Correction to: Mercury bioaccumulation in freshwater fishes of the Chesapeake Bay watershed

James J. Willacker ¹ · Collin A. Eagles-Smith ¹ · Vicki S. Blazer ²

Published online: 17 April 2021

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Ecotoxicology

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-020-02193-5>

No results, conclusions, or discussions in the text were affected by this error.

In the original publication of this article, the wrong image was provided for Fig. 7. The correct Fig. 7 is provided here.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-020-02193-5>.

✉ Collin A. Eagles-Smith
ceagles-smith@usgs.gov

¹ U.S. Geological Survey, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, 3200 SW Jefferson Way, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

² U.S. Geological Survey, Leetown Science Center, National Fish Health Research Laboratory, 11649 Leetown Road, Kearneysville, WV 25430, USA

Fig. 7 Percentage of individual Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*), Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), and Smallmouth Bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) mercury measurements exceeding a whole-body equivalent of $0.30 \mu\text{g/g}$ wet weight, a level associated with possible reproductive impairment in fishes, within each hydrologic unit (8-digit HUC). Fill patterns indicate whether HUCs had a significantly higher (diagonal bars) or lower (stippling) percentage of fish exceeding the criterion than expected based on each species exceedance across HUCs (chi-squared tests, $\alpha \leq 0.05$ after adjusting for multiple comparisons). Heavy black lines delineate major sub-watersheds of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed