

Erratum to: An Entropy Functional for Riemann-Cartan Space-Times

F. Hammad

Published online: 22 September 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Erratum to: Int J Theor Phys (2012) 51:362–373 DOI 10.1007/s10773-011-0913-9

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. The authors rectified the errors and are shown below:

We correct the entropy functional constructed in Int. J. Theor. Phys. 51:362 (2012). The ‘on-shell’ functional one obtains from this correct functional possesses a holographic structure without imposing any constraint on the spin-angular momentum tensor of matter, in contrast to the conclusion made in the above paper.

The error made in [1] was the missing torsion trace $Q_i = Q_{ij}{}^j$ in the evaluation of the divergence terms. Indeed, the integral of a divergence in Riemann-Cartan space-times is of the form $\int_M \nabla_i V^i = \int_{\partial M} n_i V^i - \int_M 2Q_i V^i$. Taking this into account, the entropy functional that should be considered is actually the following more ‘economical’ one

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\alpha \left((\nabla_i u^j)(\nabla_j u^i) - (\nabla_i u^i)^2 \right) + (\lambda g_{ij} + T_{ij}) u^i u^j + (\Sigma_{ijk} + \Sigma_{ikj} + \Sigma_{kji}) u^i \nabla^j u^k \right]. \quad (1)$$

The additional terms introduced in [1] arise automatically when extracting total divergences. The variation of this functional with respect to the field u^i reads

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[2\alpha \left((\nabla_i \delta u^j)(\nabla_j u^i) - (\nabla_i \delta u^i)(\nabla_j u^j) \right) + 2(\lambda g_{ij} + T_{ij}) \delta u^i u^j + (\Sigma_{ijk} + \Sigma_{ikj} + \Sigma_{kji}) \delta u^i \nabla^j u^k + (\Sigma_{ijk} + \Sigma_{ikj} + \Sigma_{kji}) u^i \nabla^j \delta u^k \right]. \quad (2)$$

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s10773-011-0913-9.

F. Hammad (✉)
Département LMD-ST, Université A. Mira, Route Targa Ouzemmour Béjaia, W. Béjaia 06000, Algeria
e-mail: fayhammad@gmail.com

Extracting from the total divergences the boundary integrals which do not contribute to the variation, the condition $\delta S = 0$ reads

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(2\alpha \nabla_{[i} \nabla_{j]} u^j + (2\alpha Q_i g_{jk} - 2\alpha Q_k g_{ij} + \Sigma_{ikj}) \nabla^j u^k + \left[\lambda g_{ij} + T_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^k + 2Q^k) (\Sigma_{ijk} + \Sigma_{kij} + \Sigma_{kji}) \right] u^j \right) \delta u^i = 0. \tag{3}$$

From the identity $2\nabla_{[i} \nabla_{j]} u^j = -R_{ij} u^j - 2Q_{ij}{}^k \nabla_k u^j$, it follows that Eq. (3) is satisfied for arbitrary variations of u^i if

$$(2\alpha Q_{kij} + 2\alpha Q_i g_{jk} - 2\alpha Q_k g_{ij} + \Sigma_{ikj}) \nabla^j u^k - \left[\alpha R_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij} - T_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^k + 2Q^k) (\Sigma_{ijk} + \Sigma_{kij} + \Sigma_{kji}) \right] u^j = 0. \tag{4}$$

This in turn is satisfied for all u^i if and only if the content of each of the two square brackets vanishes identically, leading straightforwardly as in [1] to the Cartan-Sciama-Kibble field equations, but containing more correctly than in [1] the metric g_{ij} instead of the Kronecker-delta δ_{ij} in front of the torsion traces $Q_i g_{jk}$ and $Q_k g_{ij}$. The arguments used in [1] to prove the uniqueness of the functional Eq. (1) remain unchanged. When the field equations are substituted in Eq. (1) after integration by parts, however, the ‘on-shell’ entropy functional becomes simply

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial M} d^3x \sqrt{|h|} n_i (u^j \nabla_j u^i - u^i \nabla_j u^j). \tag{5}$$

So contrary to the conclusion made in [1], the holographic structure emerges without imposing any constraint on the spin-angular momentum tensor of matter. Using Eq. (5), the application and conclusions made in [1] for the case of Dirac fields and black holes with intrinsic spin remain unchanged. For spin fluids obeying the Frenkel condition, however, the affine connection ∇ in Eq. (5) reduces as in [1] to the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ only for an isotropic deformation $u^i u^j = \frac{1}{4} u^2 g^{ij}$.

References

1. Hammad, F.: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51**, 362 (2012)