



## Correction to: Reforms in technical education sector: evidence from World Bank-assisted Technical Education Quality Improvement Programme in India

Amlendu Dubey<sup>1</sup>  · Amit Mehndiratta<sup>1</sup> · Mahim Sagar<sup>1</sup> · Smita Kashiramka<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 21 February 2019  
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

### Correction to: Higher Education

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0343-1>

Tables 9 and 10 in the original article contained a typographical error. The corrected Tables 9 and 10 are now given next page.

The original article has been corrected.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0343-1>

✉ Amlendu Dubey  
amlendu@dms.iitd.ac.in

<sup>1</sup> Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India

**Table 9** Proportional odds models for 1.1 institutions

Variables	Dependent variable: Impact Category				Full model			
	Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio
Single predictor models								
Govt	-0.137 (0.423)	0.872 (0.368)					0.076 (0.421)	1.079 (0.455)
BOG			0.436 (0.384)	1.547 (0.593)			0.276 (0.394)	1.318 (0.519)
Senate					0.803** (0.368)	2.233** (0.821)	0.891** (0.384)	2.437** (0.936)
Low income state							-0.601 (0.45)	0.548 (0.247)
South							1.323*** (0.417)	3.756*** (1.565)
Constant cut1	-1.868*** (0.43)	0.1154*** (0.0663)	-1.456*** (0.378)	0.233*** (0.0881)	-1.549*** (0.286)	0.212*** (0.0608)	1.495*** (0.372)	4.457*** (1.66)
Constant cut2	-0.735* (0.393)	0.480* (0.189)	-0.314 (0.338)	0.73 (0.247)	-0.384* (0.23)	0.681* (0.156)	-1.354*** (0.289)	0.258*** (0.0745)
Constant cut3	0.055 (0.391)	1.057 (0.413)	0.486 (0.342)	1.626 (0.556)	0.446* (0.234)	1.562* (0.365)	0.16 (0.582)	0.892 (0.241)
Constant cut4	0.954** (0.399)	2.595** (1.036)	1.393*** (0.366)	4.026*** (1.473)	1.374*** (0.267)	3.949*** (1.053)	0.776*** (0.253)	2.173*** (0.55)
Pseudo R-squared	0.000304		0.00373		0.014		0.0491	
					0.0293		0.0735	
					0.821*** (0.233)	2.274*** (0.53)	1.768*** (0.295)	5.856*** (1.728)
					0.821*** (0.233)	2.274*** (0.53)	1.768*** (0.295)	5.856*** (1.728)

**Table 10** Proportional odds models for 1.2 institutions

Variables		Dependent variable: Impact Category							
		Single predictor models							
		Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Odds ratio
Govt		-0.25 (0.524)	0.779 (0.408)					-0.409 (0.642)	0.664 (0.426)
BOG				2.521*** (0.818)	12.44*** (10.18)			2.227*** (0.841)	9.271*** (7.797)
Senate				0.739 (0.449)	2.093 (0.94)			0.919* (0.552)	2.507* (1.384)
Low income state						-0.294 (0.864)	0.745 (0.644)	0.111 (0.958)	1.117 (1.07)
South								0.639 (0.518)	1.895 (0.981)
Constant cut1		-1.897*** (0.536)	0.150*** (0.0804)	0.298 (0.739)	1.347 (0.996)	-1.719*** (0.335)	0.179*** (0.0601)	0.475 (0.978)	1.608 (1.572)
Constant cut2		-0.804* (0.479)	0.448* (0.214)	1.594*** (0.786)	4.926*** (3.871)	-0.627** (0.254)	0.534*** (0.136)	1.821* (1.005)	6.179* (6.211)
Constant cut3		0.000309 (0.479)	1 (0.479)	2.523*** (0.809)	12.47*** (10.09)	0.638* (0.374)	1.198 (0.292)	0.344 (0.32)	16.50*** (17.15)
Constant cut4		0.877* (0.505)	2.405* (1.215)	3.405*** (0.83)	30.10*** (24.99)	1.513*** (0.411)	2.888*** (0.804)	3.710*** (1.069)	40.86*** (43.7)
Pseudo R-squared		0.001	0.0485	0.0126	0.000511	0.000305	0.00305	0.0651	

---

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.