CORRECTION



Correction to: SPECT/CT imaging of apoptosis in aortic aneurysm with radiolabeled duramycin

Chengkai Hu^{1,2} · Hui Tan^{3,4,5} · Qingyu Lin^{3,4,5} · Mieradilijiang Abudupataer^{1,2} · Yun Zhao^{1,2} · Jun Li^{1,2} · Jiawei Gu^{1,2} · Dengfeng Cheng^{3,4,5} · Chunsheng Wang^{1,2} · Kai Zhu^{1,2} · Hao Lai^{1,2}

Published online: 17 August 2019 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Apoptosis

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-019-01554-8

The original version of this article unfortunately contains errors in Fig. 4.

An incorrect Fig. 4d is published which is actually a repetition of Fig. 2c (i.e., apoptosis rate in control vs. H2O2treated group). The correct Fig. 4d should be the aortic diameter of control vs. experimental groups. Also, the order of part figures (a\b\c\d) in Fig. 4e is incorrect.

The correct Fig. 4 is given below.

Chengkai Hu and Hui Tan have contributed equally to this work.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-019-01554-8.

- Dengfeng Cheng cheng.dengfeng@zs-hospital.sh.cn
- Kai Zhu zhu.kai1@zs-hospital.sh.cn
- Hao Lai lai.hao@zs-hospital.sh.cn
- ¹ Department of Cardiac Surgery, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, 1609 Xietu Road, Shanghai 200032, China
- ² Shanghai Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Shanghai 200032, China
- ³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, 180 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, China
- ⁴ Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China
- ⁵ Shanghai Institute of Medical Imaging, Shanghai 200032, China



Fig.4 Aortic aneurysm formation in mice using BAPN and angiotensin-II. **a** The incidence of AA formation was significantly different between the experimental and control groups. The overall AA incidence was 70% (14/20). **b** The types of AA in the experimental group were ascending AA (4/14), TAA (5/14), and AAA (5/14). The survival rate of patients with AAs was 75, 40, and 40% in the three groups, respectively. **C** Diameters of the ascending and abdominal

aorta were larger in the experimental group (e–g) compared with the control group (a–c). M-mode echocardiography in AAs is shown in d. **d** The aortic diameter was visibly larger in the experimental group compared with the control group $(1.8\pm0.2 \text{ mm vs. } 1.0\pm0.1 \text{ mm}, P < 0.01)$. **e**¹⁸F-FDG uptake in AA (a and b) AA is higher than in the control group (c and d). The white circle indicates positive imaging

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.