

## QCA editorial

Ricardo A. Costa · Johan H. C. Reiber

Received: 26 January 2011 / Accepted: 31 January 2011 / Published online: 1 April 2011  
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

In the current special edition of The International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging, experts in the field describe the current state-of-the-art in quantitative coronary angiography (QCA). Overall, QCA remains the gold standard methodology for evaluation of coronary lesions [1]. Besides the great evolution of QCA packages in order to simplify and facilitate its use in daily clinical practice and core laboratory work, its applicability has advanced into more complex subsets including multivessel (and “multicomplex”) disease and bifurcation lesions [2, 3]. In an era of complex percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), the introduction and clinical validation of angiographic scores fully assessing coronary artery disease extent/complexity represent a significant contribution that helps PCI set its frontiers on a constantly developing field [4, 5]. This subject along with novel QCA methods are elegantly reviewed by Ng et al. in the manuscript entitled: “Novel QCA Methodologies and Angiographic Scores”.

Furthermore, since a number of years, bifurcation stenting has become of great interest, currently representing around 15–20% of all PCI performed worldwide [6]. Several technical approaches including the use of dedicated devices have been proposed recently; however, outcomes may turn suboptimal, especially in those lesions with complex anatomic features [7, 8]. Thus, a proper (and detailed) qualitative and quantitative assessment appears to be critical for interventionalists when planning bifurcation PCI strategy in order to achieve a successful procedure [9]. In association with the European Bifurcation Club (EBC), the QCA software has been extended to allow also the quantitative analysis of the bifurcating morphology [3, 10]. This has proven to be a lot more difficult, in particular in defining what the normal sizes of the vessels at the bifurcation should be, given the complexity of the anatomy and different disease patterns. Validated solutions have been created and are now being used in clinical trials [5, 11, 12]. The basis, developments and implications of “Dedicated Bifurcation QCA Analysis” are reported in a set of manuscripts including: “Basic Principles” by Tuinenburg et al., “Quantitative coronary analysis in the Nordic Bifurcation Studies” by Maeng et al., and “Dedicated Bifurcation Devices” by Collet et al.; also, some insights from intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) studies are depicted in the analysis: “Bifurcation Lesion Morphology and IVUS Assessment”.

Lastly, 3-dimensional (3D) QCA has been around for quite some time from one or two vendors, but it

R. A. Costa (✉)  
Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia,  
Avenida Dante Pazzanese, 500-Vila Mariana, São Paulo,  
SP 04012-180, Brazil  
e-mail: rcosta@dantepazzanese.org.br

J. H. C. Reiber  
Division of Image Processing (LKEB),  
Department of Radiology, Leiden University  
Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands  
e-mail: J.H.C.Reiber@lumc.nl

has never been applied on a wide scale in online situations for a number of reasons: segmentation not robust enough, too many user-interactions required, and no clinical need. However, with the increasing applications of bifurcation stenting, there may be new opportunities, in combination with improved segmentation approaches. In particular, proper sizing of the length of the interventional devices has a significant effect on the long-term effect of the intervention [13], optimal viewing angles are more important in bifurcation stenting, and last but not least, latest developments also allow for the registration with intravascular devices, such as IVUS and optical coherence tomography (OCT). This registration links the abnormalities as seen in the IVUS or OCT pullback sequences with the positions in either the 2D X-ray angiogram, or the 3D reconstruction. This software solution, which is now very robust and can be used on-line, provides accurate information, such that the interventionalist does not need to rely on his mental registration capabilities alone anymore [14]. In this issue, such feature is reported by Tu et al. in the manuscript: “Fusion of 3-D QCA and IVUS/OCT”.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

1. Lansky AJ, Costa RA, Reiber JHC (2006) The core laboratory: quantitative coronary angiography and intravascular ultrasound. In: King S, Yeung A (eds) The interventional cardiologist, 1st edn. McGraw Hill, New York
2. Sianos G, Morel MA, Kappetein AP, Morice MC, Colombo A, Dawkins K, van den Brand M, Van Dyck N, Russell ME, Mohr FW, Serruys PW (2005) The SYNTAX score: an angiographic tool grading the complexity of coronary artery disease. *EuroIntervention* 1(2):219–227
3. Lansky A, Tuinenburg J, Costa M, Maeng M, Koning G, Popma J, Cristea E, Gavit L, Costa R, Rares A, Van Es GA, Lefevre T, Reiber H, Louvard Y, Morice MC (2009) Quantitative angiographic methods for bifurcation lesions: a consensus statement from the European bifurcation group. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 73(2):258–266
4. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, Stahle E, Feldman TE, van den Brand M, Bass EJ, Van Dyck N, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, Mohr FW (2009) Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 360(10):961–972
5. Ng VG, Lansky AJ (2011) Novel QCA methodologies and angiographic scores. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. doi:10.1007/s10554-010-9787-9
6. Suzuki N, Angiolillo DJ, Kawaguchi R, Futamatsu H, Bass TA, Costa MA (2007) Percutaneous coronary intervention of bifurcation coronary disease. *Minerva Cardioangiologica* 55(1):57–71
7. Costa RA, Moussa ID (2006) Percutaneous treatment of coronary bifurcation lesions in the era of drug-eluting stents. *Minerva Cardioangiologica* 54(5):577–589
8. Latib A, Colombo A (2008) Bifurcation disease: what do we know, what should we do? *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 1(3):218–226
9. Stankovic G, Darremont O, Ferenc M, Hildick-Smith D, Louvard Y, Albiero R, Pan M, Lassen JF, Lefevre T (2009) Percutaneous coronary intervention for bifurcation lesions: 2008 consensus document from the fourth meeting of the European bifurcation club. *EuroIntervention* 5(1):39–49
10. Janssen JP, Rares A, Tuinenburg JC, Koning G, Lansky AJ, Reiber JH (2010) New approaches for the assessment of vessel sizes in quantitative (cardio-)vascular X-ray analysis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 26(3):259–271
11. Steigen TK, Maeng M, Wiseth R, Erglis A, Kumsars I, Narbute I, Gunnes P, Mannsverk J, Meyerdierks O, Rotenvatn S, Niemela M, Kervinen K, Jensen JS, Galloe A, Nikus K, Vikman S, Ravkilde J, James S, Aaroe J, Ylitalo A, Helqvist S, Sjogren I, Thayssen P, Virtanen K, Puhakka M, Airaksinen J, Lassen JF, Thuesen L (2006) Randomized study on simple versus complex stenting of coronary artery bifurcation lesions: the Nordic bifurcation study. *Circulation* 114(18):1955–1961
12. Holm NR, Højdahl H, Lassen JF, Thuesen L, Maeng M (2011) Quantitative coronary analysis in the Nordic Bifurcation studies. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. doi:10.1007/s10554-010-9715-z
13. Tu S, Koning G, Jukema W, Reiber JH (2010) Assessment of obstruction length and optimal viewing angle from biplane X-ray angiograms. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 26(1):5–17
14. Tu S, Holm NR, Koning G, Huang Z, Reiber JH (2011) Fusion of 3-D QCA and IVUS/OCT. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. doi:10.1007/s10554-011-9809-2