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#### Abstract

It is well-known that translation schemes of prime order are exactly the cyclotomic schemes. In this paper, we show that there do not exist any two-, three-, or four-class nonsymmetric primitive translation schemes of prime square order. On the other hand, we find new nonsymmetric four- and five-class association schemes from cyclotomy as fission schemes of certain symmetric three-class association schemes. Moreover, we provide an affirmative answer to the following question raised by Song (J Algebr Comb 5:47-55, 1996): are there any other two-class primitive association schemes that admit symmetrizable fission schemes besides the cyclotomic scheme of index 2 for $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ ? To be more specific, we show that a certain two-class primitive association scheme in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{37^{3}}$ constructed by Feng and Xiang (J Comb Theory Ser A 119:245-256, 2012) admits a four-class fission scheme. This fission scheme is realized as a fusion scheme of the cyclotomic scheme of index 28.
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## 1 Introduction

A translation scheme is an association scheme $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ for which the underlying set $X$ has naturally the structure of an abelian group, and for all relations $R_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$,

[^0]$(x, y) \in R_{i}$ implies $(x+z, y+z) \in R_{i}$ for all $z \in X$. Each relation $R_{i}$ in a translation scheme corresponds to a subset $D_{i}$ of $X$ such that $D_{i}=\left\{x-y:(x, y) \in R_{i}\right\}$. The Bose-Mesner algebra of the translation scheme is isomorphic to the subalgebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]$ generated by $D_{0}, \cdots, D_{d}$. This subalgebra is also known as a Schur ring. From now on, we shall call $\left(X,\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ a translation scheme and the subsets $D_{i}$ 's as relations for convenience. On p. 68 of the book [6], the following conjecture is raised:

Conjecture 1 Let $(X, \mathcal{R})$ be a primitive translation scheme. Then one of the following holds: (1) $X$ is elementary abelian, (2) $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hamming scheme, (3) $(X, \mathcal{R})$ is of Latin square type or of negative Latin square type.

An association scheme is of (negative) Latin square type if each of its nontrivial relations is a strongly regular graph of (negative) Latin square type. By A.V. Ivanov's classification, an amorphic association scheme with at least three classes must be of Latin square type or negative Latin square type, cf. [18,35]. He also conjectured in [21] that if each nontrivial relation in an association scheme is strongly regular, then the association scheme must be amorphic. This conjecture turned out to be false, and all the known primitive counterexamples are constructed in finite fields using the union of cyclotomic classes; please refer to [15] and the references therein for more details. In view of Conjecture 1 and these facts, finite fields and cyclotomy play important roles in the study of primitive association schemes by yielding new association schemes which help us to understand their structures better.

By a well-known theorem due to Hanaki and Uno [19], all association schemes with a prime number of points must be pseudocyclic, which is the analog of the fact that finite groups of prime order must be cyclic. In the translation scheme case, we have the stronger result that a translation scheme with a prime number of points must be a cyclotomic scheme, which is a corollary of the following multiplier theorem of Schur and Wielandt.

Theorem 2 [6, Thm. 2.10.1] Let $(X, \mathcal{R})$ be a translation scheme, and let $s$ be an integer coprime to $|X|$. Then for any $R \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $R^{(s)}=\{s x \mid x \in R\} \in \mathcal{R}$.

In [2, p. 58], the question of when a symmetric association scheme can be split into nonsymmetric commutative association scheme is posed. In [8], the authors considered nonsymmetric commutative association schemes with exactly one pair of nonsymmetric relations, and introduced a set of feasibility and realizability conditions. From each nonsymmetric association scheme with three classes, a symmetric association scheme with two classes can be obtained by merging the nonsymmetric relations, which is called the symmetrization of the original association scheme. Two-class skew-symmetric association schemes are regular tournaments, whose symmetrization is trivial. There are some papers on the feasibility conditions for the existence of such association schemes, cf. [3,17,33]. In [22], the author did a systematic investigation of such association schemes of order no more than 100. There are several such association schemes of order 36 , see $[10,16,20,22]$. There is an infinite family of such association schemes of order $4 s^{4}$, where $s$ is a power of 2 , cf. [9,25,27]. In [23], the author found some more such association schemes of order 64. These are all the known
primitive three-class nonsymmetric association schemes so far. On the nonexistence side, in [17] the authors showed that there is no three-class nonsymmetric association scheme whose symmetrization is a Paley type strongly regular graph.

A skew-symmetric association scheme is an association scheme with no symmetric adjacency relations other than the diagonal one. A typical example is given by the cyclotomic scheme of index 4 in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. The study of four-class skew symmetric association schemes was initiated in [34]. In [3], Bannai and Song raised a question regarding the existence of four-class amorphous skew-symmetric association schemes, which was answered in the negative in [28]. In the same paper, Ma classified four-class skew-symmetric association schemes by their character tables, which fall into three types. In a subsequent paper [29], the authors determined their intersection matrices, and generated a list of feasible parameters with small orders. The aforementioned cyclotomic scheme of index 4 is the only known such association scheme which is primitive to our knowledge.

In the current paper, we are primarily concerned with primitive translation association schemes on prime power number of points. Let $p$ be a prime. Symmetric two-class translation schemes of order $p^{2}$ are exactly the strongly regular graphs of Latin square type with $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ as multiplier group; see the survey [26] for details. Nonsymmetric two-class translation schemes correspond to skew Hadamard difference sets whose ambient groups must have order congruent to 3 modulo 4 , so there are no such association schemes of order $p^{2}$. We show that there are no three- or four-class primitive translation schemes of prime square order with at least one pair of nonsymmetric relations. On the other hand, we find new nonsymmetric four- and five-class association schemes from cyclotomy as fissions of certain symmetric three-class association schemes, which are primitive under certain conditions. Here, we say that an association scheme admits a fission scheme if a relation of the original association scheme is partitionable into at least two relations of a new association scheme. In particular, the obtained nonsymmetric four-class association schemes have exactly one pair of nonsymmetric relation, which give a lot of examples of association schemes studied in [8] by Chia and Kok. Moreover, we provide an affirmative answer to the following question raised by Song [34]:

Problem 3 Are there any other two-class primitive association schemes that admit symmetrizable fission schemes besides the cyclotomic scheme of index 2 for $q \equiv$ $5(\bmod 8)$ ?

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ be a $d$-class association scheme, and $A_{i}$ be the adjacency matrix of the relation $R_{i}$. Its Bose-Mesner algebra is defined as $\mathcal{A}=\left\langle A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}\right\rangle$. Throughout this paper, we will assume that $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is commutative, i.e., the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative. Denote by $E_{0}=(1 /|X|) J, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}$ the primitive idempotents of $\mathcal{A}$, where $J$ is the $|X| \times|X|$ all one matrix. Both sets $\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}\right\}$ and $\left\{E_{0}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}\right\}$ are bases of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and we thus have

$$
A_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{d} p_{j}(i) E_{i}, \quad E_{j}=\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^{d} q_{j}(i) A_{i}
$$

for some constants $p_{j}(i)$ and $q_{j}(i)$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be the $(d+1) \times(d+1)$ matrix, whose $(i, j)$-th entry is $p_{j}(i)$ and $q_{j}(i)$, respectively. The matrices $P$ and $Q$ are called the first eigenmatrix (or the character table) and the second eigenmatrix of $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$, respectively. There exist numbers $p_{i, j}^{k}$ and $q_{i, j}^{k}$ such that

$$
A_{i} A_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{d} p_{i, j}^{k} A_{k}, \quad E_{i} \circ E_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{d} q_{i, j}^{k} E_{k},
$$

where o stands for entrywise multiplication. The numbers $p_{i, j}^{k}$ 's and $q_{i, j}^{k}$ 's are called the intersection numbers and the Krein parameters of $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$, respectively. For each $0 \leq i \leq d$, the $i$-th intersection matrix $B_{i}$ is defined as the $(d+1) \times(d+1)$ matrix whose $(k, j)$-th entry is $p_{i, j}^{k}$. Clearly, $B_{0}$ is the identity matrix.

Given two association schemes $\mathcal{X}=\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\left(X,\left\{R_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=0}^{e}\right)$, if for each $0 \leq i \leq d, R_{i} \subseteq R_{j}^{\prime}$ for some $0 \leq j \leq e$, then we say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a fusion scheme of $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is a fission scheme of $\mathcal{X}$. We shall need the following wellknown criterion due to Bannai [1] and Muzychuk [31], called the Bannai-Muzychuk criterion: Let $P$ be the first eigenmatrix of an association scheme $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}\right)$, and $\Lambda_{0}:=\{0\}, \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{d^{\prime}}$ be a partition of $\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$. Then $\left(X,\left\{R_{\Lambda_{i}}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq d^{\prime}}\right)$ forms an association scheme if and only if there exists a partition $\left\{\Delta_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq d^{\prime}}$ of $\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$ with $\Delta_{0}=\{0\}$ such that each $\left(\Delta_{i}, \Lambda_{j}\right)$-block of $P$ has a constant row sum. Moreover, the constant row sum of the $\left(\Delta_{i}, \Lambda_{j}\right)$-block is the ( $i, j$ )-th entry of the first eigenmatrix of the fusion scheme.

Assume that $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is a translation scheme. There is an equivalence relation defined on the character group $\widehat{X}$ of $X$ as follows: $\chi \sim \chi^{\prime}$ if and only if $\chi\left(R_{i}\right)=\chi^{\prime}\left(R_{i}\right)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq d$. Here $\chi(R)=\sum_{g \in R} \chi(g)$, for any $\chi \in \widehat{X}$, and $R \subseteq X$. Denote by $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}$ the equivalence classes, with $D_{0}$ consisting of only the principal character $\chi_{0}$. Then $\left(\widehat{X},\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ forms a translation scheme, called the dual of $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$. If $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}$ is mapped to $\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}$ under an isomorphism between $X$ and $\widehat{X}$, then the association scheme is called self-dual. In the case $X=\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}},+\right)$, we take the map $\tau: a \rightarrow \psi_{a}$ as the group isomorphism from $X$ to $\widehat{X}$, where $\psi_{a}(x)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{p} \operatorname{Tr}(a x)}$, and Tr is the absolute trace. The first eigenmatrix of the dual association scheme is equal to the second eigenmatrix of the original association scheme. Please refer to [2] and [6] for more details.

An association scheme $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is called primitive if each of the undirected graphs defined by $R_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d$, is connected, and imprimitive otherwise. A nonsymmetric association scheme is primitive if and only if its symmetrization is primitive. If $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is a symmetric translation scheme, then it is well-known that $\left(X,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is primitive if and only if each nontrivial relation $R_{i}$ generates the whole group $X$, i.e., not contained in any proper subgroup of $X$; see [2]. It is equivalent to the fact that for
each nontrivial relation $R_{i}, \chi\left(R_{i}\right) \neq\left|R_{i}\right|$ for all nonprincipal characters $\chi$ of $X$; see [7].

A classical example of translation schemes is the cyclotomic scheme which we describe now. Let $p$ be a prime and $q=p^{f}(f \geq 1)$ be a prime power, $N \mid q-1$, and $\gamma$ be a primitive root of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Define the multiplicative subgroup $C_{0}^{(N, q)}=$ $\left\langle\gamma^{N}\right\rangle$. Its cosets $C_{i}^{(N, q)}=\gamma^{i} C_{0}^{(N, q)}, 0 \leq i \leq N-1$, are called the cyclotomic classes of order $N$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Together with $\{0\}$, they form an $N$-class association scheme, which is called the cyclotomic scheme of index $N$. If $D=\bigcup_{i \in I} C_{i}^{(N, q)}$ for some subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, then we say that $D$ is defined by the index set $I$.

Now we introduce some notation that we shall use in the later sections. We define the Gauss periods of index $N$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ as follows:

$$
\eta_{i}=\sum_{x \in C_{i}^{(N, q)}} \psi(x), 0 \leq i \leq N-1
$$

where $\psi$ is the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ defined by $\psi(x)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{p} \operatorname{Tr}_{q / p}(x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. For each multiplicative character $\chi$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we define the Gauss sum

$$
G_{q}(\chi)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \psi(x) \chi(x)
$$

The following relation will be repeatedly used in this paper (cf. [24, p. 195]):

$$
\psi(x)=\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}} G_{q}(\chi) \chi^{-1}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{F}^{*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}$ is the character group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. The Gauss period can be expressed as a linear combination of Gauss sums as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{i} & =\psi\left(\gamma^{i} C_{0}^{(N, q)}\right)=\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}} G_{q}(\chi) \chi^{-1}\left(\gamma^{i}\right) \sum_{x \in C_{0}^{(N, q)}} \chi^{-1}(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} G_{q}\left(\varphi^{-i}\right) \varphi\left(\gamma^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a multiplicative character of order $N$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.
We record some basic properties of Gauss sums here [24]:
(i) $G_{q}(\chi) \overline{G_{q}(\chi)}=q$ if $\chi$ is nontrivial;
(ii) $G_{q}\left(\chi^{p}\right)=G_{q}(\chi)$, where $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$;
(iii) $G_{q}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)=\chi(-1) \overline{G_{q}(\chi)}$;
(iv) $G_{q}(\chi)=-1$ if $\chi$ is trivial.

In general, explicit evaluations of Gauss sums are very difficult. There are only a few cases where the Gauss sums have been evaluated, see [4] for known results on Gauss sums. In Sect. 4, we will use the explicit evaluations of Gauss sums of the quadratic residue case and index 2 case.

Theorem 4 ([24]) Let $\eta$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$, and $p^{*}=$ $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. It holds that

$$
G_{q}(\eta)=(-1)^{f-1}\left({\sqrt{p^{*}}}^{f}\right)^{f}
$$

Theorem 5 ([36, Case D; Theorem 4.12]) Let $N=2 p_{1}^{m}$, where $p_{1}>3$ is a prime such that $p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and $m$ is a positive integer. Assume that $p$ is a prime such that $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right]=2$. Let $f=\phi(N) / 2, q=p^{f}$, and $\chi$ be a multiplicative character of order $N$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For $0 \leq t \leq m-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{q}\left(\chi^{p_{1}^{t}}\right) & = \begin{cases}(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}(m-1)} p^{\frac{f-1}{2}-h p_{1}^{t}} \sqrt{p^{*}}\left(\frac{b+c \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)^{2 p_{1}^{t}} & \text { if } p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), \\
(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} m} p^{\frac{f-1}{2}} \sqrt{p^{*}} & \text { if } p_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 8) ;\end{cases} \\
G_{q}\left(\chi^{2 p_{1}^{t}}\right) & =p^{\frac{f-p_{1}^{t} h}{2}}\left(\frac{b+c \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)^{p_{1}^{t}} ; \\
G_{q}\left(\chi^{p_{1}^{m}}\right) & =(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{f-1}{2}} p^{\frac{f-1}{2}} \sqrt{p^{*}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p_{1}}\right)$, and $b$ and $c$ are integers determined by $4 p^{h}=b^{2}+p_{1} c^{2}$ and $b p^{\frac{f-h}{2}} \equiv-2\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$.

We shall also need the following Davenport-Hasse lifting formula on Gauss sums.
Theorem 6 ([24, Theorem 5.14]) Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$ and let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the lifted character of $\chi$ to the extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{f s}}$, i.e., $\chi^{\prime}(\alpha):=\chi\left(\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{F}^{\prime}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}(\alpha)\right)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}^{*}$. It holds that

$$
G_{q^{\prime}}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{s-1}\left(G_{q}(\chi)\right)^{s} .
$$

## 3 Nonsymmetric primitive association schemes of prime square order

In this section, we show that there is no nonsymmetric primitive translation scheme with at most four classes of prime square order. As we mentioned in the introduction, there are no such association schemes with two classes of prime square order. As a consequence of the Wielandt-Schur multiplier theorem, such a translation scheme can only survive in the additive group $G=\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}},+\right)$, cf. [6, Theorem 2.10.5]. Let ( $G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}$ ) be such a translation scheme with $d=3,4$.

Lemma 7 The translation scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ has the set $S$ of nonzero squares of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ as a multiplier group.

Proof We will use the Wielandt-Schur theorem to prove this lemma. Let $R$ be any nontrivial relation. Write $\gamma$ for a fixed primitive root of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, and $\gamma_{0}=\gamma^{p+1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. If $R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)} \neq R$, then $R, R^{\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)}$, and $R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{3}\right)}$ are four distinct nontrivial relations of the association scheme, and thus $d=4$. It follows that the association scheme has two pairs of nonsymmetric relations, and $R^{(-1)}=R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)}$. Its symmetrization is a two-class association scheme $\left(G,\left\{\{0\}, R \cup R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)}, R^{\left(\gamma_{0}\right)} \cup R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{3}\right)}\right\}\right)$, so $R \cup R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)}$ is a partial difference set in $G$ which has $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ as a multiplier group, cf. [26]. This contradicts the fact that $R \cup R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{2}\right)} \neq R^{\left(\gamma_{0}\right)} \cup R^{\left(\gamma_{0}^{3}\right)}$.

Lemma 8 A translation scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ is symmetric if and only if its first eigenmatrix has only real entries. Moreover, the dual of a symmetric translation scheme is also symmetric.

Proof The first part follows from the simple fact that the eigenvalues of the transpose of an adjacency matrix $A_{i}$ are the complex conjugates of those of $A_{i}$. The dual translation scheme has its first eigenmatrix equal to $Q=|G| \cdot P^{-1}$, which is real if $P$ is.

Corollary 9 A translation scheme ( $G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}$ ) has the same number of nonsymmetric relations as its dual.

Proof Let $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d^{\prime}}\right)$ be the symmetrization of the translation scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$. Then its dual translation scheme is symmetric by Lemma 8 and is a subscheme of the dual of $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$. Therefore, the symmetrization of the dual of $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ has at least $d^{\prime}$ relations. Arguing in the opposite direction, we see that the symmetrization of the translation scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ and the symmetrization of its dual have the same number of relations. The claim immediately follows.

Remark 10 As pointed out by the editor, Corollary 9 also follows from a general fact on commutative association schemes. For an arbitrary commutative association scheme, there are two permutations induced by the transpose map, on the set of adjacency matrices and on the set of primitive idempotents. These two involutions always have the same number of fixed points (and hence the same number of transposed pairs), because their permutation matrices are conjugate by the first eigenmatrix and thus have the same trace. Since the number of nonsymmetric relations in the dual of a translation scheme is the same as the number of nonsymmetric idempotents in the original scheme, the claim follows.

Throughout this section, we use the standard notation on group rings as in [32]. To make distinction, we use [i] for the group ring element in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right]$ corresponding to $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$.

One consequence of the above lemma is that -1 is a nonsquare in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and so $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. It is clear that $S=C_{0}^{\left(N, p^{2}\right)}$ with $N=2(p+1)$, the multiplicative subgroup of index $N=2(p+1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$. Let $C_{i}:=\gamma^{i} S, 0 \leq i \leq N-1$ be the cyclotomic classes of index $N$. If a set $D=\bigcup_{i \in I} C_{i}$, then we say that $D$ is defined by the index set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{N}$. According to Lemma 7, we assume that the nontrivial relations in the association scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ are defined by the index sets $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d}$, and the nontrivial relations in the dual association scheme are defined by the index sets
$J_{1}, \ldots, J_{d}$. Since $-1 \in C_{p+1}$, we have $J_{i}=\left\{i+p+1 \bmod N \mid i \in J_{i}\right\}$, and $J_{i}([0]-$ $[p+1])=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right]$ if $J_{i}$ defines a symmetric relation. The same conditions also hold for the $I_{i}$ 's.

Let $L=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}^{*} \mid \operatorname{Tr}(x)=1\right\}$ which has size $p$, where $\operatorname{Tr}:=\operatorname{Tr}_{p^{2} / p}$. We observe that $T_{s}=\left\{i \bmod N \mid \gamma^{i} \in L\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{N}$ is a relative difference set in $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$ with respect to the order 2 subgroup $\left\langle N_{0}\right\rangle$ (cf. [32]):

$$
T_{s} T_{s}^{(-1)}=p+\frac{p-1}{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}-\left\langle N_{0}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Let $\psi$ be the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ such that $\psi(x)=\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(x)}$, where $\xi_{p}$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity. By direct computations, we have

$$
\psi\left(C_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i+N j}\right)}=\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \xi_{p}^{\left(\gamma^{N j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i}\right)\right)}=\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i}\right) S\right) .
$$

We have a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$ into three parts by $T_{0}=\left\{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{3(p+1)}{2}\right\}, T_{s}$ and $T_{n}:=$ $\left\{i+(q+1) \bmod N \mid i \in T_{s}\right\}$. If $i \in T_{0}$, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i}\right)=0$, and $\psi\left(C_{i}\right)=\left|C_{0}\right|$. If $i \in T_{s}$, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i}\right)$ is a nonzero square, and $\psi\left(C_{i}\right)=\frac{-1+\sqrt{p}}{2}$ with a proper choice of $\xi_{p}$. If $i \in T_{n}$, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{i}\right)$ is a nonsquare, and $\psi\left(C_{i}\right)=\frac{-1-\sqrt{p}}{2}$. Assume that the set $D$ is defined by the index set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} D\right)= & \sum_{i \in I} \psi\left(C_{i+a}\right)=M \cdot\left|I+a \cap T_{0}\right|+\frac{-1+\sqrt{p}}{2}\left|I+a \cap T_{s}\right| \\
& +\frac{-1-\sqrt{p}}{2}\left|I+a \cap T_{n}\right| \\
= & {\left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2} T_{0}-\frac{1}{2} T_{s}-\frac{1}{2} T_{n}+\frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\left(T_{s}-T_{n}\right)\right) I^{(-1)}\right]_{a} } \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\left[\left(\sqrt{p} \cdot T_{0}+T_{s}-T_{n}\right) I^{(-1)}\right]_{a}-\frac{|I|}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case where $D$ is a relation in the association scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$, the group ring element $\left(\sqrt{q} \cdot T_{0}+T_{s}-T_{n}\right) I^{(-1)}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_{i} J_{i}$ for some algebraic integers $c_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. Multiplying both sides by [0] - [p+1], we have $T_{s} I^{(-1)}([0]-[p+$ $1])=\sum_{i} c_{i} J_{i}([0]-[p+1])$, where the summation is over such $i$ that $J_{i}$ defines a nonsymmetric relation.

Now assume that the association scheme $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}\right)$ has exactly one pair of nonsymmetric relations, defined by $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, respectively. By Corollary 9 , the dual translation scheme also has exactly one pair of nonsymmetric relations. The above argument yields that $T_{s} I_{1}^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=c J([0]-[p+1])$ where the $J$ defines a nonsymmetric relation in the dual association scheme. Applying the same argument to the dual association scheme, we get $T_{s} J^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=d I_{1}([0]-[p+1])$
for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying both sides by $T_{s}^{(-1)}$ and taking involution, we get $T_{s} I_{1}^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=\frac{p}{d} J([0]-[p+1])$. Therefore, $p=c d$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $c= \pm p$. Now $\left|T_{s}\right|=p$, so $T_{s} I_{1}^{(-1)}$ has all coefficients not exceeding $p$, and the coefficients $\pm p$ occur in $T_{s} I_{1}^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])$ only if $\left|I_{1}\right|=p$ in which case our association scheme is clearly imprimitive. Therefore, this case cannot occur.

Now assume that $d=4$ and $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{4}\right)$ has two pair of nonsymmetric relations, defined by $I, I+(p+1), J, J+(p+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, respectively. By Corollary 9 , the dual translation scheme also has two pairs of nonsymmetric relations. Also assume that the nontrivial relations of the dual association scheme are defined by $I^{\prime}, I^{\prime}+(p+$ 1), $J^{\prime}, J^{\prime}+(p+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$. Now, we apply the same argument as above and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{s} I^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=a I^{\prime}([0]-[p+1])+b J^{\prime}([0]-[p+1]), \\
& T_{s} J^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=c I^{\prime}([0]-[p+1])+d J^{\prime}([0]-[p+1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying both sides with $T_{s}^{(-1)}$ and taking involution, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p I([0]-[p+1])=a T_{s} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1])+b T_{s} J^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]), \\
& p J([0]-[p+1])=c T_{S} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1])+d T_{s} J^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The determinant $a d-b c \neq 0$, since the left hand side does not differ by a constant multiple. We may solve that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{s} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =\frac{p}{a d-b c}(d I-b J)([0]-[p+1]), \\
T_{s} J^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =\frac{p}{a d-b c}(-c I+a J)([0]-[p+1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $a d-b c$ divides $p \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(b, d, a, c)$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d, a, c)^{2} \mid a d-b c$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d, a, c) \mid p$. It follows that $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d, a, c)=1$ or $p$. It is easy to see that each of these four numbers are less than $p$, so we have $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d, a, c)=1$, and $a d-b c \in\{ \pm 1, \pm p\}$. By replacing the association scheme with its dual if necessary, we may assume that $a d-b c \in\{ \pm 1\}$.

Now we solve that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =\frac{1}{a d-b c} T_{s}^{(-1)}(d I-b J)([0]-[p+1]), \\
J^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =\frac{1}{a d-b c} T_{s}^{(-1)}(-c I+a J)([0]-[p+1])
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, multiplying each equation by its involution, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =p(d I-b J)(d I-b J)^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1]), \\
J^{\prime} J^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) & =p(-c I+a J)(-c I+a J)^{(-1)}([0]-[p+1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume without loss of generality that $1<\left|I^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{p+1}{2}\left(\left|I^{\prime}\right|=1\right.$ would imply imprimitivity). Observe that $I^{\prime} I^{\prime(-1)}$ has coefficients between 0 and $\left|I^{\prime}\right|$, so $I^{\prime} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1])$ has coefficient between $-\left|I^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|I^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore, together with the fact that it is divisible by $p$, we have $I^{\prime} I^{\prime(-1)}([0]-[p+1])=0$. It follows that the coefficient of $p+1$ in $I^{\prime} I^{\prime(-1)}$ is the same as that of zero, namely $\left|I^{\prime}\right|$. This means $I^{\prime}=I^{\prime}+(p+1)$, which is a contradiction.

This leads us to deduce the following theorem.
Theorem 11 There is no nonsymmetric primitive translation scheme of prime square order with at most four classes.

## 4 Fission schemes of three-class association schemes based on index 2 Gauss sums

Throughout this section, we assume that we are in the same settings as in Theorem 5: $p_{1}$ is a prime such that $p_{1}>3$ and $p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ; p$ is an odd prime such that $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{2 p_{1}}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right]=2$ (that is, $\left.f:=\operatorname{ord}_{2 p_{1}}(p)=\left(p_{1}-1\right) / 2\right)$. Put $q=p^{f}$. In this case, the Gauss sums $G_{q}\left(\chi^{j}\right)$ were completely evaluated in [36] as described in Theorem 5, where $\chi$ is a multiplicative character of order $2 p_{1}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. (We called this the index 2 Gauss sum.)

Consider the extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$ for a positive integer $s$ and put $G=\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}},+\right)$. Let $\gamma$ be a primitive root of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Consider the automorphism group of $G$ generated by multiplication by $\gamma^{p_{1}}$ and the Frobenius automorphism which raises each element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$ to its $p$-th power. Its orbits on $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}},+\right)$ consist of
$R_{0}=\{0\}, \quad R_{1}=\bigcup_{j \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{j}^{\left(p_{1}, q^{s}\right)}, R_{2}=\bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{j}^{\left(p_{1}, q^{s}\right)}, R_{3}=C_{0}^{\left(p_{1}, q^{s}\right)}$.
This partition $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\}}\right)$becomes a symmetric three-class association scheme. In particular, this is self-dual since $J_{i}$ 's are also invariant under the multiplication of $p$ modulo $N$ by $\psi_{a}\left(C_{0}^{(N, q)}\right)=\psi_{a p}\left(C_{0}^{(N, q)}\right)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}$, where $J_{i}$ are the index sets of the dual association scheme. This association scheme is primitive if and only if $R_{3}$ generates the whole group, namely $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\gamma^{p_{1}}\right]=\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$. This happens exactly when $\gamma^{p_{1}}$ has a minimal polynomial of degree $f s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, i.e., $p$ has order $f s$ modulo $\frac{q^{s}-1}{p_{1}}$.

We now consider a four-class fission scheme of this association scheme.
Theorem 12 Take the conditions as above, and further assume that $p_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Define

$$
S_{0}=R_{0}, S_{1}=R_{1}, S_{2}=R_{2}, S_{3}=C_{0}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q^{s}\right)}, S_{4}=C_{p_{1}}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q^{s}\right)}
$$

Then $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{4}\right)$ becomes a four-class self-dual association scheme.
Proof In view of the Bannai-Muzychuk criterion, we need to compute the eigenvalues $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 4$, and show that they are constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 4$.

Since we already know that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, we compute only the sum $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{3}\right)$. (Note that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)=\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)-$ $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{3}\right)$.) By the expression of Gauss periods as linear combinations of Gauss sums as explained in the introduction, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{3}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2 p_{1}-1} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \chi^{-i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2 p_{1}-1: i \text { odd }} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \chi^{-i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2 p_{1}-1: i \text { even }} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \chi^{-i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi$ is a multiplicative character of order $2 p_{1}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}-1} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{2 i}\right) \chi^{-2 i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
\text { (4.1) }=\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2 p_{1}-1: i o d d} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \chi^{-i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right) .
$$

By Theorem 5 and the Davenport-Hasse lifting formula,

$$
G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right)=(-1)^{s-1}(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} s} p^{\frac{f-1}{2} s}{\sqrt{p^{*}}}^{s}
$$

for all odd $i$, where we used the facts that $\chi^{i}(-1) \overline{\sqrt{p^{*}}}=\sqrt{p^{*}}$ and $\frac{p-1}{2} \equiv$ $\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{f-1}{2}(\bmod 2)$. We now compute that
$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq p_{1}-1: i \text { odd }} G_{q^{s}}\left(\chi^{i}\right) \chi^{-i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)=(-1)^{s-1}(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} s} p^{\frac{f-1}{2} s}{\sqrt{p^{*}}}^{s} \chi^{-1}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}-1} \chi^{-2 i}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)$ $=(-1)^{s-1}(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} s} p^{\frac{f-1}{2} s}{\sqrt{p^{*}}}^{s} \cdot \begin{cases}(-1)^{a} & \text { if } a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{1}\right), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

This implies that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{3}\right)$ is constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, since we already know that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)$ is constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in R_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. This completes the proof.

Remark 13 (1) If $s$ is odd and $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then the resulting association schemes of Theorem 12 are nonsymmetric four-class association scheme with exactly one pair of nonsymmetric relations. This result gives a lot of examples of nonsymmetric association schemes studied in [8] by Chia and Kok.
(2) We investigate the primitivity of the resulting nonsymmetric association schemes in the case $s=1$ in detail: As described in Sect. 2, the nonsymmetric association scheme $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{4}\right)$ is primitive if and only if $\psi\left(\gamma^{a}\left(S_{i} \cup-S_{i}\right)\right) \neq\left|S_{i} \cup-S_{i}\right|$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Noting that $S_{3} \cup-S_{3}=R_{3}$, it is enough to see that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right) \neq\left|R_{3}\right|$. (Note that if the graph corresponding to $R_{3}$ is connected, then so are the others.) In [13], the sum $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)$ was computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{-b \pm p_{1} c}{2}\right)-1\right) \text { or } \\
& \frac{1}{p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}\right)-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{4}\right)$ is primitive if and only if $-b \pm p_{1} c \neq 2 p^{\frac{f+h}{2}}$ and $p_{1}-1 \neq$ $2 p^{\frac{f+h}{2}}$. Noting that $b^{2}+p_{1} c^{2}=4 p^{h}$, for each $x \in\left\{-b \pm p_{1} c, p_{1}-1\right\}$ we have $x \leq b^{2}+c^{2} p_{1}=4 p^{h}<2 p^{(f+h) / 2}$ if $p_{1}>2 h+1$ and $c \neq 0$. Thus, the nonsymmetric association scheme $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{4}\right)$ is primitive if $p_{1}>2 h+1$ and $c \neq 0$.

Next we describe an infinite family of nonsymmetric five-class association schemes with exactly two pairs of nonsymmetric relations in the case $p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Again, we assume that we are in the same settings as in Theorem 5 with $p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Let $f=\left(p_{1}-1\right) / 2, q=p^{f}$, and $G=\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right)$. Define

$$
R_{0}=\{0\}, R_{1}=\bigcup_{j \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{j}^{\left(p_{1}, q\right)}, R_{2}=\bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{j}^{\left(p_{1}, q\right)}, R_{3}=C_{0}^{\left(p_{1}, q\right)}
$$

Then, $\left(G,\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{3}\right)$ is a three-class self-dual association scheme. This association scheme is primitive if and only if $R_{3}$ generates the whole group, namely $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\gamma^{p_{1}}\right]=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. This happens exactly when $\gamma^{p_{1}}$ has a minimal polynomial of degree $f$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, i.e., $p$ has order $f$ modulo $\frac{q-1}{p_{1}}$.

Suppose it holds that $1+p_{1}=4 p^{h}$, where $h$ is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p_{1}}\right)$. Let $\xi_{q-1}=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{q-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\xi_{q-1}\right]$ lying over $p$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}\left[\xi_{q-1}\right] / \mathfrak{P}$ is the finite field of order $q$ and

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[\xi_{q-1}\right] / \mathfrak{P}=\left\{\bar{\xi}_{q-1}^{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq q-2\right\} \cup\{\overline{0}\}
$$

where $\bar{\xi}_{q-1}=\xi_{q-1}+\mathfrak{P}$. Hence, $\gamma:=\bar{\xi}_{q-1}$ is a primitive root of $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\xi_{q-1}\right] / \mathfrak{P}$.
Let $\omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that $\omega_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma)=\xi_{q-1}$. Put $\chi:=\omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\frac{q-1}{2 p_{1}}}$. Then $\chi$ is a multiplicative character of order $2 p_{1}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.

By Theorem 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{q}(\chi)=p^{\frac{f-1}{2}-h} \sqrt{p^{*}}\left(\frac{b+c \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, c \not \equiv 0(\bmod p), b^{2}+c^{2} p_{1}=4 p^{h}$, and $b p^{\frac{f-h}{2}} \equiv-2\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$. Since $1+p_{1}=4 p^{h}$, we have $b, c \in\{-1,1\}$, where the sign of $c$ depends on the choice of $\mathfrak{P}$. It was shown in [12] that $b c \equiv-\sqrt{-p_{1}}(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$. On the other hand, $1+p_{1}=4 p^{h}$ implies that $\left(1+\sqrt{-p_{1}}\right)\left(1-\sqrt{-p_{1}}\right) \in \mathfrak{P}$, so either $1+\sqrt{-p_{1}} \in \mathfrak{P}$ or $1-\sqrt{-p_{1}} \in \mathfrak{P}$. We choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ such that $1+\sqrt{-p_{1}} \in \mathfrak{P}$. Then $b c \equiv-\sqrt{-p_{1}}(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$ yields that $b c=1$. From now on, we fix this choice of $\mathfrak{P}$ and the corresponding character $\chi$.

Theorem 14 Take the conditions as above. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}=R_{0}, S_{1}=R_{1}, S_{2}=\bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} C_{j}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q\right)}, \\
& S_{3}=\bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} C_{j+p_{1}}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q\right)}, S_{4}=C_{0}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q\right)}, S_{5}=C_{p_{1}}^{\left(2 p_{1}, q\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{5}\right)$ becomes a five-class self-dual association scheme.
Proof Note that $S_{2} \cup S_{3}=R_{2}$ and $S_{4} \cup S_{5}=R_{3}$. By the Bannai-Muzychuk criterion, it is enough to show that the sums $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{2}\right)$ and $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)$ are constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 5$.

First, we compute the sum $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)$. By the same argument as that in the proof of Theorem 12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 p_{1}-1} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2 p_{1}-1: \ell o d d} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi$ is as defined above. Since $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)$ is constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in R_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we only need to consider the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2 p_{1}-1: \ell \text { odd }} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 5, we have

$$
G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right)=A\left(\frac{b+c \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

for all $\ell \in\langle p\rangle$, where $b, c$ are the same as in the evaluation (4.2) of $G_{q}(\chi)$ and $A=p^{\frac{f-1}{2}-h} \sqrt{p^{*}}$. By the choice of $\mathfrak{P}$, it is expanded as

$$
G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right)=A\left(\frac{1-p_{1}+2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right)
$$

Since $G_{q}\left(\chi^{p_{1}}\right)=p^{\frac{f-1}{2}} \sqrt{p^{*}}$ by Theorem 5 and $\chi^{\ell}(-1) \overline{\sqrt{p^{*}}}=\sqrt{p^{*}}$ for any odd $\ell$, the sum in Eqn. (4.3) is reformulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(\left(\frac{1-p_{1}+2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right) \sum_{\ell \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{1-p_{1}-2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right) \sum_{\ell \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right)+p^{h}(-1)^{a}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\eta$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{p_{1}}$ and $\psi_{p_{1}}$ be the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{p_{1}}$. Noting that 2 is a nonsquare in $\mathbb{F}_{p_{1}}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) & =\sum_{\ell \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-p_{1} \ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \chi^{2 \frac{p_{1}-1}{2} \ell}\left(\gamma^{a}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{a} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{F}_{p_{1}}^{*}}(1+\eta(\ell)) \psi_{p_{1}}\left(-2^{-1} a \ell\right) \\
& =(-1)^{a} \frac{-1+\eta\left(-2^{-1} a\right) G_{p_{1}}(\eta)}{2}=(-1)^{a} \frac{-1+\eta(a) \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using $1+p_{1}=4 p^{h}$, we have

$$
(4.4)=A(-1)^{a} \cdot \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right) \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(-1+3 p_{1}\right)+p^{h} & \text { if } a \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right), \\ -\frac{\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2}}{4}+p^{h} & \text { if } a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

This shows that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)$ is constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 5$.
Next, we consider the sum $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{2}\right)$. In the same way, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 p_{1}-1} \sum_{i \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a+i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p_{1}} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2 p_{1}-1: \ell \text { odd }} \sum_{i \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a+i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2} \sum_{p_{1}-1: \ell \text { odd }} \sum_{i \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} G_{q}\left(\chi^{\ell}\right) \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a+i}\right) \\
& =A\left(\left(\frac{1-p_{1}+2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right) \sum_{i \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \sum_{\ell \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a+i}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.+\left(\frac{1-p_{1}-2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right) \sum_{i \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \sum_{\ell \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{-\ell}\left(\gamma^{a+i}\right)-\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p^{h}(-1)^{a}\right) \\
&= A(-1)^{a}\left(\left(\frac{1-p_{1}+2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{-1+\eta(a) \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right) \sum_{i \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{i}(\gamma)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{1-p_{1}-2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{-1-\eta(a) \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right) \sum_{i \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} \chi^{i}(\gamma)-\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p^{h}\right) \\
&= A(-1)^{a}\left(-\left(\frac{1-p_{1}+2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{-1+\eta(a) \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-1-\sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\left(-\frac{1-p_{1}-2 \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{-1-\eta(a) \sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{-p_{1}}}{2}\right)-\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p^{h}\right) \\
&= A(-1)^{a} \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
-\frac{p_{1}^{2}-6 p_{1}+1}{8}-\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p^{h} \text { if } a \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { if } a\left(\bmod p_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{2}\right)$ is constant according to $\gamma^{a} \in S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 5$. Thus, we have the assertion of the theorem.

Remark 15 (i) We find that ( $G,\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{5}$ ) becomes a five-class association scheme in the following cases:

$$
\left(p, p_{1}, h\right)=(3,11,1),(5,19,1),(17,67,1),(3,107,3),(41,163,1),(5,499,3)
$$

We checked by using Magma [5] for all $p_{1}<5000$. (Note that $p$ and $h$ are determined by $1+p_{1}=4 p^{h}$.) The association schemes for $\left(p, p_{1}\right)=(3,11),(3,107)$ are nonsymmetric with exactly two pairs of nonsymmetric relations, where $-S_{3}=S_{2}$ and $-S_{5}=S_{4}$, and the others are symmetric.
(ii) We obtain infinite families of five-class association schemes by using the "recursive" technique applied in $[13,14,30]$ : Let $p$ and $p_{1}$ be primes such that $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{2 p_{1}^{m}}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right]=2$ for all $m \geq 1$, and let $q=p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}=\{0\}, R_{1}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} \bigcup_{j \in\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m-1} j}^{\left(p_{1}^{m}, q\right)}, \\
& R_{2}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} \bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m-1} j}^{\left(p_{1}^{m}, q\right)}, R_{3}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} C_{2 i}^{\left(p_{1}^{m}, q\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S_{0}=R_{0}, S_{1}=R_{1}, S_{2}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} \bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m-1} j}^{\left(2 p_{1}^{m}, q\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{3}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} \bigcup_{j \in-\langle p\rangle\left(\bmod 2 p_{1}\right)} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m-1} j+p_{1}^{m}}^{\left(2 p_{1}^{m}, q\right)}, \\
& S_{4}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} C_{2 i}^{\left(2 p_{1}^{m}, q\right)}, S_{5}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m}}^{\left(2 p_{1}^{m}, q\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $G^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right)$. Then, the partition $\left(G^{\prime},\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{3}\right)$ becomes a three-class association scheme and the partition $\left(G^{\prime},\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{5}\right)$ forms a five-class fission scheme of $\left(G^{\prime},\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{3}\right)$. The proof is done by the quite similar argument as [14, Theorem 3.6], that is, reducing the proof to the case $m=1$, i.e., the proof of Theorem 14. So we omit the proof.
Since the pairs

$$
\left(p, p_{1}\right)=(5,19),(17,67),(3,107),(41,163),(5,499)
$$

as listed in Remark 15 (i) satisfy that $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{2 p_{1}^{m}}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right]=2$ for all $m \geq 1$, we obtain five infinite families of five-class association schemes. Since $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{2 p_{1}^{m}}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right] \neq 2$ for $m \geq 2$ in the case $\left(p, p_{1}\right)=(3,11)$, it cannot be generalized in this way.
(iii) We show that the five infinite families of association schemes of Remark 15 (ii) are primitive similar to the argument in Remark 13: if $\left(p, p_{1}\right)=(3,107)$, i.e., the association schemes are nonsymmetric, by using the computation of $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right)$ given in [13] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a}\left(S_{4} \cup-S_{5}\right)\right)= & \psi\left(\gamma^{a} R_{3}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{-b \pm p_{1} c}{2}\right)-1\right) \text { or } \\
& \frac{1}{p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}\right)-1\right) \\
= & \frac{3^{\frac{f-1}{2}} \cdot 53-1}{107} \text { or } \frac{-3^{\frac{f-1}{2}} \cdot 54-1}{107} \neq \frac{3^{f}-1}{107} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next consider the case $\left(p, p_{1}\right) \neq(3,107)$, i.e., the association schemes are symmetric. By [30, Theorem 3.2], if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, it holds that for any $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{2 p_{1}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m}-1} \sum_{j \in I} \psi\left(\gamma^{a} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m}, j}^{\left(2 p^{m+1}, p^{\left.\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m}\right)}\right)=p^{\frac{\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}{4}}}\right. \\
& \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p_{1}^{m-1}-1} \sum_{j \in I} \psi^{\prime}\left(\omega^{a} C_{2 i+p_{1}^{m-1} j}^{\left(2 p_{1}^{m}, p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}\right)}\right)+\frac{p^{\frac{\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}{4}}-1}{p_{1}} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ are canonical additive characters of $\mathbb{F}{ }_{p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m}}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}}$, and $\gamma$ and $\omega$ are primitive roots of $\mathbb{F}{ }_{p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m}}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} p_{1}^{m-1}}}$, respectively. Then, by the computation of $\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)$ for $m=1$ in Theorem 14 , we have that for general $m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\gamma^{a} S_{4}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{-b-p_{1} c}{2}\right)-1\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2 p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{-b+p_{1} c}{2}\right)-1\right) \pm \frac{p^{\frac{f}{2}-h}}{2 p_{1}}\left(p^{h}+\frac{3 p_{1}-1}{4}\right), \\
& \frac{1}{2 p_{1}}\left(p^{(f-h) / 2}\left(\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}\right)-1\right) \pm \frac{p^{\frac{f}{2}-h}}{2 p_{1}}\left(p^{h}-\frac{\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2}}{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are not equal to $\frac{p^{f}-1}{2 p_{1}}$ for any $\left(p, p_{1}\right)=(5,19),(17,67),(41,163),(5,499)$.

## 5 A nonsymmetric four-class fission scheme of a conference graph

First we recall the construction of two-class translation schemes in [12]. A more common way to describe two-class translation schemes is to use the language of partial difference sets, but we don't introduce this definition here and refer to [26] for details. Let $p_{1}$ be an odd prime. We assume the following specific index 2 case: $N_{0}=2 p_{1}, p_{1}>3$ is a prime, and $p_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ; p$ is an odd prime such that $\left[\mathbb{Z}_{N_{0}}^{*}:\langle p\rangle\right]=2$ (that is, $\left.f:=\operatorname{ord}_{N_{0}}(p)=\phi\left(N_{0}\right) / 2\right)$. Assume that $p_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Let $C_{i}=C_{i}^{\left(N_{0}, q\right)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N_{0}-1$.

Theorem 16 Assume that we are in the index 2 case specified as above. Let $p_{1} \equiv$ $7(\bmod 8), f=\left(p_{1}-1\right) / 2$, and $q=p^{f}$, and write $G=\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right)$. Let $I_{0}$ be any subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{N_{0}}$ such that $\left\{i \bmod p_{1} \mid i \in I_{0}\right\}=\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}}$, and let $D_{0}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{0}} C_{i}^{\left(N_{0}, q\right)}$, $D_{1}=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \backslash D_{0}$. Then $\left(G,\left\{\{0\}, D_{0}, D_{1}\right\}\right)$ is a two-class translation scheme if $p \equiv$ $1(\bmod 4)$.

The Cayley graph of $D_{0}$ in Theorem 16 is a conference graph, namely, it is a strongly regular graph with parameters of the form $\left(v, \frac{v-1}{2}, \frac{v-5}{4}, \frac{v-1}{4}\right)$. By using Magma [5], we find that for $p=37, q=p^{3}$, and $N=2 N_{0}=28$, the sets $R_{i}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{i}} C_{i}^{(N, q)}$ with the index sets

$$
I_{1}=\{0,1,4,12,16,20,24\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{28}, I_{2}=I_{1}+7, I_{3}=I_{1}+14, I_{4}=I_{1}+21
$$

define a four-class skew-symmetric association scheme whose symmetrization is covered by Theorem 16. The dual association scheme is defined by

$$
J_{1}=\{0,4,8,12,13,16,24\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{28}, J_{2}=J_{1}+7, J_{3}=J_{1}+14, J_{4}=J_{1}+21
$$

We have $q=37^{3}=107^{2}+4 \cdot 99^{2}=37^{2}+4 \cdot 111^{2}$. By Ma and Wang's results [29], a skew-symmetric fission scheme with four classes of a conference graph exists
only if $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and there exist integers $g, h$ such that $q=g^{2}+4 h^{2}$ with $g \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and the first eigenmatrix $P$ is of the form

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & f & f & f & f \\
1 & \rho & \tau & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} \\
1 & \tau & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \rho \\
1 & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \rho & \tau \\
1 & \bar{\tau} & \rho & \tau & \bar{\rho}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $f=\frac{q-1}{4}, \rho=\frac{1}{4}(-1+\sqrt{q}+\sqrt{-2 q+2 g \sqrt{q}}), \tau=\frac{1}{4}(-1-\sqrt{q}+$ $\sqrt{-2 q-2 g \sqrt{q}}$. However, Ma and Wang did not give new constructions besides the cyclotomic schemes. It turns out that our example happens with $g=37$. For the corresponding cyclotomic scheme of order 4 over $\mathbb{F}_{37^{3}}$, we have $g=-107$. This implies that the two association schemes have different first eigenmatrices, so the resulting association schemes are non-isomorphic.

The intersection matrices of our association scheme are given below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 12663 & 0 \\
1 & 3170 & 3161 & 3170 & 3161 \\
0 & 3115 & 3161 & 3161 & 3226 \\
0 & 3152 & 3226 & 3170 & 3115 \\
0 & 3226 & 3115 & 3161 & 3161
\end{array}\right) \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12663 \\
0 & 3161 & 3226 & 3115 & 3161 \\
1 & 3161 & 3170 & 3161 & 3170 \\
0 & 3226 & 3115 & 3161 & 3161 \\
0 & 3115 & 3152 & 3226 & 3170
\end{array}\right) \\
& B_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 12663 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3170 & 3115 & 3152 & 3226 \\
0 & 3161 & 3161 & 3226 & 3115 \\
1 & 3170 & 3161 & 3170 & 3161 \\
0 & 3161 & 3226 & 3115 & 3161
\end{array}\right) \quad B_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 12663 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3161 & 3161 & 3226 & 3115 \\
0 & 3226 & 3170 & 3115 & 3152 \\
0 & 3115 & 3161 & 3161 & 3226 \\
1 & 3161 & 3170 & 3161 & 3170
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 17 The cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{37}\right)$ has a cyclic Galois group so has only one subfield of extension degree 4 over $\mathbb{Q}$, whose algebraic integer ring has a basis given by the Gauss periods of index 4 over $\mathbb{F}_{37}$, denoted by $\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{3}$ as usual. We can express the $\rho$ in terms of the linear combinations of these $\eta_{i}$ 's over $\mathbb{Z}$ as follows: with $g=37$, we have $\rho=9+37 \eta_{0}$; with $g=-107$, we have $\rho=8+35 \eta_{0}+5 \eta_{1}-7 \eta_{3}$. In both cases, $\tau$ is a conjugate of $\rho$.

## 6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we showed that there do not exist any two-, three- or four-class nonsymmetric primitive translation schemes of prime square order. On the other hand, we found new nonsymmetric four- and five-class association schemes from cyclotomy as fission schemes of certain symmetric three-class association schemes. Moreover, we provided one example of skew-symmetric four-class association schemes as a fission scheme of a conference graph other than the cyclotomic scheme of index 2 , which gives an affirmative answer to a question raised in [34].

We close this paper by giving some interesting problems for future work.

1. Generalize Theorem 11 for general $d \geq 5$, or find examples of nonsymmetric primitive translation schemes of prime square order with more than four classes.
2. Find analogies of Theorems 12 and 14 in index more than 2 cases. In particular, in index 4 case, the Gauss sums with characters of order $N=p_{1}$, where $p_{1}$ is a prime, have already been evaluated in [11].
3. Generalize the skew-symmetric four-class association scheme in Sect. 5 into an infinite family. For an odd prime $p$, the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3}}$ already has a very rich structure. It will be interesting to construct more translation schemes in this field.
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