Lewis Number Effects on Flame Speed Statistics in Spherical Turbulent Premixed Flames

G. Ozel-Erol¹ · M. Klein² · N. Chakraborty¹

Received: 12 November 2019 / Accepted: 24 May 2020 / Published online: 4 June 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

The influences of characteristic Lewis number Le on the statistics of density-weighted displacement speed and consumption speed in spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames have been analysed using three-dimensional direct numerical simulations data for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 under statistically similar flow conditions. It has been found that the extents of flame wrinkling and burning increase with decreasing Le , which is reflected in increasing trends of mean and most probable values of both density-weighted displacement and consumption speed. Moreover, in all cases the marginal probability density functions of density-weighted displacement speed show finite probabilities of obtaining negative values, whereas consumption speed remains deterministically positive. The strain rate and curvature dependences of scalar gradient and temperature have been found to be strongly dependent on Le , and these statistics, along with the interrelation between strain rate and curvature, influence the local strain rate and curvature responses of consumption speed and both reaction and normal diffusion components of density-weighted displacement speed. Density-weighted displacement speed and curvature have been found to be negatively correlated, whereas positive correlations are obtained between density-weighted displacement speed and tangential strain rate for all flames considered here. The positive correlation between temperature and curvature arising from differential diffusion of heat and mass in the $Le = 0.8$ case induces a positive correlation between consumption speed and curvature, whereas these correlations are negative in the $Le = 1.2$ flame. The statistical behaviour of density-weighted displacement speed has been utilised to demonstrate that Damköhler's first hypothesis does not strictly hold for spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames.

Keywords Spherically expanding flames · Lewis number · Direct numerical simulations

---

✉ N. Chakraborty
nilanjan.chakraborty@ncl.ac.uk

¹ School of Engineering, Newcastle University, Claremont Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

² Department of Aerospace Engineering, Bundeswehr University Munich, Neubiberg, Germany

1 Introduction

A number of experimental (Abdel-Gayed et al. 1984; Renou et al. 2000; Chaudhuri et al. 2012; Gashi et al. 2005; Poinso et al. 1995) and direct numerical simulation (DNS) (Thevenin 2005; Jenkins and Cant 2002; van Oijen et al. 2005; Klein et al. 2006, 2009; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Dunstan and Jenkins 2009) investigations concentrated on the analysis of statistically spherical turbulent premixed flames because of their relevance to Spark Ignition (SI) engines and accidental explosions. Many of these aforementioned analyses focused on flame propagation (Abdel-Gayed et al. 1984; Renou et al. 2000; Chaudhuri et al. 2012; Gashi et al. 2005; Poinso et al. 1995; Mizomoto et al. 1984; Bechtold and Matalon 2001; Law and Kwon 2004), curvature and flame wrinkling (Gashi et al. 2005; Klein et al. 2009; Dunstan and Jenkins 2009), and burning rate (Abdel-Gayed et al. 1984; Renou et al. 2000; Chaudhuri et al. 2012; Gashi et al. 2005; Poinso et al. 1995; Thevenin 2005; van Oijen et al. 2005; Dunstan and Jenkins 2009) statistics in turbulent statistically spherical flames but in the absence of differential diffusion of heat and mass. A non-dimensional number, known as the Lewis number (i.e. ratio of thermal diffusivity to mass diffusivity), is often used to characterise the effects of differential diffusion of heat and mass in premixed turbulent flames. The evaluation of the characteristic Lewis number of a premixed flame is not straightforward as every species has different values of Le , but several approaches to estimate a characteristic Lewis number Le are reported in the existing literature. The characteristic Lewis number of a premixed combustion process can be evaluated either by the Lewis number of a deficient reactant (Mizomoto et al. 1984; Bechtold and Matalon 2001) or by heat release rate measurements (Law and Kwon 2004) or by employing binary diffusion theory for the mixture (Clarke 2002) or by linear combination of Lewis numbers of the constituent species in terms of their mole fractions (Dinkelacker et al. 2011). For example, Bechtold and Matalon (2001) proposed the characteristic value of Lewis number $Le = 1.0 + [(Le_F - 1) + (Le_O - 1)A_{Le}]/(1 + A_{Le})$ where $A_{Le} = 1 + \beta(\Phi - 1)$ with $\Phi = \phi$ for fuel-rich mixtures, whereas $\Phi = 1/\phi$ for fuel-lean mixtures with ϕ and β being the equivalence ratio and Zel'dovich number, respectively and subscripts F and O are used for fuel and oxidiser, respectively. It is well-known that the characteristic Lewis number Le (henceforth referred to as Lewis number) significantly affects the flame wrinkling and burning rate (Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983; Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018). The influences of Le on local strain rate and curvature dependences of flame speeds have not yet been analysed in detail for spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames although these statistics have been analysed extensively in statistically planar turbulent premixed flames (Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018). However, spherically expanding premixed flames are fundamentally different from statistically planar premixed flames. It has been shown elsewhere (Klein et al. 2006, 2009; Chakraborty et al. 2007) that both flame propagation and scalar gradient statistics in spherically expanding flames can be significantly different from the corresponding statistically planar flames subjected to statistically similar unburned gas turbulence. The effects of mean flame curvature have been previously addressed by these authors by conducting DNS of spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames with different mean radii. Interested readers are referred to Refs. (Klein et al. 2006, 2009; Chakraborty et al. 2007) for detailed discussion on the effects of mean flame

curvature. However, the aforementioned analyses on spherically expanding flames have been conducted for unity Lewis number flames and this gap in the existing literature will be addressed in this paper by using three-dimensional simple chemistry DNS of spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames with $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 but the analysis of the effects of initial flame radius is kept beyond the scope of this paper. The unity Lewis number flame considered here is analogous to a stoichiometric methane-air flame, whereas the Lewis number 0.8 case is representative of a hydrogen-blended (e.g. 10% by volume) methane-air flame with overall equivalence ratio of 0.6 and the Lewis number 1.2 case is representative of a hydrocarbon-air mixture involving a hydrocarbon fuel which is heavier than methane (e.g. ethane-air mixture with equivalence ratio of 1.2) (Clarke 2002; Dinkelacker et al. 2011). The concept of characteristic Lewis number has been used in several previous experimental, DNS and analytical studies (Mizomoto et al. 1984; Bechtold and Matalon 2001; Dinkelacker et al. 2011; Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983; Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018) by other authors to analyse the effects of differential diffusion of heat and mass in isolation, and the same approach has been adopted here. The use of simple chemistry allows for alternation of the characteristic Lewis number independent of other parameters and thus the effects of Le can be analysed in isolation following several previous analyses (Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983; Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018). In the context of single step chemistry, the characteristic Lewis number Le value is assigned for the molecular diffusion of reaction progress variable c , which is assumed to be defined based on the deficient reactant mass fraction for the purpose of simplicity following several previous analyses (Dinkelacker et al. 2011; Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983; Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018). However, it needs to be understood that this characteristic Lewis number does not represent molecular transport of non-deficient reactants and in general for the species mass fractions, which are not used for the definition of c . In this context, it is worth noting that it has been demonstrated in the past that displacement speed (DS) statistics from simple chemistry (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2009; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2004, 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty et al. 2011) and detailed chemistry (Peters et al. 1998; Echekki and Chen 1999; Chen and Im 1998; Chakraborty et al. 2008) DNS are qualitatively similar. The same is true for the statistics of the reactive scalar gradient obtained from simple chemistry (Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Chakraborty and Cant 2005b; Chakraborty et al. 2013) and detailed chemistry (Chakraborty et al. 2008, 2013) DNS studies. Moreover, the vorticity and sub-grid flux statistics obtained from simple chemistry (Chakraborty et al. 2016; Gao et al. 2015) DNS are found to be qualitatively consistent with those obtained from detailed chemistry (Papapostolou et al. 2017; Klein et al. 2018) DNS. A comparison between Chakraborty and Klein (2008) and Chakraborty et al. (2008) revealed that the displacement speed and the reactive scalar gradient statistics for unity Lewis number flames are representative of stoichiometric methane-air flames, whereas a hydrogen-flame with equivalence ratio of 0.7 behaves qualitatively similarly to the simple chemistry DNS data with $Le = 0.8$. Furthermore, several models developed based on simple chemistry data (Gao et al. 2014, 2015; Gao and Chakraborty 2016) have been found to perform equally well in the context of detailed chemistry and transport

(Klein et al. 2018; Gao et al. 2016). Finally, a simple chemical mechanism allows for an assessment of the validity of Damköhler's first hypothesis (Damköhler 1940) for spherically expanding flames without the uncertainties about the choices of reaction progress variable in the presence of detailed chemistry and transport (Klein et al. 2020).

The main objective of the current analysis is to demonstrate and explain the influences of Le on both mean behaviours of consumption speed (CS) S_c and density-weighted displacement speed (DDS) S_d^* and their local curvature and tangential strain rate dependences. These statistics, in turn, have been used to assess the validity of Damköhler's first hypothesis (Damköhler 1940) for spherically expanding premixed turbulent flames.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following manner. The mathematical background and numerical implementation pertinent to the current work are provided in the next section. This is followed by the presentation and discussion of the results. Finally, a summary of main findings is provided along with conclusions.

2 Mathematical Background and Numerical Implementation

The reaction progress variable c can be defined based on a suitable deficient reactant mass fraction Y_R as: $c = (Y_{R0} - Y_R)/(Y_{R0} - Y_{R\infty})$ where subscripts 0 and ∞ refer to values in the unburned gas and fully burned products, respectively. The transport equation of c is given by:

$$\rho[\partial c/\partial t + u_j \partial c/\partial x_j] = \dot{w} + \partial(\rho D \partial c/\partial x_j)/\partial x_j \quad (1)$$

where ρ , u_j , D and $\dot{w}$ are gas density, j th component of fluid velocity, progress variable diffusivity and reaction rate of progress variable, respectively. A single step Arrhenius type expression $\dot{w} = \rho B(1-c)\exp\{-\beta(1-\theta)/[1-\alpha(1-\theta)]\}$ is considered for the chemical reaction rate where B is the normalised pre-exponential factor, $\beta = T_{ac}(T_{ad} - T_0)/T_{ad}^2$ is the Zel'dovich number, $\theta = (T - T_0)/(T_{ad} - T_0)$ is the non-dimensional temperature and $\alpha = (T_{ad} - T_0)/T_{ad}$ is the heat release parameter, respectively with T , T_0 , T_{ad} and T_{ac} being the instantaneous, unburned gas, adiabatic flame and activation temperatures, respectively. Equation 1 can be rewritten in a kinematic form for a given c -isurface as: $[\partial c/\partial t + u_j \partial c/\partial x_j] = S_d |\nabla c|$ where S_d is the displacement speed (DS), which is given as (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2009; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinot 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2006; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Cant 2004; Chakraborty et al. 2011):

$$S_d = \frac{\dot{w} + \nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla c)}{\rho |\nabla c|} = S_r + S_n + S_t \quad \text{where } S_r = \frac{\dot{w}}{\rho |\nabla c|}; S_n = \frac{\vec{N} \cdot \nabla \cdot (\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)}{\rho |\nabla c|} \quad \text{and } S_t = -2D\kappa_m \quad (2)$$

Here $\vec{N} = -\nabla c/|\nabla c|$ is the local flame normal vector and $\kappa_m = 0.5 \nabla \cdot \vec{N}$ is the local flame curvature. According to these definitions, the flame normal points towards the reactants and an element of the flame surface has a positive (negative) curvature if it is convex (concave) to the reactants. The involvement of ρ in Eq. 2 indicates that thermal expansion affects the behaviour of DS and its components. Thus, it is worthwhile to consider DDS $S_d^* = \rho S_d/\rho_0$ and its components: $S_r^* = \rho S_r/\rho_0$, $S_n^* = \rho S_n/\rho_0$ and $S_t^* = \rho S_t/\rho_0$ where ρ_0 is the unburned gas density. Moreover, ρS_d is often used for modelling purposes (Chakraborty and Cant 2007, 2009) and thus it is useful to consider density-weighted displacement speed (DDS) S_d^* instead of S_d . An alternative flame speed, known as consumption speed (CS) S_c ,

is also used in premixed combustion literature. The local CS S_c is defined as (Williams 1985; Rutland and Trouvé 1993):

$$S_c = \rho_0^{-1} \int \dot{w} dn \quad (3)$$

where dn is the elemental distance in the local flame normal direction. For the present thermo-chemistry the maximum value of $\dot{w}$ occurs approximately at $c = 0.8$, and thus the $c = 0.8$ isosurface will be considered as the flame surface in this analysis. For evaluating S_c , the reaction rate is integrated in the positive and negative local flame normal direction starting from the $c = 0.8$ isosurface. For consistency, the statistics of S_d^* and its components will also be presented for the $c = 0.8$ isosurface.

The statistical behaviours of S_c and S_d^* and their tangential strain rate $a_T = (\delta_{ij} - N_i N_j) \partial u_i / \partial x_j$ and curvature κ_m dependences have been investigated based on a three-dimensional simple chemistry DNS database of spherically expanding premixed turbulent flames with characteristic Lewis numbers $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 in this analysis. Figure 1 shows instantaneous views of these three flame kernels. The simulations have been carried out using a well-known compressible DNS code SENG (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2009; Dunstan and Jenkins 2009; Chakraborty and Cant 2004, 2005a, 2006, 2007 2009; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018; Chakraborty et al. 2011) where the conservation equations of mass, momentum, energy and reaction progress variable have been solved in non-dimensional form and spatial discretisation and time-advancement are achieved by high-order finite-difference and explicit Runge–Kutta schemes, respectively. The simulation domain is taken to be a cube of $58.10\delta_{th} \times 58.10\delta_{th} \times 58.10\delta_{th}$ where $\delta_{th} = (T_{ad} - T_0) / \max|\nabla T|_L$ is the thermal flame thickness (which is held constant for all three cases) and the subscript ‘L’ is used to refer to conditions in the unstrained laminar premixed flame. The Prandtl number, heat release parameter $\tau = (T_{ad} - T_0) / T_0$ and Zel’dovich number β are taken to be $Pr = 0.7$, $\tau = 4.5$ and $\beta = 6.0$, respectively for every value of Le

Fig. 1 Instantaneous views of $c = 0.8$ isosurface coloured by local values of non-dimensional temperature θ and normalised curvature $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 cases at the time when statistics are extracted

considered here. The Schmidt number is modified to bring about the changes in Lewis number $Le = Sc/Pr$. The domain is discretised by a uniform Cartesian grid of $650 \times 650 \times 650$ and the domain boundaries are taken to be partially non-reflecting for all cases. The reacting scalar fields are initialised by a burned gas sphere with its centre initially at the centre of the domain using the steady state unstrained laminar flame solution. This reacting scalar field is allowed to evolve in a quiescent environment at least for one chemical time scale (i.e. $t = \delta_{th}/S_L$). The spherical laminar flame kernels for different Lewis numbers with a normalised radius of $rS_L/\alpha_{T0} = 10.6$ (considering the region corresponding to $c \geq 0.85$) have been used as the initial condition for turbulent simulations. Here α_{T0} is the thermal diffusivity of the unburned gas. The turbulent velocity fluctuations are initialised by a synthetic homogeneous isotropic divergence-free field, and the initial values of the normalised root-mean-square velocity fluctuation and longitudinal integral length scale are taken to be $u'/S_L = 7.5$ and $l/\delta_{th} = 4.58$, respectively for each value of Le considered here. These values of u'/S_L and l/δ_{th} are representative of the thin reaction zones regime of combustion (Peters 2000). The nominal Damköhler number $Da = lS_L/u'\delta_{th}$ and Karlovitz number $Ka = (u'/S_L)^{1.5} (l/\delta_{th})^{-0.5}$ are 0.66 and 10.0, respectively for the initial values of u'/S_L and l/δ_{th} considered here. The initial values of u'/S_L , l/δ_{th} , Da and Ka are summarised in Table 1 for quick reference of the readers. The current analysis is done in the non-dimensional form in which all the flames with different Le are subjected to the same set of initial values of u'/S_L and l/δ_{th} . All the cases have the same heat release parameter $\tau = (T_{ad} - T_0)/T_0 = 4.5$. Thus, all three cases considered here are located at the same location on the regime diagram. It is worth noting that in a real premixed combustion scenario, the Lewis number changes due to the nature of the fuel, and also due to the changes in equivalence ratio and these variations lead to modifications in laminar burning velocity, adiabatic flame temperature and flame thickness. However, it is possible to obtain the same values of u'/S_L , l/δ_{th} and $\tau = (T_{ad} - T_0)/T_0$ for different values of characteristic Lewis number Le by altering u' , l and the level of preheating T_0 . It is also possible to ensure that the flames with different characteristic Lewis number Le have the same thermal flame thickness by altering the thermodynamic pressure. In the context of non-dimensional analysis with simplified chemistry, the pre-exponential factor of the Arrhenius type chemical reaction rate expression is modified to maintain identical values of u'/S_L , l/δ_{th} and τ .

All the simulations have been continued for 2 initial eddy turnover times (i.e. $t = 2.0l/\sqrt{k}$ where k is the initial turbulent kinetic energy based on the whole domain), which here is equivalent to about 1.0 chemical timescale (i.e. $t = \delta_{th}/S_L$). At this stage, the turbulent kinetic energy was not varying rapidly with time and u' decayed by 40% in comparison to its initial value. The statistics presented in this paper do not change qualitatively since halfway through the simulation time. This was explicitly demonstrated in a previous analysis (see the comparisons between Tables 3 and 4, and Tables 5 and 6 in Ref. (Chakraborty et al. 2011)). Thus, it is not presented in this paper for the sake of brevity because the current results follow the same trend presented elsewhere (Chakraborty et al. 2011). However, the mean curvature of the spherically expanding flames decreases with increasing time as a result of their growth of size so the magnitudes of the mean values of curvature κ_m and the tangential diffusion component of displacement speed $S_t^* = -2\rho D\kappa_m/\rho_0$ decrease with time.

Table 1 Attributes of simulation parameters for each value of Le considered in this analysis

u'/S_L	l/δ_{th}	τ	$Da = lS_L/u'\delta_{th}$	$Ka = (u'/S_L)^{1.5} (l/\delta_{th})^{-0.5}$
7.5	4.58	4.50	0.66	10.0

3 Results and Discussion

The instantaneous views of $c = 0.8$ isosurface coloured by local values of non-dimensional temperature θ and κ_m , when the statistics were extracted, are shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the flame is more wrinkled and bigger in size for $Le = 0.8$ than in the case of $Le = 1.0$. By contrast, the flame is less wrinkled and smaller in size for $Le = 1.2$ than in the case of $Le = 1.0$. This can be substantiated from the values of normalised volume-integrated burning rate Ω_T/Ω_L and flame surface area A_T/A_L in Table 2, where Ω and A are evaluated as $\int_V \dot{w} dV$ and $\int_V |\nabla c| dV$ respectively, and the subscripts T and L refer to the values for the turbulent flame at the time when the statistics were extracted and laminar flame kernel values at the point of initialisation (i.e. $t = 0$), respectively. Table 2 shows that both the extent of burning and the flame area generation increase with decreasing Le , which is consistent with several previous studies (Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983; Ashurst et al. 1987; Haworth and Poinso 1992; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018). Figure 1 shows that positive curvature values are more likely than negative curvatures for all cases considered here because these flames are spherically expanding. Moreover, Fig. 1 shows that high (low) temperature values are associated with positive (negative) curvatures in the $Le = 0.8$ case, whereas high (low) temperature zones are found at negatively (positively) curved zones in the $Le = 1.2$ flame. The non-dimensional temperature θ and reaction progress variable c can be equated to each other for low Mach number globally adiabatic unity Lewis number flames and thus θ remains uniform on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface. In the $Le = 0.8$ case, the focusing of reactants takes place at a faster rate than the rate of defocusing of heat at the positively curved zones, which leads to simultaneous presence of high temperature and reactant concentrations. This increases the local $\dot{w}$ and augments the temperature value further in the positively curved regions. Just the opposite mechanism leads to low temperature values at the negatively curved regions in the $Le = 0.8$ flame. By contrast, a combination of strong focusing of heat and weak defocusing of reactants leads to high $\dot{w}$ and θ in the negative curved zones in the case of $Le = 1.2$, and the opposite mechanism is responsible for low θ values at the positively curved locations in this case.

As the volume-integrated burning rate can alternatively expressed as: $\Omega = \int_V \rho S_d |\nabla c| dV$ (because $\int_V \nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla c) dV = 0$), it is worthwhile to consider $S_d^* = \rho S_d / \rho_0$ statistics. The probability density functions (PDFs) of S_c/S_L and S_d^*/S_L on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface (henceforth all DS related quantities are to be understood for this isosurface) are shown in Fig. 2, which shows that the probabilities of finding high values of S_c/S_L and S_d^*/S_L increase with decreasing Le . Moreover, both mean and most probable values of S_c/S_L and S_d^*/S_L are the highest in the $Le = 0.8$ case and the lowest in the $Le = 1.2$ case among the flames considered here. Larger values of S_d^*/S_L for smaller Le are consistent with the increasing extent of flame propagation and larger flame surface area with decreasing Le (see Table 2). Moreover, the larger values of S_c/S_L for smaller Le are consistent with increasing trend of Ω with decreasing Le

Table 2 Effects of Le on Ω_T/Ω_L and A_T/A_L

Case	Ω_T/Ω_L	A_T/A_L	$(\Omega_T/A_T)/(\Omega_L/A_L)$
$Le = 0.8$	13.75	13.28	1.04
$Le = 1.0$	6.86	7.30	0.94
$Le = 1.2$	3.86	4.66	0.83

Fig. 2 Marginal PDFs of **a** S_d^*/S_L and **b** S_c/S_L for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 cases at the time when statistics are extracted. All the statistics presented in this figure and subsequent figures are evaluated at the $c = 0.8$ isosurface

Fig. 3 Marginal PDFs of the components of DDS: **a** S_r^*/S_L , **b** S_n^*/S_L and **c** S_t^*/S_L for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 cases

(see Table 2). Figure 2 shows that the distributions of S_c/S_L and S_d^*/S_L are significantly different. The most probable values of S_c/S_L and S_d^*/S_L remain comparable but smaller than unity in all cases. The turbulent spherically expanding flames are subjected to non-zero global positive stretch rate which acts to reduce the most probable values of both CS and DDS in comparison to the laminar burning velocity. The most important difference between S_d^*/S_L and S_c/S_L lies in the fact that S_d^*/S_L can be locally negative, whereas S_c/S_L is deterministically positive. The negative values of S_d^*/S_L are consistent with the findings of several previous studies on spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2009). To explain this behaviour of S_d^*/S_L , the PDFs of S_r^*/S_L , S_n^*/S_L and S_t^*/S_L are presented in Fig. 3.

Figure 3 shows that S_r^*/S_L deterministically assumes positive values for all cases, whereas S_n^*/S_L remains negative within the reaction zone. The probability of high magnitudes of positive values of S_r^*/S_L and negative values of S_n^*/S_L increases with decreasing Le . The high positive magnitudes of S_r^*/S_L in the $Le = 0.8$ case arise due to high values of reaction rate $\dot{\omega}$. In contrast, high probability of finding small values of $\dot{\omega}$ in the $Le = 1.2$ flame in comparison to that in the unity Lewis number case leads to higher probability of finding smaller values of S_r^*/S_L in the $Le = 1.2$ case than in the $Le = 1.0$ case. The turbulent flamelet thickness, scaling as $\max\{|\nabla c|^{-1}\}$, is smaller (greater) in the $Le = 0.8$ ($Le = 1.2$) case than in the unity Lewis number case. Although not shown, the same qualitative behaviour is observed here, and interested readers are referred to (Chakraborty and Cant 2005a; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018) for discussion on Le effects on $|\nabla c|$. The high values of mass diffusivity and small flame thickness induce large

magnitudes of negative $\vec{N} \cdot \nabla (\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)$ in the $Le = 0.8$ case in comparison to that in the $Le = 1.0$ flame, and this leads to greater negative magnitudes of S_n^*/S_L in the $Le = 0.8$ case than in the unity Lewis number case. By contrast, a combination of smaller diffusivity and thicker flame gives rise to smaller magnitude of $\vec{N} \cdot \nabla (\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)$ in the $Le = 1.2$ case than in the unity Lewis number case. The PDFs of S_t^*/S_L exhibit probabilities of finding both positive and negative values. As S_t^*/S_L is proportional to the negative value of curvature (i.e. $S_t^* = -2(\rho D/\rho_0)\kappa_m$), the PDFs of S_t^*/S_L provide an indication of the curvature PDFs. As the probability of finding positive κ_m supersedes that of finding negative κ_m , the negative values of S_t^*/S_L are more likely than positive values even though the most probable value remains close to zero due to large radii of these flame kernels. The PDFs of S_r^*/S_L , S_n^*/S_L and S_t^*/S_L indicate that negative values of S_d^*/S_L are obtained if positive values of S_r^*/S_L are overcome by negative $(S_n^* + S_t^*)/S_L$ contribution.

As $\dot{w}$ is a positive quantity, S_c only exhibits positive values. The flame-turbulence interaction leads to local variations of the flame thickness and this gives rise to the variations of S_c/S_L in the unity Lewis number case. This is enhanced by the correlation between temperature (and therefore $\dot{w}$) with curvature in the non-unity Lewis number case. This leads to a wider S_c/S_L PDF in the $Le = 0.8$ case than in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases.

Given the difference in statistics between S_d^*/S_L and S_c/S_L , it is worthwhile to consider the influences of the characteristic Lewis number on their strain rate and curvature dependences. Equation 2 indicates that statistical behaviours of S_d^*/S_L are dependent on $\dot{w}$ and $|\nabla c|$. It has already been demonstrated (see Fig. 1) that θ is positively (negatively) correlated with $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ in the $Le = 0.8$ ($Le = 1.2$) and thus the correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m is positive in the $Le = 0.8$ case, and negative in the $Le = 1.2$ case. The contours of joint PDFs of $|\nabla c|$ with a_T and κ_m are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that $|\nabla c|$ and a_T are strongly positively correlated in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. Although the correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T remains positive in the $Le = 0.8$ case, its strength remains much weaker than in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases and a negatively correlating branch can be discerned. The flame normal strain rate a_N is given by: $a_N = \nabla \cdot \vec{u} - a_T = N_i N_j \partial u_i / \partial x_j$, which suggests that an increase in a_T amounts to a decrease in a_N when $a_T \gg \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$. The magnitude of $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}$ scales with $\tau S_L / \delta_{th}$ and it assumes high values at negatively curved zones and low values at positively curved regions in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. It can be seen from the range of tangential strain rate values in Fig. 4 that there is a predominance of finding $a_T \times \delta_{th} / S_L \gg \tau$ (i.e. $a_T \gg \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$) in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases and thus an increase in a_T induces a decrease in a_N . A decrease in a_N brings isoscalar lines closer to each other and acts to increase $|\nabla c|$ in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. This is reflected in the strong positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. The tangential strain rate a_T and curvature κ_m are negatively correlated (e.g. correlation coefficient is -0.61 , -0.7 and -0.74 on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface in the $Le = 0.8$, 1.0 and 1.2 cases) in all cases considered here. This negative correlation originates due to combined effects of flame-vortex interaction and heat release (Haworth and Poinso 1992) and is consistent with previous experimental (Renou et al. 2000) and DNS (Klein et al. 2006, 2009; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a; Chakraborty and Klein 2008) findings. According to this negative correlation, high values of tangential strain rate a_T are obtained in the negatively curved locations where dilatation rate values are high as well due to focusing of heat (e.g. correlation coefficients between $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}$ and κ_m are -0.60 , -0.72 and -0.66 on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface in the $Le = 0.8$, 1.0 and 1.2 cases). In highly negative curved locations local values of $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}$ in the $Le = 0.8$ case can assume high enough values (due to enhanced burning rate for small Lewis number flames), to exceed a_T (even though high values of a_T

Fig. 4 Contours of joint PDFs of $|\nabla c| \times \delta_{th}$ and $a_T \times \delta_{th}/S_L$ (1st row) and $|\nabla c| \times \delta_{th}$ and $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ (2nd row) for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 (1st–3rd column) cases

are associated with negatively curved locations) to induce a positive (in other words an extensive) normal strain rate a_N under which the distance between isoscalar lines increases and $|\nabla c|$ drops. This weakens the positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T in the $Le = 0.8$ case in comparison to the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. The combination of positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T , and negative correlation between a_T and κ_m , gives rise to a negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. However, the negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m in the $Le = 1.0$ case is a result of mean positive curvature and for statistically planar flames one obtains both positive and negative correlating branches in the joint PDF and the net correlation remains weak (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a). The physical explanations behind this behaviour have been provided elsewhere (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007) and thus are not repeated here. As the probability of finding positive a_N increases in the negatively curved regions in the $Le = 0.8$ case, the large (small) values of $|\nabla c|$ are associated with positive (negative) curvatures in this flame where a positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m is observed. The effects of Le on tangential strain rate and curvature dependences of $|\nabla c|$ have been found to be qualitatively consistent with previous findings for statistically planar flames (Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Chakraborty et al. 2008). These strain rate and curvature dependences of $|\nabla c|$ play key roles in determining the statistical behaviours of S_r^* , S_n^* and S_c in response to a_T and κ_m .

The contours of joint PDFs between S_d^*/S_L and $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ are shown in Fig. 5 (first row), which shows that these quantities are negatively correlated in all cases considered here. Figure 5 also shows the contours of joint PDFs of curvature with S_r^*/S_L , S_n^*/S_L and S_t^*/S_L . It can be seen from Fig. 5 that S_r^*/S_L and κ_m are positively correlated in the $Le = 0.8$ case, whereas negative correlations can be seen in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. A negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m induces a positive correlation between $S_r^* = \dot{w}/(\rho_0 |\nabla c|)$ and κ_m because $\dot{w}$ does not change on a given c -isosurface for the present thermo-chemistry in

the unity Lewis number case. The same mechanism along with the negative correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m gives rise to a negative correlation between S_r^* and κ_m in the $Le = 1.2$ flame. In the $Le = 0.8$ case, the positive correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m acts to induce a positive correlation between S_r^* and κ_m , whereas this effect is countered by the influences of positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m , and the former dominates over the latter to yield a positive correlation between S_r^* and κ_m . It has been shown in Fig. 4 that high values of $|\nabla c|$ are associated with positive κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ flame, whereas large values of $|\nabla c|$ are expected for negative κ_m in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases.

A large value of $|\nabla c|$ suggests a small normal distance between the isoscalar lines, which is expected to increase the magnitude of normal diffusion rate (i.e. $|\vec{N} \cdot \nabla(\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)|$). As flame normal diffusion rate is negative in the reaction zone (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2004, 2005a), the positive correlations of $|\nabla c|$ and θ with κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ case give rise to a negative correlation between S_n^* and κ_m . By contrast,

Fig. 5 Contours of joint PDFs of S_d^*/S_L (1st row), S_r^*/S_L (2nd row), S_n^*/S_L (3rd row) and S_t^*/S_L (4th row) with $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 (1st–3rd column) cases

the negative correlations between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m and between θ and κ_m in the $Le = 1.2$ case give rise to a positive correlation between S_n^* and κ_m , whereas the negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m is principally responsible for the positive correlation between S_n^* and κ_m in the unity Lewis number case. The negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m in the unity Lewis number case leads to negative correlations between S_r^* and κ_m and between S_n^* and κ_m for the spherically expanding flames with $Le = 1.0$, whereas the joint PDFs between S_r^* and κ_m and between S_n^* and κ_m exhibit both positive and negative correlating branches of almost equal strength in statistically planar flames with $Le = 1.0$ (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a). It was shown elsewhere that this difference in κ_m dependence of $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ between statistically planar and spherically expanding flames leads to the differences in curvature and stretch rate dependences of S_d^* , which was demonstrated and explained elsewhere (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a) and thus is not elaborated here. By definition, S_t^* and κ_m are negatively correlated with a correlation coefficient close to unity for all cases considered here. Figure 5 reveals that the deterministic negative correlation between S_t^* and κ_m overcomes the correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and κ_m to yield a net negative correlation between S_d^* and κ_m .

The contours of joint PDFs between S_d^*/S_L and its components (i.e. S_r^*/S_L , S_n^*/S_L and S_t^*/S_L) with $a_T \times \delta_{th}/S_L$ are shown in Fig. 6 which shows that S_d^* and a_T are weakly positively correlated. The positive correlation of $\dot{w}$ with κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ case acts to assist the effects of the positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ with a_T due to the negative correlation between a_T and κ_m to give rise to a negative correlation between S_r^* and a_T . The positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ with a_T is responsible for the negative correlation between $S_r^* = \dot{w}/(\rho_0|\nabla c|)$ and a_T in the $Le = 1.0$ case. The negative correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m acts to oppose the effects of positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ with a_T in the $Le = 1.2$ case and thus $S_r^* = \dot{w}/(\rho_0|\nabla c|)$ and a_T remain weakly correlated in this flame. A positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ with a_T leads to large magnitudes of $|\vec{N} \cdot \nabla(\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)|$ for high values of a_T following the previous discussion. This leads to negative correlation between S_n^* and a_T as $\vec{N} \cdot \nabla(\rho D \vec{N} \cdot \nabla c)$ remains negative in the reaction zone. This negative correlation is weak in the $Le = 0.8$ case because of the weak positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T . In all cases, $S_t^* = -2\rho D \kappa_m/\rho_0$ has been found to be positively correlated with a_T due to negative correlations between a_T and κ_m . The positive correlation between S_t^* and a_T dominates over the negative correlations of S_r^* and S_n^* with a_T to yield net positive correlations between S_d^* and a_T .

The contours of joint PDFs of S_c/S_L with $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ and normalised tangential strain rate $a_T \times \delta_{th}/S_L$ are shown in Fig. 7. A positive correlation between S_c and κ_m is found in the $Le = 0.8$ case, whereas this correlation is weakly negative in the $Le = 1.2$ case. In the unity Lewis number case, S_c and κ_m are weakly correlated. It has already been shown that $\dot{w}$ and κ_m are positively correlated in the $Le = 0.8$ case, whereas this correlation is negative in the $Le = 1.2$ case. This gives rise to positive and weak negative correlations between S_c and κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ and 1.2 cases respectively, which is consistent with previous findings in the context of statistically planar flames (Rutland and Trouvé 1993). Although $\dot{w}$ assumes relatively high values in the negatively curved locations in the $Le = 1.2$ case, the flame is thin in that region (due to negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m), which acts to counter the effects of negative correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m to yield a weak negative correlation between S_c and κ_m . By contrast, in the $Le = 0.8$ case, the flame is thin in the positively curved regions (due to positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m), and thus acts to counter the effects of positive correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m but the latter correlation dominates over the former to yield a net positive correlation (but much weaker than the positive

Fig. 6 Contours of joint PDFs of S_d^*/S_L (1st row), S_r^*/S_L (2nd row), S_n^*/S_L (3rd row) and S_t^*/S_L (4th row) with $a_T \times \delta_{th}/S_L$ for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 (1st–3rd column) cases

correlation between $\dot{w}$ and κ_m) between S_c and κ_m . As $\dot{w}$ is insensitive to the curvature variation in the unity Lewis number case, the correlations of S_c with κ_m and a_T are found to be weak. The local flame thickness variation in response to curvature and strain rate leads to the variations of S_c with κ_m and a_T in the $Le = 1.0$ case. The combination of the negative correlation between a_T with κ_m and positive correlation between S_c with κ_m leads to a negative correlation between S_c with a_T in the $Le = 0.8$ case. Although there is a negative correlation between a_T with κ_m in the $Le = 1.2$ case, the correlation between S_c with κ_m remains weak, which ultimately yields a weak positive correlation between S_c and a_T . Figure 7 further shows that the correlation between S_c with S_d^* remains weak in the $Le = 0.8$ and 1.0 cases but a strong positive correlation between S_c with S_d^* is observed in the $Le = 1.2$ case, as both S_c and S_d^* are negatively correlated with κ_m .

Fig. 7 Contours of joint PDFs of S_c/S_L with $\kappa_m \times \delta_{th}$ (1st row), S_c/S_L with $a_T \times \delta_{th}/S_L$ (2nd row) and S_c/S_L with S_d^*/S_L (3rd row) for $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 (1st–3rd column) cases

The negative correlation between S_d^* and κ_m in these flames and positive (negative) correlation between S_c and κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ ($Le = 1.2$) case and weak correlation between S_c and κ_m in the $Le = 1.0$ case are qualitatively consistent with analytical results for stretched laminar flames (Williams 1985; Clavin and Joulin 1983). It is also worth noting that the correlations of $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and S_t^* with $|\nabla c|$ have implications on the interrelation between Ω_T and A_T in spherically expanding flames, which can be explained in the following manner:

$$\Omega_T = \int_V \dot{w} dV = \int_V \rho_0 S_d^* |\nabla c| dV = \int_V \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) |\nabla c| dV + \int_V \rho_0 S_t^* |\nabla c| dV \quad (4)$$

Equation 4 suggests that the behaviour of the first term on the right hand side depends on the correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$, whereas the behaviour of the second term on the right hand side depends on the correlation between S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$. The correlation coefficients between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$, and between S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface are presented in Table 3 for the cases considered here.

An increase in $|\nabla c|$ gives rise to a decrease in S_r^* , which is compounded by a decreasing trend of negative S_n^* with increasing $|\nabla c|$ in the reaction zone. It can further be inferred from Fig. 6 that the net contribution of $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ remains negatively correlated with a_T , whereas $|\nabla c|$ and a_T remain positively correlated (see Fig. 4). This combination gives rise

to negative correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$, as shown in Table 3. As the positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T is the weakest in the $Le = 0.8$ case (see Fig. 4) and the negative correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and a_T is comparable for all cases considered here (e.g. correlation coefficients between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and a_T are -0.39 , -0.39 and -0.37 on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface in the $Le = 0.8$, 1.0 and 1.2 cases), the strength of the negative correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$ is the weakest in the $Le = 0.8$ case. By contrast, the positive correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and a_T is the strongest for the $Le = 1.2$ case (see Fig. 4), which leads to the strongest negative correlation between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$ in this case.

As curvature κ_m and $|\nabla c|$ are positively correlated in the $Le = 0.8$ case (see Fig. 4), and κ_m and S_t^* are deterministically negatively correlated, the correlation between S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ remains negative in this case. The combination of negative correlations of S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ with κ_m leads to positive correlations between S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases considered here.

It is worth noting that Damköhler's first hypothesis was originally proposed for large scale turbulence (i.e. $l \gg \delta_{th}$) (Damköhler 1940), which suggests $S_T/S_L = A_T/A_p$ where $S_T = \Omega_T/\rho_0 A_p$ is the turbulent flame speed, $S_L = \Omega_L/\rho_0 A_L$ is the unstrained laminar burning velocity, A_T is the total flame surface area and A_p is the projected area of the flame. However, it has been demonstrated in several previous DNS analyses (Aspden et al. 2011; Nivarti and Cant 2017; Ahmed et al. 2019) that the quantitative agreement between $S_T/S_L = (\Omega_T/\Omega_L)(A_L/A_p)$ and A_T/A_p remains excellent in the thin reaction zones regime (Peters 2000) with small length scale separation between l and δ_{th} (e.g. $l/\delta_{th} \sim O(1)$) for planar flames with characteristic Lewis number of unity. From the foregoing, it can be appreciated that the equality between S_T/S_L and A_T/A_p implies an equality between Ω_T/Ω_L and A_T/A_L as a corollary of Damköhler's first hypothesis. It has been demonstrated in previous experimental analyses (Yuen 2013; Wabel et al. 2017) that S_T/S_L assumes greater values than A_T/A_p for Bunsen burner flames which have global mean negative curvature. A recent analysis by the authors (Chakraborty et al. 2019) provided the explanation for the behaviour which leads to $S_T/S_L > A_T/A_p$ for flames with negative mean curvature. According to the explanation provided by these authors (Chakraborty et al. 2019), it is expected that S_T/S_L will assume smaller values than A_T/A_p for flames with mean positive curvature (e.g. spherically expanding flame kernels).

In the $Le = 0.8$ case, $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$ are negatively correlated so $\int_V \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) |\nabla c| dV < \langle \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) \rangle A_T$ where $\langle Q \rangle = \int_V Q dV/V$ indicates the volume-averaged value of a general quantity Q . Moreover, S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ are negatively correlated as well in the $Le = 0.8$ case so $\int_V \rho_0 S_t^* |\nabla c| dV < \langle \rho_0 S_t^* \rangle A_T$. The combined effects of $\int_V \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) |\nabla c| dV < \rho_0 \langle (S_r^* + S_n^*) \rangle A_T$ and $\int_V \rho_0 S_t^* |\nabla c| dV < \langle \rho_0 S_t^* \rangle A_T$ yield $\Omega_T < \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$ in the $Le = 0.8$ case. In the unity Lewis number case, $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$ are negatively correlated, whereas S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ are positively correlated. Thus, one obtains $\int_V \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) |\nabla c| dV < \langle \rho_0 (S_r^* + S_n^*) \rangle A_T$ and $\int_V \rho_0 S_t^* |\nabla c| dV > \langle \rho_0 S_t^* \rangle A_T$ in the $Le = 1.0$

Table 3 Effects of Le on correlation coefficients between $(S_r^* + S_n^*)$ and $|\nabla c|$, and between S_t^* and $|\nabla c|$ on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface

Case	$(S_r + S_n)^* - \nabla c $	$S_t^* - \nabla c $
$Le = 0.8$	-0.41	-0.54
$Le = 1.0$	-0.69	0.49
$Le = 1.2$	-0.17	0.92

case, where the former effect supersedes the latter to yield a value of Ω_T which is smaller than $\langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$. In the $Le = 1.2$ case, a similar behavior is observed but these effects almost nullify each other to yield: $\Omega_T \approx \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$ at the instant when the statistics were extracted. It can be seen from Table 4 that $\langle S_d^* \rangle$ remains greater than S_L in the $Le = 0.8$ case, whereas $\langle S_d^* \rangle$ is smaller (significantly smaller) than S_L in the $Le = 1.0$ ($Le = 1.2$) case. In the $Le = 0.8$ case, $\langle S_d^* \rangle > S_L$ dominates over $\Omega_T < \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$ to yield a value of $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T$, which is slightly greater than unity and thus is consistent with $\Omega_T / \Omega_L > A_T / A_L$ (see Table 2), whereas the combination of $\Omega_T < \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$ and $S_d^* < S_L$ leads to $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T < 1$ and $\Omega_T / \Omega_L < A_T / A_L$ in the turbulent premixed spherical flames with $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 (see Table 2). According to Damköhler's first hypothesis, one gets $\Omega_T / A_T = \Omega_L / A_L$ or $\Omega_T / \Omega_L = A_T / A_L$ for a given fuel–air mixture and unburned gas condition (Damköhler 1940; Klein et al. 2020; Chakraborty et al. 2019), which also leads to $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T = 1.0$. Hence, Tables 2 and 4 suggest that the equality between Ω_T / Ω_L and A_T / A_L does not strictly hold (therefore the equality between S_T / S_L and A_T / A_p is rendered invalid) in spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames irrespective of Le . This behaviour can be explained in the following manner.

The dependence of S_d^* on flame curvature κ_m can be modelled according to $S_d^* = (S_L - 2D_M \kappa_m)$ where D_M is the Markstein diffusivity (Poinsot and Veynante 2001). Thus, according to eq. 4, it is possible to approximate Ω_T as:

$$\Omega_T \approx \int_V \rho_0 (S_L - 2D_M \kappa_m) |\nabla c| dV = \rho_0 S_L A_T - 2 \int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV \quad (5)$$

The integral $\int_V \rho_0 (S_L - D_M \kappa_m) |\nabla c| dV$ becomes equal to $\rho_0 S_L A_T$ for statistically-planar unity Lewis number flames because $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV$ can be written as: $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV \approx \rho_0 \langle D_M \kappa_m \rangle_s A_T$ (where $\langle D_M \kappa_m \rangle_s = \int_V D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV / \int_V |\nabla c| dV$ is the global surface-weighted curvature) and $\rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle_s A_T$ disappears because of the vanishingly small values of $\langle D_M \kappa_m \rangle_s$ resulting from the weak correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m in statistically planar flames (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Chakraborty and Cant 2005a). However, $\rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle_s A_T$ assumes a positive value because $\langle D_M \kappa_m \rangle_s$ is positive for spherically expanding premixed flames and D_M remains positive. This suggests that $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T$ is expected to be smaller than unity (i.e. $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T < 1.0$) for the spherically expanding flames for the unity Lewis number, which is consistent with the findings reported in Table 4. By the same token, $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T$ is expected to be greater than unity (i.e. $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T > 1.0$) for unity Lewis number turbulent Bunsen burner flames (where $\langle \kappa_m \rangle_s$ is negative due to negative global mean curvature) and this indeed reported based on experimental findings (Yuen 2013; Wabel et al. 2017) and has recently been confirmed by Chakraborty et al. (2019) based on DNS data. Thus, the current findings and the results of Chakraborty et al. (2019) reveal that Damköhler's first hypothesis is strictly not valid for curved flames even for unity Lewis number conditions.

Table 4 Effects of Le on $\Omega_T / \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$, $\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T$ and $\langle S_d^* \rangle / S_L$

Case	$\Omega_T / \langle \rho_0 S_d^* \rangle A_T$	$\Omega_T / \rho_0 S_L A_T$	$\langle S_d^* \rangle / S_L$
$Le = 0.8$	0.77	1.06	1.38
$Le = 1.0$	0.88	0.77	0.88
$Le = 1.2$	1.04	0.53	0.51

It has been shown elsewhere (Chakraborty and Cant 2005a; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Dopazo et al. 2018) that the equality between Ω_T/Ω_L and A_T/A_L (or equality of S_T/S_L and A_T/A_p) is rendered invalid for statistically planar non-unity Lewis number flames and the discrepancy between Ω_T/Ω_L and A_T/A_L for statistically planar flames increases with increasing $|Le - 1|$ and S_T/S_L becomes increasingly greater (smaller) than A_T/A_p with decreasing (increasing) Le . For $Le < 1$ spherical flames (e.g. $Le = 0.8$), the quantities $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m are positively correlated (see Fig. 4), which suggests that $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV > \rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle A_T$ (or $-\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV < -\rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle A_T$). In the case of $Le \geq 1$ spherical flames (e.g. $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2), the negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m (see Fig. 4) leads to $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV < \rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle A_T$ (or $-\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV > -\rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle A_T$) and this trend strengthens with increasing Le as a result of stronger negative correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m for higher values of Lewis number. The integral $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV$ remains positive for all cases but $\int_V \rho_0 D_M \kappa_m |\nabla c| dV < \rho_0 D_M \langle \kappa_m \rangle A_T$ in the $Le \geq 1.0$ spherical flames (e.g. $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases) leads to $\Omega_T < \rho_0 S_L A_T$ (or $\Omega_T/\rho_0 S_L A_T < 1.0$) (see Table 4) and this trend at a given instant of time is stronger for the $Le > 1.0$ case than in the $Le = 1.0$ case due to greater values of $\langle \kappa_m \rangle$ for smaller flame kernels for higher values of Le . The flame kernel is bigger in size in the $Le = 0.8$ case (see Fig. 1) in comparison to the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases, which suggests that $\langle \kappa_m \rangle$ in the $Le = 0.8$ case is much smaller than in the other cases. This leads to $\Omega_T \approx \rho_0 S_L A_T$ in the flame kernel with $Le = 0.8$ at the time the statistics were extracted (see Table 4).

4 Conclusions

The statistical behaviours of density-weighted displacement speed S_d^* and consumption speed S_c in turbulent spherically expanding flames have been analysed using a three-dimensional simple chemistry DNS database for characteristic Lewis numbers $Le = 0.8, 1.0$ and 1.2 . The mean and most probable values of both S_d^* and S_c increase with decreasing Le . In all cases, S_d^* has been found to be negatively correlated with curvature κ_m , whereas S_d^* correlates positively with tangential strain rate a_T . Although the qualitative nature of the strain rate and curvature dependences of S_d^* does not change for the range of Le considered here, the strain rate and curvature dependences of the reaction and normal diffusion components of S_d^* have been found to be strongly dependent on Le . The correlation between $|\nabla c|$ and κ_m remains positive in the $Le = 0.8$ flame, whereas this correlation is found to be negative in the $Le = 1.0$ and 1.2 cases. The reaction progress variable gradient $|\nabla c|$ is found to be positively correlated with a_T but the correlation strength decreases with decreasing Le , whereas tangential strain rate and curvature are found to be negatively correlated for all cases considered here. The temperature and chemical reaction rate of progress variable are found to be positively correlated with κ_m in the $Le = 0.8$ flame, whereas they are negatively correlated in the $Le = 1.2$ case. These correlations in the non-unity Lewis number flames arise due to differential diffusion of heat and mass. The combination of tangential strain rate and curvature dependences of $|\nabla c|$ and temperature, and the interrelation between tangential strain rate and curvature determines the curvature and strain rate responses of reaction and normal diffusion components of S_d^* . The positive (negative) correlation between temperature and curvature in the $Le = 0.8$ ($Le = 1.2$) flame gives rise to positive (weakly negative)

correlation between S_c and κ_m , whereas S_c and κ_m are weakly related in the $Le = 1.0$ case. In all cases the local values of S_c and S_d^* remain significantly different and the PDFs of S_d^* exhibit finite probabilities of negative values, whereas S_c assumes deterministically positive values. The correlation between S_c and S_d^* remains weak in the $Le = 0.8$ and 1.0 cases but a strong positive correlation is obtained in the $Le = 1.2$ case. Finally, the flame speed statistics have been utilised to demonstrate that Damköhler's first hypothesis does not strictly hold in spherically expanding turbulent premixed flames.

Although it has been demonstrated in the past that S_d^* and $|\nabla c|$ statistics and curvature dependence of non-dimensional temperature θ obtained from simple chemistry DNS (Klein et al. 2006; Chakraborty et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2009; Rutland and Trouvé 1993; Trouvé and Poinso 1994; Chakraborty and Cant 2004, 2005a, b, 2006; Han and Huh 2008; Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Chakraborty et al. 2011, 2013) remain in good agreement with the corresponding findings obtained from detailed chemistry DNS (Chakraborty and Klein 2008; Chakraborty et al. 2008, 2013; Chakraborty and Cant 2005b) data, the current analysis based on characteristic Lewis number provides only a general indication of qualitative behaviour of differential diffusion of heat and mass but the quantitative aspects of the effects of differential diffusion are likely to be specific to the nature of the fuel and equivalence ratio of the fuel–air mixture. Moreover, different species have different diffusivities and therefore different Lewis numbers but the differential molecular transport due to different mass diffusivities is not addressed in this paper due to the simplifications made in the context of thermo-chemical properties and chemical reaction mechanism. Furthermore, Soret and Dufor effects are likely to be important for lean hydrogen-air flames, which cannot be addressed by analysing non-unity Lewis number effects, and thus further analyses based on detailed chemistry and transport will be necessary for a more complete understanding on differential diffusion of heat and mass on flame propagation of spherically expanding premixed flame kernels. This will form the platform of further analyses in the future.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to EPSRC (EP/K025163/1, EP/R029369/1), DFG (KL1456/5-1), ARCHER and Rocket-HPC of Newcastle University for financial and computational support.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest We have no competing interests.

Ethics Statement This work did not involve any active collection of human data.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Abdel-Gayed, R.G., Al-Khishali, K.J., Bradley, D.: Turbulent burning velocities and flame straining in explosions. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **391**, 393–414 (1984)
- Ahmed, U., Chakraborty, N., Klein, M.: Insights into the bending effect in premixed turbulent combustion using the Flame Surface Density transport. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* **191**, 898–920 (2019)
- Ashurst, W.T., Peters, N., Smooke, M.D.: Numerical simulation of turbulent flame structure with non-unity Lewis number. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 339–375 (1987)
- Aspden, A.J., Day, M.S., Bell, J.B.: Turbulence–flame interactions in lean premixed hydrogen: transition to the distributed burning regime. *J. Fluid Mech.* **680**, 287–320 (2011)
- Bechtold, J.K., Matalon, M.: The dependence of the Markstein length on stoichiometry. *Combust. Flame* **127**, 1906–1913 (2001)
- Chakraborty, N., Alwazzan, D., Klein, M., Cant, R.S.: On the validity of Damköhler’s first hypothesis in turbulent Bunsen burner flames: a computational analysis. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **37**, 2231–2239 (2019)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, S.: Unsteady effects of strain rate and curvature on turbulent premixed flames in an inlet-outlet configuration. *Combust. Flame* **137**, 129–147 (2004)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: Influence of Lewis number on curvature effects in turbulent premixed flame propagation in the thin reaction zones regime. *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 105105 (2005a)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: Effects of strain rate and curvature on Surface Density Function transport in turbulent premixed flames in the thin reaction zones regime. *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 65108 (2005b)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: Influence of Lewis Number on strain rate effects in turbulent premixed flame propagation in the thin reaction zones regime. *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* **49**, 2158–2172 (2006)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: A-Priori Analysis of the curvature and propagation terms of the Flame Surface Density transport equation for Large Eddy Simulation. *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 105101 (2007)
- Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: Direct Numerical Simulation analysis of the Flame Surface Density transport equation in the context of Large Eddy Simulation. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **32**, 1445–1453 (2009)
- Chakraborty, N., Hartung, G., Katragadda, M., Kaminski, C.F.: A numerical comparison of 2D and 3D density-weighted displacement speed statistics and implications for laser based measurements of flame displacement speed. *Combust. Flame* **158**, 1372–1390 (2011)
- Chakraborty, N., Hawkes, E.R., Chen, J.H., Cant, R.S.: Effects of strain rate and curvature on Surface Density Function transport in turbulent premixed CH₄-air and H₂-air flames: a comparative study. *Combust. Flame* **154**, 259–280 (2008)
- Chakraborty, N., Klein, M.: Influence of Lewis number on the Surface Density Function transport in the thin reaction zones regime for turbulent premixed flames. *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 065102 (2008)
- Chakraborty, N., Klein, M., Cant, R.S.: Stretch rate effects on displacement speed in turbulent premixed flame kernels in the thin reaction zones regime. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **31**, 11385–11392 (2007)
- Chakraborty, N., Kolla, H., Sankaran, R., Hawkes, E.R., Chen, J.H., Swaminathan, N.: Determination of three-dimensional quantities related to scalar dissipation rate and its transport from two-dimensional measurements: direct Numerical Simulation based validation. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **34**, 1151–1162 (2013)
- Chakraborty, N., Konstantinou, I., Lipatnikov, A.: Effects of Lewis number on vorticity and enstrophy transport in turbulent premixed flames. *Phys. Fluids* **28**, 015109 (2016)
- Chaudhuri, S., Wu, F., Zhu, D., Law, C.K.: Flame speed and self-similar propagation of expanding turbulent premixed flames. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**(4), 044503 (2012)
- Chen, J.H., Im, H.G.: Correlation of flame speed with stretch in turbulent premixed methane/air flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **27**, 819–826 (1998)
- Clarke, A.: Calculation and consideration of the Lewis number for explosion studies. *Proc. Inst. Chem. Eng. Part B* **80**, 135–140 (2002)
- Clavin, P., Joulin, G.: Premixed flames in large scale and high intensity turbulent flow. *J. Phys. Lett.* **44**, 1–12 (1983)
- Damköhler, G.: Der einfluss der turbulenz auf die flammengeschwindigkeit in gasgemischen. *Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie und angewandte physikalische Chemie* **46**, 601–652 (1940). (English translation: The effect of turbulence on the flame velocity in gas mixtures, NACA TM 1112, 1947)
- Dinkelacker, F., Manickam, B., Muppala, S.P.R.: Modelling and simulation of lean premixed turbulent methane/hydrogen/air flames with an effective Lewis number approach. *Combust. Flame* **158**, 1742–1749 (2011)
- Dopazo, C., Cifuentes, L., Alwazzan, D., Chakraborty, N.: Influence of the Lewis number on effective strain rates in weakly turbulent premixed combustion. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* **190**, 591–614 (2018)

- Dunstan, T.D., Jenkins, K.W.: Flame surface density distribution in turbulent flame kernels during the early stages of growth. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **32**, 1427–1434 (2009)
- Echeekki, T., Chen, J.H.: Analysis of the contribution of curvature to premixed flame propagation. *Combust. Flame* **118**, 303–311 (1999)
- Gao, Y., Chakraborty, N.: Modelling of Lewis Number dependence of Scalar dissipation rate transport for Large Eddy Simulations of turbulent premixed combustion. *Numer. Heat Trans. A* **69**, 1201–1222 (2016)
- Gao, Y., Chakraborty, N., Klein, M.: Assessment of the performances of sub-grid scalar flux models for premixed flames with different global Lewis numbers: a Direct Numerical Simulation analysis. *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* **52**, 28–39 (2015)
- Gao, Y., Chakraborty, N., Swaminathan, N.: Algebraic closure of scalar dissipation rate for Large Eddy Simulations of turbulent premixed combustion. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* **186**, 1309–1337 (2014)
- Gao, Y., Minamoto, Y., Tanahashi, M., Chakraborty, N.: A priori assessment of scalar dissipation rate closure for Large Eddy Simulations of turbulent premixed combustion using a detailed chemistry Direct Numerical Simulation database. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* **188**, 1398–1423 (2016)
- Gashi, S., Hult, J., Jenkins, K.W., Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S., Kaminski, C.F.: Curvature and wrinkling of premixed flame kernels-comparisons of OH PLIF and DNS data. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **30**, 809–817 (2005)
- Han, I., Huh, K.H.: Roles of displacement speed on evolution of flame surface density for different turbulent intensities and Lewis numbers for turbulent premixed combustion. *Combust. Flame* **152**, 194–205 (2008)
- Haworth, D.C., Poinot, T.J.: Numerical simulations of Lewis number effects in turbulent premixed flames. *J. Fluid Mech.* **244**, 405–432 (1992)
- Jenkins, K.W., Cant, R.S.: Curvature effects on flame kernels in a turbulent environment. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **29**, 2023–2029 (2002)
- Klein, M., Chakraborty, N., Cant, R.S.: Effects of turbulence on self-sustained combustion in premixed flame kernels: a direct numerical simulation (DNS) study. *Flow Turb. Combust.* **81**, 583–607 (2009)
- Klein, M., Chakraborty, N., Jenkins, K.W., Cant, R.S.: Effects of initial radius on the propagation of premixed flame kernels in a turbulent environment. *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 055102 (2006)
- Klein, M., Herbert, A., Kosaka, H., Böhm, B., Dreizler, A., Chakraborty, N., Papapostolou, V., Im, H.G., Hasslberger, J.: Evaluation of flame area based on detailed chemistry DNS of premixed turbulent hydrogen-air flames in different regimes of combustion. *Flow Turb. Combust.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10494-019-00068-2>
- Klein, M., Kasten, C., Chakraborty, N., Mukhadiyev, N., Im, H.G.: Turbulent scalar fluxes in Hydrogen-Air premixed flames at low and high Karlovitz numbers. *Combust. Theory Model.* **22**, 1033–1048 (2018)
- Law, C.K., Kwon, O.C.: Effects of hydrocarbon substitution on atmospheric hydrogen-air flame propagation. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **29**, 876–879 (2004)
- Mizomoto, M., Asaka, S., Ikai, S., Law, C.K.: Effects of preferential diffusion on the burning intensity of curved flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **20**, 1933–1940 (1984)
- Nivarti, G.V., Cant, R.S.: Direct Numerical Simulation of the bending effect in turbulent premixed flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **36**, 1903–1910 (2017)
- van Oijen, J.A., Groot, G.R.A., Bastiaans, R.J.M., de Goey, L.P.H.: A flamelet analysis of the burning velocity of premixed turbulent expanding flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **30**, 657–664 (2005)
- Papapostolou, V., Wacks, D.H., Klein, M., Chakraborty, N., Im, H.G.: Enstrophy transport conditional on local flow topologies in different regimes of premixed turbulent combustion. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 11545 (2017)
- Peters, N.: *Turbulent Combustion*, Cambridge Monograph on Mechanics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000)
- Peters, N., Terhoeven, P., Chen, J.H., Echeekki, T.: Statistics of flame displacement speeds from computations of 2-D unsteady methane-air flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **27**, 833–839 (1998)
- Poinot, T., Candel, S., Trounev, A.: Applications of direct numerical simulation to premixed turbulent combustion. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **21**, 531–576 (1995)
- Poinot, T., Veynante, D.: *Theoretical and Numerical Combustion*. R.T. Edwards Inc., Philadelphia (2001)
- Renou, B., Boukhalfa, A., Puechberty, D., Trinite, M.: Local scalar flame properties of freely propagating premixed turbulent flames at various Lewis number. *Combust. Flame* **123**, 507–521 (2000)
- Rutland, C., Trounev, A.: Direct Simulations of premixed turbulent flames with nonunity Lewis numbers. *Combust. Flame* **94**, 41–57 (1993)
- Thevenin, D.: Three-dimensional direct simulations and structure of expanding turbulent methane flames. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **30**, 629–637 (2005)
- Trounev, A., Poinot, T.J.: The evolution equation for flame surface density in turbulent premixed combustion. *J. Fluid Mech.* **278**, 1–32 (1994)

- Wabel, T.M., Skiba, A.W., Driscoll, J.F.: Turbulent burning velocity measurements: extended to extreme levels of turbulence. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **36**, 1801–1808 (2017)
- Williams, F.A.: *Combustion Theory*. Benjamin Cummings, Menlo Park (1985)
- Yuen, F.T.C., Gülder, Ö.: Turbulent premixed flame front dynamics and implications for limits of flamelet hypothesis. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **34**, 1393–1400 (2013)