Leaching and degradation of $^{13}\text{C}_2$ - ^{15}N -glyphosate in field lysimeters

Peter Gros · Ralph Meissner · Marisa A. Wirth ·
Marion Kanwischer · Holger Rupp ·
Detlef E. Schulz-Bull · Peter Leinweber

Received: 3 July 2019 / Accepted: 17 December 2019 / Published online: 21 January 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract Glyphosate (GLYP), the globally most important herbicide, may have effects in various compartments of the environment such as soil and water. Although laboratory studies showed fast microbial degradation and a low leaching potential, it is often detected in various environmental compartments, but pathways are unknown. Therefore, the objective was to study GLYP leaching and transformations in a lysimeter field experiment over a study period of one hydrological year using non-radioactive $^{13}\text{C}_2$ - ^{15}N -GLYP labelling and maize cultivation. ^{15}N and ^{13}C were selectively measured using isotopic ratio mass spectrometry (IR-MS) in leachates, soil, and plant material. Additionally, HPLC coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) was used for quantitation of GLYP and its main degradation product aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) in different environmental compartments (leachates and

soil). Results show low recoveries for GLYP (< 3%) and AMPA (< level of detection) in soil after the study period, whereas recoveries of ^{15}N (11–19%) and ^{13}C (23–54%) were higher. Time independent enrichment of ^{15}N and ^{13}C and the absence of GLYP and AMPA in leachates indicated further degradation. ^{15}N was enriched in all compartments of maize plants (roots, shoots, and cobs). ^{13}C was only enriched in roots. Results confirmed rapid degradation to further degradation products, e.g., $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$, which plausibly was taken up as nutrient by plants. Due to the discrepancy of low GLYP and AMPA concentrations in soil, but higher values for ^{15}N and ^{13}C after the study period, it cannot be excluded that non-extractable residues of GLYP remained and accumulated in soil.

Keywords Pesticide · Fate · IR-MS · HPLC-MS/MS · Stable isotopes · Environmental detection

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-8045-4>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

P. Gros (✉) · P. Leinweber
Agricultural and Environmental Science, Soil Science, University of Rostock, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 6, 18051 Rostock, Germany
e-mail: peter.gros@uni-rostock.de

R. Meissner · H. Rupp
Department of Soil System Science, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Lysimeter Station, Falkenberg 55, 39615 Altmärkische Wische, Germany

M. A. Wirth · M. Kanwischer · D. E. Schulz-Bull
Department of Marine Chemistry, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestrasse 15, 18119 Rostock, Germany

Introduction

Glyphosate (GLYP) is an important herbicide in the world, annually > 1 million tonnes are applied (Richmond 2018). GLYP reaches the soil either by direct spraying or indirectly by release through plant roots (Neumann et al. 2006; Laitinen et al. 2007). Rapid microbial degradation has been reported in soil, leading to the most predominant degradation product aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA). This pathway has been documented extensively and was reviewed by Borggaard and Gimsing (2008). Degradation

products can further react to CO_2 and NH_4^+ . Degradation rates for GLYP vary significantly and half-life values from 2 to 180 days have been reported (Borggaard and Gimsing 2008; Tang et al. 2019). GLYP can interact strongly with organic and inorganic molecules at a variety of binding sites such as (i) the soil organic matter, e.g., peptides, carbohydrates, or phenolic structures (Gros et al. 2017; Ahmed et al. 2018) or (ii) mineral surfaces, e.g., goethite or montmorillonite, as has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments and quantum chemical modeling (Morillo et al. 1997; Ahmed et al. 2017). These interactions lead to strong and high sorption, as has been shown in laboratory batch sorption experiments (Dion et al. 2001; Okada et al. 2016; Gros et al. 2017). Although strong sorption and degradation are antagonistic effects, both are supported under normal or low tillage soil management and should prevent GLYP from distributing through soil (Kjær et al. 2005; Bergström et al. 2011). Contrary to this assumption, GLYP frequently has been detected in ground and surface water (Coupe et al. 2012) even above the regulatory limit of $0.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in the EU (Van Stempvoort et al. 2014; Skeff et al. 2015). The mechanisms of GLYP translocation from place of application through the drainage system into waterways and estuaries are still unclear but need to be understood for preventing these undesired translocations.

Lysimeters are suitable research facilities that enable monitoring and assessing of nutrient or pollutant balances in disturbed or undisturbed soil columns (Führ et al. 1998). Only a few field lysimeter experiments have been conducted with non-labeled GLYP (Malone et al. 2004), where leachate waters were analyzed through fluorescence detection after derivatization of the analyte. In the laboratory, lysimeter experiments with GLYP often have been conducted using non-stable, radioactive ^{14}C isotopic labelling, which provides advantages of sensitive quantitation and recovery by scintillation (e.g., Al-Rajab et al. 2008). Safety restrictions for the use of radioactively labeled substances make it necessary to evaluate experimental data from laboratory experiments under more practically relevant field conditions. Utilization of $^{13}\text{C}_2\text{-}^{15}\text{N}$ -GLYP (GLYPi), which contains stable isotopes, combines the advantages of non-radioactive GLYP for field studies with the sensitivity of labelling (in analogy to ^{14}C -GLYP) for environmental monitoring in laboratory experiments (Muskus et al. 2019). Using that non-radioactively labeled GLYPi enables the detection using isotopic ratio

mass spectrometry (IR-MS) complementary to high performance liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS/MS) for environmental measurements of extractable residues of GLYPi and AMPAi. This experimental approach has not been applied in field lysimeter studies so far. Therefore, we hypothesize that the methodological approach with GLYPi, IR-MS, and HPLC-ESI-MS/MS enables to study the fate and possible translocation of GLYP under field conditions.

Material and methods

The leaching experiment was set up in two field lysimeters (non-weighing zero tension), which were installed in the Lysimeter Station at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research-UFZ (Falkenberg, Germany; $52^\circ 51' \text{N}$, $11^\circ 48' \text{E}$). These lysimeters were constructed in 1981 in sheet steel vessels with cuboid shape of $1 \times 1 \text{ m}$ surface area and 1.25 m depth. The lysimeters were filled with sandy loam (0–30 cm topsoil: 74% sand, 14% silt, 12% clay, pH 4.8, organic C = 1.1%; 30–100 cm subsoil: 75% sand, 17% silt, 8% clay, pH 5.6, organic C = 0.2%) and an additional 25 cm-drainage layer composed of three sublayers (sand, gravel, and coarse gravel) at the bottom. The soil texture is representative for the river Elbe valley in the Federal State Saxony-Anhalt. Conventional agricultural management was oriented according to best management practice. In 2017, maize was planted which was embedded in a regionally typical crop rotation of sugar beets-winter wheat-potatoes-winter barley-maize. Details on the lysimeter site and management history have been published previously (Meissner et al. 2010; Rupp et al. 2018). The present study investigated a period of one hydrological year starting in the hydrological summer semester in May 2017. Any weeds were removed mechanically, followed by GLYPi application (2017/24/04) via spraying as a worst-case scenario. Application rate was equivalent to maximum allowed annual for Germany ($3.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) with practical concentration of GLYP formulations (480 g kg^{-1}) (360 mL GLYPi , dissolved in $750 \text{ mL H}_2\text{O}$). Drift by air flow was prevented by temporally fencing the application area with a ring of steel (1 m in height). Three days after GLYPi application, 5 L of the conservative KBr tracer solution was applied at a rate corresponding to $40 \text{ kg KBr ha}^{-1}$ to each of the lysimeters to provide

information on the movement of water through the soil column. Lysimeters were cultivated with maize (9 plants per lysimeter, equally spaced). No fertilizers or treatments for weeding were executed during the study period.

Sampling of leachates, soil, and plant material

Lysimeter soils were sampled from 0 to 5 cm depth (5 spots equally spaced in each lysimeter) at 4 dates over the study period (before and directly after application, 165 and 360 days after application). Soil sampling before application characterizes the basic level of GLYPi concentration, whereas the sample directly after application represents 100% of initial GLYPi. To keep the soil column intact, samples from the whole topsoil (0–30 cm) and the subsoil (30–60 cm) were taken only at the end (day 360 after application) of the study period. Soil samples were air dried and sieved (2 mm). Subsamples of the sieved soils were finely ground for further measurement with IR-MS. Residues of GLYPi and AMPAi were extracted from 5 g of the sieved soil in 40 mL of a 1 M KOH solution (shaking overnight and centrifugation for 10 min at 1558 g) and stored at -20°C until quantitation via HPLC-ESI-MS/MS.

Leachates were collected weekly in polyethylene canisters and volumes were recorded. Subsamples of 150 mL were taken and stored in a freezer at -20°C in 3×50 mL centrifuge tubes for further measurements with ion chromatography (IC) and HPLC-ESI-MS/MS. A total of 50 mL of each sample were lyophilized to dryness (-50°C , 0.025 mbar; Christ Alpha 1-4, Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, D-37250 Osterode, Germany) and solid residue amounts were weighed back and stored for measurements with isotopic ratio mass spectrometry (IR-MS).

Mature maize plants (roots, shoots, and cobs) were harvested in September 2017 from the two treated lysimeters and one untreated neighboring plot as reference. Subsamples of 3 plants per lysimeter were harvested for further measurements of plant biomass. Moist weight was determined followed by drying at 60°C and measuring of dry matter weight. Plant compartment samples (root, shoot, and cobs) were shredded and subsequently finely ground separately and stored until further measurements with IR-MS.

Sample analyses

Conservative tracer and isotope ratio analyses

Br^{-} tracer analysis in the leachate was performed using ion chromatography (column: Metrosep A SUPP 5150 $\times$ 4.0 mm, pre-column: Metrosep A SUPP 4/5 Guard, eluent: 0.3 mM Na_2CO_3 and 1.0 mM NaHCO_3 , flow: 0.7 mL min^{-1} , separation mode: isocratic; Metrohm, D-70794 Filderstadt, Germany).

Isotopic ratios for $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ and $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ in soil, plant compartments, and lyophilized leachate samples were measured through the elemental analyzer (Eurovector EA, Via F.lli Cuzio 42, 27100 PAVIA, Italy; IR-MS GV-Isoprome, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Elementar-Straße 1, 63505 Langenselbold, Germany) in the Institute for Nutritional Sciences, University of Gießen, Germany. For this purpose, finely ground soil and plant samples from the two treated sites and one untreated site (reference) were measured in triplicates. Lyophilized leachate samples from lysimeter leachates were measured in duplicates. Equations 1 and 2 show the calculation of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ derived from isotopic ratios of the sample in relation to defined standard isotopic ratios from air for N and Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) for C; values are generally given in ‰.

$$\delta^{13}\text{C} = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}}{^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}} \right)_{\text{sample}} - 1}{\left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}}{^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}} \right)_{\text{PDB}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\delta^{15}\text{N} = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}}{^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}} \right)_{\text{sample}} - 1}{\left(\frac{^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}}{^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}} \right)_{\text{air}}} \right) \quad (2)$$

GLYPi and AMPAi analyses

Soil extracts and leachate samples were analyzed for GLYPi and AMPAi with HPLC-ESI-MS/MS after derivatization with fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl chloride (Fmoc-Cl), as described in Wirth et al. (2019). The utilized system was composed of an LC-2040C Nexera-i and a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer LCMS-8060 (Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany) equipped with a heated ESI-source. The Fmoc derivatives were

separated on a Gemini 3 μm NX- C_{18} column (Column 1: 150×2 mm, Aschaffenburg, Phenomenex, Germany).

Non-isotope-labeled GLYP (LGC Standards, Wesel, Germany) was used as internal standard for GLYPi (Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) quantitation. Since AMPAi is not commercially available as a standard substance, no HPLC-ESI-MS/MS-optimization and, thus, no calibration could be carried out for this compound. Therefore, AMPAi was determined only qualitatively. Analytes were detected in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. The MRM transitions were determined and optimized utilizing standard compounds (Table 1). However, as AMPAi is not commercially available, instrumental MRM optimization for AMPAi-FMOC could not be performed. Therefore, the settings for the MRM transitions for this compound were chosen as follows: optimization was carried out for ^{13}C - ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC and AMPA-FMOC (LGC Standards, Wesel, Germany) and their fragmentation patterns were utilized to derive the expected masses of the precursor and product ions for ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC (AMPAi-FMOC). Further parameters of the MRM transitions were set by averaging values for ^{13}C - ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC and AMPA-FMOC (Table 1).

To further verify that the targeted and detected compound was the ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC, a selection of samples was additionally separated on a different LC-column (Column 2: Kinetex 2.6 μm EVO C18 100 Å, 150×2.1 mm, Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany). The proposed AMPAi-FMOC was eluted from both columns at similar retention times as AMPA-FMOC (Table 1) which confirms its presence. Due to the lack of an AMPAi-FMOC calibration, these data could be evaluated only semi-quantitatively. Quantitation of GLYPi was carried out through weighting with the glyphosate internal standard signal.

Results and discussion

Precipitation and leachate analysis

The study period from May 2017 to April 2018 was characterized by overall high amounts of precipitation that exceeded the monthly 30-year mean values (1981–2010) for this region, except for the months May, September, and February. Especially, June and July were characterized by heavy rainfall events that summed up

to 123 and 125 mm per month precipitation, greatly exceeding the mean values of 57 ± 22 mm (June) and 61 ± 32 mm (July). These events resulted in large amounts of leachate in July 2017 (60.4 and 66.3 L). Weekly leachate amounts, collected from May 2017 until July 2017 to December 2017 until April 2018, had a mean volume of 5.1 L per week. For the period from August 2017 to November 2017, no leachates were received although precipitation occurred, most likely because of transpiration and water uptake by plants. Total volumes of leachates for the two lysimeters were 203 and 215 L over the study period. The Br^- -breakthrough started in week 10 after application, where 35 and 37 L of leachate were received in the two tested lysimeters. Residues from the conservative tracer KBr were detected later on in all leachates. Due to the occurrence of Br^- in the leachates after 10 weeks and its slowly increasing concentrations over the following weeks along with continually received leachates, the main transport mechanism through the soil column can be assumed as matrix flow for the studied period.

For the natural ^{15}N background representing the ratio of $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ of the air nitrogen, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ has been set to 0 (Fig. 1a). Discrepancies towards higher values indicate an enrichment of ^{15}N . In the first 2 weeks after application, a strong decrease of leachate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to negative values was detected, indicating an enrichment of ^{14}N . In the following weeks 3 to 10, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the leachate was constant between 0 and 1.7‰, and it increased over time from week 11 after GLYPi application. After the period with no leachates, the trend of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ had a sigmoidal shape with an assumed maximum limit of about 50‰ for the last 10 weeks of the experimental period. This maximum level corresponds to a mass rate of about $10 \mu\text{g } ^{15}\text{N week}^{-1}$ of leached GLYPi active ingredient equivalent or its N-containing degradation products.

Values for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ started at about -8‰ and fluctuated between -12 and -6‰ for the first 10 weeks before they strongly increased and reached values of -2.6 and -0.5‰ in the two lysimeters (Fig. 1b). After the period with no leachates, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ started at lower levels of -8.9 and -2.1 in lysimeters 1 and 2, respectively. The trend of increasing $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values starting at -5.5‰ went on and ended at -2.3‰ for the remaining 20 weeks of the study, although with a less steep slope than in the first experimental phase.

Trends of ^{13}C and ^{15}N originating from GLYPi in the leachate (Fig. 1) did not correlate, which may be an indication for an independent movement of these

Table 1 Measurement modes for identification and quantitation of $^{13}\text{C}_2$ - ^{15}N -glyphosate and ^{15}N -aminomethylphosphonic acid using high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS/MS)

Component	Measurement mode	Precursor m/z	Product m/z	Collision energy	Retention time column 1 (min)	Retention time column 2 (min)
$^{13}\text{C}_2$ - ^{15}N -Glyphosate-FMOC (GLPi)	-	392.10	170.15 152.20 63.10	14 24 48	9.10	8.77
Glyphosate-FMOC	-	390.00	168.15 150.20 63.05	14 23 49	9.10	8.76
^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC* (AMPAi)	+	335.20	179.05 178.15 157.05 113.05	- 23 - 48 - 10 - 15	9.47	9.18
AMPA-FMOC	+	334.20	179.05 178.15 156.00 112.05	- 23 - 46 - 10 - 15	9.47	9.19
^{13}C - ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC	+	336.20	179.05 178.10 158.15 114.10	- 22 - 50 - 10 - 15	9.46	n.a.

*derived from the optimized MRM transitions of ^{13}C - ^{15}N -AMPA-FMOC and AMPA-FMOC

isotopes through the soil column. Also, IR-MS cannot distinguish between GLYPi and its degradation products, but simultaneous occurrence and parallel trend would be an indication for a displacement of intact GLYPi, which appears unlikely from these data. The analyses for GLYPi and AMPAi using HPLC-ESI-MS/MS in the leachates showed no occurrence of residues of these compounds above detection limits ($0.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). Therefore, the leached ^{15}N and ^{13}C residues are most likely no constituents of intact GLYPi or AMPAi but originated from further degradation products.

Soil analyses

The concentrations of GLYPi, ^{15}N and ^{13}C in the lysimeter soils derived from HPLC-ESI-MS/MS and IR-MS, respectively, were normalized and set to 100% since GLYPi was not detectable in soil extracts sampled before GLYPi application (data not shown) (Fig. 2a). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ decreased within 165 days after application to 24 and 29% of the initial value and decreased further to 11 and 19% until the end of the study period. This indicates that amounts of the added artificial ^{15}N isotopes in soil

decreased over time. The same was true for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ which decreased down to 30 and 66% compared with the initial value and ended at 23 and 54% in the two lysimeters (Fig. 2b).

Measurement of the GLYPi residues through HPLC-ESI-MS/MS showed that about 4 and 6% of the initial GLYPi concentration remained in the soil after 165 days and the recovery decreased further down to 1 and 3% in the two lysimeters until the end of the study (Fig. 2c). AMPAi was detected in the topsoil extracts of all samples after application (Suppl. Fig. S1), and GLYPi and AMPAi were not detected in the subsoil (results not shown). This indicates that AMPAi had not been formed, and GLYPi was already decomposed by microorganisms or scarcely displaced from surface into subsoil. Therefore, leaching of GLYPi or AMPAi can be considered as insignificant in this experiment and rapid degradation to further products most likely happened. This confirms Borggaard and Gimsing (2008) who reported a fast GLYP degradation and limited leaching through soil. Nevertheless, it is still possible that strongly bound non-extractable, and therefore non-detected residues of GLYPi or AMPAi could have remained in soil too, partly explaining the higher

Fig. 1 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (a) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (b) values for lyophilized leachates over the one-year study period in lysimeter 1 (Lys1) and lysimeter 2 (Lys2) and mean values (continuous line)

amounts of ^{13}C and ^{15}N after 165 and 360 days (Fig. 2a and b).

Plant material analyses

^{15}N was enriched highly significantly ($p < 0.01$) in all sampled plant compartments (root, $39 \pm 10\%$ and 54 ± 16 ; shoot, $28 \pm 13\%$ and $51 \pm 16\%$; cob, $34 \pm 12\%$ and

$51 \pm 14\%$) compared with reference plant parts from a lysimeter that was not treated with GLYPi (root, $2.5 \pm 1.6\%$; shoot, $2.0 \pm 0.9\%$; cob, $4.0 \pm 1.9\%$) (Fig. 3). By comparison, ^{13}C was highly significantly enriched only in the plant roots from the two lysimeters treated with GLYPi ($-12.75 \pm 0.07\%$ and $-12.84 \pm 0.06\%$) compared with maize roots from the lysimeter with no herbicide treatment ($-13.03 \pm 0.08\%$). In contrast, ^{13}C was significantly depleted ($p < 0.01$) in the cob material of plants from lysimeters with GLYPi treatment ($-23.24 \pm 0.18\%$ and $-24.06 \pm 0.96\%$) compared with those with no treatment ($-22.84 \pm 0.25\%$). There was not a significant difference in the shoots between the treated ($-13.69 \pm 0.06\%$ and $-13.58 \pm 0.05\%$) and non-treated lysimeters ($-13.56 \pm 0.45\%$).

The enrichment of ^{15}N in roots, shoots, and cobs can result only from uptake from the soil and distribution through the plant. Since ^{15}N is bound in GLYPi or its ^{15}N containing degradation products (Fig. 4), those degradation products must have acted as plant nutrients. Furthermore, as plants do not take up organic substances like GLYPi or AMPAi over the root system, the occurrence of ^{15}N can be plausibly explained only by an uptake of mineral ^{15}N ($^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ and/or $^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$) as mineralized degradation products from GLYPi, which are formed by microbial degradation in the rhizosphere (Duke et al. 2012).

The enrichment of ^{13}C in the roots compared with plants from the non-treated lysimeter may either be a result of uptake or attachment to this plant compartment. The possibility of ^{13}C uptake should be excluded, since neither organic substances like GLYPi or AMPAi can be taken up by plant roots, nor were these substances further distributed into other plant compartments. Also, mineralized species of ^{13}C like $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ originating from

Fig. 2 Development of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (a), $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (b), and $^{13}\text{C}_2\text{-}^{15}\text{N}$ -glyphosate (c) in topsoil samples compared with initial values (set to 100%) over the studied period in lysimeter 1 (Lys1) and lysimeter 2 (Lys2)

GLYPi degradation cannot be taken up by the roots. Therefore, enrichment of ^{13}C species is explained by attachment, possibly due to mycorrhizal fungi associated with the maize roots (Bott et al. 2011) that utilize organic substances as nutrients for growth.

In summary, since (i) ^{15}N has been taken up by the maize roots and distributed into all plant compartments and (ii) ^{13}C is only associated with the plant roots, the interaction of these labeled atoms with the plants most plausibly resulted from the independent interaction of the inorganic degradation products of GLYPi. $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{15}\text{NH}_3$ as the inorganic end-products of the degradation process can be emitted via the air path. This was shown for ^{14}C labeled GLYP (Grundmann et al. 2008). But since (i) $^{15}\text{NH}_3$ is water-soluble and forms $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ in soil solution and (ii) ^{15}N was taken up by plants, it is rather unlikely for inorganic N to be emitted into the air.

Summarizing discussion

Comparing the present approach with an overview of most important published GLYP-lysimeter studies, the compilation in Table 2 shows that most experiments have been conducted with radioactive labelling of GLYP ($n=6$) in the laboratory or with non-labeled GLYP ($n=3$). Among the latter studies, only two reflected field conditions. Filtered leachates for GLYP residues have been analyzed in all studies, but only four (Al-Rajab et al. 2008; Grundmann et al. 2008; Klier et al. 2008; Bergström et al. 2011) additionally analyzed extracted GLYP residues or its degradation products in soil. Thus, the present study was designed in detail so that field conditions are reflected and also all relevant compartments are considered.

In the present study, concentrations of extracted GLYPi-residues were low in soil at the end of the study period compared with the initial concentrations at the beginning. But fractions of ^{15}N and ^{13}C above extracted GLYPi-residues indicate that either non-extractable GLYPi is still left and/or further degradation products accumulated in soil. The latter explanation agrees with Al-Rajab et al. (2008), Grundmann et al. (2008), and Klier et al. (2008), who found low amounts of GLYP that remained in soil due to degradation of GLYP. Residues of GLYP and AMPA remained in topsoil (Bergström et al. 2011) and were either accumulated by organisms in the rhizosphere with low risk of leaching (Grundmann et al. 2008; Klier et al. 2008) or

have been sorbed to soil particles with a risk of leaching (Al-Rajab et al. 2008).

The ^{13}C and ^{15}N are signals of leachates (Fig. 1), but absence or low concentrated ($< \text{LOD}$) residues of GLYPi and AMPAi indicate that further degradation products have been leached through the soil column, which partly confirms De Jonge et al. (2000), Fomsgaard et al. (2003), and Dousset et al. (2004). In contrast, leaching of GLYP and AMPA in lysimeters was reported for tilled soils and explained by particle transport (Fomsgaard et al. 2003; Malone et al. 2004; Kjær et al. 2005). This contradiction may result from different experimental designs, soil properties, and management measures that support or suppress particle-bound GLYP transport (Fomsgaard et al. 2003). Furthermore, the methods applied differ in their sensitivity to detect particle-bound GLYP or AMPA in leachates or soil extracts (Table 2). For instance, HPLC only provides information on the concentration of free or extractable amounts of GLYP or AMPA (Malone et al. 2004), since filtration is mandatory before HPLC-MS/MS measurements of liquid samples. However, HPLC cannot distinguish between bound (non-extractable) GLYP and further degradation products, since only free and recoverable GLYP can be detected. Most of the lysimeter studies compiled in Table 2 used single ^{14}C labelling (radioactive), which can be assigned to GLYP or AMPA in leachates or extracts by its retention time and molecular mass and/or radioactive signal when measured by HPLC in combination with mass spectrometry and/or scintillation detection. However, molecule identification only by scintillation of solid samples is not possible, since the signal of a labeled C-atom originate come from intact GLYP or degradation products, even if the position of the labelling in the initial GLYP molecule is known. Therefore, the multi-labelling of GLYP with ^{15}N and ^{13}C in the present field lysimeter study, by avoiding disadvantages of previous studies, has shown (time-) independent occurrence of GLYPi decomposition products. The noncorrelated appearance of ^{13}C and ^{15}N signals in leachates (Fig. 1) makes the degradation pathway B in Fig. 4 rather unlikely, in agreement with Borggaard and Gimsing (2008). Instead, pathway A in Fig. 4 is supported by the noncorrelated appearance of ^{13}C and ^{15}N signals in leachates, among which ^{13}C can originate from glyoxylic acid and ^{15}N from detected AMPAi or further degradation products, such as methylamine and ammonium ions (Fig. 4). Along

Fig. 3 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ mean values in plant material of roots, shoots, and cobs of maize plants of the tested lysimeter 1 (Lys1), lysimeter 2 (Lys2), and a reference lysimeter (LysRef)

with small concentrations of extracted GLYPi (Fig. 2c) under practically optimal leaching conditions in the very wet hydrological year 2017/2018, the present findings indicate that rapid degradation most likely is the best explanation for the absence of concentrations below LOD of GLYPi and AMPAi in leachates, which confirms previous findings as referenced in Table 2.

Fig. 4 Degradation pathways of isotopic labeled $^{13}\text{C}_2$ - ^{15}N -glyphosate (GLPi) and its main degradation product ^{15}N -aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPAi) with indicated positions of labelling (modified from Giesy et al. 2000)

Conclusions

Isotopic ratios of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ and resulting changes of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from isotopically labeled glyphosate (GLYPi) and its degradation products were successfully quantified using isotopic ratio mass spectrometry (IR-MS) in different compartments (leachates, soil, and plant material) of a field lysimeter. Therefore,

Table 2 Literature data of methods for lysimeter studies using glyphosate of different labelling

Reference	Country	Lysimeter soil column, site (10 ³ cm ³)	Labelling	Application (kg ha ⁻¹)	Analytical detection	Studied compartment		
						Leachate	Soil	Plant
De Jonge et al. 2000	Denmark	8, laboratory	¹⁴ C	2.4	Scintillation	x	x	
Fomsgaard et al. 2003	Denmark	550, laboratory	¹⁴ C	0.8	GC-MS	x		
Dousset et al. 2004	France	3, laboratory	None	1.5	LC-ESI-MS/MS	x		
						x		
Malone et al. 2004	USA	19,440, field	None	0.5	FLD	x		
Kjær et al. 2005	Denmark	(drained field)	None	1.44	HPLC-EI-MS	x		
Al-Rajab et al. 2008	France	2, laboratory	¹⁴ C	2.2	HPLC-FLD/RFD	x	x	
Grundmann et al. 2008	Germany	20, laboratory	¹⁴ C	3 × 1	Scintillation, HPLC-RFD	x	x	x
Klier et al. 2008	Germany	20, laboratory	¹⁴ C	10.8	Scintillation, HPLC-RFD	x	x	x
Bergström et al. 2011	Sweden	34, laboratory	¹⁴ C	1.5	GC-MS	x	x	
present study	Germany	1000, field	¹⁵ N- ¹³ C ₂	3.6	IR-MS, HPLC-ESI-MS/MS	x	x	x

GC, gas chromatography; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography

ESI-MS/MS, electro spray ionization tandem mass spectrometry; EI-MS, electron impact mass spectrometry

FLD, fluorescence detection; RFD, radio flow detection

IR-MS, isotopic ratio mass spectrometry

this experimental approach was well suited to trace GLYPi under practice-near experimental conditions.

Since (i) the great decline of GLYPi content down to < 3% of initial amounts in soil during the one-year study period and (ii) a lower decline of ¹³C (< 60%) and ¹⁵N (< 20%), we conclude that either further degradation products had been formed and/or non-extractable and, therefore, strongly bound GLYPi remained in soil and accumulated. The disparate increase of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values in leachates and plant material is explained plausibly by (i) rapid degradation of GLYPi within one vegetation period and, also (ii) the selective uptake of mineralized ¹⁵N species from degraded GLYPi as plant nutrient, most likely NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻. These findings from a wet hydrological year support the assumption that the risk of leaching of applied GLYP to other waterbodies can be considered to be low under central European climatic conditions. Accumulation in soil may enhance the risk of further distribution in the environment by soil erosion.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Evelyn Bolzmann from the Department Soil Physics at the University of Rostock for her support in IC measurements of Br-, Melitta Stratschka from the lysimeter station Falkenberg for the support in the management and cultivation of the test sites and also Prof. Silvia Rudloff and Dr. Christian Borsch from the University of Gießen (Department of Nutritional Sciences) for their supporting measurements through IR-MS. This research was conducted within the scope of the Leibniz ScienceCampus Phosphorus Research Rostock.

Funding information Open Access funding provided by Projekt DEAL. Peter Gros acknowledges a PhD grant from the state of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Ahmed, A. A., Leinweber, P., & Kühn, O. (2017). Unravelling the nature of glyphosate binding to goethite surfaces by *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 20, 1531.

- Ahmed, A. A., Gros, P., Kühn, O., & Leinweber, P. (2018). Molecular level investigation of the role of peptide interactions in the glyphosate analytics. *Chemosphere*, 196, 129–134.
- Al-Rajab, A. J., Amellal, S., & Schiavon, M. (2008). Sorption and leaching of ^{14}C -glyphosate in agricultural soils. *Agronomy of Sustainable Development*, 28, 419–428.
- Bergström, L., Börjesson, E., & Stenström, J. (2011). Laboratory and lysimeter studies of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid in a sand and a clay soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 40, 98–108.
- Borggaard, O. K., & Gimsing, A. L. (2008). Fate of glyphosate in soil and the possibility of leaching to ground and surface waters: a review. *Pest Management Science*, 64, 441–456.
- Bott, S., Tesfamariam, T., Kania, A., Eman, B., Aslan, N., Römheld, V., & Neumann, G. (2011). Phytotoxicity of glyphosate soil residues re-mobilised by phosphate fertilisation. *Plant and Soil*, 342, 249–263.
- Coupe, R. H., Kalkhoff, S. J., Capel, P. D., & Gregoire (2012). Fate and transport of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid in surface waters of agricultural basins. *Pest Management Science*, 68, 16–30.
- de Jonge, H., de Jonge, L. W., & Jacobsen, O. H. (2000). [^{14}C]glyphosate transport in undisturbed topsoil columns. *Pest Management Science*, 56, 909–915.
- Dion, H. M., Harsh, J. B., & Hill Jr., H. H. (2001). Competitive sorption between glyphosate and inorganic phosphate on clay minerals and low organic matter soils. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 249, 385–390.
- Dousset, S., Chauvin, C., Durlot, P., & Thévenot, M. (2004). Transfer of hexazinone and glyphosate through undisturbed soil columns in soils under Christmas tree cultivation. *Chemosphere*, 57, 265–272.
- Duke, S. O., Lydon, J., Koskinen, W. C., Moorman, T. B., Chaney, R. L., & Hammerschmidt, R. (2012). Glyphosate effects on plant mineral nutrition, crop rhizosphere microbiota, and plant disease in glyphosate resistant crops. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60, 10375–10397.
- Fomsgaard, I. S., Spliid, N. H., & Fielding, G. (2003). Leaching of pesticides through normal-tillage and low-tillage soil—a lysimeter study: II. Glyphosate. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health. Part. B*, 38, 19–35.
- Führ, F., Hance, R. J., Plimmer, J. R., & Nelson, J. O. (1998). *The lysimeter concept: environmental behaviour of pesticides* (Vol. 699). ACS Symposium Series, Washington.
- Giesy, J. P., Dobson, S., & Solomon, K. R. (2000). Ecotoxicological risk assessment for roundup® herbicide. In G. W. Ware (Ed.), *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* (Vol. 167). New York: Springer.
- Gros, P., Ahmed, A. A., Kühn, O., & Leinweber, P. (2017). Glyphosate binding in soil as revealed by sorption experiments and quantum-chemical modelling. *Science of the Total Environment*, 586, 527–535.
- Grundmann, S., Dörfler, U., Ruth, B., Loos, C., Wagner, T., Karl, H., Munch, J. C., & Schroll, R. (2008). Mineralization and transfer processes of ^{14}C -labeled pesticides in outdoor lysimeters. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus*, 8, 177–185.
- Kjær, J., Olsen, P., Ullum, M., & Grant, R. (2005). Leaching of glyphosate and amino-methylphosphonic acid from Danish agricultural field sites. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 34, 608–620.
- Klier, C., Grundmann, S., Gayler, S., & Priesack, E. (2008). Modelling the environmental fate of the herbicide glyphosate in soil lysimeters. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus*, 8, 187–207.
- Laitinen, P., Rämö, S., & Siimes, K. (2007). Glyphosate translocation from plants to soil—does this constitute a significant proportion of residues in soil? *Plant and Soil*, 300(1–2), 51–60.
- Malone, R. W., Shipitalo, M. J., Wauchope, R. D., & Sumner, H. (2004). Residual and contact herbicide transport through field lysimeters via preferential flow. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 33, 2141–2148.
- Meissner, R., Rupp, H., Seeger, J., Ollesch, G., & Gee, G. W. (2010). A comparison of water flux measurement: passive wick-samplers versus drainage lysimeters. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 61(4), 609–621.
- Morillo, E., Undabeytia, T., & Maqueda, C. (1997). Adsorption of glyphosate on the clay mineral montmorillonite: effect of Cu(II) in solution and adsorbed on the mineral. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 31, 3588–3592.
- Muskus, A. M., Krauss, M., Miltner, A., Hamer, U., & Nowak, K. M. (2019). Effect of temperature, pH and total organic carbon variations on microbial turnover of $^{13}\text{C}_3$ ^{15}N -glyphosate in agricultural soil. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 658, 697–707.
- Neumann, G., Kohls, S., Landsberg, E., Stock-Oliveira Souza, K., Yamada, T., & Römheld, V. (2006). Relevance of glyphosate transfer to non-target plants via the rhizosphere. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 963–969 ISSN 1861-4051.
- Okada, E., Costa, J. L., & Bedmar, F. (2016). Adsorption and mobility of glyphosate in different soils under no-till and conventional tillage. *Geoderma*, 263, 78–85.
- Richmond, M. E. (2018). Glyphosate: a review of its global use, environmental impact, and potential health effects on humans and other species. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 8, 416–434.
- Rupp, H., Meissner, R., & Leinweber, P. (2018). Plant available phosphorus in soil as predicted for leaching potential: insights from long-term lysimeter studies. *Ambio*, 41(suppl. 1), 103–113.
- Skeff, W., Neumann, C., & Schulz-Bull, D. E. (2015). Glyphosate and AMPA in the estuaries of the Baltic Sea method optimization and field study. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 100(1), 577–585.
- Tang, F. H. M., Jeffries, T. C., Vervoort, R. W., Conoley, C., Coleman, N. V., & Maggi, F. (2019). Microcosm experiments and kinetic modelling of glyphosate biodegradation in soils and sediments. *Science of the Total Environment*, 658, 105–115.
- Van Stempvoort, D. R., Roy, J. W., Brown, J., & Bickerton, G. (2014). Residues of the herbicide glyphosate in riparian groundwater in urban catchments. *Chemosphere*, 95, 455–463.
- Wirth, M. A., Sievers, M., Habedank, F., Kragl, U., Schulz-Bull, D. E., & Kanwischer, M. (2019). Electrodialysis as a sample processing tool for bulk organic matter and target pollutant analysis of seawater. *Marine Chemistry*, 217, 103719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2019.103719>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.