

- Murkowski, A., Skórska, E.: Chlorophyll *a* luminescence – an index of photoinhibition damages. - *Curr. Topics Biophys.* **21**: 72-78, 1997.
- Panagopoulos, I., Bornman, J.F., Björn, L.O.: Effects of ultraviolet radiation and visible light on growth, fluorescence induction, ultraweak luminescence and peroxidase activity in sugar beet plants. - *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* **8**: 73-87, 1990.
- Pilon, J.J., Lambers, H., Baas, W., Tosserams, M., Rozema, J., Atkin, O.K.: Leaf waxes of slow-growing alpine and fast-growing lowland *Poa* species: inherent differences and responses to UV-B radiation. - *Phytochemistry* **50**: 571-580, 1999.
- Rajendiran, K., Ramanujam, M.P.: Improvement of biomass partitioning, flowering and yield by triadimefon in UV-B stressed *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek. - *Biol. Plant.* **48**: 145-148, 2004.
- Renger, G., Volker, M., Eckert, H.J., Fromme, R.: On the mechanism of photosystem II deterioration by UV-B irradiation. - *Photochem. Photobiol.* **49**: 97-105, 1989.
- Schreiber, U., Bilger, W., Neubauer, C.: Chlorophyll fluorescence as a noninvasive indicator for rapid assessment of *in vivo* photosynthesis. - In: Schulze, E.D., Caldwell, M.M. (ed.): *Ecophysiology of Photosynthesis*. Pp. 49-70. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1994.
- Skórska, E.: The effect of UV-B radiation on the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of the husked and the naked oat. - *Acta agrobot.* **52** (1-2): 149-152, 1999.
- Skórska, E.: [Reaction of some crop plants on UV-B radiation.] - *Rozprawy 192*. Agricultural University of Szczecin, 2000a. [In Polish.]
- Skórska, E.: Responses of pea and triticale photosynthesis and growth to long-wave UV-B radiation. - *Biol. Plant.* **43**: 129-131, 2000b.
- Skórska, E.: The effect of ultraviolet-B radiation on triticale plants. - *Folia Univ. Agr. Stetin A* **82**: 249-254, 2000c.
- Skórska, E., Lewandowski, R.: [Comparison of reactions of three oat varieties to UV-B radiation.] - *Biul. IHAR* **229**: 199-204, 2003. [In Polish.]
- Steinmüller, D., Tevini, M.: UV-B-induced effects upon cuticular waxes of cucumber, bean, and barley leaves. In: Caldwell, M.M. (ed.): *Stratospheric Ozone Reduction, Solar Ultraviolet Radiation and Plant Life*. Pp. 261-269. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.
- Tevini, M., Braun, J., Fieser, G.: The protective function of the epidermal layer of rye seedlings against ultraviolet-B radiation. - *Photochem. Photobiol.* **53**: 329-333, 1991.

## Erratum

SERRANO, L., PEÑUELAS, J.: Contribution of physiological and morphological adjustments to drought resistance in two Mediterranean tree species. - *Biol. Plant.* **49**: 551-559, 2005.

We appologize to our authors and readers for misprints in Table 1 published on page 555. Correct table is the following:

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for several ecophysiological variables. Pressure-volume derived parameters [modulus of elasticity ( $\epsilon$ ), osmotic water potential at full ( $\pi_{100}$ ) and zero pressure potential ( $\pi_0$ ), relative water content at zero turgor ( $RWC_0$ ), apoplastic water fraction (AWF), and saturated to dry mass ratio (TM/DM)] were measured at least on 8 twigs at each season and year. Net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and transpiration rate (E) were measured on 4 leaves of each species at each season and year. Hydraulic efficiency (HE) is derived from the ratio between stomatal conductance and plant water potential amplitude for a given day. SD and CV indicate standard deviation and coefficient of variation, respectively.

Parameters	<i>Phillyrea latifolia</i>					<i>Quercus ilex</i>				
	minumum	maximum	mean	SD	CV [%]	minumum	maximum	mean	SD	CV [%]
$\epsilon$ [MPa]	2.92	16.38	7.27	5.163	71.1	0.81	5.01	2.57	1.472	57.28
$\pi_0$ [MPa]	-3.11	-1.70	-2.23	0.430	19.3	-2.81	-1.50	-2.14	0.459	21.94
$\pi_{100}$ [MPa]	-2.40	-0.61	-1.26	0.524	41.6	-1.48	-0.50	-0.85	0.319	37.33
$RWC_0$ [%]	77.7	90.8	84.0	3.54	4.2	62.6	78.0	72.0	4.50	6.26
TM/DM	2.00	3.45	2.28	0.449	19.7	1.96	3.72	2.36	0.628	26.65
AWF	0.201	0.754	0.583	0.196	33.6	0.11	0.63	0.50	0.147	29.38
$P_N$ [ $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ]	-2.386	5.129	2.694	2.311	85.8	-2.06	7.32	3.81	2.772	72.74
$g_s$ [ $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ]	0.038	0.320	0.125	0.109	87.4	0.02	0.53	0.14	0.154	106.6
E [ $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ]	0.381	3.687	1.82	0.982	53.9	0.352	3.72	1.593	0.949	59.6
HE [ $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}$ ]	0.023	0.376	0.130	0.114	87.9	0.03	0.40	0.15	0.130	87.8