



Correction to: The Relationship Between Tool Use and Prey Availability in Chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) of Northern Democratic Republic of Congo

Thurston C. Hicks^{1,2} · Hjalmar S. Kühl^{2,3} · Christophe Boesch² · Steph B. J. Menken⁴ · John Hart⁵ · Peter Roessingh⁴ · Corneille Ewango⁶ · Roger Mundry⁷

Published online: 10 June 2020

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: International Journal of Primatology.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-020-00149-4>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained mistakes in the Discussion and Acknowledgments sections. The changes are shown below:

1. In the second paragraph of the Discussion section, line 10, it reads: ‘In addition, a difference in decay rates of sticks between the north and south would not account for the fact that more ponerine tools were found to the north than to the south (although the difference was not significant), vs. the opposite pattern for *Dorylus*

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-020-00149-4>

✉ Thurston C. Hicks
clevehicks@hotmail.com

¹ The Faculty of “Artes Liberales”, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

² Department of Primatology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany

³ German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

⁴ Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁵ Lukuru Wildlife Research Foundation, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

⁶ Faculty of Renewable Natural Resources Management (FGRNR/FRNRM)/Faculty of Sciences (FSc), The University of Kisangani, Kisangani, Democratic Republic of Congo

⁷ Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany

tools.’ The actual pattern found was the opposite of this, of course: significantly more epigaeic *Dorylus* tools were found to the north than to the south, while (non-significantly) more ponerine tools were found to the south.

2. In the Acknowledgments section, Adam Darby-Singh’s family name was incorrectly spelled as “Darby-Si Singh”.

The authors apologize for these errors.