

Erratum to: Benefits of Bt cotton counterbalanced by secondary pests? Perceptions of ecological change in China

Jennifer H. Zhao · Peter Ho · Hossein Azadi

Published online: 5 August 2012
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

**Erratum to: Environ Monit Assess, (2011) 173, pp. 985–994,
DOI 10.1007/s10661-010-1439-y**

In Table 4 on p. 991 the labels for conventional cotton and Bt cotton have unfortunately been reversed. The corrected Table 4 is given below:

Table 4 Average sprayings for Bt cotton and conventional cotton per region/province

Cotton type	Yellow River region		Yangzi River region		Northwest
	Hebei	Shandong	Jiangsu	Anhui	
Conventional cotton	23	22	17	16	–
Bt cotton	17	13	12	11	–
Average difference in sprays	6	9	5	5	–

Source: Authors' survey

Figures for Xinjiang could not be obtained due to logistical problems during the survey

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1439-y>.

J. H. Zhao
Department of Agro-biotechnology,
China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

P. Ho (✉) · H. Azadi
Leiden Institute for Area Studies (LIAS),
Faculty of Humanities, Leiden University, Leiden,
The Netherlands
e-mail: peterpsho@gmail.com

H. Azadi
e-mail: hos.azadi@gmail.com