Correction: The climate responsibilities of industrial meat and dairy producers

Oliver Lazarus^{1,2} · Sonali McDermid¹ · Jennifer Jacquet¹

Published online: 5 March 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Climatic Change (2021) 165:1–21

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03047-7>

The original article has been corrected. The author has requested to change:

Individual companies are also the major political actors. Exxon, for instance, has spent roughly \$17 million on US federal political campaigns and over \$240 million on lobbying since 2000. Over that same time, Tyson gave \$3.2 million to political campaigns. But taken as a share of each company's total revenue over that period, Tyson has spent double what Exxon has on political campaigns and 33% more on lobbying.

to:

Individual companies are also the major political actors. Exxon, for instance, has spent roughly \$17 million on US federal political campaigns from 2000–2018 while Tyson gave \$3.2 million to political campaigns over that same time. In terms of lobbying, Exxon spent more than \$235 million on lobbying between 2001–2018, while Tyson spent more than \$25 million (lobbying data were missing for Tyson for 2000). Nevertheless, taken as a share of each company's total revenue over those time periods, Tyson has spent more than double what Exxon has on political campaigns and 21% more on lobbying.

Supplementary Information has also been updated.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03047-7>

✉ Jennifer Jacquet
jacquet@nyu.edu

¹ Department of Environmental Studies, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA

² Department of the History of Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA