Correction to: High-frequency measured turbidity as a surrogate for phosphorus in boreal zone rivers: appropriate options and critical situations

Maria Kämäri · Marjo Tarvainen · Niina Kotamäki · Sirkka Tattari

Published online: 29 June 2020
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Correction to: Environ Monit Assess (2020) 192:366
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08335-w>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake.

The Figures 1 and 2 were published erroneously.

The corrected Figures 1 and 2 are shown in the next page.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08335-w>

M. Kämäri (✉) · S. Tattari
Finnish Environment Institute, Latokartanonkaari 11,
FI-00790 Helsinki, Finland
e-mail: maria.kamari@ymparisto.fi

M. Tarvainen
Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the
Environment for Southwest Finland, P.O. Box 236,
FI-20101 Turku, Finland

N. Kotamäki
Finnish Environment Institute, Survantie 9A, FI-40500 Jyväskylä,
Finland

Fig. 1 In situ sensor-based total phosphorus (TP) concentration estimates versus TP determined in the laboratory. The TP laboratory data that are considered potentially influential are marked with dashed circles. The TP estimates are calculated with the calibration equations given in Table 2

Fig. 2 The relative percentage difference (RPD) between total phosphorus (TP) laboratory concentrations and TP estimates produced with linear regression models (see Table 4). The vertical lines within the boxes are the median