_0}})$$

and hence there exists a matrix $P \in GL(2, q^n)$ such that

$$P\mathcal{M}P^{-1} = \{ \operatorname{diag}(x, x) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \}.$$

ii) $\tau = 1$, then D is similar over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to a matrix

diag(
$$C(f_{n_0}), C(f_{n_1})$$
) = diag($\omega^{p^{n_0}}, \omega^{p^{n_1}}$).

Denoted by σ the map $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \longrightarrow x^{p^{n_1-n_0}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and noted that

diag
$$(\omega^{ip^{n_0}}, \omega^{ip^{n_1}}) = diag(x, x^{\sigma})$$

for $x = \omega^{ip^{n_0}}$, one gets that there exists a matrix $P \in GL(2, q^n)$ such that

 $P\mathcal{M}P^{-1} = \{ \operatorname{diag}(x, x^{\sigma}) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \}.$

Finally, if diag $(x, x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then \mathcal{M} is an \mathbb{F}_q -algebra and the automorphism σ belongs to Gal $(\mathbb{F}_{q^t} | \mathbb{F}_q)$.

Moreover, by (9), the matrix P diagonalizes all matrices of the matrix field \mathcal{M} and its rows are linearly independent eigenvectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ of all matrices in \mathcal{M} .

Example 3.14 Let t = n/2 be an odd integer, $t \ge 3$ and $\psi(x) = \psi_{h,t,s}(x)$ as in (4). Let $\theta = h^{q^s} + h^{q^{s(t-1)}}$ and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ 1 & -\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (5)

$$PG_{\psi}P^{-1} = \left\{ P\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \xi\theta \\ \xi/\theta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \ \xi^{q^s} + \xi = 0 \text{ and } (\alpha, \xi) \neq (0, 0) \right\}$$

🖄 Springer

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha - \xi \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \ \xi^{q^s} + \xi = 0 \text{ and } (\alpha, \xi) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Noting

$$(\alpha + \xi)^q = \alpha - \xi$$

leads to

$$PG_{\psi}P^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^q \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \right\}.$$

In conclusion, G_{ψ}° is a subring of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{2\times 2}$ isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

We prove the following result for future reference.

Proposition 3.15 Let $P_1 = \langle v_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ and $P_2 = \langle v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ be points of PG(1, q^n), and let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ be a scattered polynomial. If v_1 and v_2 are eigenvectors of all matrices in G_f and G_f° is not isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q , then $P_i \notin L_f$ for i = 1, 2.

Proof Let t > 1, t | n and $|G_f| = q^t - 1$. Since f(x) is scattered,

$$G_f \cap \{\operatorname{diag}(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} = \{\operatorname{diag}(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\},\$$

and so G_f induces a group \tilde{G}_f in PGL(2, q^n) of order $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$. Let $\varphi \in \tilde{G}_f$, if φ fixes P_1 , P_2 and a point $X \in L_f \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}$, then $\varphi = \text{id}$. Hence the orbit of such a point under the action of \tilde{G}_f has order $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$. Then this number divides the size of $L_f \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}$. Since $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$ divides $|L_f|$ as well, if $1 \leq |L_f \cap \{P_1, P_2\}| \leq 2$, then $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1) \in \{1, 2\}$, a contradiction.

4 Standard form

From now on $S_{n,q}$ will denote the set of all scattered polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ such that G_f° is not isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q .

Definition 4.1 Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^{q^i}$ be a scattered polynomial,

$$\Delta_h = \{(i-j) \mod n \colon b_i b_j \neq 0 \text{ and } i \neq j\} \cup \{n\},\$$

and let t_h be the greatest common divisor of Δ_h . If $t_h > 1$ then h(x) is in *standard* form.

For instance, if $h(x) = x^q + \delta x^{q^{n-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$, $N_{q^n/q}(\delta) \neq 0, 1, n$ even, then $\Delta_h = \{2, n-2, n\}$ and $t_h = 2$. So, h(x) is in standard form. On the other hand if n is odd, the same h(x) is not in standard form.

Remark 4.2 If h(x) is in standard form, then

$$h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/t-1} c_j x^{q^{s+jt}},$$
(10)

where $t = t_h$ divides *n*, and $0 \le s < t$. More precisely, *s* is coprime with *t*, otherwise h(x) would be \mathbb{F}_{q^r} -linear for r = (s, t) contradicting the property to be scattered.

Theorem 4.3 Let h(x) be a scattered polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $|G_h^{\circ}| = q^T$, T > 1, and all elements of G_h are diagonal;
- (ii) h(x) is in standard form.

If the conditions (i), (ii) above hold, then $T = t_h$ and

$$G_h^{\circ} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \alpha^{q^s} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^T} \right\}$$
(11)

where s is as in (10).

Proof Assume (*i*). Then, by Remark 3.4, *T* divides *n*. By Theorem 3.13, $PG_h^{\circ}P^{-1}$ is in the form (9) for some nonsingular matrix *P*, and this implies that G_h° is the set of all diag(α, α^{q^s}) with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^T}$ for some integer *s*, $0 \le s < T$. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^T}$ such that $\mathbb{F}_q(\omega) = \mathbb{F}_{q^T}$. Since G_h stabilizes the scattered subspace U_h , one gets that

$$h(\omega x) = \omega^{q^s} h(x)$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$.

This implies that if $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^{q^i}$, then

$$b_i \omega^{q^i} = b_i \omega^{q^s}$$
 for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

So, if $b_i \neq 0$, we have $\omega^{q^{n+i-s}} = \omega$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{(T,i-s)}}$. Then T|(i-s), so h(x) is in standard form. Note that T divides t_h .

Next assume (*ii*), and so (10) holds with $t = t_h > 1$ and (s, t) = 1. Then it can be directly checked that diag $(\alpha, \alpha^{q^s}) \in G_h$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, then the only eigenvectors of diag (α, α^{q^s}) are in $\langle (1, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ and $\langle (0, 1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$. Since the elements of G_h are simultaneously diagonalizable, such eigenvectors are common to all matrices of G_h . Also, $t_h \leq T$, that together with $T | t_h$ gives $T = t_h$.

Remark 4.4 Since for even t the polynomial $\psi_{h,t,s}(x)$ is in standard form, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that Proposition 3.7 holds also for t = 4.

Theorem 4.5 Any scattered polynomial in standard form is bijective.

Proof A scattered polynomial in standard form is \mathbb{F}_{q^t} -semilinear where t > 1, this implies that its kernel is an \mathbb{F}_{q^t} -subspace of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} and so it must be bijective.

Remark 4.6 Note that if h(x) is in standard form, then $h(x) = g(x^{q^s})$ where g(x) is an \mathbb{F}_{q^t} -linearized polynomial. Such g(x) is an R- q^s -partially scattered polynomial according to the definition given in [20]. Indeed, suppose

$$\frac{g(y)}{y} = \frac{g(z)}{z}, \quad \frac{y}{z} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$$

with $y, z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$. Since g(x) is injective, putting $y = y_0^{q^s}$ and $z = z_0^{q^s}$, one gets

$$\frac{g(y_0^{q^s})}{g(z_0^{q^s})} = \frac{y}{z} = \frac{y_0}{z_0}$$

and so

$$\frac{h(y_0)}{y_0} = \frac{h(z_0)}{z_0}.$$

Since h(x) is scattered, we have $y_0/z_0 = y/z \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Corollary 4.7 Let f(x) be a scattered polynomial in $S_{n,q}$. Then f(x) is GL-equivalent to a polynomial h(x) in standard form.

Proof There is a nonsingular matrix P such that $PG_f^{\circ}P^{-1}$ is equal to the right-hand side of (11) for some integer s. The map $\varphi : X \mapsto XP^{-1}$ maps U_f into an ndimensional \mathbb{F}_q -subspace U. The stabilizer of U in $GL(2, q^n)$ is the multiplicative group of the field (11). Therefore, if $(0, y) \in U$ for some $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, then $(0, \alpha y) \in U$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, contradicting the scatteredness of f(x). As a consequence, $U = U_h$, with h(x) a scattered \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomial, and $G_h = PG_f P^{-1}$. The assertion follows from Theorem 4.3.

We will refer to the scattered polynomial h(x) above as the *standard form of* f(x). Only polynomials with a stabilizer not isomorphic to the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_q^* have a standard form.

The next result follows again from Theorem 3.13, taking into account that in the case of G_h the automorphism σ is not the identity in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} , and means that the standard form is *essentially unique*.

Proposition 4.8 If h(x) and h'(x) are two scattered polynomials in standard form and are GL-equivalent to a scattered polynomial f(x), then there are $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ such that h'(x) = ah(bx), or $h'(x) = ah^{-1}(bx)$.

Proof Since h(x) and h'(x) are GL-equivalent, a relation $U_{h'} = U_h P$ with $P \in GL(2, q^n)$ holds. Since both $G_{h'}^{\circ}$ and $G_h^{\circ} = P G_{h'}^{\circ} P^{-1}$ consist solely of diagonal matrices, having with the exception of the scalar matrices distinct eigenspaces of dimension one, either $P = \text{diag}(b^{-1}, a)$, or

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$. In the first case, $U_{h'} = U_h P$ implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ an $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ exists such that $(x, h'(x)) = (b^{-1}y, ah(y))$, implying h'(x) = ah(bx). In the latter case, for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ an $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ exists such that $(x, h'(x)) = (b^{-1}h(y), ay)$, implying $h'(x) = ah^{-1}(bx)$.

Since any polynomial that is Γ L-equivalent to f(x) is GL-equivalent to $f^{\sigma}(x)$ for some automorphism σ of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , we have

Proposition 4.9 If h(x) is a scattered polynomial in standard form GL-equivalent to a scattered q-polynomial f(x), then $h^{\sigma}(x)$ is a scattered polynomial in standard form GL-equivalent to $f^{\sigma}(x)$.

So, two scattered polynomials in $S_{n,q}$ having h(x) and h'(x) as standard forms are Γ L-equivalent if, and only if, there are $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and an automorphism σ of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , such that $h'(x) = ah^{\sigma}(bx)$, or $h'(x) = a(h^{-1})^{\sigma}(bx)$.

Example 4.10 Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $t \geq 3$ odd, n = 2t, $h \in \mathbb{F}_q$, (s, t) = 1, $\psi(x) = \psi_{h,t,s}$. It holds

$$\psi(x) = x^{u} + x^{u^{t-1}} - x^{u^{t+1}} + x^{u^{2t-1}}, \quad u = q^{s}.$$
(12)

The remainder of this section is devoted to find a standard form for $\psi(x)$. It can be directly checked that

$$\psi^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{4}(x^u - x^{u^{t-1}} + x^{u^{t+1}} + x^{u^{2t-1}}).$$

Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, a $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q$ exists such that $\rho^2 = -1$. Two eigenvectors of all nonscalar matrices in G_{ψ} (cf. Proposition 3.7) are $(1, 2\rho)$, $(1, -2\rho)$. Then a standard form for $\psi(x)$ will be a q-polynomial h(x) such that

$$U_{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} 2\rho & 2\rho \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U_h$$

Since

$$(x, \psi(x)) \begin{pmatrix} 2\rho & 2\rho \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (2\rho x - \psi(x), 2\rho x + \psi(x)),$$

one has to find the inverse of the map $2\rho x - \psi(x)$.

Let $h_1(x) = x + 2\rho\psi^{-1}(x)$. This map satisfies $h_1(2\rho x - \psi(x)) = -2x^{u^{2t-1}} - 2x^u$. Next, define $h_2(x) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{t} (-1)^i x^{u^{2t-1}}$. This map satisfies $h_2(-2x^{u^{2t-1}} - 2x^u) = x$. Therefore, $h_2 \circ h_1$ is the inverse of $2\rho x - \psi(x)$.

A standard form for $\psi(x)$ is then

$$h(x) = h_2 \circ h_1(2\rho x + \psi(x))$$

= $h_2 \left(4\rho x + \psi(x) - 4\psi^{-1}(x) \right) = h_2 \left(4\rho x + 2x^{u^{t-1}} - 2x^{u^{t+1}} \right)$
= $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{t} (-1)^i \left(2\rho x + x^{u^{t-1}} - x^{u^{t+1}} \right)^{u^{2i-1}}.$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} (-1)^{i} \left(x^{u^{t-1}} - x^{u^{t+1}} \right)^{u^{2i-1}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (-1)^{i+1} x^{u^{t+2i}},$$

one obtains

$$h(x) = \rho \sum_{i=1}^{t} (-1)^{i} x^{u^{2i-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (-1)^{i+1} x^{u^{t+2i}}.$$

The form of h(x) is in agreement, by virtue of Theorem 4.3, with the fact that G_{ψ}° is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

Example 4.11 Using similar arguments as above one obtains the standard form H(x) for $\psi(x) = \psi_{h,3,s}(x)$. Let $\theta = h^{q^s} + h^{q^{2s}}$, and define

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & \theta \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 2\theta P^{-1},$$

where P is the matrix in Example 3.14. Let H(x) satisfying $U_{\psi}M = U_H$, i.e.,

$$U_H = \{ (\theta x + \psi(x), \theta x - \psi(x)) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \}.$$

The map $\theta x + \psi(x)$ is injective and the inverse is up to a factor

$$\ell(x) = (-h^{q^s} + h^{1+q^{2s}})x - h(h^{q^s} + h^{q^{2s}})x^{q^s} - h^{1+q^s+q^{2s}}(1+h)x^{q^{3s}} + h^{1+q^{2s}}(h^{q^s} + h^{q^{2s}})x^{q^{5s}}.$$

The standard form is up to a factor $\ell(\theta x - \psi(x))$, that is

$$cH(x) = (1 - h^{1+q^{2s}})x^{q^s} + (h + h^2)x^{q^{3s}} + h^{1+q^{2s}}(h + h^{q^s})x^{q^{5s}} \quad (c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*).$$
(13)

Note that for $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, the trinomial above is equal to that obtained in [4, Section 3] multiplied by $(h + h^2)$.

5 Homologies of related translation planes

In order to make this paragraph self-contained, some concepts related to finite translation planes are recalled. The reader is referred to [1, 17, 26] for a general treatment of the topic.

A (planar) spread of a (2n)-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space \mathbb{V} is a collection \mathcal{F} of $q^n + 1$ subspaces of \mathbb{V} of dimension *n* over \mathbb{F}_q , pairwise meeting trivially. Clearly the union of all elements of \mathcal{F} is $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$. The geometry $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ whose *points* are the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$ and whose *lines* are the cosets of the subspaces in the collection \mathcal{F} is an affine translation plane, and every finite translation plane arises in this way. The elements of \mathcal{F} are called *components* of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and can be regarded both as lines through the origin, and as points at infinity.

From now on, take $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$ as \mathbb{F}_q -vector space for the construction above. The affine plane $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$ associated with the *Desarguesian spread*

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} : v \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2)^* \right\}$$

is isomorphic to the affine plane over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} .

If a collineation κ of a projective plane fixes a line ℓ pointwise, then ℓ is an *axis* of κ ; if every line through a point *C* is fixed setwise, then *C* is a *center* of κ . A collineation κ has an axis if and only if it has a center; in this case, if κ is not the identity, axis and center are unique. A nontrivial collineation having an axis is a *central collineation*. If the center of a central collineation κ belongs to the axis, then κ is called an *elation*; otherwise it is a *homology*.

A collineation of an affine plane is called an *affine central collineation* if its extension to the projective plane is a central collineation, and the axis is a proper line. Since the lines fixed by a central collineation are precisely the axis and the lines through the center, the center of an affine central collineation κ is a point at infinity (that is, a component). Any affine line through the center is called a *coaxis* of κ .

The kernel of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is

 $K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \{ \delta \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{F}_{a^n}^2) \colon \delta(W) \subseteq W \text{ for any } W \in \mathcal{F} \},\$

where $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$. As is well known, $K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a field, isomorphic to the kernel of any quasifield co-ordinatizing $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and trivially contains \mathbb{F}_q . Any $\delta \in K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}), \delta \neq 0$, is a homology, called a *kernel homology* of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

If κ is a collineation of an affine traslation plane $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists a $K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$ semilinear automorphism λ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$ and an $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$, such that $\kappa(v) = \lambda(v) + u$ for all $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$.

Let κ be an affine central collineation of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let λ be the $K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$ -semilinear automorphism related to κ , as above. Then there is a component $W \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the restriction of λ to W is the identity map. As a matter of fact, let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{a^n}^2$ and $W \in \mathcal{F}$

such that the restriction of κ to a + W is the identity map. By

$$\lambda(a) + \lambda(x) + u = a + x$$
 for any $x \in W$ and $\lambda(0, 0) = (0, 0)$,

one deduces $\lambda(x) = x$ for any $x \in W$.

Next the procedure described in [10] for obtaining a translation plane from a scattered \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ is reported. In [10], most results are stated for q > 3, which we will assume from now on. The property of scatteredness implies that if $h, h' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent, then $hU_f \cap h'U_f = \{(0, 0)\}$. Furthermore, the union of all \mathbb{F}_q -subspaces $hU_f, h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, is equal to the union of all subspaces in

$$L_f = \{ \langle (x, f(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \}$$

which is a subset of the Desarguesian spread \mathcal{D} . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{B}_f = (\mathcal{D} \setminus L_f) \cup \{hU_f : h \in \mathbb{F}_{a^n}^*\}$$

is a spread of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$, defining a translation plane $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_f}$. The kernel of such plane is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q [10]. Recall that

Theorem 5.1 [10, Theorem 4.2] If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ is a scattered polynomial, and q > 3, then the \mathbb{F}_q -semilinear automorphism λ related to any collineation of \mathcal{A}_f belongs to $\Gamma L(2, q^n)$, i.e., it is \mathbb{F}_{q^n} -semilinear.

Let $H_f = \{d\varphi : d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, \varphi \in G_f\} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* G_f$. This H_f is the group of all linear collineations of the translation plane \mathcal{A}_f by [10, Corollary 4.3].

Proposition 5.2 Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ be a scattered polynomial, and q > 3, n > 2. Let κ be an affine central collineation of \mathcal{A}_f , and let λ be the semilinear automorphism related to κ . Then the automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} associated with λ is trivial. As a consequence, $\lambda \in H_f$.

Proof Let $q = p^e$, $0 \le k < ne$, and define $\tilde{x} = x^{p^k}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. A component of \mathcal{A}_f , say W, exists which is pointwise fixed by

$$\lambda: (x, y) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0.$$

Four cases are possible.

- 1) $W = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$. This implies $d\tilde{y} = y$ for any $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ hence k = 0.
- 2) $W = \{(x, mx) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$. Then $a\tilde{x} + c\tilde{m}\tilde{x} = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ leading to the thesis trivially once again.

3) $W = hU_f, h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, and L_f is not of pseudoregulus type. Then $a\tilde{h}\tilde{x} + c\tilde{h}\tilde{f(x)} = hx$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^i}$. It holds

$$a\tilde{h}\tilde{x} + c\tilde{h}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_i \tilde{x}^{q^i} - hx = 0 \pmod{x^q - x}.$$
 (14)

Since L_f is not of pseudoregulus type, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_i \tilde{x}^{q^i}$ has at least two monomials of distinct degrees not of type $\ell \tilde{x} \pmod{x^{q^n} - x}$ and at least one of them is not of type $\ell' x \pmod{x^{q^n} - x}$. Hence (14) implies c = 0 and $\tilde{x} = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$.

4) $W = hU_f, h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and L_f is of pseudoregulus type. Then [14] there exists $\varphi \in GL(2, q^n)$ and $s \in \{1, 2, ..., n - 1\}$, (s, n) = 1, such that $(hU_f)^{\varphi} = R$ where $R = \{(x, x^{q^s}) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$. Therefore $\lambda' = \varphi \circ \lambda \circ \varphi^{-1}$ fixes R pointwise. The automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} related to λ' is again $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$. So

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{q^s}) \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = (x, x^{q^s}) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}, \ AD - BC \neq 0.$$

Assume $k \neq 0$. Then $A\tilde{x} + C\tilde{x}^{q^s} = x$ for any x implies A = 0, C = 1 and $\tilde{x} = x^{q^{n-s}}$. The equation $B\tilde{x} + D\tilde{x}^{q^s} = x^{q^s}$ implies then $\tilde{x} = x^{q^s}$; so, $x^{q^{n-s}} = x^{q^s}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, contradicting (s, n) = 1.

Remark 5.3 Proposition 5.2 cannot be extended to n = 2, because $x^{q^{n-s}} = x^{q^s}$ does not contradict (n, s) = 1. For n = 2, every line of \mathcal{A}_f that is not also a line of the Desarguesian plane \mathcal{A}_D is of type $a + hU_f$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^2$, $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. This is a Baer subplane of \mathcal{A}_D containing the q + 1 points of L_f at infinity. Therefore, \mathcal{A}_f is a well-known Hall plane [15, Chapter X], and we will not deal with this case.

Recall that for $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ a scattered polynomial, the *kernel homology group* of the associated Desarguesian plane is the set of all maps $\lambda_a : (x, y) \mapsto (ax, ay)$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$. Despite the name, if $a \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, then λ_a is a collineation of \mathcal{A}_f , and not a central collineation. The maps of type λ_a with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ are the kernel homologies of \mathcal{A}_f .

Given two groups G and H of affine homologies and two lines ℓ , m, if ℓ is axis of any element in G and coaxis of any element in H, and furthermore m is axis of any element in H and coaxis of any element in G, then G and H are called symmetric affine homology groups.

The following theorem describes the structure of the central collineations of \mathcal{A}_f and generalizes the result found in [16] that deals with f(x) of Lunardon-Polverino type. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{n,q}$ denotes the set of all scattered \mathbb{F}_q -linearized polynomials in $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$, such that $|G_f| > q - 1$.

Theorem 5.4 Assume that $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ is a scattered polynomial and q > 3, n > 2.

(i) If $f(x) \notin S_{n,q}$, then the plane A_f admits no nontrivial affine central collineation group; the full collineation group in GL(2, q^n) has order ($q^n - 1$) and is the kernel homology group of the associated Desarguesian plane.

(ii) If $f(x) \in S_{n,q}$, and G_f is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$, the plane \mathcal{A}_f admits cyclic symmetric affine homology groups of order $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$ but admits no nontrivial affine elation. Two distinct components X and Y exist such that any affine homology has center either in X or in Y. Such components are elements of the Desarguesian spread \mathcal{D} of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$. The full collineation group in GL(2, q^n) is the direct product of the kernel homology group of the associated Desarguesian plane of order $(q^n - 1)$ by a cyclic homology group of order $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$.

Proof We first determine the full collineation group in GL(2, q^n), that is, H_f . By Proposition 5.2, all affine central collinations of \mathcal{A}_f fixing the origin are in H_f . By Theorem 3.13, H_f is conjugate, by a nonsingular matrix P, to a group

$$PH_fP^{-1} = \left\{ d\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \alpha^{q^s} \end{pmatrix} : d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^* \right\},$$

where t divides n, and (s, t) = 1. If $f(x) \notin S_{n,q}$ such group is the set of all scalar matrices of the kernel homology group of the Desarguesian plane.

Next, assume $f(x) \in S_{n,q}$. The map $\varphi : X \mapsto XP^{-1}$ is an isomorphism between \mathcal{A}_f and some translation plane $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}'}$. The stabilizer of \mathcal{B}' in GL(2, q^n) is then PH_fP^{-1} . The map $M \mapsto PMP^{-1}$ maps affine central collineations in \mathcal{A}_f with axis through the origin into collineations in \mathcal{A}' of the same type, and conversely. If W is an eigenspace of a nonscalar matrix in PH_fP^{-1} , then $W = X' = \langle (1, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ or $W = Y' = \langle (0, 1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$. Let X = X'P, Y = Y'P. If a nonscalar $\lambda \in H_f$ fixes some points of \mathcal{A}_f , then they are precisely either those in X or those in Y. Assume X is an eigenspace with eigenvalue one. Since by Proposition 3.15 $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_f, \lambda$ is a homology of axis X and center Y. The same argument holds exchanging X and Y.

Consider the subgroup of PH_fP^{-1}

$$K = \{ \operatorname{diag}(1, \alpha^{q^s - 1}) \colon \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^* \}.$$

This is a cyclic homology group of order $(q^t - 1)/(q - 1)$ generated by an element of the type diag $(1, \omega^{q^s-1})$ where ω is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$. Since any element in PH_fP^{-1} can be written uniquely in the following way

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & 0\\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha^{q^s - 1} \end{pmatrix},\tag{15}$$

the statements regarding structure and size of the collineation group in $GL(2, q^n)$ follow.

Remark 5.5 In the case of a linear set of pseudoregulus type, the points X and Y in Theorem 5.4 are known as *transversal points* [22]. It remains an open problem whether in general these transversal points depend only on the linear set L_f or depend on the polynomial representing it.

Definition 5.6 Let G be a group acting on an abelian group W. If no nontrivial subgroup of W is invariant under the action of G, then G acts on W irreducibly.

Proposition 5.7 [26] Let A be a generalized André translation plane. Then there is a group G of collineations of A, such that for any component W of A, with at most two exceptions, $G_{\{W\}}$ acts on W irreducibly. Furthermore, any element of G is the product of two affine homologies.

Corollary 5.8 Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ be a scattered polynomial, and assume that \mathcal{A}_f is a generalized André plane. Then for any component W of \mathcal{A}_f , with at most two exceptions, $(H_f)_{\{W\}}$ acts on W irreducibly.

As it has been proved in [10, 23], if L_f is a linear set of pseudoregulus type, then A_f is an Andrè plane. On the other hand, it holds

Theorem 5.9 Assume that $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$, q > 3, is a scattered polynomial, and that L_f is not of pseudoregulus type. Then \mathcal{A}_f is not a generalized André plane.

Proof Assume that \mathcal{A}_f is a generalized André plane. Define $\varphi : X \mapsto XP^{-1}$ as in Theorem 5.4. So, by Corollary 5.8, for any W in \mathcal{B}' , with at most two exceptions, $(PH_fP^{-1})_{\{W\}}$ acts irreducibly on W. Since $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1) > 2$, it may be assumed that $(PH_fP^{-1})_{\{W\}}$ acts irreducibly on a component of type

$$W = (hU_f)^{\varphi}, \quad h \in \mathbb{F}_{a^n}^*.$$

Next, note that

$$PH_f P^{-1} = \{ dPMP^{-1} \colon M \in G_f, \ d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \}.$$

Assume $dPMP^{-1} \in (PH_fP^{-1})_{\{W\}}$ for $M \in G_f$, $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$. For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that

$$dh(x, f(x))MP^{-1} = h(y, f(y))P^{-1}.$$

This implies $d(x, f(x)) \in U_f$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ since f(x) is scattered. Since $dI_2 \in G_f$ for any $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, one deduces that any element of $(PH_fP^{-1})_{\{W\}}$ is of type PMP^{-1} with $M \in G_f$. The component W of \mathcal{B}' is an *n*-dimensional scattered \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2$. By Proposition 3.15, $W \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \{(0, 0)\}$. Therefore, there is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear map $g : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that

$$W = \{ (x, g(x)) \colon x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \}.$$

By Proposition 3.6, any matrix in $PG_f P^{-1}$ has coefficients in some \mathbb{F}_{q^t} with t < n. Then, since $\{(x, g(x)): x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}$ is a subgroup of (W, +) invariant under the action of $(PH_f P^{-1})_{\{W\}}$, $(PH_f P^{-1})_{\{W\}}$ does not act irreducibly on W, a contradiction. \Box

Theorem 5.9 has been proved in [16] for a polynomial of Lunardon-Polverino type.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- André, J.: Über nicht-Desarguessche Ebenen mit transitiver Translationsgruppe. Math. Z. 60, 156–186 (1954)
- Bartoli, D., Longobardi, G., Marino, G., Timpanella, M.: Scattered trinomials of F_{q6}[X] in even characteristic. arxiv:2307.12829
- 3. Bartoli, D., Montanucci, M.: On the classification of exceptional scattered polynomials. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A. **179**, (2021)
- Bartoli, D., Zanella, C., Zullo, F.: A new family of maximum scattered linear sets in PG(1, q⁶). Ars Math. Contemp. 19, 125–145 (2020)
- 5. Bartoli, D., Zhou, Y.: Exceptional scattered polynomials. J. Algebra 509, 507–534 (2018)
- Bartoli, D., Zini, G., Zullo, F.: Investigating the exceptionality of scattered polynomials. Finite Fields Appl. 77, 101956 (2022)
- 7. Beard, J.T.B., Jr.: Matrix fields over prime fields. Duke Math. J. 39, 313-321 (1972)
- 8. Beard, J.T.B., Jr.: Matrix fields over finite extensions of prime fields. Duke Math. J. 39, 475–484 (1972)
- 9. Blokhuis, A., Lavrauw, M.: Scattered spaces with respect to a spread in PG(*n*, *q*). Geom. Dedicata **81**, 231–243 (2000)
- Casarino, V., Longobardi, G., Zanella, C.: Scattered linear sets in a finite projective line and translation planes. Linear Algebra Appl. 650, 286–298 (2022)
- Csajbók, B., Marino, G., Polverino, O., Zanella, C.: A new family of MRD-codes. Linear Algebra Appl. 548, 203–220 (2018)
- Csajbók, B., Marino, G., Polverino, O., Zhou, Y.: MRD codes with maximum idealizers. Discrete Math. 343(9) (2020)
- Csajbók, B., Marino, G., Zullo, F.: New maximum scattered linear sets of the projective line. Finite Fields Appl. 54, 133–150 (2018)
- 14. Csajbók, B., Zanella, C.: On the equivalence of linear sets. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 81, 269–281 (2016)
- 15. Hughes, D., Piper, F.: Projective Planes. Springer Verlag (1973)
- Jha, V., Johnson, N.: A new class of translation planes constructed by hyper-regulus replacement. J. Geom. 90, 83–99 (2008)
- 17. Knarr, N.: Translation Planes: Foundations and Construction Principles, Springer Verlag (1995)
- 18. Lidl, R., Niederreiter, H.: Finite Fields, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- 19. Longobardi, G., Marino, G., Trombetti, R., Zhou, Y.: A large family of maximum scattered linear sets of $PG(1, q^n)$ and their associated MRD codes. Combinatorica **43**, 681–716 (2023)
- Longobardi, G., Zanella, C.: Partially scattered linearized polynomials and rank metric codes. Finite Fields Appl. 76 (2021)
- 21. Longobardi, G., Zanella, C.: Linear sets and MRD-codes arising from a class of scattered linearized polynomials. J. Algebraic Combin. **53**, 639–661 (2021)
- 22. Lunardon, G., Marino, G., Polverino, O., Trombetti, R.: Maximum scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type and the Segre variety $S_{n,n}$. J. Algebraic Combin. **39**, 807–831 (2014)
- Lunardon, G., Polverino, O.: Blocking sets and derivable partial spreads. J. Algebraic Combin. 14, 49–56 (2001)

- Lunardon, G., Trombetti, R., Zhou, Y.: On kernels and nuclei of rank metric codes. J. Algebraic Combin. 46, 313–340 (2017)
- Lunardon, G., Trombetti, R., Zhou, Y.: Generalized Twisted Gabidulin Codes. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A. 159, 79–106 (2018)
- 26. Lüneburg, H.: Translation Planes. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980)
- 27. Marino, G., Montanucci, M., Zullo, F.: MRD-codes arising from the trinomial $x^q + x^{q^3} + cx^{q^5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^6}[x]$. Linear Algebra Appl. **591**, 99–114 (2020)
- Neri, A., Santonastaso, P., Zullo, F.: Extending two families of maximum rank distance codes. Finite Fields Appl. 81 (2022)
- Polverino, O., Zullo, F.: Connections between scattered linear sets and MRD-codes. Bull. ICA 89, 46–74 (2020)
- 30. Polverino, O.: Linear sets in finite projective spaces. Discrete Math. 310(22), 3096-3107 (2010)
- Sheekey, J.: A new family of linear maximum rank distance codes. Adv. Math. Commun. 10, 475–488 (2016)
- Sheekey, J.: MRD codes: constructions and connections. In: Combinatorics and Finite Fields: Difference Sets, Polynomials, Pseudorandomness and Applications, pp. 255–286 (2019)
- 33. Willett, M.: Matrix fields over GF(q). Duke Math. J. 40(3), 701-704 (1973)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.