

# Peatland restoration pathways to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and retain peat carbon

Ülo Mander<sup>®</sup> · Mikk Espenberg · Lulie Melling · Ain Kull

Received: 21 June 2023 / Accepted: 4 November 2023 / Published online: 8 December 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract Peatlands play a crucial role in the global carbon (C) cycle, making their restoration a key strategy for mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and retaining C. This study analyses the most common restoration pathways employed in boreal and temperate peatlands, potentially applicable in tropical peat swamp forests. Our analysis focuses on the GHG emissions and C retention potential of the restoration measures. To assess the C stock change in restored (rewetted) peatlands and afforested peatlands with continuous drainage, we adopt a conceptual approach that considers short-term C capture (GHG exchange between the atmosphere and the peatland ecosystem) and long-term C sequestration in peat. The primary criterion of our conceptual model is the capacity of restoration measures to capture C and reduce GHG emissions. Our findings indicate that carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is the most influential part of long-term

Responsible Editor: Klaus Butterbach-Bahl.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-023-01103-1.

Ü. Mander (⊠) · M. Espenberg · A. Kull Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia e-mail: ulo.mander@ut.ee

L. Melling

Sarawak Tropical Peat Research Institute, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia

climate impact of restored peatlands, whereas moderate methane ( $CH_4$ ) emissions and low N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes are relatively unimportant. However, lateral losses of dissolved and particulate C in water can account up to a half of the total C stock change. Among the restored peatland types, Sphagnum paludiculture showed the highest CO<sub>2</sub> capture, followed by shallow lakes and reed/grass paludiculture. Shallow lakeshore vegetation in restored peatlands can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and sequester C but still emit CH<sub>4</sub>, particularly during the first 20 years after restoration. Our conceptual modelling approach reveals that over a 300-year period, under stable climate conditions, drained bog forests can lose up to 50% of initial C content. In managed (regularly harvested) and continuously drained peatland forests, C accumulation in biomass and litter input does not compensate C losses from peat. In contrast, rewetted unmanaged peatland forests are turning into a persistent C sink. The modelling results emphasized the importance of long-term C balance analysis which considers soil C accumulation, moving beyond the short-term C cycling between vegetation and the atmosphere.

**Keywords** Carbon dioxide · Methane · Nitrous oxide · Paludiculture · Peatland restoration · Rewetting

## Introduction

Importance of peatlands in a changing climate

Peatlands cover only about 3% of the Earth's terrestrial surface (Gorham 1991) but play a crucial role in the global carbon (C) cycle. They act as significant C stores and are sources or sinks for greenhouse gases (GHG) like carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O; Frolking et al. 2011). These gases contribute to climate change and are considered crucial anthropogenic GHGs. Due to their high C density, peatlands are globally recognized as vital C reservoirs (Gallego-Sala et al. 2018) accounting for about 21% of the global soil organic C stock, estimated ~ 3000 Pg (Leifeld and Menichetti 2017). Peatlands also serve as substantial stores of organic N, with Northern peatlands alone accumulating 8-15 Pg N. When including tropical peatlands, the global estimations reached up to 26 Pg N (Swenson et al. 2019).

Undisturbed peatlands are currently a C sink (~0.1 Pg C y<sup>-1</sup>), a moderate source of  $CH_4$ (~0.03 Pg CH<sub>4</sub> y<sup>-1</sup>), and a very weak source of  $N_2O$ (~0.00002 Pg N<sub>2</sub>O–N y<sup>-1</sup>) (Frolking et al. 2011). However, anthropogenic activities, primarily agriculture and forestry drainage (up to 20% of global peatlands), result in net CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (~0.1 Pg C  $y^{-1}$ ), reduced CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (10% smaller than in natural conditions), and increased N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (~20 times higher than in natural peatlands). Consequently, subsidence and soil degradation contribute nearly 6% of global anthropogenic GHG emissions (Wichtmann et al. 2016). Most likely, the global peatland's GHG balance has turned to a C source, a slightly smaller CH<sub>4</sub> source, and a larger (but still small) N<sub>2</sub>O source (Frolking et al. 2011). In Europe, 46% of the remaining peatlands have degraded to the point where peat is no longer actively forming (Swenson et al. 2019).

As typical wetlands, peatlands are severely threatened by drainage, climate change, fires and groundwater extraction (Fluet-Chouinard et al. 2023). However, their restoration is beneficial, enabling C capture and sequestration, and minimizing  $N_2O$  emissions (Leifeld and Menichetti 2017).

The northern hemisphere has experienced the highest warming during winter and early spring (Ljungqvist et al. 2016), leading to more frequent freeze-thaw cycles. Annual precipitation has also increased, particularly during the cold half-year in

northern regions (Ljungqvist et al. 2016). Conversely, there are many indications of growing frequency of droughts during the warm season (Chiang et al. 2021). An increase in flash floods is also predicted (Zheng et al. 2022). These changing climatic conditions, droughts, rapid fluctuations in groundwater level (Mander et al. 2021), flash floods (Schindler et al. 2020), and soil moisture conditions (Pärn et al. 2018; Evans et al. 2021; Huang et al. 2021) can create hot spots and hot moments of GHG emission in peat-land ecosystems.

#### Peatland restoration

Rewetting is a crucial step for conservation or sequestration of C in peatlands previously affected by drainage (Günther et al. 2020). Likewise, rewetting can affect nitrogen cycle and reduce cumulative N2O-N emissions by up to 70% in European peatlands (Liu et al. 2022). Usually, peatland restoration and rewetting are considered as synonyms, but in this paper we consider rewetting as increasing water table level compared with previously drained status. In case of restoration we expect that both water table and vegetation are manipulated in the way that enables to achieve ecosystem status similar to pre-drainage. Restoration pathways depend on factors such as initial vegetation of the drained area (Heger et al. 2022; Schaller et al. 2022), nutrient status of the residual peat layer (Kreyling et al. 2021) and the expected time frame for achieving planned ecological and socioeconomic benefits of the restoration.

Rewetting may transform former peat extraction sites into mires, paludicultural land, wet forests or shallow waterbodies. Ecologically, the preferred pathway is to restore them as mires (Wilson et al. 2022), which can be achieved by restoring water level, by a combination of rewetting and the application of peat moss layer transfer technique (Gonzalez-Sargas & Rochefort 2019), or to establish shallow waterbodies in hydrologically complex sites (Christen et al. 2016).

Peatland rewetting for agricultural use (including paludiculture) is challenging but may have a positive short-term effect on  $CO_2$  capture and GHG mitigation (Maljanen et al. 2010; Wilson et al. 2016a, b) but may not stop long-term peat mineralisation. Rewetting for paludiculture (Wichtmann et al. 2016) usually encompasses *Sphagnum*-based C farming (Gaudig et al. 2017/2018), energy crop cultivation (Hyvönen

et al. 2009; Mander et al. 2012; Järveoja et al. 2016a; Kandel et al. 2020); wet forestry (Anadon-Rosell et al. 2022), or cultivation of the reed (Martens et al. 2021) or cattail (De Jong et al. 2021). Berries and other wetland plants are also suitable for paludiculture, but cranberry (*Vaccinium*) is the most suitable pioneer species for mire restoration and long-term C capture (Freeman et al. 2022).

Restoration of drained forests is usually achieved by blocking ditches and restoring water levels (Grand-Clement et al. 2015). Regulating the water regime in restored sites is effective for mitigation of GHG emissions (Järveoja et al. 2016b).

Peatland restoration is vulnerable to hydroclimatic conditions, particularly in the temperate zone. Changing precipitation pattern, increasing temperature and decreasing snowpack are expected to contribute to more frequent extreme events like droughts and torrential rains, resulting in increased vulnerability and interannual variability (Alm et al. 1999; Drollinger et al. 2019). In addition, it is also important to consider the potential substantial losses of dissolved and particulate C from drained and restored peatlands when estimating C budgets (Billett et al. 2010; Rosset et al. 2022).

Due to global warming, northern peatlands are projected to experience increased GHG emissions, particularly during non-growing period (Rafat et al. 2021), while Garcin et al. (2022) highlight a lack of knowledge of hydroclimatic vulnerability of peat C in tropical peatlands. Wet-dry seasonality of GHG fluxes is expected from tropical peatland soils (Inubushi et al. 2003). However, the overall impact of climate change on GHG fluxes needs to be better understood. Current understanding suggests that changes in soil temperature, photosynthesis, and soil moisture drive alterations in net C fluxes (Rafat et al. 2021).

#### Restoration versus afforestation of peatlands

Peatland restoration involves rewetting, whereas afforestation of drained peatlands and maintaining their drained condition cannot be considered equivalent to restoration. The key issue lies in the difference in the time scale and the discrepancy in distinguishing between short-term C capture in ecosystem (often observed in studies on GHG exchange between the atmosphere and ecosystem) and long-term C sequestration in soil. When peatlands are drained, C loss from the peat can offset the benefit of long-term  $CO_2$  sequestration achieved by afforestation (Jurasinski et al. 2020, 2023).

Currently, there is insufficient evidence on the long-term benefits of active afforestation of drained peatlands to mitigate climate change (Jurasinski et al. 2023). Afforestation on drained peatland forests and some former peat extraction areas may provide short-term benefits for climate change mitigation (Mäkiranta et al. 2007; Samariks et al. 2023). However, this approach does not account for the value of long-term C storage in peat. Similarly, intensive forestry on drained peatlands will not restore the peatland ecosystem's flora, fauna, and functions (Haapalehto et al. 2017; Loisel & Gallego-Sala 2022).

In addition, afforested drained peatlands are more susceptible to wildfires (Kohlenberg et al. 2018; Zheng et al. 2023). These risks are exacerbated by more frequent and more intense droughts in the boreal zone (Walker et al. 2019), resulting in losses of burnt wood and substantial C losses from burnt and burning peat layers (Liu et al. 2023). The impacts of severe fires have been devastating drained areas of formerly tropical peatland forests in Southeast Asia (Page et al. 2009).

Restoration-versus-afforestation of peatlands is being debated during the legislation procedure of the European Union Nature Restoration Law (NRL) (Jurasinski et al. 2023).

The general aim of this paper is to assess shortterm C capture (GHG exchange between the atmosphere and the peatland ecosystem) and analyse the fluxes in the context of long-term C sequestration in peat of restored (rewetted) peatlands and afforested drained peatlands. As a specific objective we implement a conceptual model that compares changes in the long-term C budget and climate warming mitigation potential in the restored and afforested peatlands.

#### **Conceptual framework**

To provide a comprehensive understanding of the framework and to organize the rich source material, we have adopted a three-stage system. These stages refer to peatlands with different water regimes: natural (pristine), drained, and restored (rewetted) ecosystems. Within each stage of water regime, we further divided them into blocks based on primary land use types (Fig. 1). Estimated fluxes of all three GHGs–CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O—are presented using a general three tier scale (high, medium and low fluxes). Flux values are represented by arrows, with upward-pointing arrows indicating emission and downward-pointing arrows indicating capture or uptake of the corresponding gas. In addition, we have indicated the averaged lateral losses of dissolved organic C in water (Fig. 1).

Although our main focus lies on temperate and boreal peatlands, we also briefly discuss tropical peatland forests due to their significance as global hot spots of GHGs, and their large-scale escalating disturbance and destruction. In characterizing GHG fluxes in natural and drained peatlands, we primarily relied on literature sources supplemented by unpublished original data from our research group. However, for



**Fig. 1** Conceptual figure showing main generalized types of peatland ecosystems from natural and drained to potentially restored peatlands. Proposed set-up for greenhouse gas emission from the main compartments of ecosystems is shown. Arrows indicate literature-based mean values of greenhouse gases CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (upward and downward arrows indicate emissions and captures of the corresponding gas,

respectively). Question marks indicate scarce data without representative values. Aggregated lateral losses of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in water are based on Wilson et al. 2016a. Rewetting is displayed as a necessary presumption for peatland restoration. Paludiculture—Sphagnum, berries and energy crops. Open restored areas – bog- and fen-type peatlands tropical wetlands, data is limited or sporadic, resulting in the use of question marks.

For restored (rewetted) peatlands, due to the scarcity and less systematic nature of the data, we present a selection of available GHG flux data in Table 1. The categories of restored (rewetted) peatlands are as in Fig. 1: wet forests, paludiculture, shallow lakes and open mires. Upon no accurate distinction between the mentioned categories in the source data we added a general category - rewetted peatlands - to both Table 1 and Fig. 2. The latter one presents average flux values (Fig. 2A–C) and GHG balances in CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents (Fig. 2D and Fig. 2E). In the more general Fig. 1, paludiculture is shown as a single category. To compile this information, we referred to both literature sources and results from local research projects in Estonia. For our literature search, we utilized well-known indexing systems such as Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics), Scopus, and Google Scholar. We used following keywords and their combinations: "rewetted peatland(s)", "restored wetland(s)", "restored peatland(s)", "rewetted forest(s)", "paludiculture", "Sphagnum farming", "greenhouse gases, "carbon dioxide", methane, "nitrous oxide", "tropical peatlands". In addition, we looked for references from cited rewetting-related papers.

To explain the dilemma of peatland restoration and afforestation in a long-term perspective and mitigation of climate warming, we created a conceptual model that helps to characterize the changes in the C budget under different management practices (Fig. 3).

The resulting climatic effects of these management options are strongly time-dependent and rely on difference in forming above or below ground carbon pool and carbon turnover rate.

Our conceptual model of long-term C stock dynamics over 300-year period is based on the approach proposed by Minkkinen & Laine (1998). We developed it further to include C stock dynamics in nutrient-poor mires (open bog and bog forest), drained bog forest, and potential land use scenarios after milled peat extraction (restored bog, naturally regenerated unmanaged forest and managed forest). In modelling, we assumed equal C stock 686 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in any peatland as initial status, corresponding to C stock with 2 m peat depth in pristine bog (Supplementary Table 1). As approximation, the stem, branches and coarse root biomass were assumed to have stable ratio (branches 12% of stem biomass and

coarse roots 19% of above ground biomass) in any age group, wood density (kg  $m^3$ ) and C content (%) values were derived from literature (Kask and Pikk 2009; Külla 1997). Initial value of stem biomass was set 3 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> for pristine open bog, 100 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for pristine bog forest and 0 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> for any other land use classes (initially harvested peatland forest or treeless peat extraction site) with annual stem biomass increment by  $0 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for open bog, 0.1 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for stable continuous cover bog forest, 4  $m^3$  ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for drained peatland forest and naturally regenerated forest on peat extraction site (initial value  $0 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  until year 20, thereafter gradually increasing until 4 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> by year 50 and starting to decline to 0.1 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> by year 160 as equal to pristine bog forest) while mean annual increment of 6 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> was assigned to managed forest on drained former peat extraction site because of higher fertility of deeper peat layers (usually fen peat) after removal of Sphagnum peat. In pristine bog forest, restored bog and unmanaged peatland forest on former peat extraction site no harvest and timber removal is considered. Harvesting cycle is assumed to be 100 years in both restored managed peatland forest and drained managed peatland forests, while only stem biomass is removed. Fine root biomass was considered as part of C turnover and part of net ecosystem exchange (NEE). NEE values for modelled ecosystems are based on original data from Estonian study sites (Table 1), Estonian national GHG inventory, and literature (Salm et al. 2012; Wilson et al. 2016a). A conceptual scheme presents modelled longterm C stock dynamics in pristine mire ecosystems, restored and afforested drained peatlands (Fig. 3).

## **Results and discussion**

Greenhouse gas fluxes in restored peatlands

Figure 1 illustrates a conceptual view of estimated GHG fluxes in natural, drained and restored (rewetted) peatlands. In restored peatlands,  $CO_2$  fluxes play the main role in climate impacts, while  $CH_4$  emissions are moderate and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes are low making them less significant. Lateral losses of dissolved and particulate C in water can account up to a half of total C budget. Among the different restored peatlands, paludiculture has the highest estimated carbon dioxide

| Table 1 G             | rreenhouse gas fluxes   | in main types of     | f boreal and ter | nperate rewetted           | l peatlands        |   |                          |       |                    |                         |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Type                  | Name  | Coordinates          | Country          | Period of<br>study         | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment   | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH4-C | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source                  |
| Rewetted<br>peatlands |   |                      |                  |                            |                    |   |                          |       |                    |                         |
|                       | Twitchell Island<br>old wetland (26<br>yrs), former<br>agricultural<br>land | 38°06'N,<br>121°38'W | USA, CA          | Aug. 2012–<br>Aug. 2013    | Rich               | Schoenoplectus acutus,<br>Typha latifolia, T.<br>domingensis, T.<br>angustifolia, Lud-<br>wigia<br>peploides, Lenna sp.)  | - 3970                   | 387   |                    | Knox et al.<br>2015     |
|                       | Sherman Island<br>young wetland,<br>13 yrs), former<br>peatland pasture     | 38°05'N,<br>121°42'W | USA, CA          | March<br>2012–Apr.<br>2013 | Rich               | Schoenoplectus acutus,<br>Typha spp.,   | - 3680                   | 530   |                    | Knox et al.<br>2015     |
|                       | Boreal zone<br>aggregated   |                      | Global<br>review |                            |                    | All rewetted peatlands<br>(restored from drained<br>forests, grssslands and<br>croplands, and peat<br>extraction sites)   | - 1300                   | 123.6 | 0                  | Günther<br>et al. 2020  |
|                       | Temperate zone<br>aggregated  |                      | Global<br>review |                            |                    | All rewetted peatlands<br>(see above)   | - 400                    | 205.9 | 0                  | Günther<br>et al. 2020  |
|                       | Rewetted organic soils  |                      | Germany          |                            |                    | Average of both<br>nutrient-poor and<br>nutrient-rich rewetted<br>organic soils   | - 0.4                    | 279   | 0.1                | Tiemeyer<br>et al. 2020 |
| Rewetted              | Rewetted peat-<br>lands   |                      | Global<br>review |                            |                    | Average of 20 literature sources  | 675                      | 131   | 1.2                | Bianchi<br>et al. 2021  |
| peatland<br>forest    |   |                      |                  |                            |                    |   |                          |       |                    |                         |
|                       | Rewetted boreal forests   |                      | Global<br>review | Before 2016                | Poor               | Coniferous and mixed<br>peatland forests (soil<br>fluxes) Selected<br>examples from IPCC<br>2014 Wetlands Sup-<br>plement | - 1520                   | 73    | 0.16               | Wilson et al.<br>2016a  |
|                       |   |                      |                  |                            | Rich               | Coniferous and mixed<br>peatland forests (soil<br>fluxes)   | - 1930                   | 220   | 0.16               | Wilson et al.<br>2016a  |

| Table 1 (                               | continued)                        |                            |                  |                           |                    |   |                          |                    |                    |                             |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Type                                    | Name                              | Coordinates                | Country          | Period of<br>study        | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment   | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH <sub>4</sub> -C | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source                      |
|   | Rewetted temper-<br>ate forests   |                            | Global<br>review | Before 2016               | Poor               | Coniferous, deciduous<br>and mixed peatland<br>forests (soil fluxes)  | - 1220                   | 160                | 0.16               | Wilson et al.<br>2016a      |
|   |                                   |                            |                  |                           | Rich               |   | 960                      | 418                | 0.16               | Wilson et al.<br>2016a      |
|   | 28 rewetted peat-<br>land forests |                            | Finland          | 1 year<br>(2008–<br>2019) | Rich               | Fen forests (soil fluxes)   |                          |                    | 0.8                | Minkkinen<br>et al. 2020    |
|   |                                   |                            |                  |                           | Poor               | Bog forests (soil fluxes)   |                          |                    | 0.5                | Minkkinen<br>et al. 2020    |
| Dalidicul                               | Wöpkendorf<br>Alnus glutinosa     | 54°7'36″N,<br>12°29'04″E   | Germany          | May 2018–<br>Apr. 2020    | Rich               | Rewetted peatland alder<br>forest;<br>Carex acutiformis, C.<br>riparia, Hottonia<br>palustres, Solanum<br>dulcamara |                          | 15 to 565          |                    | Köhn et al.<br>2021         |
| ture<br>ture<br><i>Reed/</i><br>grasses | Lavassaare<br>Phalaris            | 58°34'20"N,<br>24°23' 15"E | Estonia          | May 2010–<br>May 2011     | Rich               | Phalaris arundinacea<br>fertilized  |                          | 3.56               | - 0,006            | Mander<br>et al. 2012       |
|   |                                   |                            |                  |                           | Rich               | P. arundinacea non-<br>fertilized   |                          | 1.75               | - 0.03             | Mander<br>et al. 2012       |
|   |                                   |                            |                  | JanDe.c<br>2014           | Rich               | <i>P. arundinacea</i> ferti-<br>lized   | 790                      | 0.14               | 2.39               | Järveoja<br>et al.<br>2016a |
|   |                                   |                            |                  |                           | Rich               | P.arundinacea non-<br>fertilized  | 2010                     | 0.18               | 1.08               | Järveoja<br>et al.<br>2016a |
|   | Keressaare<br>Phalaris            | 58°36'56"N,<br>27°00' 36"E | Estonia          | Apr. 2015–<br>Mar. 2018   | Poor               | <i>P.arundinacea</i> high<br>water table, fertilized,<br>limed  | - 892                    | 1.14               | 19.0               | Maddison<br>et al. 2018     |
|   |                                   |                            |                  |                           |                    | <i>P.arundinacea</i> low<br>water table, fertilized,<br>limed   | - 249                    | 3.07               | 28.1               | Maddison<br>et al. 2018     |
|   | Gersloot<br>Typha latifolia       | 53°01'N,<br>5°55'E         | Nether-<br>lands | Feb-June<br>2017          | Rich               | Fen peat, lab experi-<br>ments  |                          | 72.9               | 2.18               | Vroom et al.<br>2018        |

🙆 Springer

| Table 1 (co       | ontinued)   |                            |                   |                                    |                    |   |                          |                    |                    |   |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| Type              | Name  | Coordinates                | Country           | Period of<br>study                 | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment   | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH <sub>4</sub> -C | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source  |
|                   | Øby P. arundina-<br>cea, Poa spp  | 56°27'32"N,<br>9°40'40''E  | Denmark           | Mar. 2015–<br>Mar.2017             | Rich               | P.arundinacea flooded<br>plots  | - 3970 to<br>- 5970      | 450 to 1,110       | 4.0 to 5.5         | Kandel et al.<br>2020                           |
|                   |   |                            |                   |                                    | Rich               | <i>P.arundinacea</i> semi-<br>flooded plots   | – 2650 to<br>– 4990      | 160 to 710         | 4.0 to 6.0         | Kandel et al.<br>2020                           |
|                   | Emergent crops  |                            | Global<br>review  |                                    |                    | Average of 6 literature sources   |                          | 174                | 1.68               | Bianchi<br>et al. 2021                          |
| Vaccinium<br>spp. | Saint-Louis-de-<br>Blandford,   | 46°15'N,<br>72°00'W        | Canada,<br>Quebec | Growing<br>season<br>2012,<br>2013 | Poor               | Cultivated cranberry<br>(Vaccinium macro-<br>carpon)  |                          | 27                 | 0.61               | Lloyd et al.<br>2019                            |
|                   | Maima   | 58°35'54"N,<br>24°22' 36″E | Estonia           | (a)                                | Poor               | Naturally regenerated<br>cranberry (Oxycoccus<br>palustris)   | - 799                    | 68.5               | 2.0                | Burdun et al.<br>2021                           |
|                   | Laiuse  | 58°47'17"N,<br>26°31' 47"E | Estonia           | (a)                                | Poor               | Naturally regen. Cran-<br>berry<br>(Oxycoccus palustris)  | - 837                    | 31.4               | - 0.002            | Viru et al.<br>2020; Bur-<br>dun et al.<br>2021 |
|                   | Ess-soo   | 57°54'51"N,<br>26°41' 26"E | Estonia           | (a)                                | Poor               | Naturally regen. cran-<br>berry<br>( <i>Oxycoccus palustris</i> )   | - 707                    | 5.4                | 0.08               | Viru et al.<br>2020; Bur-<br>dun et al.<br>2021 |
| Sphagnum<br>spp   | Nordhümmlinger<br>Moore<br><i>Rewetted former</i><br><i>peat mining</i><br><i>area</i><br>Sphagnum site | 53<br>∘02' N, 7°29'<br>E   | Germany           | June 2010-<br>Dec. 2011            | Poor               | Sphagnum cuspidatum,<br>Eriophorum angus-<br>tifolium, Molinia<br>caerulea  | - 949                    | 233                | - 2.58             | Beyer &<br>Höper<br>2015                        |
|                   | Sphagnum culti-<br>vation   |                            |                   | June 2010-<br>Dec. 2011            | Poor               | S. papillosum, S. cus-<br>pidatum, S. palustre,<br>S. fallax, E. angusti-<br>folium, Erica tetralix,<br>Juncus effusus, Betula<br>pendula, Drosera spp. | - 987                    | 24                 | 0.55               | Beyer &<br>Höper<br>2015                        |
|                   | Hankhauser<br>Moor, rewetted<br>former bog<br>grassland   | 53°16' N,<br>08°18' E      | Germany           | Sep. 2011–<br>ug. 2013             | Poor               | <i>Sphagnum palustre</i><br>cultivation   | - 5470 to<br>- 6290      | 10–14              | 0.01               | Günther<br>et al. 2017                          |

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}} Springer$ 

| Table 1         | (continued)            |   |         |  |                    |   |                          |             |                    |   |
|-----------------|------------------------|---|---------|--|--------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Type            | Name                   | Coordinates                                     | Country | Period of<br>study                             | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment                                     | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH₄-C       | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source  |
|                 |                        |   |         | Sep. 2011–<br>Aug. 2013                        | Poor               | Sphagnum papillosum<br>cultivation                                  | - 8750 to<br>- 8980      | 12–27       | – 1.0 to 1.0       | Günther<br>et al. 2017                          |
|                 | Ess-soo                | 57°54'51"N,<br>26°41' 26"E                      | Estonia | (a)  | Poor               | Dredged hollow with<br>naturally regenerated<br>Sphagnum            | - 702                    | 380         | 0.07               | Viru et al.<br>2020; Bur-<br>dun et al.<br>2021 |
|                 | Maima                  | 58°35'54"N,<br>24°22' 36"E                      | Estonia | (a)  | Poor               | Dredged hollow with<br>naturally regenerated<br>Sphagnum            | - 740                    | 116         | - 0.11             | Viru et al.<br>2020; Bur-<br>dun et al.<br>2021 |
|                 | Provinzial-moor        | 52°40' N,<br>07°06' E                           | Germany | Mar. 2017–<br>Mar. 2019                        | Rich               | <i>S. papillosum</i><br><i>S. palustre</i> ;<br>ditch irrigation    | – 600 to 2200            | 3 to 53     | 0.5 to 1.3         | Oestmann<br>et al. 2022                         |
|                 | Drenth                 | 52°41' N,<br>07°05' E                           | Germany |  | Rich               | <i>S. papillosum</i><br>drip irrigation                             | 700 to 900               | 1 to 4      | 1.9 to 12          | Oestmann<br>et al. 2022                         |
| Shallow         | Hankhauser Moor        | 53°16' N,<br>08°18' E                           | Germany | 2015-2022                                      | Rich               | <i>Sphagnum spp</i> , produc-<br>tion field                         | – 1,609±739              | 22.7±6.7    | $-0.47 \pm 1.57$   | Daun et al.<br>2023                             |
| lake            | Giel'cikau Kasyl       | 52°38′N,<br>25°21'E                             | Belarus | Aug. 2010-<br>Aug. 2012                        | Rich               | Phragmites australis,<br>Lemna spp                                  | - 4,453 to<br>- 8,242    | 480 to 1470 | 0 to 0.005         | Minke et al.<br>2016                            |
|                 | Västkärr               | 59°06' N,<br>14°45' E                           | Sweden  | 2010–2014,<br>snow-free<br>periods<br>(65% yr) | Rich               | Graminoids, <i>Carex</i> spp,<br><i>Typha</i> spp                   |                          | 44          | 4.3                | Jordan et al.<br>2020                           |
|                 | Zilakalna,<br>Tevgarsu | 57°36' N,<br>25°10' E;<br>57°40' N,<br>24°57' E | Latvia  | Dec. 2016–<br>Dec. 2022                        | Poor               | Permanently flooded<br>peat extraction area;<br>no vegetation       | 550±5                    | 251±77      | $0.00 \pm 0.06$    | Bardule<br>et al. 2023                          |
|                 | Laiuse                 | 58°47′17″N,<br>26°31′ 47″E                      | Estonia | Sep. 2019–<br>June 2023                        | Poor               | 14 ha, open water, <i>P. australis</i> , Typha & sedges in littoral | 1,079                    | 292         | 0.17               | Burdun et al.<br>2021                           |
| Open bog<br>fen | /2                     |   |         |  |                    |   |                          |             |                    |   |

| Table 1 | (continued)   |                            |                    |  |                    |   |                          |                    |                    |                                   |
|---------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Type    | Name  | Coordinates                | Country            | Period of<br>study                     | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment   | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH <sub>4</sub> -C | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source                            |
|         | Bois-des-Bel,<br>restored bog   | 47°57'N,<br>69°26'W        | Canada,<br>Quebec  | May–Oct.<br>2000,<br>2001,<br>2002     | Poor               | Sphagnum spp., Polytri-<br>chum spp., Chamae-<br>daphne calyculata,<br>Vaccinium angusti-<br>folium, Ledum<br>groenlandicum, E.<br>vaginatum,<br>T. latifolia | - 4,330 to<br>- 10,253   |                    |                    | Waddington<br>et al. 2010         |
|         | Wandering River<br>restored bog<br>(former horti-<br>cultural area)                 | 55°11'N,<br>112°29'W       | Canada,<br>Alberta | July–Sep.<br>2011,<br>May–July<br>2012 | Poor               | Sphagnum spp, Carex<br>spp., E. vaginatum,<br>Salix pedicellaris,<br>Polytrichum strictum,<br>Pohlia nutans   | - 11,647 to<br>1,095     | - 3.23 to<br>540   |                    | Strack et al.<br>2014             |
|         | Trebel River<br>valley<br>Rewetted fen,<br>former agric.<br>grassland<br>Phragmites | 54°060'N,<br>12°44'E       | Germany            | March<br>2011–<br>March<br>2012        | Rich               | Phragmites australis  | - 830 to 320             | 110                |                    | Günther<br>et al. 2015            |
|         | Typha   |                            |                    |  | Rich               | Carex spp   | – 430 to 710             | 105                |                    | Günther<br>et al. 2015            |
|         | Carex   |                            |                    |  | Rich               | Typha spp.  | – 30 to 290              | 590-630            |                    | Günther<br>et al. 2015            |
|         | Himmel-moor<br>Rewetted in 1981<br>Heath site                                       | 53°44′20''N,<br>9°50′58''E | Germany            | Aug. 2010–<br>Jan. 2012                | Poor               | Erica tetralix, Calluna<br>vulgaris, V. oxycoc-<br>cus, Andromeda<br>polifolia  | 84                       | 478                | - 0.16             | Vanselow-<br>Algan<br>et al. 2015 |
|         | Sphagnum  |                            |                    | Aug. 2010–<br>Jan. 2012                | Poor               | Sphagnun spp  | 16                       | 748                | 0.34               | Vanselow-<br>Algan<br>et al. 2015 |
|         | Purple moor<br>grass  |                            |                    | Aug. 2010–<br>Jan. 2012                | Poor               | Molinia caerulea  | 67                       | 1.114              | 0.26               | Vanselow-<br>Algan<br>et al. 2015 |

D Springer

| Table 1 (c | continued)   |                                     |                                |                                       |                    |   |                          |                    |                    |                              |
|------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Type       | Name   | Coordinates                         | Country                        | Period of<br>study                    | Nutrient<br>status | Plant community/ treat-<br>ment   | NEE (CO <sub>2</sub> -C) | CH <sub>4</sub> -C | N <sub>2</sub> O-N | Source                       |
|            | Burns Bog Ecol.<br>Cons. Area<br>(BBECA)<br>Restored peat<br>mining area<br>Rewetted Cleared | 49°06'37"N<br>123°00'03"W           | Canada,<br>British<br>Columbia | June-Aug.<br>2014                     | Poor               | Ledum groenlandicum,<br>Betula pendula<br>V. corymbosum Sphag-<br>num capillifolium,                    |                          | 240                | 0.0075             | Christen<br>et al. 2016      |
|            | Rewetted Sedge   | 49°07'09"N<br>123°00'01"W           | Canada,<br>British<br>Columbia | June-Aug.<br>2014                     | Poor               | Rhynchospora alba,<br>Dulichium arundina-<br>ceum. Sphagnum spp.,<br>L. groenlandicum, V.<br>uliginosum |                          | 669                | 0.005              | Christen<br>et al. 2016      |
|            | Bellacorick  | 54°07′ 30'′<br>N, 09<br>°33′ 22´W   | Ireland                        | Nov2008–<br>Dec 2013                  | Poor               | Sphagnum spp, Juncus<br>effusus<br>Eriophorum spp.  | − 1,040±800              | 90±20              | 0                  | Wilson et al.<br>2016b       |
|            | Tässi  | 58°32' 16''<br>N, 25<br>°51' 43'' E | Estonia                        | Mar. 2014–<br>Mar. 2015               | Poor               | Bryophytes, Sphagnum;<br>high water level   | 50                       | $2.0 \pm 0.9$      | $-0.01 \pm 0.02$   | Järveoja<br>et al.<br>2016b  |
|            |  |                                     |                                |                                       | Poor               | Bryophytes, Sphagnum,<br>herbs, shrubs; low<br>water level  | - 247                    | $0.9 \pm 0.5$      | $0.2 \pm 0.1$      | Järveoja<br>et al.<br>2016b  |
|            | Seba Beach   | 53°27' 17" N,<br>114°52'50"W        | Canada,<br>Alberta             | May–Sep.<br>2015                      | Poor               | All plots (moss, bare,<br>Eriophorum)   |                          |                    | - 0.135            | Brummell<br>et al. 2017      |
|            | Seba Beach   | 53° 33'N, 114°<br>44'W              | Canada,<br>Alberta             | May–Aug.<br>2016,<br>May–Aug.<br>2017 | Poor               | Sphagnum spp, sedges<br>(rest. 2009)  |                          | 21                 |                    | Bieniada<br>& Strack<br>2021 |
|            |  |                                     |                                |                                       | Poor               | Graminoids, Polytri-<br>chum moss, Sphag-<br>num spp, sedges (rest.<br>2012)                            |                          | 703                |                    | Bieniada<br>& Strack<br>2021 |
|            | Bois-des-Bel   | 47°58'2" N<br>69°25'43" W           | Canada,<br>Quebec              | Nov. 2013–<br>Oct. 2014               | Poor               | Sphagnum spp, sedges  | $-900 \pm 180$           | 44±2               |                    | Nugent et al. 2018           |
|            | Uchter Moor<br>Rewetted since<br>1999 former<br>peat mining<br>area                          |                                     | Germany                        | Jan-Dec.<br>2017                      | Rich               | Sphagnum spp, Erio-<br>phorum vaginatum,<br>Molinia caerulea E.<br>angustifolium                        | 262 ± 33                 | 48.8±9.8           | $0.57 \pm 0.21$    | Schaller<br>et al. 2022      |

| Table 1 (continued)  |
|--|
| Negative values correspond to sequestration, positive values indicate emission to the atmosphere. Units: kg C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>   |
| Knox et al.: g $CO_2$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g $CH_4$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; eddy covariance (FMA analyser).   |
| Günther et al. 2020: t $CO_2$ ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; kg $CH_4$ -C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; kg $N_2O$ -N ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> .  |
| Bianchi et al.: t $CO_2$ -eq ha <sup>-1</sup> , yr <sup>-1</sup> ; conversion factor of climate-carbon feedbacks: $CH_4 = 34$ and $N_2O = 298$ (Myhre et al. 2013).  |
| Tiemeyer et al.: t $CO_2$ -C ha <sup>-1</sup> , kg CH <sub>4</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> , kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N ha <sup>-1</sup> , yr <sup>-1</sup> , annual average.   |
| Wilson et al. 2016a: t $CO_2$ -eq ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; conversion factor of climate-carbon feedbacks: $CH_4 = 34$ and $N_2O = 298$ (Myhre et al. 2013).   |
| Minkkinen et al.: g $N_2O-N$ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC; average annual values.   |
| Köhn et al.: kg CH4 ha <sup>-1</sup> ;chambers, GC, Los Gatos laser analyser.  |
| Mander et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m-2 h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, annual median values.   |
| Järveoja et al. 2016a: g $CO_2$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; g $CH_4$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual values.  |
| Maddison et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; µg CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; µg N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC; average annual values.  |
| Vroom et al.: mg CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; mg $N_2$ O m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers on mesocosms in lab, Picarro G2508.   |
| Kandel et al.: Mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; Mg CH <sub>4</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; mg N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC; range between average annual values.   |
| Lloyd et al.: $\mu g CO_2$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu g CH_4$ -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu g N_2 O$ -N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, vegetation period average values.   |
| Burdun et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual values.   |
| Viru et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average monthly and annual values.  |
| Oestmann et al. 2022: t CO <sub>2</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; mg $N_2$ O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, range between average annual values of sub-sites.   |
| Beyer & Hõper 2015: g CO <sub>2</sub> C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , mg N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual values.   |
| Günther et al. 2017: g $CO_2m^{-2}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g $CH_4$ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; mg $N_2O$ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual.  |
| Daun et al.: g CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g N <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual $\pm$ sd.  |
| Minke et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, LiC or (CO <sub>2</sub> ); GC (CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O), average annual values. |
| Jordan et al.: mmol $CH_4$ m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; µmol $N_2O$ m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; average annual values.  |
| Bardule et al.: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; mg CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual ± se.  |
| Waddington et al.: g CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, IRGA analyser, growing season average values.   |
| Strack et al.: g $CO_2$ m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ; mg $CH_4$ m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> chambers, IRGA analyser, growing season average values.  |
| Günther et al.: g CO <sub>2</sub> C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , chambers, GC, average annual values.  |
| Vanselow-Algan et al.: g CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O–N m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> , chambers, GC, average annual values.   |
| Christen et al.: g $CO_2m^{-2} d^{-1}$ with EC; nmol $CH_4 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ and nmol $N_2O-N m^{-2} s^{-1}$ with chambers & GC, June–August median values.   |
| Wilson et al. 2016b: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; mg CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual ± sd.   |
| Järveoja et al. 2016b: mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; $\mu$ g N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ; chambers, GC, average annual values.  |
| Brummell et al.: mg N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup><math>-2</math></sup> d <sup><math>-1</math></sup> , chambers, GC, average annual values.  |
| Bieniada & Strack: $\mu g CH_4-C m^{-2} h^{-1}$ , Los Gatos; average annual values, chambers.  |
| Nugent et al.: g CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; eddy covariance; mean $\pm$ sd.   |
| Schaller et al.: EC LiCor g CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ; EC LosGatos g CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> and mg N <sub>2</sub> O-N m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , average annual $\pm$ standard error values.   |
| <sup>a</sup> NEE CO <sub>2</sub> measurements from July 2021 until June 2023: CO <sub>2</sub> Reco, CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O measurements from August 2017 until June 2023.  |



**Fig. 2** Average annual values of greenhouse gas fluxes in main groups of restored (rewetted) peatlands. **A–C** Annual average values of CO<sub>2</sub>-C (NEE), CH<sub>4</sub>-C and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. D: greenhouse gas balance in CO<sub>2</sub>equivalent GWP<sub>100</sub> values (25

 $(CO_2)$  sequestration rate (>1000 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) followed by rewetted forests, open fens and bogs. However, some rewetted peatlands are potential source of both  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ , at least during the first 20 years after restoration (Vanselow-Algan et al. 2021).

for CH<sub>4</sub>, 298 for N<sub>2</sub>O; Myhre et al. 2013). e: greenhouse gas balance in CO<sub>2</sub>equivalent GWP<sub>500</sub> values (7.6 for CH<sub>4</sub>, 153 for N<sub>2</sub>O; Forster et al. 2007)

According to our analysis, all restored peatlands were C sinks. However, there was significant variation of the data, mainly due to limited data availability and differences in the age since rewetting, as well as variation in plant cover development (Table 1). Average annual  $CO_2$ -C stored in rewetted forests,

**Fig. 3** Conceptual figure of C balance in hemiboreal ombrotrophic afforested peatland sites in a 300-year time-span. For drained and restored peatlands, time since drainage is shown. The tabs on the managed peatland forests graphs represent 100-year logging cycles. See Supplementary Table 1 for input parameters and modelling assumptions



open peatlands and paludicultural sites was -928, -534, and -528 kg CO<sub>2</sub>–C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 1). These findings are consistent with the CO<sub>2</sub> flux values reported by Günther et al. (2020) for rewetted peatlands. Due to their diversity, paludicultural ecosystems showed the most significant variation in CO<sub>2</sub>-C fluxes ranging from high C capturing to moderate emissions, with the highest values observed in *Phalaris arundinacea* and *Poa* spp. plantation on rich fen peat (Kandel et al. 2020). Shallow lakes established on flooded peat extraction sites generally emitted low to moderate levels of CO<sub>2</sub> except extensively vegetated eutrophic sites (Minke et al. 2016).

In all rewetted peatlands, the average annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were at moderate level, with the highest values observed in shallow lakes (451 kg CH<sub>4</sub>–C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by rewetted forests, open bogs/fens and paludiculture (218, 163, and 122 kg CH<sub>4</sub>–C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; Table 1). Average annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes ranged from low to moderate levels (0.01 to 4.45 kg N<sub>2</sub>O–N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), except in *Phalaris* paludiculture plantations on poor and acid peat where liming and fertilization resulted in fluxes of up to 19 kg N<sub>2</sub>O–N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> under high groundwater levels and 28.1 kg N<sub>2</sub>O–N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> under low water levels (Maddison et al. 2016; Table 1).

In management of drained peatlands, global warming potential (GWP) of GHG-s should be considered to avoid making decisions based solely on short-period benefits that may overlook the long-term climate cooling effect. Drained peatlands are known to be persistent  $CO_2$  emitters over the long term, while rewetted peatlands as resilient re-established mire ecosystems effectively contribute to mitigating climate change, even considering radiative forcing of increased  $CH_4$  emissions (Günther et al. 2020) and decreased  $N_2O$  emissions. Figure 2D and E demonstrate the climate effect of rewetted peatland ecosystems in  $GWP_{100}$  and  $GWP_{500}$  timeframes. Mires are the only terrestrial ecosystems capable to continuously sequester atmospheric carbon in the long term (Gorham et al. 2012; Cobb et al. 2020), i.e., the carbon sequestration itself is more important than its compound (methane vs carbon dioxide).

For restored (rewetted) peatlands see literature sources in Table 1.

For natural reference and drained peatlands the following literature sources served as the basis of this figure: Abdalla et al. 2016; Aitova et al. 2023; Bardule et al. 2023; Bianchi et al. 2021; Bieniada & Strack 2021; Brummell et al. 2017; Burdun et al. 2021; Busman et al. 2023; Clement et al. 2020; Couwenberg et al. 2010; Daun et al. 2023; Frolking et al. 2011; Griffis et al. 2020; Günther et al. 2020; Hergoualc'h and Verschot 2014; Hyvönen et al. 2009; Inubushi et al. 2003; Järveoja et al. 2016a,b; Jauhiainen et al. 2008, 2012, 2019, 2023; Jordan et al. 2020; Kandel et al. 2020; Kull 2016; Maddison et al. 2016; Mander et al. 2008, 2012, 2016; Melling et al. 2007; Nugent et al. 2018; Oestmann et al. 2022; Oktarita et al. 2017; Pärn et al. 2023; Petrescu et al. 2015; Rosset et al. 2022; Sakata et al. 2015; Salm et al. 2012; Sjögestren et al. 2011; Takakai et al. 2006; Tang et al. 2018; Toma et al. 2011; Truu et al. 2020; Turetsky et al. 2014; Veber et al. 2021, 202X; Wilson et al. 2016a,b; Wong et al. 2018.

## Modelling approach

To estimate the carbon balance of afforested drained and restored rewetted peatlands we developed a conceptual model. Based on the availability of data from our research projects and similar studies in other countries we chose hemiboreal ombrotrophic peatlands as a modelling example. Figure 3 presents estimated long-term dynamics of C stock of afforested drained and restored rewetted hemiboreal ombrotrophic peatlands and their reference pristine bog ecosystem. In a 300-year perspective, considering stable climate conditions, drained forest peat (former bogs) will lose 1.8 and 1.0 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, due to peat mineralization. In afforested peatlands under continuous drainage, C losses from peat reach 0.9 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, whereas ecosystem C losses (the peat+vegetation budget and 100-year timberharvesting regime) are 0.4-0.6 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. In comparison, fifty years after rewetting the naturally regenerated unmanaged peatland forest show decreased C loss, which is due to lower peat mineralization and C accumulation in biomass. In 160 years they achieve C dynamics similar to the pristine bog forest-with a moderate C sequestration rate of 0.1 t C  $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ . Restoring a peat extraction site to bog ecosystem would become C neutral in nearly 20 years, and onwards continuous mean annual C sequestration of 0.22 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> is assumed (Supplementary Table 1).

Peatland forest drainage can give a significant increase in short-term C capture in biomass (Lohila et al. 2011), however, long-term dynamics in C stock and peat mineralisation remain largely unknown.

Restoration of crop plantations established on tropical peatlands and other dramatically altered peatlands differs from restoration of temperate and boreal bogs because the source community is predominantly swamp forest where peat-forming material is predominantly wood. Above ground and below ground litter formation under the reforestation and management with moderate drainage can more easily compensate peat mineralization than in temperate and boreal areas (Couwenberg et al. 2010).

# Conclusions

Understanding the dynamics of GHG fluxes caused by land-use change is essential for successful peatland restoration. Our analysis identified several contradictory research results and gaps in a deep understanding of these processes. Notably, there is a lack of GHG flux data for most of tropical drained and restored peatlands, with the exception of oil palm plantations. Another important issue is retention of C in restored peatlands, where we can differentiate between shortterm C capture (GHG exchange between the peatland and atmosphere) and long-term C capture (accumulation in soil). Based on our analysis of literature sources and own research results from Estonia, rewetting of former peat extraction areas for further management or conservation is the only viable approach for long-term C sequestration. In contrast, afforestation combined with continuous peatland drainage may have short-term economic benefits but leads to C losses in the long term.

Uncertainties in long-term estimations of C storage and GHG flux dynamics are remarkably high and do not allow for exact predictions. However, even educated guesses can be valuable for decision making on further management of peatlands. To make accurate estimations, it is crucial to investigate the full combined impact of hydroclimate change, microbial processes, and vegetation on GHG emissions from restored peatlands.

Acknowledgements The concept for this paper was developed at the workshop titled "Peatlands for climate change mitigation in agriculture" that took place in Aarhus, Denmark, on 4–5 October 2022, and which was sponsored by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Co-operative Research Programme: Sustainable Agricultural and Food Systems. This study was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under grant agreement No 101096403 (MLTOM23415R), the Estonian Research Council (PRG352, and MOBERC20 and MOBERC44), the European Commission through the European Regional Development Fund (Center of Excellence EcolChange, TK-131), and the EU programmes: HORIZON-CSA project No 101079192 "Living Labs for Wetland Forest Research (LiWeFor)", LIFE21-IPC-EE-LIFE-SIP AdaptEst (MLTOM23090 (101069566) "Implementation of national climate change adaptation activities in Estonia", and the Estonian State Forest Management Centre financed project LLTOM17250 "Water level restoration in cut-away peatlands: development of integrated monitoring methods and monitoring".

Author contributions UM: conceptualized the study, acquired funding, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. ME: conceptualized the study, analyzed the data, generated visualizations, and contributed to reviewing the manuscript. LM: contributed to reviewing the manuscript. AK: conceptualized the study, acquired funding, collected original data, analyzed the data, and contributed to reviewing the manuscript.

**Funding** OECD Cooperative Research Programme, European Research Council,101096403, Ülo Mander, HORIZON EUROPE Widening Participation and Strengthening the European Research Area,101079192, Ülo Mander, Eesti Teadusagentuur, MOBERC20, Ülo Mander, MOBERC44, Ülo Mander, PRG352, Ülo Mander, European Regional Development Fund, EcolChange TK131, Ülo Mander, LIFE21-IPC-EE-LIFE-SIP, 101069566, Ain Kull, State Forest Management Centre, LLTOM17250, Ain Kull

**Data availability** Data are available within the article and its supplementary materials. For additional data or questions, please contact the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Abdalla M, Hastings A, Truu J, Espenberg M, Mander Ü, Smith P (2016) Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options. Ecol Evol 6:7080– 7102. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2469
- Aitova E, Morley T, Wilson D, Renou-Wilson F (2023) A review of greenhouse gas emissions and reovals from Irish peatlands. Mires Peat 29(04):17. https://doi.org/10. 19189/MaP.2022.SNPG.StA.2414
- Alm J, Schulman L, Walden J, Nykänen H, Martikainen P, Silvola J (1999) Carbon balance of a boreal bog during year

with an exceptionally dry summer. Ecology 80(1):161-174. https://doi.org/10.2307/176987

- Anadon-Rosell A, Scharnweber T, von Arx G, Peters RL, Smiljanić M, Weddell S, Wilmking M (2022) Growth and wood trait relationships of *Alnus glutinosa* in peatland forest stands with contrasting water regimes. Front Plant Sci 12:788106. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021. 788106
- Bārdule A, Butlers A, Spalva G, Ivanovs J, Meļņiks RN, Līcīte I, Andis Lazdiņš A (2023) The surface-to-atmosphere GHG fluxes in rewetted and permanently flooded former peat extraction areas compared to pristine peatland in hemiboreal Latvi. Water 15(10):1954. https://doi.org/10. 3390/w15101954
- Beyer C, Höper H (2015) Greenhouse gas exchange of rewetted bog peat extraction sites and a *Sphagnum* cultivation site in Northwest Germany. Biogeosciences 12:2101–2117. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2101-2015
- Bianchi A, Larmola T, Kekkonen H, Saarnio S, Lång K (2021) Review of greenhouse gas emissions from rewetted agricultural soils. Wetlands 41:108. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13157-021-01507-5
- Bieniada A, Strack M (2021) Steady and ebullitive methane fluxes from active, restored and unrestored horticultural peatlands. Ecol Eng 169:106324. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ecoleng.2021.106324
- Billett MF, Charman DJ, Clark JM, Evans CD, Evans MG, Ostle NJ, Worrall F, Burden A, Dinsmore KJ, Jones T, McNamara NP, Parry L, Rowson JG, Rose R (2010) Carbon balance of UK peatlands:current state of knowledge and future research challenges. Clim Res 45:13–29. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00903
- Brummell ME, Lazcano C, Strack M (2017) The effects of *Eriophorum vaginatum* on N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at a restored, extracted peatland. Ecol Eng 106:287–295. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.06.006
- Burdun I, Kull A, Maddison M, Veber G, Karasov O, Sagris V, Mander Ü (2021) Remotely sensed land surface temperature can be used to estimate ecosystem respiration in intact and disturbed northern peatlands. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006411
- Busman NA, Melling L, Gohe KJ, Imran Y, Sangok FE, Watanabe A (2023) Soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes from different forest types in tropical peat swamp forest. Sci Total Environ 858:159973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022. 159973
- Chiang F, Mazdiyasni O, AghaKouchak A (2021) Evidence of anthropogenic impacts on global drought frequency, duration, and intensity. Nat Commun 12:2754. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22314-w
- Christen A, Jassal RS, Black TA, Grant NJ, Hawthorne I, Johnson MS, Lee SC, Merkens M (2016) Summertime greenhouse gas fluxes from an urban bog undergoing restoration through rewetting. Mires Peat 17(03):1–24
- Clément R, Pärn J, Maddison M, Henine H, Chaumont C, Tournebize J, Uri V, Espenberg M, Günther T, Mander Ü (2020) Frequency-domain electromagnetic induction for upscaling greenhouse gas fluxes in two hemiboreal drained peatland forests. J Appl Geophys 173:103944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2020.103944

- Cobb AR, Dommain R, Tan FY, Heng NHE, Harvey CF (2020) Carbon storage capacity of tropical peatlands in natural and artificial drainage networks. Environ Res Lett 15:114009. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba867
- Couwenberg J, Dommain R, Joosten H (2010) Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in South-East Asia. Glob Change Biol 16:1715–1732. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2486.2009.02016.x
- Daun C, Huth V, Gaudig G, Günther A, Krebs M, Jurasinski G (2023) Full-cycle greenhouse gas balance of a Sphagnum paludiculture site on former bog grassland in Germany. Sci Total Environ 877:162943. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2023.162943
- De Jong M, van Hal O, Pijlman J, van Eekeren N, Junginger M (2021) Paludiculture as paludifuture on Dutch peatlands: An environmental and economic analysis of Typha cultivation and insulation production. Sci Total Environ 792:148161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021. 148161
- Drollinger S, Maier A, Glatzel S (2019) Interannual and seasonal variability in carbon dioxide and methane fluxes of a pine peat bog in the Eastern Alps, Austria. Agr Forest Meteorol 275:69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet. 2019.05.015
- Evans CD, Peacock M, Baird AJ, Artz RRE, Burden A, Callaghan N, Chapman PJ, Cooper HM, Coyle M, Craig E, Cumming A, Dixon S, Gauci V, Grayson RP, Helfter C, Heppell CM, Holden J, Jones DL, Kaduk J, Levy P, Matthews R, McNamara NP, Misselbrook T, Oakley S, Page SE, Rayment M, Ridley LM, Stanley KM, Williamson JL, Worrall F, Morrison R (2021) Overriding water table control on managed peatland greenhouse gas emissions. Nature 593:548–552. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03523-1
- Fluet-Chouinard E, Stocker BD, Zhang Z, Malhotra A, Melton JR, Poulter B, Kaplan JO, Goldewijk KK, Siebert S, Minayeva T, Hugelius G, Joosten H, Barthelmes A, Prigent C, Aires F, Hoyt AM, Davidson N, Finlayson CM, Lehner B, Jackson RB, McIntyre PB (2023) Extensive global wetland loss over the last three centuries. Nature 614:281–286. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-022-05572-6
- Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P, Berntsen T, Betts TR, Fahey DW, Haywood J, Lean J, Lowe DC, Myhre G, Nganga J, Prinn R, Raga G, Schulz M, Van Dorland R (2007) Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Solomon S et al (eds) Cambridge University Press. United Kingdom and New York, Cambridge, p 234
- Freeman TR, Evans CD, Musarika S, Morrison R, Newman TR, Page SE, Wiggs GFS, Bell NGA, Styles D, Wen Y, Chadwick DR, Jones DL (2022) Responsible agriculture must adapt to the wetland character of mid-latitude peatlands. Glob Change Biol 28:3795–3811. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcb.16152/
- Frolking S, Talbot J, Jones MC, Treat CC, Kauffman JB, Tuittila ES, Roulet N (2011) Peatlands in the Earth's

21st century climate system. Environ Rev 19:371–396. https://doi.org/10.1139/A11-014/

- Gallego-Sala AV, Charman DJ, Brewer S, Page SE, Prentice IC, Friedlingstein P, Moreton S, Amesbury MJ, Beilman DW, Björck S, Blyakharchuk T, Bochicchio C, Booth RK, Bunbury J, Camill P, Carless D, Chimner RA, Clifford M, Cressey C, Courtney-Mustaphi C, De Vleeschouwer F, de Jong R, Fialkiewicz-Koziel B, Finkelstein SA, Garneau M, Githumbi E, Hribjlan J, Holmquist J, Hughes PDM, Jones C, Jones MC, Karofeld E, Klein ES, Kokfelt U, Korhola A, Lacourse T, Le Roux G, Lamentowicz M, Large D, Lavoie M, Loisel J, Mackay H, MacDonald GM, Makila M, Magnan G, Marchant R, Marcisz K, Martínez Cortizas A, Massa C, Mathijssen P, Mauquoy D, Mighall T, Mitchell FJG, Moss P, Nichols J, Oksanen PO, Orme L, Packalen MS, Robinson S, Roland TP, Sanderson NK, Sannel ABK, Silva-Sánchez N, Steinberg N, Swindles GT, Turner TE, Uglow J, Väliranta M, van Bellen S, van der Linden M, van Geel B, Wang GP, Yu ZC, Zaragoza-Castells J, Zhao Y (2018) Latitudinal limits to the predicted increase of the peatland carbon sink with warming. Nat Clim Change 8:907-9813. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41558-018-0271-1
- Garcin Y, Schefuß E, Dargie GC, Lewis S et al (2022) Hydroclimatic vulnerability of peat carbon in the central Congo Basin. Nature 612:277–282. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-022-05389-3
- Gaudig G, Krebs M, Prager A, Wichmann S, Barney M, Caporn SJM, Emmel M, Fritz C, Grobe A, Gutierrex-Pacheco S, Hogue-Hugron S, Holzträger S, Irrgang S, Kämäräinen A, Karofeld E, Koch G, Koebbing JF, Kumari S, Matcutadze I, Oberpaur C, Oestmann J, Raabe P, Rammes D, Rochefort L, Schmilewski C, Sendzikaite J, Smolders A, St-Hilaire B, van de Riet B, Wright B, Wright N, Zoch L, Joosten H (2017/18) Sphagnum farming from species selection to the production of growing media: a review Mires Peat 20(13):1–30
- Gonzalez-Sargas E, Rochefort L (2019) Declaring success in Sphagnum peatland restoration: Identifying outcomes from readily measurable vegetation descriptors. Mires Peat 24:19. https://doi.org/10.19189/MaP.2017.OMB. 305
- Gorham E (1991) Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. Ecol Appl 1:182–195. https://doi.org/10.2307/194181
- Gorham E, Lehman C, Dyke A, Clymo D, Janssens J (2012) Long-term carbon sequestration in North American peatlands. Quat Sci Rev 58:77–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. quascirev.2012.09.018
- Grand-Clement E, Anderson K, Smith D, Angus M, Luscombe DJ, Gatis N, Bray LS, Brazier RE (2015) New approaches to the restoration of shallow marginal peatlands. J Environ Manage 161:417e430. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.06.023
- Griffis TJ, Roman DT, Wood JD, Deventer J, Fachin L, Rengifo J, Del Castillo D, Lilleskov E, Kolka R, Chimner RA, del Aguila-Pasquel J, Wayson C, Hergoualc'h K, Baker JM, Cadillo-Quiroz H, Ricciuto DM (2020) Hydrometeorological sensitivities of net ecosystem carbon dioxide and methane exchange of an Amazonian palm swamp

peatland. Agr Forest Meteorol 295:108167. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108167

- Günther A, Jurasinski G, Albrecht K, Gaudig G, Krebs M, Glatzel S (2017) Greenhouse gas balance of an establishing Sphagnum culture on a former bog grassland in Germany. Mires Peat 20(02):1–16
- Günther A, Barthelmes A, Huth V, Joosten H, Jurasinski G, Koebsch F, Couwenbeg J (2020) Prompt rewetting of drained peatlands reduces climate warming despite methane emissions. Nat Commun 11:1644. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41467-020-15499-z
- Haapalehto T, Juutinen R, Kareksela S, Kuitunen M, Tahvanainen T, Vuori H, Kotiaho JS (2017) Recovery of plant communities after ecological restoration of forestry-drained peatlands. Ecol Evol 7(19):7848–7858. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3243
- Heger T, Jeschke JM, Febria C, Kollmann J, Murphy S, Rochefort L, Shackeford N, Temperton VM, Higgs E (2022) Mapping and assessing the knowledge base of ecological restoration. Restor Ecol. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec. 13676
- Hergoualc'h K, Verschot LV, (2014) GHG emission factors for land use and land-use change in Southeast Asian peatlands. Mitig Adapt Strat Gl 19:789–807. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11027-013-9511-x
- Huang YY, Ciais P, Luo YQ, Zhu D, Wang YP, Qiu CJ, Goll DG, Guenet B, Makowski D, Graaf DE, Leifeld J, Kwon MJ, Hu J, Qu LY (2021) Tradeoff of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from global peatlands under water-table drawdown. Nat Clim Change 11:618–622. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41558-021-01059-w
- Hyvönen NP, Huttunen JT, Shurpali NJ, Tavi NM, Repo ME, Martikainen PJ (2009) Fluxes of nitrous oxide and methane on an abandoned peat extraction site: effect of reed canary grass cultivation. Biores Technol 100(20):4723– 4730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.04.043
- Inubushi K, Furukawa Y, Hadi A, Purnomo E, Tsuruta H (2003) Seasonal changes of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes in relation to land-use change in tropical peatlands located in coastal area of South Kalimantan. Chemosphere 52:603–608. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03) 00242-X
- Järveoja J, Peichl M, Maddison M, Teemusk A, Mander Ü (2016a) Full carbon and greenhouse gas balances of fertilized and non-fertilized reed canary grass cultivations on an abandoned peat extraction area in a dry year. GCB Bioenergy 8:952–968. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb. 12308
- Järveoja J, Peichl M, Maddison M, Soosaar K, Vellak K, Karofeld E, Teemusk A, Mander Ü (2016b) Impact of water table level on annual carbon and greenhouse gas balances of a restored peat extraction area. Biogeosciences 13:2637–2651. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2637-2016
- Jauhiainen J, Limin S, Silvennoinen H, Vasander H (2008) Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in drained tropical peat before and after hydrological restoration. Ecology 89(12):3503–3514. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2038.1
- Jauhiainen J, Silvennoinen H, Hämälainen R, Kusin K, Limin S, Raison RJ, Vasander H (2012) Nitrous oxide fluxes from tropical peat with different disturbance history and

management. Biogeosciences 9:1337-1350. https://doi. org/10.5194/bg-9-1337-2012

- Jauhiainen J, Alm J, Bjarnadottir B, Callesen I, Christiansen JR, Clarke N, Dalsgaard L, He HX, Jordan S, Kazanavičiūtė V, Klemedtsson L, Laurén A, Lazdins A, Lehtonen A, Lohila A, Lupikis A, Mander Ü, Minkkinen K, Kasimir Å, Olsson M, Ojanen P, Óskarsson H, Sigurdsson BD, Søgaard G, Soosaar K, Vesterdal L, Laiho R (2019) Reviews and syntheses: Greenhouse gas exchange data from drained organic forest soils – a review of current approaches and recommendations for future research. Biogeosciences 16:4687–4703. https:// doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-4687-2019
- Jauhiainen J, Heikkinen J, Clarke N, He HX, Dalsgaard L, Minkkinen K, Ojanen P, Vesterdal L, Alm J, Butlers A, Callesen I, Jordan S, Lohila A, Mander Ü, Óskarsson H, Sigurdsson BD, Søgaard G, Soosaar K, Kasimir Å, Bjarnadottir B, Lazdins A, Laiho R (2023) Greenhouse gas emissions from drained organic forest soils – synthesizing data for site-specific emission factors for boreal and cool temperate regions. Biogeosciences Discuss. https:// doi.org/10.5194/bg-2023-89
- Jordan S, Strömgren M, Fiedler J, Lode E, Nilsson T, Lundin L (2020) Methane and nitrous oxide emission fluxes along water level gradients in littoral zones of constructed surface water bodies in a rewetted extracted peatland in Sweden. Soil Syst 4(1):17. https://doi.org/10.3390/soils ystems4010017
- Jurasinski G, Ahmad S, Anadon-Rosell A, Berendt J, Beyer F, Bill R, Blume-Werry G, Couwenberg J, Günther A, Joosten H, Koebsch F, Köhn D, Koldrack N, Kreyling J, Leinweber P, Lennartz B, Liu HJ, Michaelis D, Mrotzek A, Negassa W, Schenk S, Schmacka F, Schwieger S, Smiljanić M, Tanneberger F, Teuber L, Urich T, Wang HT, Weil M, Wilmking M, Zak D, Wrage-Mönnig N (2020) From understanding to Sustainable use of peatlands: the WETSCAPES approach. Soil Syst 4(1):14. https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems4010014
- Jurasinski G, Byrne K, Chojnicki BH, Christiansen JR, Huth V, Joosten H, Juszczak R, Juutinen S, Kasimir Å, Klemedtsson L, Kotowski W, Kull A, Lamentowicz M, Lindgren A, Linkevičienė R, Lohila A, Mander Ü, Manton M, Minkkinen K, Peters J, Renou-Wilson F, Sendžikaitė J, Šimanauskienė R, Tanneberger F, van Diggelen R, Vasander H, Wilson D, Zak DH, Couwenberg J (2023) Active afforestation of drained peatlands is not a viable option under the EU Nature Restoration Law. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7831174
- Kandel TP, Karki S, Elsgaard L, Labouriau R, Lærke PE (2020) Methane fluxes from a rewetted agricultural fen during two initial years of paludiculture. Sci Total Environ 713(15):136670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.136670
- Kask R, Pikk J (2009) Second thinning Scots pine wood properties in different forest site types in Estonia. Balt for 15(1):97–104
- Knox SH, Sturtevant C, Matthes JH, Koteen L, Verfaillie J, Baldocchi D (2015) Agricultural peatland restoration: effects of land-use change on greenhouse gas ( $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ ) fluxes in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Glob

Change Biol 21(2):750–765. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb. 12745

- Kohlenberg AJ, Turetsky MR, Thompson DK, Branfireun BA, Mitchell CPJ (2018) Controls on boreal peat combustion and resulting emissions of carbon and mercury. Environ Res Lett 13:035005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ aa9ea8
- Köhn D, Günther A, Schwabe I, Jurasinski G (2021) Shortlived peaks of stem methane emissions from mature black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.)—Irrelevant for ecosystem methane budgets? Plant Environ Interact 2:16–27. https://doi.org/10.1002/pei3.10037
- Kreyling J, Tanneberger F, Jansen F, van der Linden S, Aggenbach C, Blüml V, Couwenberg J, Emsens W-J, Joosten H, Klimkowska A, Kotowski W, Kozub L, Lennartz B, Liczner Y, Liu H, Michaelis D, Oehmke C, Parakenings K, Pleyl E, Poyda A, Raabe S, Röhl M, Rücker K, Schneider A, Schrautzer J, Schröder C, Schug F, Seeber E, Thiel F, Thiele S, Tiemeyer B, Timmermann T, Urich T, van Diggelen R, Vegelin K, Verbruggen E, Wilmking M, Wrage-Mönnig N, Wołejko L, Zak D, Jurasinski G (2021) Rewetting does not return drained fen peatlands to their old selves. Nat Commun 12:5693. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-021-25619-y
- Kull A (2016) Buffer zones to limit and mitigate harmful effects of long-term antropogenic influence to maintain ecological functionality of bogs, stage II. Estonian Environmental Investment Centre (KIK), 183 p. (In Estonian). https://4ce0b57b-a630-4e1d-8a88-d1e1a7a51b96. filesusr.com/ugd/6b6658\_446958f4118b44a2a68812820 c31119b.pdf
- Külla T (1997) Below-ground and above-ground structure of a middle-aged Scots Pine stand and Norway Spruce stands. MSc Thesis, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, 100 p. (In Estonian).
- Leifeld J, Menichetti L (2017) The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global climate change mitigation strategies. Nat Commun 9:1071. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-03406-6
- Liu W, Fritz C, Weideveld STJ, Aben RCH, van den Berg M, Velthuis M (2022) Annual CO<sub>2</sub> budget estimation from chamber-based flux measurements on intensively drained peat meadows: Effect of gap-filling strategies. Front Environ Sci 10:803746. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs. 2022.803746
- Liu HJ, Zak D, Zableckis N, Cossmer A, Langhammer N, Meermann B, Lennartz B (2023) Water pollution risks by smoldering fires in degraded peatlands. Sci Total Environ 871:161979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2023.161979
- Ljungqvist F, Krusic P, Sundqvist H, Zorita E, Brattström G, Frank D (2016) Northern Hemisphere hydroclimate variability over the past twelve centuries. Nature 532:94–98. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17418
- Lloyd K, Madramootoo CA, Edwards KP, Grant A (2019) Greenhouse gas emissions from selected horticultural production systems in a cold temperate climate. Geoderma 349:45–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma. 2019.04.030
- Lohila A, Minkkinen K, Aurela M, Tuovinen JP, Penttilä T, Ojanen P, Laurila T (2011) Greenhouse gas flux

measurements in a forestry-drained peatland indicate a large carbon sink. Biogeosciences 8:3203–3218. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-3203-2011

- Loisel J, Gallego-Sala A (2022) Ecological resilience of restored peatlands to climate change. Commun Earth Environ 3:208. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s43247-022-00547-x
- Maddison M, Järveoja J, Kuller R, Muhel M, Ostonen I, Teder H, Teemusk A, Torga R, Viru B, Mander Ü (2016) Reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) cultivation as bioenergy crop on an abandoned peat extraction area with low soil pH. 20th EGU General Assembly, EGU2018, Proceedings from the conference held 4–13 April, 2018 in Vienna, Austria, p.6310. 2018EGUGA.20.6310M
- Mäkiranta P, Hytönen J, Aro L, Maljanen M, Pihlatie M, Potila H, Shurpali NJ, Laine J, Lohila A, Martikainen PJ, Minkkinen K (2007) Soil greenhouse gas emissions from afforested organic soil croplands and cutaway peatlands. Boreal Environ Res 12(2):159–175
- Maljanen M, Sigurdsson BD, Guðmundsson J, Óskarsson H, Huttunen JT, Martikainen PJ (2010) Greenhouse gas balances of managed peatlands in the Nordic countries – present knowledge and gaps. Biogeosciences 7:2711– 2738. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2711-2010
- Mander U, Lõhmus K, Teiter S, Uri V, Augustin J (2008) Gaseous nitrogen and carbon fluxes in riparian alder stands. Boreal Environ Res 13:231–241
- Mander U, Järveoja J, Maddison M, Soosaar K, Aavola R, Ostonen I, Salm J (2012) Reed canary grass cultivation mitigates greenhouse gas emissions from abandoned peat extraction areas. GCB Bioenergy 4:462–474. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2011.01138.x
- Mander Ü, Krasnova A, Escuer-Gatius J, Espenberg M, Schindler T, Machacova K, Pärn J, Maddison M, Megonigal P, Pihlatie M, Kasak K, Niinemets Ü, Junninen H, Soosaar K (2021) Forest canopy mitigates soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission during hot moments. Npj Clim Atmos Sci 4:39. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41612-021-00194-7
- Mander Ü (2016) Carbon and nitrogen cycle in drained peatland forests. Research project report. Appendix 1. Estonian State Forest Management Centre (RMK), 20 p. (In Estonian). https://media.rmk.ee/files/Rakendusuuringu% 20lopparuanne\_Kodusoometsad.pdf
- Martens M, Karlsson MPE, Ehde PM, Mattsson M, Weisner SEB (2021) The greenhouse gas emission effects of rewetting drained peatlands and growing wetland plants for biogas fuel production. J Environ Manage 277:111391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020. 111391
- Melling L, Hatano R, Goh KJ (2007) Nitrous oxide emissions from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 53:792–805. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2007.00196.x
- Minke M, Augustin J, Burlo A, Yarmashuk T, Chuvashova H, Thiele A, Freibauer A, Tikhonov V, Hoffmann M (2016) Water level, vegetation composition, and plant productivity explain greenhouse gas fluxes in temperate cutover fens after inundation. Biogeosciences 13:3945–3970. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-3945-2016

- Minkkinen K, Laine J (1998) Long-term effect of forest drainage on the peatcarbon stores of pine mires in Finland. Can J For Res 28(9):1267–1275. https://doi.org/10.1139/ x98-104
- Minkkinen K, Ojanen P, Koskinen M, Penttilä T (2020) Nitrous oxide emissions of undrained, forestry-drained, and rewetted boreal peatlands. Forest Ecol Manag 478:118494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020. 118494
- Myhre G, Shindell D, Bréon F-M, Collins W, Fuglestvedt J, Huang J, Koch D, Lamarque J-F, Lee L, Mendoza B, Nakajima T, Robock A, Stephens G, Takemura T, Zhang H (2013) Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM (eds) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge United Kingdom and New York, pp 659–740
- Nugent KA, Strachan IB, Strack M, Roulet NT, Rochefort L (2018) Multi-year net ecosystem carbon balance of a restored peatland reveals a return to carbon sink. Glob Change Biol 24(12):5751–5768. https://doi.org/10. 1111/gcb.14449
- Oestmann J, Tiemeyer B, Düvel D, Grobe A, Dettmann U (2022) Greenhouse gas balance of Sphagnum farming on highly decomposed peat at former peat extraction sites. Ecosystems 25:350–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10021-021-00659-z
- Oktarita S, Hergoualc'h K, Anwar S, Verchot LV (2017) Substantial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from peat decomposition and N fertilization in an oil palm plantation exacerbated by hotspots. Environ Res Lett 12:104007. https://doi.org/10. 1088/1748-9326/aa80f1
- Page S, Hoscilo A, Langner A, Tansey K, Siegert F, Limin S, Rieley J (2009) Tropical peatland fires in Southeast Asia. In: Cochrane M (ed) Tropical Fire Ecology. Climate Change, Land Use and Ecosystem Dynamics. Praxis Publishing Ltd, Chichester, pp 263–287
- Pärn J, Verhoeven JTA, Butterbach-Bahl K, Dise NB, Ullah S, Aasa A, Egorov S, Espenberg M, Järveoja J, Jauhiainen J, Kasak K, Klemedtsson L, Kull A, Laggoun-Défarge F, Lapshina ED, Lohila A, Lõhmus K, Maddison M, Mitsch WJ, Müller C, Niinemets Ü, Osborne B, Pae T, Salm JO, Sgouridis F, Sohar K, Soosaar K, Storey K, Teemusk A, Tenywa MM, Tournebize J, Truu J, Veber G, Villa JA, Zaw SS, Mander Ü (2018) Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots. Nat Commun 91(9):1–8. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1
- Pärn J, Soosaar K, Schindler T, Machacova K, Alegria-Muñoz W, Fachín-Malaverri LM, Jibaja-Aspajo JL, Negron-Juarez R, Maddison M, Rengifo-Marin JE, Garay-Dinis DJ, Arista-Oversluijs AG, Ávila-Fucos MC, Chávez-Vásquez R, Wampuch RH, Peas-García E, Sohar K, Cordova-Horna S, Pacheco-Gómez T, Urquiza-Muñoz JD, Tello-Espinoza R, Mander Ü (2023) Effects of water table fluctuation on greenhouse gas emissions from wetland soils in the Peruvian Amazon. In press, Wetlands

- Petrescu AMR, Lohila A, Tuovinen J-P, Baldocchi DD, Desai AR, Roulet NT, Vesala T, Dolman AJ, Oechel WC, Marcolla B, Friborg T, Rinne J, Matthes JH, Merbold L, Meijide A, Kielyn G, Scottocornola ST, Zona D, Varlagin A, Lair DYF, Veenendaals E, Parmentier F-JW, Skiba U, Lund M, Hensen A, van Huissteden J, Flanagan LB, Shurpali NJ, Grünwald T, Huphreys ER, Jackowicz-Korczynski M, Aurela MA, Laurila T, Grüning C, Corradi CAR, Schrier-Uijls AP, Christensen TR, Tamstorf MP, Mastepanov M, Martikainen PJ, Verma SB, Bernhofer C, Cescatti A (2015) The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure. P Natl Acad Sci USA 112(15):4594–4599. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1416267112
- Rafat A, Rezanezhad F, Quinton WL, Humphreys ER, Webster K, Van Cappellen P (2021) Non-growing season carbon emissions in a northern peatland are projected to increase under global warming. Commun Earth Environ 2:111. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00184-w
- Renou-Wilson F, Mueller C, Moser G, Wilson D (2016) To graze or not to graze? Four years greenhouse gas balances and vegetation composition from a drained and a rewetted organic soil under grassland. Agric Ecosys Environ 222:156–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee. 2016.02.011
- Rosset T, Binet S, Rigal F, Gandois L (2022) Peatland dissolved organic carbon export to surface waters: Global significance and effects of anthropogenic disturbance. Geophys Res Lett. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096616
- Sakata R, Shimada S, Arai H, Yoshioka N, Yoshioka R, Aoki H, Kimoto N, Sakamoto A, Melling L, Inubushi K (2015) Effect of soil types and nitrogen fertilizer on nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide emissions in oil palm plantations. Soil Scie Plant Nutr 61:48–60. https://doi. org/10.1080/00380768.2014.960355
- Salm JO, Maddison M, Tammik S, Soosaar K, Truu J, Mander Ü (2012) Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from undisturbed, drained and mined peatlands in Estonia. Hydrobiologia 694(1):41–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10750-011-0934-7
- Samariks V, Lazdinš A, Bardule A, Kaleja S, Butlers A, Spalva G, Jansons A (2023) Impact of former peat extraction field afforestation on soil greenhouse gas emissions in hemiboreal region. Forests 14:184. https://doi.org/10. 3390/f14020184
- Schaller C, Hofer B, Klemm O (2022) Greenhouse gas exchange of a NW German peatland, 18 years after rewetting. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2020JG005960
- Schindler T, Mander Ü, Machacova K, Espenberg M, Krasnov D, Escuer-Gatius J, Veber G, Pärn J, Soosaar K (2020) Short-term flooding increases CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from trees in a riparian forest soil-stem continuum. Sci Rep 10(1):3204. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-60058-7
- Sjögersten S, Cheesman AW, Lopez O, Turner BL (2011) Biogeochemical processes along a nutrient gradient in a tropical ombrotrophic peatland. Biogeochemistry 104:147– 163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9493-7
- Strack M, Keith AM, Xu B (2014) Growing season carbon dioxide and methane exchange at a restored peatland on

the Western Boreal Plain. Ecol Eng 64:231–239. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.12.013

- Swenson MM, Regan S, Bremmers DTH, Lawless J, Saunders M, Gill LW (2019) Carbon balance of a restored and cutover raised bog: implications for restoration and comparison to global trends. Biogeosciences 16:713– 731. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-713-2019
- Takakai F, Morishita T, Hashidoko Y, Darung U, Kuramochi K, Dohong S, Limin SH, Hatano R (2006) Effects of agricultural land-use change and forest fire on N<sub>2</sub>O emission from tropical peatlands, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 52:662–674. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2006.00084.x
- Tang ACI, Stoy PC, Hirata R, Musin KK, Aeries EB, Wenceslaus J, Melling L (2018) Eddy covariance measurements of methane flux at a tropical peat forest in Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. Geophys Res Lett 45:4390–4399. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GL076457
- Tiemeyer B, Freibauer A, Borraz EA, Augustin J, Bechtold M, Beetz S, Beyer C, Eblie M, Eickenscheidt T, Fiedler S, Förster C, Gensior A, Giebels M, Glatzel S, Heinichen J, Hoffmann M, Höper H, Jurasinski G, Laggner A, Leiber-Sauheitl K, Peichl-Brak M, Drösler M (2020) A new methodology for organic soils in national greenhouse gas inventories: Data synthesis, derivation and application. Ecol Indic 109:105838. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105838
- Toma Y, Takakai F, Darung U, Kuramochi K, Limin SH, Dohong S, Hatano R (2011) Nitrous oxide emission derived from soil organic matter decomposition from tropical agricultural peat soil in central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 57:436–451. https://doi. org/10.1080/00380768.2011.587203
- Truu M, Nõlvak H, Ostonen M, Oopkaup K, Maddison M, Ligi T, Espenberg M, Uri V, Mander Ü, Truu J (2020) Soil bacterial and archaeal communities and their potential to perform N-cycling processes in soils of boreal forests growing on well-drained peat. Front Microbiol Terr Microbiol 11:591358. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2020.591358
- Turetsky MR, Kotowska A, Bubier J, Dise NB, Crill P, Hornibrook ERC, Minkkinen K, Moore TR, Myers-Smith IH, Nykänen H, Olefeldt D, Rinne J, Saarnio S, Shurpali N, Tuittila E-S, Waddington JM, White JR, Wickland KP, Wilmking M (2014) A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands. Glob Change Biol 20:2183–2197. https://doi. org/10.1111/gcb.12580
- Vanselow-Algan M, Schmidt SR, Greven M, Fiencke C, Kutzbach L, Pfeiffer EM (2021) High methane emissions dominate annual greenhouse gas balances 30 years after bog rewetting. Biogeosciences Discuss 12:2809–2842. https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-12-2809-2015
- Veber G, Kull A, Paal J (2021) Spatio-temporal variability of greenhouse gases along drainage gradient in 17 peatlands across Estonia. Proceedings of the 16th International Peatland Congress 2021, Tallinn, Estonia. Publicon PCO, pp. 120–126. ISBN 978–9916–4–0652–6
- Veber G, Paal J, Läänelaid A, Sohar K, Tampuu T, Salm JO, Maddison M, Teemusk A, Mander Ü, Kull A (202X) Simple vegetation and soil parameters determine

greenhouse gas fluxes along drainage gradient in peatlands. Unpublished manuscript.

- Viru B, Veber G, Jaagus J, Kull A, Maddison M, Muhel M, Espenberg M, Teemusk A, Mander Ü (2020) Wintertime greenhouse gas fluxes from hemiboreal drained peatlands. Atmosphere 11:731. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos 11070731
- Vroom RJE, Xi FJ, Geurts JJM, Chojnowska A, Smolders AJP, Lamers LPM, Fritz C (2018) Typha latifolia paludiculture effectively improves water quality and reduces greenhouse gas emissions in rewetted peatlands. Ecol Eng 124:88–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.09.008
- Waddington JM, Strack M, Greenwood MJ (2010) Toward restoring the net carbon sink function of degraded peatlands: Short-term response in CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to ecosystem-scale restoration. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences 115(G1):G01008. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001090
- Walker XJ, Baltzer JL, Cumming SG, Day NJ, Ebert C, Goetz S, Johnstone JF, Potter S, Rogers BM, Schuur EAG, Turetsky MR, Mack MC (2019) Increasing wild fires threaten historic carbon sink of boreal forest soils. Nature 572:520– 523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1474-y
- Wichtmann W, Schröder C, Joosten H (2026) Paludiculture -Productive Use of Wet Peatlands: Climate Protection -Biodiversity - Regional Economic Benefits. Scweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, p 272
- Wilson D, Blain D, Couwenberg J, Evans CD, Murdiyarso D, Page SE, Renou-Wilson F, Rieley JO, Sirin A, Strack M, Tuittila ES (2016a) Greenhouse gas emission factors associated with rewetting of organic soils. Mires Peat 17:1–28. https://doi.org/10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.222
- Wilson D, Farrell CA, Fallon D, Moser G, Müller C, Renou-Wilson F (2016b) Multiyear greenhouse gas balances at a rewetted temperate peatland. Glob Change Biol 22:4080–4095. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13325
- Wilson D, Mackin F, Tuovinen JP, Moser G, Farrell C, Renou-Wilson F (2022) Carbon and climate implications of rewetting a raised bog in Ireland. Glob Change Biol 28(21):6349–6365. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16359
- Wong GX, Hirata R, Hirano T, Kiewa F, Aeries EB, Musin KK, Waili JW, Lo KS, Melling L (2018) Micrometeorological measurement of methane flux above a tropical peat swamp forest. Agr Forest Meteorol 256–257:353– 361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.03.025
- Zhang W, Furtado K, Zhou TJ, Wu PL, Chen XL (2022) Constraining extreme precipitation projections using past precipitation variability. Nat Commun 13:6319. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34006-0
- Zheng B, Ciais P, Chevallier P, Yang H, Canadell JG, Chen Y, van der Felde IR, Aben I, Chuvieco E, Davis SJ, Deeter M, Hong CP, Kong YW, Li HY, Li H, Lin X, He KB, Zhang QA (2023) Record-high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from boreal fires in 2021. Science 379:912–917. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.ade0805

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.