CANCER



Low-dose aspirin and risk of breast cancer: a Norwegian population-based cohort study of one million women

Lukas Løfling¹ · Nathalie C. Støer^{1,2} · Sara Nafisi^{1,3} · Giske Ursin^{4,5,6} · Solveig Hofvind^{7,8} · Edoardo Botteri^{1,9}

Received: 9 November 2022 / Accepted: 3 February 2023 / Published online: 6 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Several studies evaluated the association between aspirin use and risk of breast cancer (BC), with inconsistent results. We identified women aged \geq 50 years residing in Norway between 2004 and 2018, and linked data from nationwide registries; including the Cancer Registry of Norway, the Norwegian Prescription Database, and national health surveys. We used Cox regression models to estimate the association between low-dose aspirin use and BC risk, overall and by BC characteristics, women's age and body mass index (BMI), adjusting for sociodemographic factors and use of other medications. We included 1,083,629 women. During a median follow-up of 11.6 years, 257,442 (24%) women used aspirin, and 29,533 (3%) BCs occurred. For current use of aspirin, compared to never use, we found an indication of a reduced risk of oestrogen receptorpositive (ER+) BC (hazard ratio [HR]=0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.92–1.00), but not ER-negative BC (HR = 1.01, 95%CI: 0.90–1.13). The association with ER + BC was only found in women aged \geq 65 years (HR=0.95, 95%CI: 0.90–0.99), and became stronger as the duration of use increased (use of \geq 4 years HR =0.91, 95%CI: 0.85–0.98). BMI was available for 450,080 (42%) women. Current use of aspirin was associated with a reduced risk of ER + BC in women with BMI \geq 25 (HR = 0.91, 95%CI: 0.83–0.99; HR = 0.86, 95%CI: 0.75–0.97 for use of \geq 4 years), but not in women with BMI \leq 25.Use of low-dose aspirin was associated with reduced risk of ER + BC in women.

Keywords Breast cancer · Aspirin · Incidence · Cohort · Nested case-control · Population-based

- ¹ Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Postboks 5313 Majorstuen, 0304 Oslo, Norway
- ² Norwegian Research Centre for Women's Health, Women's Clinic, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- ³ Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ⁴ Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway
- ⁵ Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ⁶ Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁷ Section for Breast Cancer Screening, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway
- ⁸ Department of Health and Care Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway
- ⁹ Section for Colorectal Cancer Screening, Cancer Registry of Norway, Postboks 5313 Majorstuen, 0304 Oslo, Norway

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most diagnosed cancer and the leading cancer-related cause of death in women worldwide [1]. In 2020, it was estimated that approximately 2.3 million new cases of BC were diagnosed, and 700,000 women died of BC. Since the 1980s, the incidence of BC has increased in many high-income countries. Recently, the incidence of BC has also started to increase in many low- and middle-income countries, mainly due to changes in lifestyle factors, such as increased body mass index (BMI), physical inactivity, and postponement of childbearing.

Aspirin, mainly in low doses, is routinely used for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, such as heart attack and stroke. Use of aspirin has been associated with a reduced risk of different types of cancer, in particular colorectal cancer [2]. Several epidemiological studies have assessed the association between use of aspirin and the risk of BC, with inconsistent results [3]. The exact mechanism for the anticancer effect of aspirin remains unclear. One of the main

Edoardo Botteri edoardo.botteri@kreftregisteret.no

hypotheses is related to the inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes, which convert arachidonic acid into prostaglandins [4]. Prostaglandins play a role in cancer development as they suppress apoptosis, and promote cell proliferation, invasiveness, and migration. Furthermore, decreased levels of prostaglandins may also result in lower levels of oestrogens by downregulating aromatase, an enzyme involved in converting androgen to oestrogen in peripheral fat tissue, and consequently a reduction in the risk of oestrogen receptor-positive (ER +) BC [5, 6].

Previous studies on the association between aspirin and risk of BC have often been impaired by the small size of the study population, use of self-reported data on aspirin use, and the lack of information on tumours' and women's characteristics, which have made it difficult to examine possible associations in depth. In this study, we used a large nationwide population-based cohort consisting of more than 1 million women, and we investigated the association between use of low-dose aspirin and the risk of BC according to tumours' characteristics, such as stage or molecular subtype, and women's characteristics, such as age and BMI.

Methods

Data sources and study population

All Norwegian residents are assigned an 11-digit unique personal identification number at birth or immigration. The personal identification number is included in all national registries and allows for linkage between them. To explore the influence of low-dose aspirin on the risk of BC, we linked individual-level data from different population-based registries. Data on dispensed prescriptions were provided by the Norwegian Prescription Database, which collects detailed information on all dispensed prescriptions from community pharmacies on an individual level since January 2004 [7]. The database includes information on, for example, the date of dispensation, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code for the dispensed medications, amount dispensed (i.e., number of units dispensed, e.g., number of tablets), strength (i.e., amount of active pharmaceutical ingredient per unit, e.g., mg per tablet), and defined daily doses (DDD). DDD is defined as the average maintenance dose per day for a medication used for its main indication in adults [8]. Data on cancer diagnoses were provided by the Cancer Registry of Norway [9]. The Cancer Registry of Norway started recording incident cancer cases in 1953. For the registration period 2001-2005, the overall completeness of the Cancer Registry of Norway was estimated at 99%, with 99% of the BC cases being histologically verified [10]. Statistics Norway provided information on the date of birth, migration, educational level, income, marital status, country of origin,

and the number of children [11]. Information on the date of death and cause of death were provided by the Cause of Death Registry [12]. Information on BMI was obtained from a subset of women who had participated in different health surveys (The Cohort of Norway [CONOR] [13], Romsås in Motion [MoRo1] [14]), and the Norwegian mammography database [15]. We used the measurement closest in time to the start of follow-up, with a maximum of 5 years before or after the start of follow-up.

Using the Population Registry from Statistics Norway [11], we identified all women born in 1925–1986 who lived in Norway at any time between 1st January 2004 and 31st December 2018. We followed all women who lived in Norway for at least 6 months after the cohort entry date (1st January 2004, the first immigration, or the date they turned 49.5 years, whichever occurred last). Follow-up started 6 months after the cohort entry date. We included only women aged \geq 50 years at the start of follow-up for the following reasons. Low-dose aspirin use in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases and colorectal cancer has usually been recommended to individuals aged \geq 50 years [16]. Therefore, we consider women aged ≥ 50 years to be the relevant population from a clinical practice point of view and a public health point of view. Moreover, the restriction to women aged \geq 50 years was planned also to have a more homogeneous study population, which includes mostly post-menopausal women from the age they are invited to the mammography screening [15]. Finally, the fact that use of low-dose aspirin is rare among women aged < 50 years would have resulted in unstable estimates among these women, especially when stratified by women's or BC's characteristics. We excluded women with a known history of invasive cancer before the start of follow-up (except invasive non-melanoma skin cancer [International Classification of Diseases [ICD] version 10 code: C44]). Women were followed-up until a diagnosis of BC (outcome of interest), a diagnosis of another form of cancer, death, emigration, or administrative censoring (31st December 2018), whichever occurred first.

Exposure assessment

Use of low-dose aspirin (ATC code: B01AC06 and B01AC56) was based on dispensed prescriptions recorded in the Norwegian Prescription Database. We did not have access to data on dispensed prescriptions of aspirin in regular-dose (ATC code: N02BA01) used as analgesic. The total number of treatment days was calculated using the number of DDDs, assuming 1 DDD per day. The estimated duration of low-dose aspirin was extended by 4 months (grace period) to account for prolonged use beyond the estimated treatment days. Use of low-dose aspirin was handled in a time-varying way, meaning that all women may have contributed

person-time at risk as a never-user, current user, and past user. Women who were not dispensed a prescription of low-dose aspirin in the 6 months before the start of followup contributed time at risk as a never-user from the start of follow-up until the date of the first possible dispensed prescription of low-dose aspirin (Supplementary Fig. 1). Women contributed person-time at risk as a current user from the date of the dispensed prescription until the end of the estimated duration of low-dose aspirin (i.e., end of the grace period). If there were gaps between the end of the estimated duration and the next possible dispensed prescription of low-dose aspirin, women contributed person-time at risk as a past user from the end of the estimated duration of low-dose aspirin (i.e., end of the grace period) until the next dispensed prescription or end of follow-up. Women with a period of current use covering the date of start of follow-up, started to contribute person-time at risk as a current user from the start of follow-up. Women who were dispensed a prescription of low-dose aspirin in the 6 months before the start of follow-up, with an estimated duration not covering the start of follow-up started to contribute person-time as a past user from the start of follow-up.

Outcome assessment

A diagnosis of BC (ICD-10: C50, carcinomas only [ICD morphology codes: 801-823, 825-867, 894], i.e., excluding lymphomas, sarcomas, and carcinoids) was the outcome of interest. To categorise the molecular subtypes of BC, we used information from the Cancer Registry of Norway on ER status, progesterone receptor (PR) status, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status and Ki-67 level [17]; luminal A (ER + and/ or PR +, HER2-, Ki- $67 \le 14$), luminal B HER2- (ER + and/ or PR +, HER2-, Ki-67 > 14), luminal B HER2 + (ER + and/ or PR +, HER2 +), HER2 + (ER-, PR-, HER2+), and triple-negative BC (TNBC) (ER-, PR-, HER2-). In the case of missing information on Ki-67, we used tumour grade I for luminal A, and II-III for luminal B HER2- [18]. Information on the BC stage in the Cancer Registry of Norway was categorised as local, regional, or distant according to the United States National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program [19].

Study design

We applied a cohort design to estimate the association between use of low-dose aspirin and risk of BC. In addition, we applied a nested case–control design to categorise low-dose aspirin use in different intervals of duration (never, past, current use of <2, 2–3.9 and \geq 4 years) and to assess a potential trend in the risk of BC by the duration of lowdose aspirin use. The duration of low-dose aspirin use was assessed in the last treatment episode before the index age (i.e., age at BC diagnosis for the cases and the corresponding age for the matched controls). We excluded women who stayed <4 years in the cohort (i.e., women with <4 years from start of follow-up until a BC diagnosis or censoring). In this way, we were able to categorise the women to have been a user for ≥ 4 years if the last treatment episode was a period of current use which lasted for ≥ 4 years. The 4-year limit was decided based on a trade-off between not excluding too many women and setting a minimum length (4 years in our study) that could be considered as intermediate/longterm use. The BC cases identified in the cohort study were individually matched with 10 controls from the cohort who were still at risk of BC at the age when the case got the BC diagnosis. In the analyses of the nested case-control study stratified by BMI, the cases and controls were also matched on BMI (<25 kg/m², \geq 25 kg/m²).

Statistical analysis

Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between use of low dose-aspirin and risk of BC in the cohort design. Attained age was used as the underlying time scale. In the nested case–control design, we used conditional logistic regression models to estimate the HR and 95% CI for the association between the duration of lowdose aspirin use and risk of BC. The *p*-value for trend, in the nested case–control study, was estimated by entering the categorical exposure variable (never, past, current <2, 2–3.9 and \geq 4 years) as a continuous variable (values from 0 to 4) in the models.

The Cox proportional hazard models and the conditional logistic regression models were adjusted for a priori selected covariates collected at the start of follow-up in the analysis of the cohort study and at the index age in the analysis of the nested case-control study: age in years, education (none/ primary school only, secondary school, university, missing), income quartiles, marital status (married/partnered, not married/partnered, missing) country of origin (Norway, other Nordic countries [i.e., Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Sweden], rest of the world), number of children $(0, 1, 2, \geq 3)$, and ever use (≥ 1 dispensed prescription) of other anti-platelets, betablockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), calcium channel blockers (CCB), diuretics, statins, antidiabetics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), and menopausal hormone therapy (HT) (for ATC-codes, see Supplementary Table 1). We adjusted for the different medications for 3 different reasons: 1) because they are possible confounders (i.e., associated with both aspirin use and risk of BC), 2) they are used to treat conditions that are possible confounders (we use them as a proxy for the condition since we do not have information on comorbid conditions), or 3) to control for possible underlying risk factors of those comorbid conditions (e.g., smoking, diet, physical activity, or alcohol consumption). Women were categorised as ever users in the time after the first dispensed prescription in the cohort study and in the period before the index age in the nested case–control study. Missing information on covariates was handled as a separate category in the variable. We performed analyses overall, and separately in subgroups defined by ER status, molecular subtype, stage, women's age (attained age in the cohort study, and index age in the nested case–control study: 50–64.9 years, 65–94 years), and BMI (<25 kg/ m^2 , \geq 25 kg/m²).

In addition to the main analyses, we performed sensitivity analyses where we assessed the association between use of low-dose aspirin and BC risk separately for screen-detected BC and symptomatic BC (including both interval-detected BC and BC detected outside the screening program), where we censored for the other mode of detection. We also performed an analysis where we censored women at the time they were dispensed a prescription of HT, a well-established risk factor for BC [20]. Finally, in order to assess the influence of the length of the grace period, we performed analyses where we changed the grace period from 4 months to 2 and 6 months.

All tests were two-sided with a 5% significance level. Statistical analyses were performed using R software version 3.4.4 or later (http://cran.r-project.org/).

Ethics approval

The study received ethics approval from the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics Sør-Øst (S-09113b 2009/2062, 2014/1854/REK sør-Øst B) and from the Norwegian Data Protection Authority (17/00222–4/GRA). For the registry data and the data from the Norwegian mammography database, informed consent from the included study subjects was not required according to Norwegian law. For the included national health surveys, informed consent was collected from the participants at inclusion.

Results

We identified 1,872,600 Norwegian women who lived in Norway at any time between 2004 and 2018. We excluded 788,971 women because they lived less than 6 months in Norway (n=15,311), had a history of cancer (n=64,064) and were < 50 years at the end of follow-up (n=709,596). Hence in total, we included 1,083,629 women. During a median follow-up of 11.6 years, 257,442 (24%) women were classified as ever users of low-dose aspirin (83% of the prescriptions were 75 mg aspirin and 17% were 160 mg aspirin) and 29,533 (3%) BCs were diagnosed. At the start of follow-up, the women who never used low-dose aspirin during the study period were younger, more educated, had a higher income, were more likely to be nulliparous, and less often used other medications than the women who used low-dose aspirin (Table 1).

In the nested case–control study used for assessing the association between the duration of low-dose aspirin use and BC risk, we included 20,523 cases and 205,230 matched controls. At the start of follow-up, the women included in the nested case–control study were older, had a lower income, and were less often married compared to the women in the full cohort (Supplementary Table 2). At index age, the cases were more educated and had a higher income than the controls (Supplementary Table 3).

BMI was available for 450,080 (42%) women. The median time between the BMI measurement and the start of follow-up was 2 years after the start of follow-up (1st quartile: 1 year after, 3rd quartile: 3 years after). At the start of follow-up, women with a BMI measurement were more educated, had a higher income, and were less often married compared to the women in the full cohort (Supplementary Table 2).

Association between use of low-dose aspirin and BC incidence

In the total population, HR for the association between current use of low-dose aspirin, as compared to never use, and risk of any type of BC, was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.94-1.02) (Table 2), while the HR for past use was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.94-1.05). The HRs for the association between current use of low-dose aspirin, as compared to never use, and risk of ER + BC and ER- BC were estimated at 0.96 (95% CI: 0.92–1.00) and 1.01 (95% CI: 0.90–1.13), respectively. The association with ER + BC was observed among women aged ≥ 65 years (HR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.90–0.99), but not in women aged 50–64.9 years (HR = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.92-1.07). The association was mainly driven by luminal A BC (HR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.85-0.99 in the overall population; HR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.82–0.99 among women aged \geq 65 years). For ER + BC, in women aged \geq 65 years, we found a trend in the association by duration of low-dose aspirin use, HRs for past use and current use of < 2, 2-3.9and ≥ 4 years were 1.01 (95% CI: 0.94–1.09), 1.02 (95% CI: 0.93-1.12), 0.94 (95% CI: 0.84-1.06) and 0.91 (95% CI: 0.85-0.98), respectively (*p*-value for trend = 0.016) (Table 3). We found no trend in women aged 50–64.9 years. When ER status was simultaneously stratified by cancer stage and age, we found no evidence in support of an association with reduced risk of BC in any of the subgroups (Table 4).

Low-dose aspirin and risk of breast cancer: a Norwegian population-based cohort study of one...

417

Table 1Baseline characteristicsby use of low-dose aspirin,Norway 2004–2018		No low-dose aspirin (<i>N</i> =826,187)	Low-dose aspirin (N=257,442)
	Age (years) at the start of follow-up		
	Median (Q1, Q3)	50.0 (50.0, 58.8)	62.6 (54.7, 71.3)
	Highest education level		
	None/primary school	211,197 (25.6%)	99,160 (38.5%)
	Secondary school	350,244 (42.4%)	114,041 (44.3%)
	University	233,135 (28.2%)	41,695 (16.2%)
	Missing	31,611 (3.8%)	2546 (1.0%)
	Income (Norwegian kroner)		
	Q1 (<154,000)	165,160 (20.0%)	100,898 (39.2%)
	Q2 (154,000–258,000)	185,197 (22.4%)	80,860 (31.4%)
	Q3 (258,001–385,000)	215,196 (26.0%)	50,861 (19.8%)
	Q4 (> 385,000)	241,733 (29.3%)	24,324 (9.4%)
	Missing	18,901 (2.3%)	499 (0.2%)
	Marital status		
	Married/partnered	315,361 (38.2%)	102,736 (39.9%)
	Not married/partnered	487,302 (59.0%)	153,373 (59.6%)
	Missing	23,524 (2.8%)	1333 (0.5%)
	Country of origin	20,02 ((10,0))	
	Norway	712,745 (86.3%)	238,051 (92.5%)
	Other Nordic countries ^a	28,987 (3.5%)	5584 (2.2%)
	Rest of the world	84,455 (10.2%)	13,807 (5.4%)
	Children	01,100 (10.2%)	15,007 (5.170)
	0	115,031 (13.9%)	24,828 (9.6%)
	1	109,813 (13.3%)	32,398 (12.6%)
	2	316,960 (38.4%)	91,920 (35.7%)
	≥3	284,383 (34.4%)	108,296 (42.1%)
	$BMI(kg/m^2)$	201,000 (01.170)	100,290 (12.170)
	<25	179,536 (21.7%)	38,346 (14.9%)
	≥25	176,026 (21.3%)	56,172 (21.8%)
	Missing	470,625 (57.0%)	162,924 (63.3%)
	Ever use of other drugs	470,025 (57.070)	102,924 (05.570)
	Other anti-platelets	8027 (1.0%)	62,884 (24.4%)
	Beta-blockers	124,763 (15.1%)	147,168 (57.2%)
	Calcium channel blockers	109,621 (13.3%)	107,050 (41.6%)
	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	60,371 (7.3%)	70,256 (27.3%)
	Angiotensin receptor blockers	161,072 (19.5%)	119,317 (46.3%)
	Diuretics	180,535 (21.9%)	150,896 (58.6%)
	Statins		
		156,505 (18.9%)	186,762 (72.5%)
	Antidiabetics	43,906 (5.3%) 564 833 (68 4%)	42,711 (16.6%)
	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	564,833 (68.4%) 205 106 (26.0%)	205,613 (79.9%)
	Menopausal hormone therapy	305,196 (36.9%)	113,028 (43.9%)
	Breast cancer detection mode ^b	7270 (21.201)	1144 (10.201)
	Screen-detected Symptomatic breast cancer	7379 (31.3%) 16,224 (68.7%)	1144 (19.3%) 4786 (80.7%)

Abbreviations Quartile (Q), Body mass index (BMI)

^aIncludes Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Sweden

^bOnly including women with a breast cancer diagnosis

					50-64.9			≥65		
					Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)
Total population	Never use	23,603	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	14,598	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	9005	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	4183	1,497,420	0.98 (0.94–1.02)	1128	402,125	1.02 (0.95-1.10)	3055	1,095,295	0.96 (0.91–1.01)
	Past use	1747	586,836	0.99 (0.94–1.05)	425	161,296	0.91 (0.83-1.01)	1322	425,541	1.02 (0.96–1.08)
Molecular subtype										
ER+	Never use	18,682	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	11,735	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	6947	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	3201	1,497,420	0.96 (0.92-1.00)	902	402,125	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	2299	1,095,295	0.95 (0.90-0.99)
	Past use	1423	586,836	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	355	161,296	0.92 (0.83-1.03)	1068	425,541	1.05 (0.98-1.13)
ER-	Never use	2830	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	1819	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	1011	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	507	1,497,420	1.01 (0.90-1.13)	135	402,125	0.96 (0.79–1.18)	372	1,095,295	1.02 (0.89–1.17)
	Past use	221	586,836	1.08 (0.93-1.25)	57	161,296	0.99 (0.76–1.31)	164	425,541	1.11 (0.93–1.32)
Luminal A	Never use	6203	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	3892	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	2311	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	984	1,497,420	0.92 (0.85–0.99)	307	402,125	0.96 (0.83-1.10)	677	1,095,295	0.90 (0.82-0.99)
	Past use	454	586,836	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	123	161,296	0.89 (0.74–1.08)	331	425,541	1.06 (0.93-1.20)
Luminal B HER2-	Never use	9122	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	5706	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	3416	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	1608	1,497,420	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	435	402,125	1.00 (0.89–1.12)	1173	1,095,295	0.96 (0.89–1.04)
	Past use	727	586,836	1.06 (0.97–1.15)	182	161,296	0.99 (0.85–1.15)	545	425,541	1.07 (0.97–1.18)
Luminal B HER2+	Never use	1898	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	1276	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	622	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	288	1,497,420	0.93 (0.80-1.07)	88	402,125	0.96 (0.75–1.23)	200	1,095,295	0.91 (0.76–1.09)
	Past use	123	586,836	0.98 (0.81–1.19)	37	161,296	0.97 (0.69–1.36)	86	425,541	0.97 (0.76–1.24)
HER2+	Never use	894	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	603	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	291	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	144	1,497,420	1.00 (0.81–1.23)	47	402,125	1.01 (0.71–1.43)	67	1,095,295	0.99 (0.76–1.30)
	Past use	49	586,836	$0.81 \ (0.60 - 1.10)$	11	161,296	0.58 (0.32-1.07)	38	425,541	0.91 (0.64–1.31)
TNBC	Never use	1617	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	1021	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	596	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	303	1,497,420	0.99 (0.85–1.15)	73	402,125	0.92 (0.70-1.22)	230	1,095,295	1.01 (0.85–1.21)
	Past use	149	586,836	1.19(0.99 - 1.43)	40	161,296	1.22 (0.88–1.69)	109	425,541	1.18 (0.95–1.47)
<i>Abbreviations</i> Hazard ratio (HR). Confidence interval (CI). Oestrogen recentor (ER). Human epidermal growth factor recentor 2 (HER2). Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC)	atio (HR). Confidence	e interval (C	JD. Oestrogen rece	ptor (ER). Human epi	idermal gro	wth factor recepto	or 2 (HER2). Triple-n	egative bre	ast cancer (TNBC	
^a Adiusted for age in years (the underlying time scale), education, income quartiles, marital status, country of origin, number of children and ever use of other anti-platelets, beta-blockers, angi-	are (the underlying tit	e (eleos en	whication income	martiles marital statu		of origin number	r of children and ever	. use of oth	er anti-nlatelets k	o eta-blockers, anoi

Table 2 Association between use of low-dose aspirin and incidence of breast cancer in Norway 2004–2018, by molecular subtype and age

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

	Low-dose aspirin	Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)	Age at in	Age at index (years)				
					50-64.9			≥65		
					Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)
Total population	Never use	15,570	155,866	1 (ref.)	8166	81,617	1 (ref.)	7404	74,249	1 (ref.)
	Past use	1621	15,500	0.99(0.94 - 1.05)	366	3845	$0.93\ (0.83{-}1.05)$	1255	11,655	1.01 (0.94–1.08)
	Use < 2 years	983	9543	1.02 (0.95-1.09)	265	2690	1.00 (0.87–1.14)	718	6853	1.03 (0.94-1.12)
	Use 2–3.9 years	641	6315	1.01 (0.93-1.10)	164	1586	1.06 (0.89–1.26)	477	4729	$0.99\ (0.90-1.10)$
	$Use \ge 4$ years	1708	18,006	$0.94\ (0.88-0.99)$	351	3382	1.05 (0.93-1.19)	1357	14,624	$0.91\ (0.85-0.98)$
	P for trend	0.088			0.443			0.020		
Molecular subtype										
ER+	Never use	13,089	130,658	1 (ref.)	6889	68,695	1 (ref.)	6200	61,963	1 (ref.)
	Past use	1340	12,828	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	309	3286	0.92(0.81 - 1.04)	1031	9542	1.01 (0.94-1.09)
	Use < 2 years	<i>L</i> 6 <i>L</i>	7917	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	211	2291	0.93(0.80 - 1.08)	586	5626	1.02 (0.93-1.12)
	Use 2–3.9 years	498	5191	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	130	1353	0.99(0.81 - 1.19)	368	3838	$0.94\ (0.84{-}1.06)$
	$Use \ge 4$ years	1422	14,866	0.94 (0.88–1.01)	312	2885	1.09 (0.96–1.24)	1110	11,981	0.91 (0.85-0.98)
	P for trend	0.065			0.514			0.016		
ER-	Never use	1928	19,597	1 (ref.)	1059	10,690	1 (ref.)	869	8907	1 (ref.)
	Past use	208	1918	1.06 (0.90–1.25)	48	457	1.05 (0.77–1.44)	160	1461	1.06 (0.87–1.28)
	Use <2 years	135	1212	1.13 (0.92–1.37)	44	341	1.27 (0.90–1.80)	91	871	1.07 (0.84–1.36)
	Use 2–3.9 years	94	810	1.17 (0.93–1.48)	27	182	1.45 (0.94–2.25)	67	628	1.08 (0.82–1.42)
	Use≥4 years	213	2243	$0.96\ (0.81 - 1.13)$	30	410	0.73 $(0.49 - 1.09)$	183	1833	1.01 (0.83–1.22)
	P for trend	0.913			0.831			0.823		
Luminal A	Never use	4640	45,855	1 (ref.)	2480	24,578	1 (ref.)	2160	21,277	1 (ref.)
	Past use	439	4275	0.96 (0.86–1.07)	112	1211	0.89 (0.72–1.10)	327	3064	0.99(0.87 - 1.13)
	Use <2 years	229	2684	0.84 (0.73–0.97)	69	837	$0.83\ (0.64{-}1.08)$	160	1847	$0.85\ (0.72{-}1.01)$
	Use 2–3.9 years	164	1714	0.95 (0.80–1.13)	50	496	1.02 (0.75–1.39)	114	1218	0.93 (0.76–1.14)
	Use≥4 years	464	4832	$0.94\ (0.84{-}1.06)$	108	1068	1.02(0.81 - 1.28)	356	3764	$0.93\ (0.82 - 1.06)$
	P for trend	0.162			0.808			0.167		
Luminal B HER2-	Never use	6342	63,876	1 (ref.)	3322	33,371	1 (ref.)	3020	30,505	1 (ref.)
	Past use	675	6224	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	151	1550	0.95 (0.79–1.13)	524	4674	1.06 (0.95–1.18)
	Use <2 years	413	3839	1.06 (0.95–1.19)	107	1056	1.03 (0.83–1.27)	306	2783	1.08 (0.95–1.23)
	Use 2–3.9 years	252	2614	$0.95\ (0.83{-}1.10)$	65	641	1.04 (0.79–1.36)	187	1973	0.93 (0.79–1.09)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	711	7377	0.95 (0.87–1.04)	154	1372	1.12 (0.93–1.35)	557	6005	0.91 (0.82–1.01)
	P for trend	0.308			0.278			0.087		
Luminal B HER2+	Never use	1301	12,935	1 (ref.)	736	7356	1 (ref.)	565	5579	1 (ref.)
	Dant 1100	711	1151		"	247	0 73 /0 55 0 06)	0.2	004	0.05 (0.72 1.04)

				~	0					
					50-64.9			≥65		
					Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)
	Use <2 years	83	750	1.09 (0.85–1.39)	25	256	1.02 (0.66–1.59)	58	494	1.12 (0.82–1.51)
	Use 2–3.9 years	4	472	0.93 (0.67–1.30)	10	146	0.77 (0.39–1.49)	34	326	1.00 (0.68–1.47)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	120	1332	0.89 (0.71–1.11)	34	275	1.32 (0.87–1.99)	86	1057	0.76 (0.59–0.99)
	P for trend	0.373			0.435			0.103		
HER2+	Never use	618	6115	1 (ref.)	366	3640	1 (ref.)	252	2475	1 (ref.)
	Past use	48	567	0.82 (0.59–1.13)	10	162	0.64 (0.33–1.25)	38	405	1.05 (0.62–1.77)
	Use <2 years	41	359	1.17(0.82 - 1.68)	15	110	1.44 (0.79–2.62)	26	249	1.08 (0.68–1.69)
	Use 2–3.9 years	26	237	1.11 (0.71–1.72)	7	63	1.14 (0.50–2.61)	19	174	1.05 (0.62–1.77)
	Use≥4 years	58	632	0.95 (0.69–1.31)	12	125	0.97 (0.50–1.90)	46	507	0.90 (0.62–1.31)
	P for trend	0.942			0.806			0.750		
TNBC	Never use	1139	11,688	1 (ref.)	609	6178	1 (ref.)	530	5510	1 (ref.)
	Past use	142	1191	1.17 (0.96–1.44)	34	257	1.32 (0.90–1.93)	108	934	1.13 (0.89–1.43)
	Use <2 years	84	748	1.11 (0.87–1.43)	25	204	1.20 (0.76–1.89)	59	544	1.08 (0.80–1.46)
	Use 2–3.9 years	58	490	1.17 (0.87–1.57)	17	106	1.58 (0.90–2.77)	41	384	1.04 (0.73–1.48)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	129	1403	0.91 (0.73–1.13)	16	265	0.60 (0.35–1.04)	113	1138	0.98 (0.77–1.25)
	P for trend	0.683			0.568			0.886		

^a Adjusted for age in years, education, income quartiles, marital status, country of origin, number of children, and ever use of other anti-platelets, beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, statins, antidiabetics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and menopausal hormone therapy

420

Table 3 (continued)

		-			•	•				
	Low-dose aspirin	Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)	Age (years)	rs)				
					50-64.9			≥65		
					Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)
ER+Local	Never use	11,825	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	7575	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	4250	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	1876	1,497,420	0.96 (0.91–1.02)	566	402,125	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	1310	1,095,295	0.97 (0.90–1.04)
	Past use	824	586,836	1.02 (0.95-1.10)	248	161,296	0.97 (0.85–1.11)	576	425,541	1.04 (0.95–1.14)
Regional	Never use	5259	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	3387	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	1872	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	937	1,497,420	0.97 (0.89–1.06)	273	402,125	1.09 (0.94–1.26)	664	1,095,295	0.93(0.84 - 1.03)
	Past use	374	586,836	$0.94\ (0.84{-}1.06)$	85	161,296	$0.80\ (0.64{-}1.00)$	289	425,541	0.99 (0.87–1.13)
Distant	Never use	597	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	322	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	275	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	120	1,497,420	1.04 (0.82–1.31)	22	402,125	0.95 (0.58–1.56)	98	1,095,295	1.07 (0.82–1.39)
	Past use	53	586,836	1.13 (0.83–1.53)	8	161,296	0.85 (0.41–1.75)	45	425,541	1.20 (0.86–1.68)
ER-Local	Never use	1519	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	1000	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	519	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	268	1,497,420	1.07 (0.92–1.25)	85	402,125	1.12(0.86 - 1.46)	183	1,095,295	1.04 (0.85–1.26)
	Past use	108	586,836	1.07 (0.87–1.32)	32	161,296	1.02 (0.71–1.47)	76	425,541	1.06 (0.82–1.38)
Regional	Never use	1001	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	636	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	365	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	171	1,497,420	0.93 (0.77–1.13)	41	402,125	0.91 (0.63–1.31)	130	1,095,295	0.93 (0.74–1.17)
	Past use	81	586,836	1.08 (0.84–1.38)	21	161,296	1.11 (0.71–1.75)	09	425,541	1.05 (0.79–1.42)
Distant	Never use	144	8,670,359	1 (ref.)	80	5,543,101	1 (ref.)	64	3,127,258	1 (ref.)
	Current use	31	1,497,420	1.01 (0.64–1.60)	9	402,125	0.89 (0.34–2.33)	25	1,095,295	1.06 (0.63–1.80)
	Past use	9	586,836	0.80 (0.39–1.62)	1	161,296	ı	8	425,541	0.94 (0.43–2.03)

type, stage, and age	
by molecular sub	
rway 2004–2018, l	
reast cancer in Nor	
and incidence of b	
low-dose aspirin a	
ciation between use of l	
Table 4 Assoc	

^a Adjusted for age in years (the underlying time scale), education, income quartiles, marital status, country of origin, number of children, and ever use of other anti-platelets, beta-blockers, angi-otensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, statins, antidiabetics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and menopausal hormone Abbreviations Hazard ratio (HR), Confidence interval (CI), Oestrogen receptor (ER) therapy

Description Springer

		BMI (kg	g/ m ²)				
		<25			≥25		
	Low-dose aspirin	Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Person-years	HR ^a (95% CI)
	Never use	4858	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	5469	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	508	200,936	1.03 (0.93–1.15)	916	341,753	0.91 (0.84–0.99)
	Past use	259	93,207	1.06 (0.93–1.21)	410	128,457	1.04 (0.94–1.16)
Molecular subtype							
ER+	Never use	4090	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	4662	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	415	200,936	1.00 (0.88-1.12)	771	341,753	0.91 (0.83-0.99)
	Past use	208	93,207	0.98 (0.85-1.14)	335	128,457	1.00 (0.89–1.12)
ER-	Never use	588	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	615	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	64	200,936	1.12 (0.83–1.51)	115	341,753	0.97 (0.77-1.23)
	Past use	50	93,207	1.80 (1.32-2.46)	55	128,457	1.20 (0.90–1.60)
Luminal A	Never use	1616	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	1661	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	172	200,936	0.99 (0.83-1.20)	264	341,753	0.86 (0.74–0.99)
	Past use	71	93,207	0.82 (0.64-1.05)	125	128,457	1.03 (0.85–1.24)
Luminal B HER2-	Never use	1860	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	2297	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	194	200,936	1.01 (0.85–1.20)	381	341,753	0.93 (0.81-1.05)
	Past use	103	93,207	1.09 (0.88–1.34)	169	128,457	1.04 (0.88–1.23)
Luminal B HER2+	Never use	394	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	463	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	33	200,936	1.10 (0.73–1.65)	72	341,753	0.86 (0.64–1.15)
	Past use	20	93,207	1.25 (0.78-2.00)	27	128,457	0.86 (0.57-1.28)
HER2+	Never use	209	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	199	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	23	200,936	1.26 (0.76–2.11)	33	341,753	0.90 (0.58-1.39)
	Past use	11	93,207	1.23 (0.65–2.33)	10	128,457	0.70 (0.36–1.35)
TNBC	Never use	322	2,185,363	1 (ref.)	361	2,167,082	1 (ref.)
	Current use	31	200,936	0.90 (0.59-1.38)	76	341,753	1.06 (0.79–1.42)
	Past use	35	93,207	2.10 (1.43-3.08)	39	128,457	1.42 (0.99-2.02)

Table 5 Association between use of low-dose aspirin and incidence of breast cancer in Norway 2004–2018, by molecular subtype and body mass index (n = 450,080)

Abbreviations Body mass index (BMI), Hazard ratio (HR), Confidence interval (CI), Oestrogen receptor (ER), Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC)

^aAdjusted for age in years (the underlying time scale), education, income quartiles, marital status, country of origin, number of children, and ever use of other anti-platelets, beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, statins, antidiabetics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and menopausal hormone therapy

In women with a BMI \geq 25, we found an association between current use of low-dose aspirin, as compared to never use, and reduced risk of ER + BC (HR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.83–0.99), but not in women with a BMI < 25 (HR = 1.00, 95% CI: 0.88–1.12) (Table 5). For ER + BC, in women with a BMI \geq 25, HRs for past use and current use of < 2, 2–3.9 and \geq 4 years were 0.95 (95% CI: 0.83–1.07), 0.96 (95% CI: 0.82–1.12), 0.96 (95% CI: 0.79–1.15) and 0.86 (95% CI: 0.75–0.97), respectively (*p*-value for trend = 0.024) (Table 6).

In the analysis where symptomatic BC was the event of interest, HR for the association between current use of low-dose aspirin, as compared to never use, and risk of ER+BC among all women was estimated at 0.98 (95% CI: 0.93–1.03) and the corresponding estimate among women aged ≥ 65 years was 0.96 (95% CI: 0.91–1.02). The corresponding estimates for the analysis where screen-detected ER + BC was the event of interest were 0.92 (95% CI: 0.84–1.00) for all women and 0.87 (95% CI: 0.76–0.99) for women aged ≥ 65 , respectively. In the analyses where women were censored at the time of their first filled prescription of HT, the HR for the association between current use of low-dose aspirin, as compared to never use, and risk of ER + BC among all women was estimated at 0.94 (95% CI: 0.90–1.00), and the corresponding estimate among women aged ≥ 65 years was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.87–1.01). When applying a 2-month grace period, the HR for the association between current use, and risk of ER + BC among all women was estimated at 0.95 (95% CI: 0.90–0.99) and at 0.95 (95% CI: 0.90–0.99) and at 0.95 (95% CI: 0.90–0.99) and the 0.

	Low-dose aspirin	BMI (kg/	' m ²)				
		<25			≥25		
		Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)	Cases	Controls	HR ^a (95% CI)
Total population	Never use	3844	38,920	1 (ref.)	4379	43,059	1 (ref.)
	Past use	245	2364	1.03 (0.90-1.19)	387	3828	0.98 (0.88-1.10)
	Use < 2 years	160	1444	1.16 (0.98–1.39)	244	2509	0.96 (0.83–1.11)
	Use 2–3.9 years	92	971	1.01 (0.80-1.26)	168	1671	1.00 (0.84–1.18)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	211	2221	1.00 (0.85-1.18)	410	4810	0.84 (0.75–0.95)
	P for trend	0.652			0.010		
Molecular subtype							
ER+	Never use	3305	32,874	1 (ref.)	3776	36,974	1 (ref.)
	Past use	194	1997	0.96 (0.82-1.12)	318	3270	0.95 (0.83-1.07)
	Use < 2 years	132	1248	1.11 (0.92–1.35)	204	2110	0.96 (0.82-1.12)
	Use 2–3.9 years	79	820	1.02 (0.80–1.31)	137	1426	0.96 (0.79–1.15)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	173	1891	0.96 (0.81-1.15)	357	4140	0.86 (0.75-0.97)
	P for trend	0.938			0.024		
ER-	Never use	476	4966	1 (ref.)	507	5128	1 (ref.)
	Past use	47	294	1.65 (1.17–2.34)	52	465	1.10 (0.80–1.51)
	Use < 2 years	23	159	1.55 (0.96–2.50)	36	331	0.98 (0.66–1.44)
	Use 2–3.9 years	9	128	0.78 (0.38-1.60)	26	205	1.18 (0.75–1.84)
	$Use \ge 4$ years	27	273	1.10 (0.69–1.73)	46	551	0.76 (0.53-1.08)
	P for trend	0.525			0.291		

 Table 6
 Association between duration of low-dose aspirin use and incidence of breast cancer in Norway 2004–2018, by molecular subtype and body mass index

Abbreviations Body mass index (BMI), Hazard ratio (HR), Confidence interval (CI), Oestrogen receptor (ER)

^aAdjusted for age in years, education, income quartiles, marital status, country of origin, number of children, and ever use of other anti-platelets, beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, statins, antidiabetics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and menopausal hormone therapy

0.90–1.00) among women aged \geq 65 years. The corresponding estimates when applying a 6-month grace period were 0.96 (95% CI: 0.92–1.00) and 0.95 (95% CI: 0.90–1.00), respectively.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study assessing the association between use of low-dose aspirin and risk of BC. Most of the previous studies reported no association [3], possibly because they included smaller study populations, or because they did not stratify by women's characteristics or cancer molecular subtypes. Our large study population, in combination with the comprehensive linkage of pharmaceutical, patient, and clinical information, allowed us to study the association in detail in various subgroups defined by women's age and BMI and cancer molecular subtype. We found that current use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a slightly reduced risk of ER + BC in women aged ≥ 65 years and women with a BMI ≥ 25 . The associations became more pronounced with longer durations of low-dose aspirin use. The evidence of an association was particularly clear for the luminal A BC. No association was found with ER- BC. Prostaglandins are synthesised by the COX enzyme, which is inhibited by aspirin [4]. Prostaglandins are involved in different processes of cancer development, such as suppression of apoptosis and promotion of cell proliferation, invasiveness, and migration. It has also been suggested that prostaglandins might increase the activity of aromatase [5, 6], an enzyme involved in the conversion of androstenedione to estrone, the major oestrogen in postmenopausal women. Therefore, higher prostaglandin levels could result in an increased risk of BC, in particular ER + BC. Hence, reducing the prostaglandin levels by inhibiting the COX enzymes with aspirin might result in a lower risk of BC. Peripheral aromatase expression in breast adipose tissue is responsible for most of the oestrogen production in postmenopausal women, and the aromatase activity in breast adipose tissue is increasing with age, also after menopause [21]. Hence, the regulation of aromatase activity in the breast might be particularly important for the development of ER + BC among older women. Therefore, it is plausible that older women might benefit more from the suggested decreased aromatase activity compared to younger women. In line with this hypothesis, we found an association between low-dose aspirin use and reduced risk of ER + BC in older women (aged \geq 65 years). Consistent with our results of an association only among women aged \geq 65 years, Hurwitz et al. analysed a cohort of 423,495 women among whom 9730 BC cases occurred [22], and reported an indication of an association between aspirin use (including both low-dose and regular-dose) and risk of any type of BC among women aged \geq 70 years (HR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.74–1.01), but not among women aged 50–59 years (HR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.86–1.05), or 60–69 years (HR = 0.98, 95% CI: 0.92–1.04).

In line with our findings, Ma et al. performed a metaanalysis in 2020 [3], including 14 observational studies that assessed the association between aspirin use and BC risk separately by ER status, and reported that the use of aspirin (including both low-dose and regular-dose) was associated with a reduced risk of ER + BC (relative risk [RR]: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.82–0.97), but not ER- BC (RR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.84–1.09). The fact that this association only held for ER + BC is compatible with the hypothesis that aspirin exerts an anti-cancer effect by lowering oestrogen levels [5, 6]. In contrast to our study, a Danish cohort study and a Spanish case-control study failed to find an association with ER + BC [23, 24], possibly because they included smaller study populations and larger proportions of young women compared to our study. The Danish study included 28,965 women aged 50-65 years, and the Spanish study included 1736 cases (mean age: 56.4 years) and 1909 controls (mean age: 59.0 years).

Inflammation can cause DNA damage resulting in changes that lead to cancer development and progression [25]. Reducing the levels of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandins, by inhibiting the COX enzymes, may thus reduce the risk of cancer [4]. Overweight and obesity are conditions with increased levels of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandins [26]. Therefore, women with a higher BMI might benefit more from the use of aspirin compared to women with a lower BMI. Our results of an association between low-dose aspirin use and a reduced risk of BC among women with a BMI \geq 25, but not among women with a BMI < 25, is in line with this hypothesis. Only a few studies have investigated the association between aspirin use and BC risk by BMI. In line with our results, Cui et al. (2674 BC cases and 2361 controls) reported that regular use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a reduced risk of any type of BC among women with a BMI \geq 25 (odds ratio [OR] = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.59-0.94) [27], but not among those with a BMI < 25 (OR = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.73–1.34). Hurwitz et al. reported an indication of an association between aspirin use (including both low-dose and regular-dose) and risk of any type of BC among women with a BMI \geq 30 (HR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.84-1.02) [22], but not among those with a BMI < 30. Other studies have reported no evidence in support of an association between aspirin use and BC risk at any BMI level [24, 28].

This study's main strength is its large study population of more than 1 million women, which allowed us to analyse the association of interest in different subgroups according to the population's characteristics. Another strength of this study is its population-based approach, which minimised the risk of selection bias. The linkage with the Norwegian Prescription Database ensured detailed information on low-dose aspirin use without risk of recall bias. The linkage between population-based registries also facilitated the inclusion of highquality information on several relevant confounders such as income, education, and the number of children. However, this study has several limitations. We do not have information on comorbid conditions. To address this limitation, we adjusted for concomitant use of cardiovascular medications, statins, antidiabetics, NSAIDs, and HT as a proxy for health conditions. Due to insufficient data, we did not adjust for lifestyle risk factors, such as physical activity and alcohol consumption, which might be potential confounders of our association of interest. If increased alcohol consumption and lack of physical activity were associated with a higher likelihood of using low-dose aspirin and a higher risk of BC, then not adjusting for these variables would bias the results in the direction of a detrimental effect of low-dose aspirin (i.e., higher HR and underestimation of the protective effect of low-dose aspirin). We tried to overcome this by adjusting for medications used to treat comorbid conditions that might depend on lifestyle factors such as alcohol consumption and physical activity. The Norwegian Prescription Database contains accurate information on filled prescriptions but contains no information on actual use of the medications, adherence, or on actual duration of use. We also did not have access to information on aspirin in regular doses. This makes it difficult to compare our results to studies that included regular-dose aspirin. It might also have biased the results: if, for example, regular-dose aspirin has a protective effect against BC and it was prescribed more to low-dose aspirin non-users than users, then we would have underestimated the association between low-dose aspirin and BC (i.e., biased towards a HR of 1). However, it should not be a concern because regular-dose aspirin is rarely used in Norway [29]: in 2020, only 0.2/1,000 inhabitants (in the overall Norwegian population) were dispensed regular-dose aspirin in Norway. Our data do not contain information on BMI from the date when the follow-up began; instead, we used the BMI measurement closest in time to the start of follow-up, with a maximum of 5 years before or after the start of follow-up. However, the estimates for the association between low-dose aspirin and BC risk did not vary substantially when reducing the length of this interval (data not shown). Furthermore, it is important to highlight that women with a BMI measurement might not be representative of the whole population, as they were more educated and had a higher income compared to the whole population. This might reduce the generalisability of our results. We could not assess the influence of the dose of aspirin (i.e., mg of the filled pills), because the vast majority of the filled prescriptions of low-dose aspirin was 75 mg. However, in Ma's meta-analysis, the aspirin dose seems to be of small or no importance [3]. A final limitation is potential selection bias in the nested case–control study, rising from selecting women with ≥ 4 years of follow-up. However, the estimates for the association between low-dose aspirin use and risk of BC were similar when not selecting women based on follow-up time in the nested case–control study, and in the cohort study (data not shown), indication that selection bias is not of substantial concern.

Conclusion

Use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a small reduction in the risk of ER + BC in women aged ≥ 65 years and overweight women.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-023-00976-8.

Author Contributions Conceptualisation (e.g., ideas, aims): All. Data curation: LL. Formal analysis: LL. Funding acquisition: EB. Investigation: LL. Methodologsy: All. Project administration: LL. Resources: EB. Software: LL. Supervision: EB. Validation: All. Visualisation: LL. Writing—original draft preparation: LL, NCS, SN, EB. Writing—Review & editing: All.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Oslo (incl Oslo University Hospital). This study was funded by the Norwegian Research Council (project number: 301628). The funders had no role in the design of the study, the data collection, the analysis or interpretation of the data, the writing of the article, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data availability Due to Norwegian law, we are not allowed to make the data publicly available. However, the data can be requested from the registry holders.

Declarations

Competing Interests LL's spouse is employed by MSD Norway AS.

Consent to participate For the registry data and the data from the Norwegian mammography database, informed consent from the included study subjects was not required according to Norwegian law. For the included national health surveys, informed consent was collected from the participants at inclusion.

Consent to publish For the registry data and the data from the Norwegian mammography database, informed consent from the included study subjects was not required according to Norwegian law. For the included national health surveys, informed consent was collected from the participants at inclusion.

Ethical approval The study received ethics approval from the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics Sør-Øst (S-09113b 2009/2062, 2014/1854/REK sør-øst B) and from the Norwegian Data Protection Authority (17/00222–4/GRA).

Disclaimar The Norwegian Institute of Public Health is not responsible for the content of publications, analyses or conclusions based on the provided data. The interpretation and reporting of these data are the sole responsibility of the authors, and no endorsement by the Cancer Registry of Norway is intended nor should be inferred.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49. https://doi.org/ 10.3322/caac.21660.
- Bosetti C, Rosato V, Gallus S, Cuzick J, La Vecchia C. Aspirin and cancer risk: a quantitative review to 2011. Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol. 2012;23(6):1403–15. https://doi.org/10. 1093/annonc/mds113.
- Ma S, Guo C, Sun C, Han T, Zhang H, Qu G, Jiang Y, Zhou Q, Sun Y. Aspirin use and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies from 1989 to 2019. Clin Breast Cancer. 2021;21(6):552–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2021.02.005.
- Greenhough A, Smartt HJM, Moore AE, Roberts HR, Williams AC, Paraskeva C, Kaidi A. The COX-2/PGE 2 pathway: key roles in the hallmarks of cancer and adaptation to the tumour microenvironment. Carcinogenesis. 2009;30(3):377–86. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/carcin/bgp014.
- Gates MA, Tworoger SS, Eliassen AH, Missmer SA, Hankinson SE. Analgesic use and sex steroid hormone concentrations in postmenopausal women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2010;19(4):1033–41. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965. Epi-09-0975.
- Díaz-Cruz ES, Brueggemeier RW. Interrelationships between cyclooxygenases and aromatase: unraveling the relevance of cyclooxygenase inhibitors in breast cancer. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2006;6(3):221–32. https://doi.org/10.2174/18715 2006776930873.
- Furu K. Establishment of the nationwide Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD)–new opportunities for research in pharmacoepidemiology in Norway. Norsk Epidemiol. 2009. https://doi. org/10.5324/nje.v18i2.23.
- World Health Organization. Defined Daily Dose (DDD). https:// www.who.int/tools/atc-ddd-toolkit/about-ddd. Accessed 2022– 10–03. 2022.
- 9. Cancer Registry of Norway. Cancer in Norway 2020 Cancer incidence, mortality, survival and prevalence in Norway. Oslo,

Norway. 2021. https://www.kreftregisteret.no/globalassets/cancerin-norway/2020/cin-2020.pdf. Accessed 2022–04–20.

- Larsen IK, Smastuen M, Johannesen TB, Langmark F, Parkin DM, Bray F, Moller B. Data quality at the Cancer Registry of Norway: an overview of comparability, completeness, validity and timeliness. Eur J Cancer. 2009;45(7):1218–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ejca.2008.10.037.
- 11. Statistics Norway. https://www.ssb.no/en. Accessed 2022–11–22. 2022.
- Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Norwegian cause of death registry. https://www.fhi.no/hn/helseregistre-og-registre/dodsa rsaksregisteret/dodsarsaksregisteret2/. Accessed 2022–11–22. 2022.
- Næss Ø, Søgaard AJ, Arnesen E, Beckstrøm AC, Bjertness E, Engeland A, Hjort PF, Holmen J, Magnus P, Njølstad I, Tell GS, Vatten L, Vollset SE, Aamodt G. Cohort profile: cohort of norway (CONOR). Int J Epidemiol. 2007;37(3):481–5. https://doi.org/10. 1093/ije/dym217.
- Jenum A, Birkeland K. The, "Romsås in Motion" study: promoting physical activity in multi-ethnic district. Norsk Epidemiol. 2003;13(1):55–63.
- Hofvind S, Robsahm TE, Sagstad S, Thy JE. Sociodemographic factors, health indicators and lifestyle factors among participants in BreastScreen Norway 2006–2016–a cohort profile. Norsk Epidemiol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.5324/nje.v30i1-2.4980.
- Bibbins-Domingo K. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164(12):836–45. https://doi.org/10.7326/m16-0577.
- Johansson ALV, Trewin CB, Hjerkind KV, Ellingjord-Dale M, Johannesen TB, Ursin G. Breast cancer-specific survival by clinical subtype after 7 years follow-up of young and elderly women in a nationwide cohort. Int J Cancer. 2019;144(6):1251–61. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31950.
- Sisti JS, Collins LC, Beck AH, Tamimi RM, Rosner BA, Eliassen AH. Reproductive risk factors in relation to molecular subtypes of breast cancer: results from the nurses' health studies. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(10):2346–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29968.
- National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) program. https://seer.cancer.gov/. Accessed 2022– 11–22. 2022.
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormone replacement therapy: collaborative reanalysis of data from 51 epidemiological studies of 52 705 women with breast cancer and 108 411 women without breast cancer. The Lancet. 1997;350(9084):1047-59. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08233-0
- Bulun SE, Zeitoun K, Sasano H, Simpson ER. Aromatase in aging women. Semin Reprod Med. 1999;17(04):349–58. https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-2007-1016244.

- Hurwitz LM, Michels KA, Cook MB, Pfeiffer RM, Trabert B. Associations between daily aspirin use and cancer risk across strata of major cancer risk factors in two large U.S. cohorts. Cancer Causes Control. 2021;32(1):57–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10552-020-01357-2.
- Friis S, Thomassen L, Sørensen HT, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, Cronin-Fenton DP, Vogel U, McLaughlin JK, Blot WJ, Olsen JH. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and breast cancer risk: a Danish cohort study. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2008;17(2):88–96. https:// doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3282b6fd55.
- 24. Dierssen-Sotos T, Gómez-Acebo I, de Pedro M, Pérez-Gómez B, Servitja S, Moreno V, Amiano P, Fernandez-Villa T, Barricarte A, Tardon A, Diaz-Santos M, Peiro-Perez R, Marcos-Gragera R, Lope V, Gracia-Lavedan E, Alonso MH, Michelena-Echeveste MJ, Garcia-Palomo A, Guevara M, Castaño-Vinyals G, Aragonés N, Kogevinas M, Pollán M, Llorca J. Use of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and risk of breast cancer: the Spanish Multi-Case-control (MCC) study. BMC Cancer. 2016;16(1):660. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12885-016-2692-4.
- Ramos-Nino ME. The Role of chronic inflammation in obesityassociated cancers. ISRN Oncol. 2013;2013:697521. https://doi. org/10.1155/2013/697521.
- Subbaramaiah K, Morris PG, Zhou XK, Morrow M, Du B, Giri D, Kopelovich L, Hudis CA, Dannenberg AJ. Increased levels of COX-2 and prostaglandin E2 contribute to elevated aromatase expression in inflamed breast tissue of obese women. Cancer Discov. 2012;2(4):356–65. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290. Cd-11-0241.
- Cui Y, Deming-Halverson SL, Shrubsole MJ, Beeghly-Fadiel A, Cai H, Fair AM, Shu X-O, Zheng W. Use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and reduced breast cancer risk among overweight women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2014;146(2):439–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-014-3030-7.
- Zhang X, Smith-Warner SA, Collins LC, Rosner B, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Use of aspirin, other nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and acetaminophen and postmenopausal breast cancer incidence. J Cli Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 2012;30(28):3468–77. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.42.2006.
- 29. Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Drug consumption in Norway 2016–2020 data from Norwegian drug wholesales statistics and Norwegian prescription database. Oslo, Norway: 2021. https://www.fhi.no/contentassets/3ffd57f65fd6424db70dd2097 48a13c3/legemiddelforbruket-i-norge-20162020.pdf.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.