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#### Abstract

Up to a new invariant $\mu(b)$, the complete $b$-symbol weight distribution of a particular kind of two-weight irreducible cyclic codes, was recently obtained by Zhu et al. (Des Codes Cryptogr $90(5): 1113-1125,2022)$. The purpose of this paper is to simplify and generalize the results of Zhu et al., and obtain the $b$-symbol weight distributions of all one-weight and two-weight semiprimitive irreducible cyclic codes.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field with $q$ elements. An $[n, l]$ linear code, $\mathscr{C}$, over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is an $l$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ (see for example [4, Section 1.4]). In this context, the vectors of $\mathscr{C}$ are called codewords. Let $A_{i}$ be the number of codewords with Hamming weight $i$ in $\mathscr{C}$ (recall that the Hamming weight of a codeword $c$ is the number of nonzero coordinates in $c$ ). Then, the sequence $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ is called the Hamming weight distribution of $\mathscr{C}$, and the polynomial $A_{0}+A_{1} T+\ldots+A_{n} T^{n}$ is called the Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$. An $N$-weight code is a code such that the cardinality of the set of nonzero weights is $N$. That is, $N=\left|\left\{i: A_{i} \neq 0, i=1,2,3, \ldots, n\right\}\right|$.

A linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length $n$ is cyclic if $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathscr{C}$ implies $\left(c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \in \mathscr{C}$. Cyclic codes have wide applications in storage and communication systems because, unlike encoding and decoding algorithms for linear codes, encoding/decoding algorithms for cyclic codes can be implemented easily and efficiently by employing shift

[^0]registers with feedback connections (see for example [6, p. 209]). As usual in cyclic codes, we always assume that the length $n$ of any cyclic code is relatively prime to $q$.

By identifying any vector $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with the polynomial $c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+$ $c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$, it follows that any linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ corresponds to a subset of the residue class ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle$. Moreover, it is well known that the linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is cyclic if and only if the corresponding subset is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle$ (see for example [5, Theorem 9.36]).

Note that every ideal of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle$ is principal. Thus, if $\mathscr{C}$ is a cyclic code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\mathscr{C}=\langle g(x)\rangle$, where $g(x)$ is a monic polynomial, such that $g(x) \mid\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. This polynomial is unique, and it is called the generator polynomial of $\mathscr{C}$ ( [6, Theorem 1, p. 190]). On the other hand, the polynomial $h(x)=\left(x^{n}-1\right) / g(x)$ is referred to as the parity check polynomial of $\mathscr{C}$. A cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called irreducible (reducible) if its parity check polynomial is irreducible (reducible) over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Denote by $w_{H}(\cdot)$ the usual Hamming weight function. For $1 \leq b<n$, let the Boolean function $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{b} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ be defined by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}(v)=0$ iff $v$ is the zero vector in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{b}$. The $b$-symbol Hamming weight, $w_{b}(\mathbf{x})$, of $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is defined as

$$
w_{b}(\mathbf{x}):=w_{H}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{b-1}\right), \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{b}\right), \cdots, \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\left(x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{b+n-2}(\bmod n)\right)\right)
$$

When $b=1, w_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is exactly the Hamming weight of $\mathbf{x}$, that is $w_{1}(\mathbf{x})=w_{H}(\mathbf{x})$. For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, we define the $b$-symbol distance ( $b$-distance for short) between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}, d_{b}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, as $d_{b}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}):=w_{b}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, and for a code $\mathscr{C}$ (linear or not) over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$, the $b$ symbol minimum Hamming distance, $d_{b}(\mathscr{C})$, of $\mathscr{C}$ is defined as $d_{b}(\mathscr{C}):=\min d_{b}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. In this context we will say that $\mathscr{C}$ is a $b$-symbol code with parameters $\left(n, M, d_{b}(\mathscr{C})\right)_{q}$, where $M=|\mathscr{C}|$. Let $A_{i}^{(b)}$ denote the number of codewords with $b$-symbol Hamming weight $i$ in $\mathscr{C}$. The $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ is defined by

$$
1+A_{1}^{(b)} T+A_{2}^{(b)} T^{2}+\cdots+A_{n}^{(b)} T^{n}
$$

Note that if $b=1$, then the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ is the ordinary Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$. Some contributions to the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of a code can be found in $[3,9,11,12]$ and the references therein.

Up to a new invariant $\mu(b)$, the complete $b$-symbol weight distribution of some irreducible cyclic codes was recently obtained in [12]. The irreducible cyclic codes considered therein, belong to a particular kind of one-weight and two-weight irreducible cyclic codes that were recently characterized in terms of their lengths ([10]). Thus, the purpose of this paper is to present a generalization for the invariant $\mu(b)$, which will allow us to obtain the $b$-symbol Hamming weight distributions of all one-weight and two-weight irreducible cyclic codes, excluding only the exceptional two-weight irreducible cyclic codes studied in [8].

This work is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we fix some notation and recall some definitions and some known results to be used in subsequent sections. Section 3 is devoted to presenting preliminary results. Particularly, in this section, we give an alternative proof of an already known result which determines the weight distributions of all one-weight and two-weight semiprimitive irreducible cyclic codes. In Sect. 4, we use such alternative proof, in order to determine the $b$-symbol weight distributions of all one-weight and two-weight semiprimitive irreducible cyclic codes.

## 2 Notation, definitions and known results

Unless otherwise specified, throughout this work we will use the following:
Notation. For integers $v$ and $w$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(v, w)=1, \operatorname{Ord}_{v}(w)$ will denote the multiplicative order of $w$ modulo $v$. By using $p, t, q, r$, and $\Delta$, we will denote positive integers such that $p$ is a prime number, $q=p^{t}$ and $\Delta=\frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1}$. From now on, $\gamma$ will denote a fixed primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$. Let $u$ be an integer such that $u \mid\left(q^{r}-1\right)$. For $i=0,1, \ldots, u-1$, we define $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}:=\gamma^{i}\left\langle\gamma^{u}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle\gamma^{u}\right\rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}$ generated by $\gamma^{u}$. The cosets $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ are called the cyclotomic classes of order $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$. For an integer $u$, such that $\operatorname{gcd}(p, u)=1, p$ is said to be semiprimitive modulo $u$ if there exists a positive integer $d$ such that $u \mid\left(p^{d}+1\right)$. Additionally, we will denote by " $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ " the trace mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Main assumption. From now on, we are going to use $n$ and $N$ as integers in such a way that $n N=q^{r}-1$, with the important assumption that $r=\operatorname{Ord}_{n}(q)$. Under these circumstances, observe that if $h_{N}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ is the minimal polynomial of $\gamma^{-N}$ (see for example [6, p . 99]), then, due to Delsarte's Theorem [1], $h_{N}(x)$ is parity-check polynomial of an irreducible cyclic code of length $n$ and dimension $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

The following gives an explicit description of an irreducible cyclic code of length $n$ and dimension $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Definition 1 Let $q, r, n$, and $N$ be as before. Then the set

$$
\mathscr{C}:=\left\{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{I}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(a \gamma^{N i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{n-1} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}\right\}
$$

is called an irreducible cyclic code of length $n$ and dimension $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
An important kind of irreducible cyclic codes are the so-called semiprimitive irreducible cyclic codes:

Definition 2 [10, Definition 4] With our current notation and main assumption, fix $u=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)$. Then, any $[n, r]$ irreducible cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is semiprimitive if $u \geq 2$ and the prime $p$ is semiprimitive modulo $u$.

Apart from a few exceptional codes, it is well known that all two-weight irreducible cyclic codes are semiprimitive. In fact, it is conjectured in [8] that the number of these exceptional codes is eleven.

The canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\chi(x):=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(x) / p} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

where " Tr " denotes the trace mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The orthogonality relation for the canonical additive character $\chi$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by (see for example [5, Chapter 5]):

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(a x)= \begin{cases}q \text { if } a=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This property plays an important role in numerous applications of finite fields. Among them, this property is useful for determining the Hamming weight of a given vector over a finite
field; for example if $V=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{H}(V)=n-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(y a_{i}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$ and let $u \geq 1$ be an integer such that $u \mid\left(q^{r}-1\right)$. For $i=0,1, \ldots, u-1$, the $i$-th Gaussian period, $\eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$, of order $u$ for $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$ is defined to be

$$
\eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}:=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}} \chi^{\prime}(x)
$$

Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. Since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}} \chi^{\prime}\left(a x^{u}\right)=u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}+1$ and $\eta_{0}^{\left(1, q^{r}\right)}+1=0$, the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 in [7]:

Theorem 1 With our notation suppose that $r t=2 s d$ and $u \mid\left(p^{d}+1\right)$, for positive integers $s$, $d$ and $u$. Then

$$
\frac{u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}+1}{q^{r / 2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
(-1)^{s-1}(u-1) & \text { if } i \equiv \delta & (\bmod u), \\
(-1)^{s} & \text { if } i \not \equiv \delta & (\bmod u),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the integer $\delta$ is defined in terms of the following two cases:

$$
\delta:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } u=1 ; \text { or } p=2 ; \text { or } p>2 \text { and } 2 \mid s ; \text { or } p>2,2 \nmid s, \text { and } 2 \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{d}+1}{u}\right., \\
\frac{u}{2} \text { if } p>2,2 \nmid s \text { and } 2 \nmid \frac{p^{d}+1}{u} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1 As shown below, by means of the previous theorem, it is possible to determine, in a single result, the Hamming weight enumerator of all one-weight and semiprimitive two-weight irreducible cyclic codes.

Under certain circumstances, and for a fixed $\operatorname{coset} \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(N, q^{r}\right)}$, it is necessary to consider the set of products of the form $x y$, where $x \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(N, q^{r}\right)}$ and $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. The following result goes in this direction:

Lemma 1 [2, Lemma 5] Let $N$ be a positive divisor of $q^{r}-1$ and let $i$ be any integer with $0 \leq i<N$. Fix $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)$. We have the following multiset equality:

$$
\left\{x y: x \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(N, q^{r}\right)}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right\}=\frac{(q-1) u}{N} * \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)},
$$

where $\frac{(q-1) u}{N} * \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ denotes the multiset in which each element in the set $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ appears in the multiset with multiplicity $\frac{(q-1) u}{N}$.

The following definitions are inspired by and similar to those of [12].
Definition 3 Let $b$ be an integer, with $1 \leq b \leq r$. Let $\mathcal{P}(b)$ be the subset of cardinality $\left(q^{b}-1\right) /(q-1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{P}(b):=\bigcup_{j=1}^{b-1}\left\{\gamma^{(j-1) N}+x_{1} \gamma^{j N}+\cdots+x_{b-j} \gamma^{(b-1) N}: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cup\left\{\gamma^{(b-1) N}\right\} .
$$

Remark 2 Note that $\mathcal{P}(1)=\{1\}$.
Definition 4 Let $b$ be as in Definition 3 and fix $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)$. For $0 \leq i<u$, we define $\mu_{(i)}(b)$ as

$$
\mu_{(i)}(b):=\left|\left\{x \in \mathcal{P}(b): x \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}\right\}\right| .
$$

Remark 3 Since $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\left(2, q^{r}\right)}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}: x\right.$ is a square in $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}\right\}$, note that $\mu_{(i)}(b)$ is indeed a generalization of the invariant $\mu(b)$ in [12]. Furthermore, note that $\mu_{(0)}(1)=1$ and $\mu_{(i)}(1)=$ 0 , for $1 \leq i<u$.

The following important result from [12], is key in order to achieve our goals.
Lemma 2 [12, Lemma 4.3] Let $\mathscr{C}$ be as in Definition 1 and let $c(a) \in \mathscr{C}$ be a codeword. Then, for any integer $1 \leq b \leq r$,

$$
w_{b}(c(a))=\frac{1}{q^{b-1}} \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}(b)} w_{H}(c(\theta a)) .
$$

Remark 4 The previous lemma is key for us because, although the condition $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1}, N\right)=$ 2 is one of the main assumptions in [12], Lemma 4.3 is beyond such condition. However it is important to observe that there is a small misprint in the proof of Lemma 4.3; more specifically the equality

$$
n-w_{1}(c(a))=\sum_{x \in I} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(y a x),
$$

should be

$$
n-w_{1}(c(a))=\sum_{x \in I} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(\operatorname{yax}^{N}\right) .
$$

## 3 Preliminary results

In the light of Remark 3, the following is a generalization of [12, Lemma 2.1].
Lemma 3 Let b and $\mu_{(i)}(b)$ be as in Definition 4. If $b=r$ then, for any $0 \leq i<u$, we have

$$
\mu_{(i)}(r)=\frac{1}{u}|\mathcal{P}(b)|=\frac{\Delta}{u} .
$$

Proof Clearly

$$
\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}=\bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{P}(b)} x \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*},
$$

where $\sqcup$ is a disjoint union. Now, since $u \mid \Delta$ and $\left\langle\gamma^{\Delta}\right\rangle=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, x \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ if and only if each element of $x \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ is also in $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. This implies that

$$
\mu_{(i)}(r)(q-1)=\frac{q^{r}-1}{u},
$$

which is the number of elements in $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. This completes the proof.

It is already known the Hamming weight enumerator of all one-weight and semiprimitive two-weight irreducible cyclic codes over any finite field (see for example [8, 10]). By means of the following theorem we recall such a result and give an alternative proof of it. As will be clear later, this alternative proof will be important for fulfilling our goals.

Theorem 2 Let $\mathscr{C}$ be as in Definition 1. Fix $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)$. Assume that $u=1$ or $p$ is semiprimitive modulo $u$. Let $d$ be the smallest positive integer such that $u \mid\left(p^{d}+1\right)$ and let $s=1$ if $u=1$ and $s=(r t) /(2 d)$ if $u>1$. Fix

$$
W_{A}=\frac{n q^{r / 2-1}}{\Delta}\left(q^{r / 2}-(-1)^{s-1}(u-1)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad W_{B}=\frac{n q^{r / 2-1}}{\Delta}\left(q^{r / 2}-(-1)^{s}\right) .
$$

Then, $\mathscr{C}$ is an $[n, r]$ irreducible cyclic code whose Hamming weight enumerator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{q^{r}-1}{u} T^{W_{A}}+\frac{\left(q^{r}-1\right)(u-1)}{u} T^{W_{B}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5 Note that Theorem 2 gives, in a single result, an explicit description of the Hamming weight enumerators of all one-weight ( $u=1$ ) and two-weight $(2 \leq u<\Delta)$ irreducible cyclic codes, excluding only the exceptional two-weight irreducible cyclic codes studied in [8]. Therefore observe that the two-weight irreducible cyclic codes considered in [12] ( $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=2$ ) belong also to Theorem 2.

Proof First note that if $u>1$, then there must exist an integer $s$ such that $r t=2 s d$.
For $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}$, let $c(a)=\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(a \gamma^{N i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in \mathscr{C}$. Let $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ be the canonical additive characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$, respectively. Thus, by the orthogonality relation for the character $\chi$ (see (1)) the Hamming weight of the codeword $c(a), w_{H}(c(a))$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{H}(c(a)) & =n-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(y \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(a \gamma^{N i}\right)\right) \\
& =n-\frac{n}{q}-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\left(N, q^{r}\right)}} \chi^{\prime}(y a x) \\
& =n-\frac{n}{q}-\frac{(q-1) u}{q N} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}} \chi^{\prime}(a z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality holds by Lemma 1 . Now, suppose that $a \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ for some $0 \leq i<u$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{H}(c(a)) & =n-\frac{n}{q}-\frac{(q-1)}{q N} u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)} \\
& =\frac{n}{\Delta q}\left(q^{r}-1\right)-\frac{n}{\Delta q} u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)} \\
& =\frac{n q^{r-1}}{\Delta}-\frac{n}{\Delta q}\left(u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{n q^{r-1}}{\Delta}-\frac{n q^{r / 2-1}}{\Delta} \frac{\left(u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}+1\right)}{q^{r / 2}} \\
& =\frac{n q^{r / 2-1}}{\Delta}\left(q^{r / 2}-\frac{u \eta_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}+1}{q^{r / 2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta$ be as in Theorem 1 and observe that $i \equiv \delta(\bmod u)$ iff $a \in \mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. Therefore, owing to Theorem 1, we have

$$
w_{H}(c(a))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{A} \text { if } a \in \mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}  \tag{3}\\
W_{B} \text { if } a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The result now follows from the fact that $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}\right|=\frac{q^{r}-1}{u}$ and $\left|\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}\right|=\frac{\left(q^{r}-1\right)(u-1)}{u}$.

## 4 The $b$-symbol weight distribution of all one-weight and two-weight semiprimitive irreducible cyclic codes

We are now in conditions to present our main results.
Theorem 3 Assume the same notation and assumptions as in Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{P}(b), \mu_{(i)}(b)$, and $\delta$ be as before. For $0 \leq i<u$ and $1 \leq b \leq r$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W_{i}^{(b)}=\frac{(q-1) q^{r / 2-b}}{N}\left[|\mathcal{P}(b)|\left(q^{r / 2}-(-1)^{s}\right)+(-1)^{s} u \mu_{((\delta-i)}(\bmod u)\right)(b)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(T)=1+\frac{q^{r}-1}{u} \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} T^{W_{i}^{(b)}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}$ and let $c(a) \in \mathscr{C}$. Let $W_{A}$ and $W_{B}$ be as in Theorem 2 and suppose that $a \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$, for some $0 \leq i<u$. Thus, from (3), $w_{H}(c(\theta a))=W_{A}$ iff $\theta a \in \mathcal{C}_{\delta}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ iff $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{(\delta-i)(\bmod u)}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. But there are exactly $\mu_{((\delta-i)(\bmod u))}(b)$ elements $\theta$ in $\mathcal{P}(b)$ that satisfy the condition $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{(\delta-i)(\bmod u)}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$. Therefore, owing to Lemma 2, $w_{b}(c(a))=W_{i}^{(b)}$ where

$$
\left.\left.W_{i}^{(b)}=\frac{1}{q^{b-1}}\left[\mu_{((\delta-i)}(\bmod u)\right)(b) W_{A}+\left(|\mathcal{P}(b)|-\mu_{((\delta-i)}(\bmod u)\right)(b)\right) W_{B}\right] .
$$

Hence, (4) follows by considering the explicit values of $W_{A}$ and $W_{B}$ in Theorem 2. Finally, the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ follows from (3) and from the fact that $\left|\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}\right|=\frac{q^{r}-1}{u}$, for any $0 \leq i<u$.

Note that the previous theorem is also valid for $b=1$. In fact, in this case, the ordinary Hamming weight enumerator in (2) is exactly the same as the 1 -symbol Hamming weight enumerator of (5) (take into consideration Remarks 2 and 3). Therefore we see that Theorem 3 not only simplifies and generalizes [12, Corollary 3.1] but also generalizes Theorem 2.

Example 1 The following are some examples of Theorem 3.
(a) Let $(q, r, N, b)=(3,4,2,3)$. Thus $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=2, s=2, \delta=0$, and $|\mathcal{P}(b)|=$ $q^{2}+q+1=13$. Since $\mu_{(0)}(b)=8\left(\right.$ see [12, Example 2.3]), $\mu_{(1)}(b)=|\mathcal{P}(b)|-\mu_{(0)}(b)=$
5. Therefore, owing to Theorems 2 and $3, W_{A}=30, W_{B}=24, W_{0}^{(3)}=40, W_{1}^{(3)}=38$, and $\mathscr{C}$ is a $[40,4]_{3}$ irreducible cyclic code whose ordinary and 3 -symbol Hamming weight enumerators are $1+40 T^{24}+40 T^{30}$ and $1+40 T^{38}+40 T^{40}$, respectively.
(b) Let $(q, r, N, b)=(2,4,3,2)$. Thus $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=3, s=2, \delta=0$, and $|\mathcal{P}(b)|=$ $q+1=3$. We take $\mathbb{F}_{16}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma^{4}+\gamma+1=0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}(b)=\{1=$ $\left.\gamma^{0}, \gamma^{3}, 1+\gamma^{3}=\gamma^{14}\right\}$. This means that $\mu_{(0)}(b)=2, \mu_{(1)}(b)=0$, and $\mu_{(2)}(b)=1$. Therefore, owing to Theorems 2 and $3, W_{A}=4, W_{B}=2, W_{0}^{(2)}=5, W_{1}^{(2)}=4$, $W_{2}^{(2)}=3$, and $\mathscr{C}$ is a $[5,4]_{2}$ irreducible cyclic code whose ordinary and 2-symbol Hamming weight enumerators are $1+10 T^{2}+5 T^{4}$ and $1+5\left(T^{3}+T^{4}+T^{5}\right)$, respectively.
(c) Let $(q, r, N, b)=(4,3,9,2)$. Thus $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=3, s=3, \delta=0$, and $|\mathcal{P}(b)|=$ $q+1=5$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{4}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1=0$. We take $\mathbb{F}_{64}=\mathbb{F}_{4}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma^{3}+\gamma^{2}+\gamma+\alpha=0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}(b)=\left\{1=\gamma^{0}, \gamma^{9}, 1+\gamma^{9}=\gamma^{27}, 1+\alpha \gamma^{9}=\right.$ $\left.\gamma^{5}, 1+\alpha^{2} \gamma^{9}=\gamma^{40}\right\}$. This means that $\mu_{(0)}(b)=3, \mu_{(1)}(b)=1$, and $\mu_{(2)}(b)=1$. Therefore, owing to Theorems 2 and $3, W_{A}=4, W_{B}=6, W_{0}^{(2)}=6, W_{1}^{(2)}=W_{2}^{(2)}=7$, and $\mathscr{C}$ is a $[7,3]_{4}$ irreducible cyclic code whose ordinary and 2 -symbol Hamming weight enumerators are $1+21 T^{4}+42 T^{6}$ and $1+21 T^{6}+42 T^{7}$, respectively.
(d) Let $(q, r, N, b)=(5,5,4,3)$. Thus $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=1$ and $|\mathcal{P}(b)|=\mu_{(0)}(b)=$ $q^{2}+q+1=31$. Therefore, owing to Theorems 2 and $3, W_{A}=625, W_{0}^{(3)}=775$, and $\mathscr{C}$ is a $[781,5]_{5}$ one-weight irreducible cyclic code whose ordinary and 3 -symbol Hamming weight enumerators are $1+3124 T^{625}$ and $1+3124 T^{775}$, respectively.

Remark 6 With the help of a C program, the previous numerical examples were corroborated. Such C program is available via email upon request.

As Example 1-(d) has shown, it is quite easy to obtain the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of a one-weight irreducible cyclic code (that is, when $u=1$ ). The following result shows it in the general case.

Theorem 4 Assume the same notation as in Theorem 3. If $u=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=1$, then, for any $1 \leq b \leq r$, the $b$-symbol Hamming weight enumerator of $\mathscr{C}$ is

$$
A(T)=1+\left(q^{r}-1\right) T^{\frac{q^{r}-q^{r}-b}{N}} .
$$

Proof If $u=1$, then $\mu_{(0)}(b)=|\mathcal{P}(b)|=\frac{q^{b}-1}{q-1}$. Thus the result now follows from (4).
Remark 7 If $\mathscr{C}$ is an $\left(n, M, d_{b}(\mathscr{C})\right)_{q} b$-symbol code, with $b \leq d_{b}(\mathscr{C}) \leq n$, then Ding et al. [3] established the Singleton-type bound $M \leq q^{n-d_{b}(\mathscr{C})+b}$. Therefore, an $\left(n, M, d_{b}(\mathscr{C})\right)_{q}$ $b$-symbol code $\mathscr{C}$ with $M=q^{n-d_{b}(\mathscr{C})+b}$ is called a maximum distance separable (MDS for short) $b$-symbol code.

Similar to Theorem 3.3 in [12] we also have:
Theorem 5 Let $\mathscr{C}$ be as in Definition 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}^{*}$ and consider the codeword $c(a)=$ $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(a \gamma^{N i}\right)\right)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{r}(c(a))=n, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{C}$ is an MDS b-symbol code.

Proof Suppose that $a \in \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\left(u, q^{r}\right)}$ for some $0 \leq i<u$. Thus, by the proof of Theorem 3, $w_{r}(c(a))=W_{i}^{(r)}$ where

$$
\left.W_{i}^{(r)}=\frac{(q-1) q^{r / 2-r}}{N}\left[|\mathcal{P}(r)|\left(q^{r / 2}-(-1)^{s}\right)+(-1)^{s} u \mu_{((\delta-i)}(\bmod u)\right)(r)\right]
$$

But, owing to Lemma 3, $\mu_{((\delta-i)(\bmod u))}(r)=\frac{\Delta}{u}$. On the other hand, $|\mathcal{P}(r)|=\Delta=\frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1}$ and $n=\frac{q^{r}-1}{N}$. Thus, (6) now follows. Finally, since $d_{b}(\mathscr{C})=n$ and $|\mathscr{C}|=q^{r}, \mathscr{C}$ is an MDS $b$-symbol code by Remark 7.
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