## **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# The Association Between HIV-Related Stigma and the Uptake of HIV Testing and ART Among Older Adults in Rural South Africa: Findings from the HAALSI Cohort Study

Nomsa B. Mahlalela<sup>1,2</sup> · Jennifer Manne-Goehler<sup>1,3,4</sup> · Daniel Ohene-Kwofie<sup>1</sup> · Leslie B. Adams<sup>5,6</sup> · Livia Montana<sup>5,7</sup> · Kathleen Kahn<sup>1</sup> · Julia K. Rohr<sup>5</sup> · Till Bärnighausen<sup>1,8,9</sup> · Francesc X. Gómez-Olivé<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 7 November 2023 / Published online: 29 January 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

## Abstract

HIV testing and antiretroviral therapy (ART) remain critical for curbing the spread of HIV/AIDS, but stigma can impede access to these services. Using data from the Health and Aging in Africa: A Longitudinal Study of an INDEPTH Community in South Africa (HAALSI), we used a multivariable logistic regression to examine the correlation between HIV-related stigma, HIV testing and ART uptake in older adults. We used four questions to measure stigma, with three assessing social stigma (reflecting social distancing preferences) and one assessing anticipated stigma (disclosure concern). We combined the three social stigma questions to generate a social stigma score ranging from 0 to 3, with higher scores indicating higher stigma. Anticipated stigma was prevalent 85% (95% CI 0.84–0.86), and social stigma was also frequent 25% (95% CI 0.24–0.27). Higher social stigma scores correlated with decreased HIV testing for all participants with social stigma. Compared to those with a score of 0, odds of testing decreased with higher stigma scores (OR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.53–0.81, p = 0.000) for a score of 1 and (OR = 0.56, 95% CI 0.38–0.83, p = 0.004) for a score of 3. ART uptake also decreased with higher social stigma scores among people living with HIV (PLWH), although it was significant for those with a score of 2 (OR = 0.41, 95% CI 0.19–0.87, p = 0.020). These findings emphasize that HIV-related stigma hampers testing and ART uptake among older adults in rural South Africa. Addressing stigma is crucial for improving testing rates, early diagnosis, and treatment initiation among the older population and achieving UNAIDS 95–95–95 targets.

Keywords HIV-related stigma · Antiretroviral therapy · HIV testing · Older adults · South Africa · HAALSI

Nomsa B. Mahlalela naomiey.mahlalela2@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- <sup>2</sup> Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- <sup>3</sup> Medical Practice Evaluation Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- 🖄 Springer

- <sup>5</sup> Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA
- <sup>7</sup> The DHS Program, ICF, Rockville MD, USA
- <sup>8</sup> Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>9</sup> Africa Health Research Institute (AHRI), Mtubatuba, South Africa

## Introduction

The HIV/AIDS epidemic remains a significant public health challenge globally, with approximately 39 million people living with HIV (PLWH), and 1.3 million new infections in 2022 [1]. South Africa continues to have a high HIV prevalence of 13.7% among all age groups, accounting for about 8.2 million PLWH [2]. Notably, the number of PLWH aged 50 and older is increasing globally, indicating an aging HIV-positive population [3]. Evidence from rural South Africa shows high HIV prevalence among older adults [4-6], attributed to increased life expectancy among PLWH on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and new infections occurring at older ages [3, 6-8]. Older adults are a growing at-risk group for HIV transmission, and their inclusion in HIV prevention and care treatment programs is crucial for reaching the UNAIDS 95-95-95 targets to combat the HIV epidemic.

Despite the decline in sexual activity with age, evidence from various countries including South Africa indicates that a significant number of older adults aged 50 and above are sexually active and engage in similar HIV risk behaviors as young people [9-15]. Studies have shown lack of condom use among many older adults with multiple sexual partners [12, 13, 16]. It is crucial for older people to understand HIV transmission risks and be actively included in prevention strategies and HIV services [11]. Early diagnosis and treatment are essential components of the global HIV response to prevent further HIV transmissions [17]. Globally, there has been a significant improvement across the HIV testing and treatment cascade. Among all PLWH, 86% knew their status, 76% were accessing treatment and 71% were virally suppressed in 2022 [1]. While South Africa has made progress in achieving the UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets [18], there are still challenges in linking people to care and retaining them in treatment. Testing rates also remain low among certain high-risk populations, including older adults, despite efforts to expand access to HIV testing and treatment. Community and home-based outreach HIV testing programs aimed at reducing late diagnoses have revealed inadequate testing among older populations due to misconceptions about their sexual activity, lower perception of HIV risk and presence of HIV-related stigma [19, 20].

HIV-related stigma encompasses negative beliefs, feelings and attitudes towards PLWH, groups associated with PLWH such as their families, and key populations at higher risk of HIV infection, such as sex workers, men who have sex with men, transgender people, and people who inject drugs [21]. HIV-related stigma can manifest in various forms, including social stigma (negative attitudes towards PLWH including desires for social distance), enacted stigma (specific acts of discrimination towards PLWH), internalized stigma (negative beliefs within PLWH about themselves) [22], and anticipated stigma (fear of negative outcomes if HIV status is disclosed) [22–24]. These forms of stigma can result in social exclusion, discrimination, rejection, and fear of disclosing HIV status.

HIV remains a highly stigmatized condition because it is often linked to socially condemned behaviours that are considered high risk and associated with its transmission, such as multiple and concurrent sexual partners, homosexual intercourse, drug use and sex work [25-27]. As a result of this association, PLWH often face discrimination and prejudice which often contribute to the ongoing stigma surrounding HIV. Fear and misinformation about HIV transmission also play a significant role in reinforcing unfounded fears of casual contact with PLWH. This perpetuate harmful myths about HIV, leading to the continuation of stigma associated with the virus. HIV-related stigma often intersects with other forms of stigmatization such as homophobia, gender discrimination, discrimination against marginalized populations, and racism further creating complex challenges for PLWH. Intersecting stigmas can result in social exclusion, limited access to healthcare and support, increased psychological distress, and reduced opportunities for employment and personal relationships.

HIV-related stigma remains a significant barrier to controlling the HIV epidemic. Stigmatizing attitudes discourage individuals from getting tested for HIV, seeking medical care, and adhering to treatment [7, 28–32]. Fear of stigma can prevent people from disclosing their HIV status to family, friends, or sexual partners, leading to increased feelings of isolation and secrecy. Stigma also affects mental health and overall well-being for PLWH, and present challenges for those in need of health care services including older adults [32]. Overcoming stigma requires comprehensive strategies that promote education, awareness, and empathy, fostering a supportive environment and understanding society.

There is a relatively small number of studies on HIVrelated stigma among older adults, despite the increasing number of older adults living with HIV. The majority of research excludes older subjects or ignores age as a variable [7]. Older adults experienced the HIV epidemic differently, with historical perspectives that may influence their experiences of stigma. HIV-related stigma has been linked to adverse psychological and social outcomes, which may be particularly important for older adults due to their increased vulnerability. Understanding HIV-related stigma in older adults remains crucial and can inform interventions to support their mental health and overall well-being. This study aims to examine the association between HIV-related stigma and HIV testing and ART uptake among older adults living with HIV in rural South Africa, using data from the HAALSI study.

## Methods

## **Study Site**

The study was conducted in the Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) site located in Mpumalanga province, South Africa, which is run by the MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit. The HDSS conducts an annual census and collects vital events data for all household members in the area, including births, deaths, and migration [33]. Overall, Agincourt is a rural area with underdeveloped education system, limited access to employment opportunities, insufficient healthcare and sanitation, and experiences high rates of labor migration [34, 35].

## **Study Population**

The HAALSI study is a longitudinal population-based cohort study focused on studying health, aging, and wellbeing of older people [36]. The baseline assessment data were collected between November 2014 and November 2015. To be included in the study, participants needed to be at least 40 years old as of 1 July 2014 and have lived in the study area for the 12 months preceding the 2013 HDSS census round. A random sample of eligible men and women was obtained from the HDSS database, resulting in a total of 5059 participants (2345 men and 2714 women). Data collection involved in-person interviews conducted by locally trained fieldworkers in the local language (Xi-Tsonga) using a computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) system. Additional details are described elsewhere [36]. Followup interviews were conducted from 2018 to 2019 (wave 2). During this period, 602/5059 participants died, leaving 4457 participants eligible for follow-up. Out of these, 4176 participants completed the follow-up interviews, resulting in a response rate of 94%. For the analysis of HIV-related stigma, 3849 participants (92%) responded to all three social stigma questions and were eligible for inclusion. Participants with missing responses (n = 10) or those who refused to answer the stigma questions (n=317) were excluded from the analysis because we could not generate a social stigma score for them.

## Measures

## **HIV-Related Stigma**

HIV-related stigma was assessed using a standard indicator commonly used in UNAIDS general population surveys, Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) AIDS module, and Family Health International Behavioral Surveillance Surveys (FHI BSS) [37]. The indicator consists of three questions assessing social stigma (desires for social distance from PLWH): (1) "If a member of your family became sick with the AIDS virus, would you be willing to care for him or her in your household?", (2) "If you knew that a shopkeeper or food seller had the AIDS virus, would you buy fresh vegetables from them?", (3) "If a female teacher has the AIDS virus but is not sick, should she be allowed to continue teaching in school?". Negative responses (No) to these questions indicate a preference for social distancing from PLWH [38]. Similar to [39], we defined a respondent as having preferences for social distancing if he/she had a negative response to at least one of the three questions. Each negative response was given a score of one point, and the scores from the three questions were summed to create an overall social stigma score ranging from 0 to 3, with higher scores indicating higher levels of social stigma. The indicator also includes one question assessing anticipated stigma (disclosure concern), "If a member of your family became infected with the AIDS virus, would you want it to remain a secret?." Positive responses (Yes) to this question reflect the fear of disclosing a hypothetical HIV infection within a family [40], or fear of negative consequences such as rejection or condemnation, if a family member's HIV positive status were revealed to others [41].

#### Ever Tested for HIV

During wave 2 data collection, participants were asked whether they had ever tested for HIV, with response options of "Yes" and "No". Participants with missing responses (n = 12) were excluded from the analysis. To ensure accurate estimation of HIV testing rates and to avoid potential bias due to over reporting, participants with a confirmed HIV diagnosis from the dried blood spots (DBS) testing (n = 715) were also excluded from this specific analysis.

#### **HIV and ART Uptake Status**

During the in-person home interviews, DBS samples were collected from the study participants. After the data collection period, these DBS samples underwent biological testing for various parameters related to HIV. The testing included checking for the presence of HIV antibodies, measuring viral load, and assessing exposure to emtricitabine (FTC) or lamivudine (3TC), which are components of the first- and second-line ART regimens used in the South African HIV program [36, 42].

#### **Data Analysis**

The analysis was conducted using Stata 17 [43]. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of the study population. To assess respondent's attitudes towards PLWH, the proportion of men and women answering "yes" to at least one of the three social stigma questions was calculated. Pearson's chi-square tests were used to compare proportions. Means in social stigma were calculated using the social stigma score for key socio-demographic groups and the three major "HIV cascade" groups: HIV-negative, HIV+ on ART, and HIV+ no ART, and compared differences between two means using t-tests and three means using oneway ANOVA where applicable. Descriptive analysis was conducted to assess differences in anticipated stigma by key several socio-demographics. Logistic regression analysis was used to examine the association between the outcome of interest (ever tested for HIV) and our independent variables (social stigma and anticipated stigma), while controlling for several confounding variables such as sex, age, education, marital status, employment, household size, and wealth asset index. The same model was applied for the outcome of ART uptake for PLWH. Models were further stratified by age and sex to explore associations in these subgroups. A significance threshold of p < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance in all analyses.

## Results

The study analysed sample characteristics by sex and included 3,849 participants, with 56% being women (see Table 1). The overall mean age of the participants was 64.6 years (SD = 12.2). Women had lower levels of education (47.5% vs 38.2%,  $\chi^2$  (2)=39.778, p=0.000) and more frequently reported being widowed (49.6% vs 14.7%,  $\chi^{2}(3) = 560.617$ , p = 0.000), while men were more likely to be currently married or living with a partner (63.7% vs 32.5%,  $\chi^2$  (3) = 560.617, p = 0.000). Unemployment was higher among women compared to men (63.0% vs 54.2%,  $\chi^2$  (2)=35.179, p=0.000). Men had a higher percentage of living in single-member households (15.5% vs 7.7%,  $\chi^2$  (3)=63.645, p=0.000) and were more likely to live in households ranked lowest in the wealth asset index (28.0% vs 23.6%,  $\chi^2$  (4) = 12.022, p = 0.017). Uptake of HIV testing was similar for both men and women based on self-report, and although not significant, HIV prevalence was slightly higher for women 24.6% compared to men 23.9% based on the DBS HIV test results.

The study found that the majority of both men and women had accepting attitudes towards PLWH (see Fig. 1). Over 90% believed that a female teacher with the AIDS virus but not sick should be allowed to continue teaching in school. More than 80% reported that they would be willing to care for a family member who became sick with the AIDS virus in their household. Additionally, almost 80% reported they would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper they knew had the AIDS virus. Regarding the social stigma questions, 3% (n=128) of older adults answered 'no' to all three stigma questions (scored 3 points); 8% (n=308) answered 'no' to two of the questions (scored 2 points); 14% (n=536) answered 'no' to one of the questions (scored 1 point); and 75% (n=2877) answered 'yes' to all three questions (scored 0 points). For the anticipated stigma question, only a small proportion of both men (14.9%) and women (14.7%) reported that they would not want it to remain a secret if a family member became infected with the AIDS virus.

The study found that women significantly tend to have less social stigma compared to men (mean = 0.38 vs 0.42, t = 1.694, p = 0.045) (see Table 2). Younger respondents (mean = 0.26, F = 27.57, p = 0.000), those with secondary or more education (mean = 0.28, F = 14.46, p = 0.000), currently married or living with a partner (mean = 0.33, F = 8.35, p = 0.000), employed (mean = 0.27, F = 13.41, p = 0.000), living in 7+ person household (mean = 0.37, F = 3.35, p = 0.018), living in households with higher wealth asset index (mean = 0.33, F = 6.62, p = 0.000), those ever tested for HIV (mean = 0.35, t = -7.684, p = 0.000), and those who tested HIV+(mean = 0.29, F = 32.23, p = 0.000) significantly had less social stigma compared to older respondents (mean = 0.70, F = 27.57, p = 0.000), those with no formal education (mean = 0.45, F = 14.46, p = 0.000, never married (mean = 0.47, F = 8.35, p = 0.000), widowed (mean = 0.46, F = 8.35, p = 0.000), separated or divorced (mean = 0.43, F = 8.35, p = 0.000), unemployed (mean = 0.41, F = 13.41, p = 0.000), retired (mean = 0.47, mean = 0.47)F = 13.41, p = 0.000), living alone (mean = 0.50, F = 3.35, p = 0.018), in households with lower wealth asset index (mean = 0.50, F = 6.62, p = 0.000), never tested for HIV (mean = 0.57, t = -7.684, p = 0.000), and those who tested HIV negative (mean = 0.40, F = 32.23, p = 0.000). There were no significant differences in anticipated stigma based on respondent's covariates including sex, age group, marital status, education, employment, household size, household wealth asset index, ever tested for HIV and HIV status (see Table 3). However, there were significant differences in social stigma based on respondents' biological HIV and ART uptake status (see Fig. 2). Older adults HIV+ on ART were significantly less likely to have social stigma compared to those HIV negative or HIV+ not on ART.

In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, social stigma was significantly associated with lower testing rates (OR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.53–0.81, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.61, 95% CI 0.47–0.79, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.56, 95% CI 0.38–0.83, p = 0.004) (see Table 4). No significant associations in testing were observed for sex and employment

Table 1Socio-demographiccharacteristics by sex amongHAALSI participants(N = 3849)

Variables	Total %	% Men (n=1693)	% Women (n=2156)	Chi-square $(\chi^2)$	p value
Age group				$\chi^2(4) = 8.290$	0.082
40-49	12.4	12.3	12.4	,.	
50–59	25.6	23.5	27.3		
60–69	28.6	29.7	27.8		
70–79	20.7	21.7	19.9		
80+	12.7	12.9	12.6		
Mean age	64.6	65.1	64.3		
Education				$\chi^2(2) = 39.778$	0.000
No formal	43.4	38.2	47.5		
At least some primary (1–7 years)	35.3	36.8	34.0		
Secondary or more (8+ years)	21.3	25.0	18.5		
Marital status				$\chi^2(3) = 560.617$	0.000
Never married	7.2	9.6	5.4		
Separated or divorced	12.3	12.1	12.5		
Widowed	34.2	14.7	49.6		
Currently married or living with partner	46.2	63.7	32.5		
Employment status				$\chi^2(2) = 35.179$	0.000
Unemployed	59.1	54.2	63.0		
Employed (full-time or part-time)	17.0	20.3	14.4		
Retired	23.9	25.5	22.6		
Household size				$\chi^2(3) = 63.645$	0.000
Living alone	11.1	15.5	7.7		
Living with another person	10.4	10.9	9.9		
Living in 3–6 person	43.7	40.6	46.2		
Living in 7+ person	34.8	33.0	36.2		
Wave 2 wealth asset index				$\chi^2(4) = 12.022$	0.017
Index 1 (lower)	25.5	28.0	23.6		
Index 2	26.5	24.5	28.1		
Index 3	6.1	6.1	6.2		
Index 4	13.6	13.4	13.8		
Index 5 (higher)	28.2	28.1	28.4		
Wave 2 ever tested for HIV (self-report)				$\chi^2(1) = 0.163$	0.687
Yes	76.9	76.6	77.2		
No	23.1	23.4	22.8		
Wave 2 DBS HIV test result				$\chi^2(2) = 1.193$	0.551
HIV+	24.3	23.9	24.6		
HIV-	75.2	75.7	74.8		
Indeterminate	0.5	0.4	0.7		

Missing data: Ever tested for HIV (n=12), Wave 2 DBS HIV test result (n=902)

status. Age was a significant factor affecting testing, with older age groups significantly showing lower testing rates (OR = 0.46, 95% CI 0.32–0.65, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.33, 95% CI 0.23–0.48, p = 0.000) compared to younger age groups. Marital status and educational attainment also played a significant role in testing rates, with higher testing observed among those who were currently married (OR = 2.58, 95% CI 1.92–3.47, p = 0.000), separated or divorced (OR = 2.13, 95% CI 1.50–3.06, p = 0.000),

widowed (OR = 2.07, 95% CI 1.52–2.83, p=0.000), and those with some primary (OR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.02–1.47, p=0.032), and secondary or more education (OR = 1.32, 95% CI 1.02–1.72, p=0.035). Household size was only significantly associated with lower HIV testing among those living in households with 7 + people (OR = 0.71, 95% CI 0.53–0.95, p=0.021). Conversely, HIV testing rates were higher among those in the wealth asset index 2 (OR = 1.28, 95% CI 1.03–1.58, p=0.027) and higher



Fig. 1 Accepting attitudes toward PLWH by sex (N = 3849)

(OR = 1.38, 95% CI 1.08-1.74, p = 0.008) compared to the lower wealth asset index category.

Anticipated stigma was associated with higher HIV testing, although this association was not statistically significant (see Table 5). Age remained a significant factor, with significantly lower testing rates observed among those in older age groups (OR = 0.71, 95% CI 0.51–0.99, p=0.044), (OR = 0.44, 95% CI 0.31 - 0.62, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.30, 0.31 - 0.62)95% CI 0.20–0.43, p = 0.000). Marital status played a significant role in HIV testing rates, with significantly higher testing rates observed among those currently married (OR = 2.68, 95% CI 1.99 - 3.59, p = 0.000), separated or divorced (OR = 2.14 95% CI 1.51-3.04, p = 0.000), widowed (OR = 2.10, 95% CI 1.54–2.87, p = 0.000) compared to those never married. Additionally, HIV testing was higher among individuals with some primary education (OR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.01–1.46, p = 0.034), secondary or more education (OR = 1.34, 95% CI 1.03–1.73, p = 0.029), and those in wealth asset index 2 (OR = 1.30, 95% CI 1.05-1.61, p = 0.017) and higher wealth asset index (OR = 1.42, 95%) CI 1.12–1.80, p=0.004) compared to those with no formal education and those in lower wealth asset index category.

In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, social stigma was significantly associated with lower ART uptake, particularly among those with a stigma score of 2 (OR = 0.41, 95% CI 0.19–0.87, p = 0.020) (see Table 6).

No significant associations were found between ART uptake and sex, age group, education, and household size. However, significant associations were observed for marital status, with higher ART uptake among those widowed (OR = 2.73, 95% CI 1.33-5.61, p = 0.006) and currently married or living with a partner (OR = 2.21, 95% CI 1.09-4.50, p = 0.028) compared to those never married. ART uptake was also significantly higher among individuals in wealth asset index 3 (OR = 3.45, 95% CI 1.13–10.50, p = 0.029) compared to those in lower wealth asset index. On the other hand, individuals who were retired had a significantly lower ART uptake (OR = 0.54, 95% CI 0.29-1.01, p = 0.054). For anticipated stigma, no significant associations were observed for ART uptake based on sex, age, education, and employment (see Table 7). However, ART uptake was significantly higher among those widowed (OR = 2.92, 95% CI 1.42 - 5.98, p = 0.003), currently married or living with a partner (OR = 2.39, 95% CI 1.18–4.83, p = 0.016), and those in wealth asset index 3 (OR = 3.49, 95% CI 1.15–10.57, p=0.027). Conversely, ART uptake was significantly lower among those living in households with 7 + people (OR = 0.49, 95% CI 0.25-0.99, p = 0.047).

When analyzing data by age groups, the study found that social stigma was significantly associated with lower HIV testing rates among those with a stigma score of 1 in the age groups 50-59 (OR = 0.41, 95% CI 0.25-0.66, p=0.000)

Table 2Variations in socialstigma score by respondents'socio-demographics (N = 3849)

Variables	Mean (SD)		p value
Sex		t = 1.694	0.045
Male	0.42 (0.80)		
Female	0.38 (0.76)		
Age group		F=27.57	0.000
40–49	0.26 (0.64)		
50–59	0.32 (0.70)		
60–69	0.35 (0.71)		
70–79	0.46 (0.82)		
80+	0.70 (0.98)		
Education		F = 14.46	0.000
No formal	0.45 (0.83)		
At least some primary (1–7 years)	0.40 (0.77)		
Secondary or more (8+ years)	0.28 (0.63)		
Marital status		F=8.35	0.000
Never married	0.47 (0.82)		
Separated or divorced	0.43 (0.81)		
Widowed	0.46 (0.83)		
Currently married or living with partner	0.33 (0.71)		
Employment status		F=13.41	0.000
Unemployed	0.41 (0.78)		
Employed (full-time or part-time)	0.27 (0.64)		
Retired	0.47 (0.83)		
Household size		F=3.35	0.018
Living alone	0.50 (0.91)		
Living with another person	0.42 (0.81)		
Living in 3–6 person	0.39 (0.75)		
Living in 7+ person	0.37 (0.74)		
Wave 2 wealth asset index		F=6.62	0.000
Index 1 (lower)	0.50 (0.86)		
Index 2	0.39 (0.76)		
Index 3	0.40 (0.79)		
Index 4	0.38 (0.76)		
Index 5 (higher)	0.33 (0.70)		
Wave 2 ever tested for HIV (self-report)		t = -7.684	0.000
Yes	0.35 (0.73)		
No	0.57 (0.89)		
Wave 2 DBS HIV test result		F=32.23	0.000
HIV+	0.29 (0.68)		
HIV-	0.40 (0.78)		

and 70–79 (OR = 0.52, 95% CI 0.34–0.80, p=0.003), and those with a score of 2 in the age groups 50–59 (OR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.30–0.96, p=0.036) (see Table A1). HIV testing was significantly higher among females in the age groups 40–49 (OR = 1.93, 95% CI 1.05–3.55, p=0.035) and 60–69 (OR = 1.46, 95% CI 1.02–2.08, p=0.039), but significantly lower in the 80 + age group (OR = 0.60, 95% CI 0.36–1.00, p=0.051). Those currently married, separated or divorced, and widowed had higher testing rates in the age groups 40–49 (OR = 2.17, 95% CI 1.07–4.40, p=0.031), 50–59 (OR = 2.37, 95% CI 1.29–4.34, p=0.005, (OR = 2.68, 95% CI 1.58–4.57, p=0.000), (OR = 2.91, 95% CI 1.60–5.28, p=0.000), 60–69 (OR = 1.97, 95% CI 1.02–3.79, p=0.042), and 70–79 (OR = 4.69, 95% CI 1.78–12.34, p=0.002), (OR = 2.71, 95% CI 1.26–5.85, p=0.011), (OR = 5.31, 95% CI 2.45–11.49, p=0.000). Education also had an impact on testing rates, with those with formal education showing higher testing rates in the age groups 50–59 (OR = 1.53, 95% CI 1.02–2.30, p=0.042), (OR = 1.74, 95% CI 1.10–2.77, p=0.019) and 60–69 (OR = 2.32, 95% CI 1.28–4.18,

 
 Table 3
 Anticipated stigma
 by socio-demographic characteristics (N=3849)

Variables	% Saying will keep family member HIV status secret	Chi-square $(\chi^2)$	p value
Sex		$\chi^2(1) = 0.010$	0.920
Male	85.17		
Female	85.29		
Age group		$\chi^2(4) = 0.448$	0.978
40–49	85.29		
50–59	84.79		
60–69	85.75		
70–79	85.28		
80+	84.87		
Education		$\chi^2(2) = 2.600$	0.273
No formal	86.29		
At least some primary (1–7 years)	84.52		
Secondary or more (8+ years)	84.29		
Marital status		$\chi^2(3) = 6.036$	0.110
Never married	82.01		
Separated or divorced	83.37		
Widowed	84.88		
Currently married or living with partner	86.51		
Employment status		$\chi^2(2) = 1.936$	0.380
Unemployed	84.58		
Employed (full-time or part-time)	86.24		
Retired	86.17		
Household size		$\chi^2(3) = 2.149$	0.542
Living alone	83.41		
Living with another person	84.96		
Living in 3–6 person	85.03		
Living in 7+ person	86.17		
Wave 2 wealth asset index		$\chi^2(4) = 6.623$	0.157
Index 1 (lower)	84.11		
Index 2	86.26		
Index 3	88.98		
Index 4	86.45		
Index 5 (higher)	83.90		
Wave 2 ever tested for HIV (self-report)		$\chi^2(1) = 2.552$	0.110
Yes	86.44		
No	84.85		
Wave 2 DBS HIV test result		$\chi^2(2) = 0.304$	0.859
HIV+	86.01		
HIV-	86.05		

p = 0.005). Employment status did not show significant associations with HIV testing across all age groups. Household size was only significantly associated with lower testing rates among those living in 7 + person household in the 60–69 age group (OR = 0.45, 95% CI 0.24–0.82, p=0.010). Wealth index was significantly associated with higher testing rates for those in wealth index 2 in the 40-49 age group (OR = 2.27, 95% CI 1.06–4.86, p = 0.035).

There was no significant association between anticipated stigma and HIV testing rates across all age groups (see Table A2). HIV testing was significantly higher among females in the age groups 40-49 (OR = 1.97, 95%) CI 1.07-3.63, p=0.029) and 60-69 (OR=1.46, 95% CI 1.03–2.09, p = 0.036), but a significantly lower in the 80 + age group (OR = 0.59, 95% CI 0.36–0.98, p = 0.040). Those ever married had significantly higher testing rates





in the age groups 40-49 (OR = 2.17, 95% CI 1.07-4.38, p = 0.031, 50–59 (OR = 2.23, 95% CI 1.23–4.04, p = 0.009), (OR = 2.72, 95% CI 1.61-4.60, p = 0.000), 60-69 (OR = 2.10, 95% CI 1.10-4.12, p=0.025), and 70-79 (OR = 4.72, 95% CI 1.80 - 12.36, p = 0.002), (OR = 5.44, p = 0.002)95% CI 2.53–11.69, p = 0.000), while those widowed had significantly higher testing rates in the age groups 50-59 (OR = 2.90, 95% CI 1.61-5.24, p = 0.000) and 70-79 (OR = 2.75, 95% CI 1.29 - 5.87, p = 0.009). Those with more formal education showed significantly higher testing rates in the age groups 50–59 (OR = 1.65, 95% CI 1.04–2.62, p = 0.032) and 60-69 (OR = 2.29, 95% CI 1.27-4.12, p = 0.006). Employment status did not show significant associations with HIV testing across all age groups, except for lower testing rates among those retired in the age group 40–49 (OR = 0.24, 95% CI 0.06–0.99, p = 0.048). Household size was significantly associated with lower testing rates among those in 7 + person households in the age group 60-69 (OR = 0.44, 95% CI 0.24-0.80, p = 0.007), and higher testing rates were observed for those in wealth index 2 in the age group 40–49 (OR = 2.34, 95% CI 1.10–5.01, p=0.028).

Social stigma was significantly associated with lower ART uptake among those with a social stigma score of 2 in the age groups 60–69 (OR = 0.20, 95% CI 0.04–0.91, p = 0.037) and 70–79 (OR = 0.01, 95% CI 0.00–1.03, p = 0.051) (see Table A3). No significant associations in

ART uptake were observed for sex, marital status, education, and wealth index across all age groups. Employment was significantly associated with lower ART uptake only among those retired in the age group 60-69 (OR = 0.31, 95%) CI 0.11–0.81, p = 0.017). Household size was significantly associated with lower ART uptake for those in 7+ person household in the age group 60-69 (OR = 0.11, 95% CI 0.02-0.64, p=0.014). Anticipated stigma was significantly associated with higher ART uptake among those in the age group 60–69 (OR = 3.43, 95% CI 1.29–9.11, p = 0.013) (see Table A4). Marital status was significantly associated with higher ART uptake among those widowed in the age group 50–59 (OR = 4.14, 95% CI 1.05–16.23, p=0.042). Employment was significantly associated with lower ART uptake among those retired in the age group 60-69 (OR = 0.36, 95%) CI 0.14–0.93, p=0.035). A significantly lower ART uptake was observed for those living in 7 + person households in the age group 70–79 (OR = 0.11, 95% CI 0.02–0.58, p=0.009).

Social stigma was significantly associated with lower HIV testing among both men and women with a stigma score of 1(OR=0.58, 95% CI 0.42–0.79, p=0.001), (OR=0.71, 95% CI 0.53–0.96, p=0.026), score of 2 (OR=0.64, 95% CI 0.43–0.96, p=0.029), (OR=0.58, 95% CI 0.41–0.83, p=0.003) and score of 3 for men (OR=0.44, 95% CI 0.26–0.76, p=0.003) (see Table A5). Age group was significantly associated with lower testing among women in

Table 4Association betweensocial stigma and self-reportHIV testing among older adults(N = 3837)

Ever tested	Odd ratio	95% Conf. interval	p value
Social stigma score			
0	REF	REF	REF
1	0.66	(0.53–0.81)	0.000
2	0.61	(0.47-0.79)	0.000
3	0.56	(0.38–0.83)	0.004
Sex			
Male	REF	REF	REF
Female	1.08	(0.90-1.30)	0.416
Age group			
40–49	REF	REF	REF
50-59	0.78	(0.57-1.07)	0.116
60–69	0.73	(0.53-1.02)	0.067
70–79	0.46	(0.32–0.65)	0.000
80+	0.33	(0.23–0.48)	0.000
Marital status			
Never married	REF	REF	REF
Separated or divorced	2.13	(1.50-3.06)	0.000
Widowed	2.07	(1.52-2.83)	0.000
Currently married or living with partner	2.58	(1.92–3.47)	0.000
Education			
No formal	REF	REF	REF
Some primary (1–7 years)	1.22	(1.02–1.47)	0.032
Secondary or more (8+ years)	1.32	(1.02–1.72)	0.035
Employment			
Unemployed	REF	REF	REF
Employed (part or full time)	1.07	(0.83–1.37)	0.592
Retired	0.89	(0.74–1.08)	0.249
Household Size			
Living alone	REF	REF	REF
Living with another person	0.92	(0.66–1.29)	0.664
Living in 3–6-person household	1.02	(0.77–1.35)	0.894
Living in 7+ person household	0.71	(0.53–0.95)	0.021
Wave 2 wealth asset index			
Index 1 (lower)	REF	REF	REF
Index 2	1.28	(1.03–1.58)	0.027
Index 3	0.89	(0.65–1.27)	0.490
Index 4	1.07	(0.82–1.38)	0.613
Index 5 (higher)	1.38	(1.08–1.74)	0.008

all age groups (OR = 0.55, 95% CI 0.35–0.87, p = 0.011), (OR = 0.59, 95% CI 0.36–0.95, p = 0.032), (OR = 0.30, 95% CI 0.18–0.51, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.21, 95% CI 0.12–0.37, p = 0.000) and for men only significantly associated with lower testing in 80 + age group (OR = 0.45, 95% CI 0.26–0.76, p = 0.003). Marital status was significantly associated with higher testing among both men and women, for those ever married (OR = 1.74, 95% CI 1.07–2.82, p = 0.026), (OR = 2.18, 95% CI 1.28–3.69, p = 0.004), (OR = 2.99, 95% CI 2.02–4.44, p = 0.000), (OR = 1.99, 95% CI 1.25–3.17, p = 0.004) and widowed (OR = 2.09, 95% CI 1.31–3.33, p=0.002), (OR = 01.87, 95% CI 1.18–2.95, p=0.008). Household size was significantly associated with less testing for men compared to women (OR = 0.51, 95% CI 0.32–0.81, p=0.004), (OR = 0.65, 95% CI 0.43–0.98, p=0.039), (OR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.34–0.82, p=0.004), and significantly higher for men in the highest wealth index (OR = 1.65, 95% CI 1.14–2.38, p=0.007) and significantly higher for women in the second wealth index (OR = 1.38, 95% CI 1.03–1.85, p=0.033).

Anticipated stigma was significantly associated with higher HIV testing for both men and women, although this

 
 Table 5
 Association between anticipated stigma and selfreport HIV testing among older adults (N=3837)

Ever tested	Odds ratio	95% Conf. interval	n value
			p +uiue
0	REF	REF	REF
1. Anticipated stigma	1.19	(0.96–1.47)	0.106
Sex			
Male	REF	REF	REF
Female	1.09	(0.92–1.31)	0.321
Age			
40-49	REF	REF	REF
50-59	0.76	(0.56 - 1.05)	0.094
60–69	0.71	(0.51–0.99)	0.044
70–79	0.44	(0.31–0.62)	0.000
80+	0.30	(0.20-0.43)	0.000
Marital status			
Never married	REF	REF	REF
Separated or divorced	2.14	(1.51–3.04)	0.000
Widowed	2.10	(1.54–2.87)	0.000
Currently married or living with partner	2.68	(1.99–3.59)	0.000
Education			
No formal	REF	REF	REF
Some primary (1–7 years)	1.22	(1.01–1.46)	0.034
Secondary or more (8 + years)	1.34	(1.03–1.73)	0.029
Employment			
Unemployed	REF	REF	REF
Employed (part or full time)	1.08	(0.84–1.39)	0.534
Retired	0.89	(0.74–1.08)	0.253
Household size			
Living alone	REF	REF	REF
Living with one other person	0.92	(0.66–1.29)	0.630
Living in 3–6 person household	1.01	(0.76–1.32)	0.971
Living in 7+ person household	0.70	(0.53-0.93)	0.016
Wave 2 wealth asset index			
Index 1 (lower)	REF	REF	REF
Index2	1.30	(1.05 - 1.61)	0.017
Index3	0.90	(0.64–1.26)	0.545
Index4	1.09	(0.84–1.42)	0.519
Index 5 (higher)	1.42	(1.12–1.80)	0.004

association was not significant (see Table A6). Age group was significantly associated with lower testing among women in all age groups (OR = 0.54, 95% CI 0.34–0.86, p = 0.009), (OR = 0.56, 95% CI 0.35–0.92, p = 0.021), (OR = 0.29, 95% CI 0.17–0.48, p = 0.000), (OR = 0.19, 95% CI 0.11–0.32, p = 0.000), and significantly lower for men only in the 70–79 (OR = 0.59, 95% CI 0.36–0.97, p = 0.038) and 80 + age group (OR = 0.41, 95% CI 0.24–0.70, p = 0.001). Marital status was significantly associated with higher testing for both men and women, for currently married (OR = 3.06, 95% CI 2.07–4.53, p = 0.000), (OR = 2.07, 95% CI 1.30–3.29, p = 0.002), widowed (OR = 2.11, 95% CI 1.33–3.35, p = 0.001), (OR = 1.92, 95% CI 1.21–3.02, p = 0.005), and separated or divorced (OR = 1.76, 95%

CI 1.09–2.85, p=0.021), (OR = 2.19, 95% CI 1.29–3.71, p=0.004). Household size was significantly associated with less testing for men compared to women (OR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.34–0.85, p=0.007), (OR = 0.67, 95% CI 0.45–1.01, p=0.053), (OR = 0.54, 95% CI 0.35–0.84, p=0.006). Wealth index was significantly associated with higher testing among men in the highest wealth index (OR = 1.71, 95% CI 1.19–2.46, p=0.004) and for women in the second wealth index (OR = 1.41, 95% CI 1.05–1.89, p=0.022).

Social stigma was significantly associated with lower ART uptake for men with a stigma score of 3 (OR = 0.28, 95% CI 0.08–0.97, p=0.046) and women with a score of 2 (OR = 0.28, 95% CI 0.10–0.83, p=0.022) (see Table A7). ART uptake was significantly higher among men in the

ART uptake

Education No formal

Employment Unemployed

Retired Household size

Index 2

Index 3

Index 4

Index 5 (higher)

Living alone

Living with another person

Living in 3-6 person

Living in 7+ person

Wave 2 wealth asset index Index 1 (lower)

0 1 2 3 Sex Male Female Age group 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 80 +Marital status Never married Separated or divorced

Social stigma score

 
 Table 6
 Association between
 social stigma and ART uptake among older adults living with HIV (N = 715)

ART uptake	Odds ratio	95% Conf. interval	p value
ocial stigma score			
0	REF	REF	REF
1	0.83	(0.45–1.53)	0.556
2	0.41	(0.19–0.87)	0.020
3	0.44	(0.15–1.23)	0.125
ex			
Male	REF	REF	REF
Female	1.03	(0.65–1.63)	0.894
Age group			
40–49	REF	REF	REF
50-59	0.97	(0.57–1.64)	0.898
60–69	1.39	(0.76–2.53)	0.283
70–79	1.39	(0.59–3.28)	0.455
80+	2.71	(0.55–13.34)	0.221
Aarital status			
Never married	REF	REF	REF
Separated or divorced	1.15	(0.57–2.32)	0.706
Widowed	2.73	(1.33–5.61)	0.006
Currently married or living with partner	2.21	(1.09–4.50)	0.028
Education			
No formal	REF	REF	REF
Some primary (1–7 years)	0.85	(0.53–1.37)	0.511
Secondary or more (8+ years)	1.02	(0.57–1.81)	0.951
Employment			
Unemployed	REF	REF	REF
Employed (part or full time)	0.66	(0.40-1.07)	0.090
Retired	0.54	(0.29–1.01)	0.054

REF

1.22

0.85

0.53

REF

1.27

3.45

1.18

1.22

age group 60-69 compared to women (OR = 2.61, 95% CI 1.01-6.78, p = 0.048). Being widowed was significantly associated with higher ART uptake among men (OR = 5.90, 95% CI 1.45–24.00, p=0.013). Employment was significantly associated with lower ART uptake among women compared to men (OR = 0.34, 95% CI 0.18-0.68, p = 0.002), while living in a 7 + person household was significantly associated with lower ART uptake among men (OR = 0.30, 95% CI 0.10-0.90, p=0.032). Anticipated stigma was significantly associated with higher ART uptake among men compared to women (OR = 2.35, 95% CI 1.07-5.14,

p = 0.033) (see Table A8), with age group 60–69 also showing significantly higher ART uptake for men compared to women (OR = 2.61, 95% CI 1.00–6.79, p = 0.049). Being widowed and currently married or living with a partner was significantly associated with higher ART uptake among men compared to women (OR = 6.28, 95% CI 1.55-25.41, p = 0.010), (OR = 2.78, 95% CI 0.99-7.81, p = 0.053). Education and employment were significantly associated with lower ART uptake among women compared to men, in particular for those with some primary education and those employed (OR = 0.52, 95% CI 0.27-0.99, p = 0.048),

REF

REF

(0.51 - 2.94)

(0.44 - 1.64)

(0.26 - 1.06)

(0.75 - 2.16)

(1.13 - 10.50)

(0.59 - 2.35)

(0.68 - 2.18)

REF

0.654

0.631

0.071

REF

0.374

0.029

0.634

0.510

Table 7Association betweenanticipated stigma and ARTuptake among older adultsliving with HIV (N=715)

	O I I a set a		
АКТ иртаке	Odds ratio	95% Conf. Interval	p value
0	REF	REF	REF
1. Anticipated stigma	1.50	(0.89–2.54)	0.129
Sex			
Male	REF	REF	REF
Female	1.09	0.70-1.71)	0.694
Age			
40–49	REF	REF	REF
50–59	0.96	(0.57–1.63)	0.885
60–69	1.35	(0.74–2.47)	0.323
70–79	1.31	(0.55-3.09)	0.542
80+	2.58	(0.53–12.64)	0.241
Marital status			
Never married	REF	REF	REF
Separated or divorced	1.18	(0.59–2.38)	0.636
Widowed	2.92	(1.42–5.98)	0.003
Currently married or living with partner	2.39	(1.18-4.83)	0.016
Education			
No formal	REF	REF	REF
Some primary (1–7 years)	0.82	(0.51–1.32)	0.421
Secondary or more (8+ years)	0.98	(0.55–1.75)	0.959
Employment			
Unemployed	REF	REF	REF
Employed (part or full time)	0.70	(0.43–1.14)	0.149
Retired	0.56	(0.30-1.03)	0.061
Household size			
Living alone	REF	REF	REF
Living with one other person	1.23	(0.51-2.96)	0.639
Living in 3–6 person household	0.84	(0.44–1.61)	0.592
Living in 7+ person household	0.49	(0.25-0.99)	0.047
Wave 2 wealth asset index			
Index 1 (lower)	REF	REF	REF
Index 2	1.29	(0.76–2.18)	0.344
Index 3	3.49	(1.15–10.57)	0.027
Index 4	1.28	(0.65–2.53)	0.479
Index 5 (higher)	1.20	(0.67-2.15)	0.538

(OR = 0.36, 95% CI 0.19–0.70, p = 0.003), and living in a 7 + person household was significantly associated with lower ART uptake among men compared to women (OR = 0.30, 95% CI 0.10–0.92, p = 0.035).

# Discussion

This study examined the association between HIV-related stigma and HIV testing and ART uptake in a cohort of older adults in rural South Africa. We found that higher social stigma scores were associated with a significant decrease in the likelihood of ever testing for HIV among all participants with social stigma. This finding suggests that social stigma poses a significant barrier to testing behaviour among older adults. HIV testing is an important gateway to accessing HIV prevention and care and treatment services. However, HIV-related stigma is widely recognized as a major obstacle to successful HIV control efforts. Previous research has shown that stigma not only affects HIV testing uptake, but also creates challenges for PLWH in accessing care, starting treatment, and adhering to ART [44–46]. Bessong et al. [47] asserts that limitations in access to HIV testing or treatment for PLWH due to stigma could affect the preventative impact of ART, making it essential to address HIV-related stigma to improve the effectiveness of HIV control initiatives.

Several studies have reported on the association between HIV-related stigma and uptake of HIV testing. Similar to the findings of this study, these studies have shown that stigma is a significant barrier to HIV testing [26–31, 48, 49]. Studies from different countries, such as Botswana and Venezuela, have also highlighted stigma as the primary obstacle to HIV testing [50, 51]. Furthermore, systematic reviews from India and other regions have also consistently identified HIV-related stigma as a key reason for low HIV testing uptake and a common barrier to linkage to HIV care and accessing ART services [46, 52–56], indicating that stigma influences every stage of the HIV care continuum. These findings collectively underscore the critical role of addressing HIV-related stigma to improve HIV testing uptake and care outcomes.

HIV-related stigma negatively influences HIV testing uptake in several ways. Stigma creates a fear of discrimination and negative social consequences for those perceived to have HIV. This fear may discourage people from getting tested as they may worry about being treated differently or ostracized if their HIV status becomes known. Findings from previous research [19, 30, 51, 53, 57] also suggest that fears surrounding the test and the possibility of a positive diagnosis, often due to stigma, also deter people from seeking HIV testing. HIV-related stigma can also lead to feelings of shame and guilt associated with HIV risk behaviors, and these feelings can discourage individuals engaging in risk behaviours from getting tested and treated for HIV as they may associate a positive result with personal failure of moral judgement. Stigma is also fueled by misunderstanding about HIV transmission [58]. Misconceptions about HIV transmission may lead some to believe they are not at risk and avoid testing. HIV-related stigma may also reduce social networks and social interactions for PLWH because of selfimposed social isolation and avoiding negative judgement and guilt related to HIV [39]. People may avoid testing as they fear losing social support or connections if diagnosed with HIV. Fear of disclosing HIV status to family, friends, or partners due to stigma is a significant barrier to testing. Studies revealed that the sharing of positive HIV status with family or friends may lead to social stigma [59] and isolation or exclusion by community [22, 60]. Therefore, individuals may choose to not get tested to avoid the potential consequences of having to disclose their status.

Cultural and religious beliefs can also contribute to HIVrelated stigma, resulting in hesitancy in testing. In some communities, HIV/AIDS is blamed on witchcraft, spirits and supernatural forces [61], and still seen by some as a form of religious punishment for a culpable person, a curse from God or a sinner's disease [62]. These stigmatizing beliefs can discourage individuals from seeking HIV testing and care, further perpetuating the negative impact of HIV-related stigma. Additionally, concerns about confidentiality of HIV tests results can contribute to lower uptake of HIV testing, consistent with [46, 57]. Individuals may fear that their HIV status will not be kept private, leading them to avoid testing altogether. HIV testing is the key entry point into the HIV care cascade, and without improvements in testing, it will be impossible to reach the UNAIDS 95–95–95 targets. Thus, addressing HIV-related stigma through awareness, education, and creating supportive environments is essential to encourage testing and increase the uptake of HIV testing among older adults. Furthermore, ensuring confidential, accessible and non-judgmental testing services can encourage individuals to get tested, and access care and support if needed.

The study found evidence supporting a negative association between HIV-related stigma and ART uptake among older adults living with HIV. Social stigma was significantly linked to lower levels of ART uptake, especially among those with a social stigma score of 2. This finding aligns with the results of two systematic reviews and meta-analyses studies, which also found significant correlations between HIV-related stigma and ART adherence [45, 63]. The studies indicated that HIV-related stigma negatively impacted adherence to ART by compromising general psychological processes, such as social support and adaptive coping. PLWH facing discrimination and rejection may experience reduced social support, which plays a crucial role in maintaining adherence. Previous research has also demonstrated the importance of social ties in promoting adherence, particularly in resource-limited settings [64]. HIV-related stigma can also hinder individuals' ability to cope adaptively with the challenges of living with HIV, potentially affecting their medication adherence and overall well-being [45]. PLWH who experience enacted stigma and anticipated stigma may resort to concealing their status, leading to delays in treatment initiation and interruptions in treatment uptake. Stigma-related fears and concealment practices can disrupt continuity of care and medication adherence, posing challenges to effectively managing HIV. The study findings are also supported by other studies conducted in South Africa [65] and Tanzania [66] which also highlight how widespread HIV-related stigma affects HIV testing willingness and treatment adherence. ART uptake is crucial for improving the health outcomes of PLWH, but stigma can act as a barrier to its success. Addressing HIV-related stigma is essential, particularly for older adults, to enhance ART uptake and quality of life. Effective interventions that target HIV-related stigma are needed to improve ART uptake among older populations and promote better health outcomes.

In this study, there was a positive association between anticipated stigma and ART uptake, but it was not statistically significant. Previous research on the association between anticipated stigma and ART adherence has shown inconsistent results [24, 67]. Some studies found no association or a non-significant one [68, 69], while others observed both positive and negative associations [70, 71]. These inconsistencies may be due to variations in participants and measurement methods for anticipated stigma [24]. However, it is also possible that anticipated stigma's impact on ART adherence depends on other unmeasured psychosocial factors. For example, the study by [24] revealed that anticipated stigma was not significantly associated with ART non-adherence, but when accounting for medication concerns, anticipated stigma became associated with increased ART adherence. Future research on HIV-related stigma should use standardized measures of anticipated stigma and include prospective analyses to explore potential mediating variables.

The study also identified several key factors influencing HIV testing and ART uptake among older adults. Older age was associated with reduced HIV testing, consistent with other research showing lower testing rates among older individuals [72]. Lack of older adults HIV prevention programs, low risk perception, and stigma contribute to this trend [19, 57, 73]. The increasing number of PLWH aged 50 years and above highlights the need for integrating older adults into HIV prevention, care, and treatment programs to effectively address the HIV epidemic. Being ever married increased the likelihood of both HIV testing and ART uptake, consistent with other studies [74-81] and possibly due to perceived risk within relationships and premarital HIV counselling and testing. Consistent with other studies [75, 78, 82, 83], higher educational levels were positively associated with HIV testing, likely because education influences health awareness and access to testing services [84, 85]. Wealthier individuals also had higher odds of HIV testing and ART uptake, in line with other studies [77, 86–88]. Social stigma was found to be higher among older adults, in line with [89, 90]. HIV and ART status were significant predictors of stigma, and anticipated stigma was observed as a barrier to disclosing HIV status among older adults in the study.

## **Strengths and Limitations**

This study's strengths include its integration into an old age cohort with a large sample size from an HDSS platform, allowing for generalizability to the study area's population. The longitudinal cohort design contributes to low rates of loss to follow-up, and the use of DBS provides biological measurements for HIV status, viral load, and ART. However, there are limitations in the study. We used self-reporting for HIV testing which may introduce social desirability bias. The crosssectional design prevents causal interpretations of associations. Other dimensions of HIV-related stigma beyond social and anticipated stigma were not assessed. The assessment of anticipated stigma was limited to one question, which may not fully capture its complexity. Additionally, the measures of HIV stigma rely on self-reports of hypothetical scenarios, which might lead to misconceptions by respondents.

## Conclusion

The study results indicate a significant negative influence of HIV-related stigma on HIV testing and ART uptake among older adults in rural South Africa. This emphasizes the need to address HIV-related stigma as a vital aspect on efforts to increase testing and treatment rates in this population. By implementing targeted interventions to combat stigma, we can make substantial progress towards achieving the UNAIDS 95–95–95 targets.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-023-04222-w.

Acknowledgements The study participants, field staff and management are sincerely acknowledged for their respective contributions to the production of the data used in this study.

Author Contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by [NBM, DOK, FXGO, LM]. The first draft of the manuscript was written by [NBM] and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by University of the Witwatersrand. This work was supported by the National Institute on Aging at the National Institutes of Health (P01 AG041710), HAALSI-Health and Aging in Africa: A Longitudinal Study of an INDEPTH Community in South Africa). The HAALSI study is nested within the Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System site, which is supported by the Wellcome Trust, UK (058893/Z/99/A; 069683/Z/02/Z; 085477/Z/08/Z; 085477/B/08/Z), University of the Witwatersrand, Medical Research Council South Africa, the South African Department of Science and Technology (via the South African Population Research Infrastructure Network).

## Declarations

**Competing interests** The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

**Ethical Approval** The HAALSI cohort study was approved by the Human Research Ethics committee of the Harvard University (protocol #IRB18-1214), University of the Witwatersrand (protocol #M180477), and Mpumalanga Province Department of Health (protocol #MP\_201902\_001).

**Consent to Participate** Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their participation in the study.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

- 1. UNAIDS. Global HIV Statistics-Fact Sheet 2023. https://www. unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\_asset/UNAIDS\_FactSheet\_ en.pdf. Accessed 1 Aug 2023.
- Statistics South Africa. STATISTICAL RELEASE P0302: Midyear population estimates. 2021. https://www.statssa.gov.za/publi cations/P0302/P03022021.pdf. Accessed 2 Sept 2022.
- UNAIDS. HIV AND AGING: A special supplement to the UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2013. https://www. unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\_asset/20131101\_JC2563\_hivand-aging\_en\_0.pdf. Accessed 5 Mar 2022.
- Gómez-Olivé FX, Angotti N, Houle B, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Kabudula C, Menken J, et al. Prevalence of HIV among those 15 and older in rural South Africa. AIDS Care. 2013;25(9):1122–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2012.750710.
- Wallrauch C, Bärnighausen T, Newell ML. HIV prevalence and incidence in people 50 years and older in rural South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2010;100(12):812–4. https://doi.org/10.7196/samj.4181.
- Gómez-Olivé FX, Houle B, Rosenberg M, Kabudula C, Mojola S, Rohr JK, et al. HIV incidence among older adults in a rural South African setting:2010–2015. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020;85:18–22. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000002404.
- Emlet CA. Experiences of stigma in older adults living with HIV/ AIDS: A mixed-methods analysis. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2007;21(10):740–52. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2007.0010.
- Lazarus JV, Nielsen K. HIV and people over 50 years old in Europe. HIV Med. 2010;11(7):479–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1468-1293.2009.00810.x.
- Houle B, Mojola SA, Angotti N, Schatz E, Gómez-Olivé FX, Clark SJ, Williams, et al. Sexual behavior and HIV risk across the life course in rural South Africa: trends and comparisons. AIDS Care. 2018;30(11):1435–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540 121.2018.1468008.
- Rosenberg MS, Gómez-Olivé FX, Rohr JK, Houle BC, Kabudula C, Wagner RG, et al. Sexual behaviors and HIV status: a population-based study among older adults in rural South Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;74(1):e9–17. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/QAI.00000000001173.
- UNAIDS. THE GAP REPORT 2014. https://www.unaids.org/ sites/default/files/media\_asset/12\_Peopleaged50yearsandolder. pdf. Accessed 17 Apr 2022.
- Odor K. Elderly condom use and perception: a barrier to family planning and mitigation of HIV/AIDS in high risk urban slums in Nigeria. BMC Proc. 2011;5(S6):P290. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1753-6561-5-s6-p290.
- Pilowsky D, Wu LT. Sexual risk behaviors and HIV risk among Americans aged 50 years or older: a review. Subst Abuse Rehabil. 2015;6:51–60. https://doi.org/10.2147/sar.s78808.
- Stentagg M, Skär L, Berglund JS, Lindberg T. Cross-sectional study of sexual activity and satisfaction among older adult's ≥ 60 years of age. Sex Med. 2021;9(2): 100316. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.esxm.2020.100316.
- Lee DM, Nazroo J, O'Connor DB, Blake M, Pendleton N. Sexual health and well-being among older men and women in England: findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Arch Sex Behav. 2016;45(1):133–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10508-014-0465-1.
- Simbayi L, Zuma K, Zungu N, Moyo S, Marinda E, Jooste S, Mabaso M, et al. South African national HIV prevalence, incidence, behaviour and communication survey, 2017 : towards achieving the UNAIDS 90–90–90 targets. HSRC Press. 2019. https://hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/10779/SABSSM%20V. pdf. Accessed 5 May 2022.

- Chan BT, Tsai AC, Siedner MJ. HIV treatment scale-up and HIVrelated stigma in sub-Saharan Africa: a longitudinal cross-country analysis. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(8):1581–7. https://doi. org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302716.
- UNAIDS. UNAIDS Data. 2019. https://www.unaids.org/sites/ default/files/media\_asset/2019-UNAIDS-data\_en.pdf. Accessed 21 Aug 2022.
- Youssef E, Cooper V, Delpech V, Davies K, Wright J. Barriers and facilitators to HIV testing in people age 50 and above: a systematic review. Clin Med. 2017;17(6):508–20. https://doi. org/10.7861/clinmedicine.
- Youssef E, Wright J, Delpech V, Davies K, Brown A, Cooper V, et al. Factors associated with testing for HIV in people aged ≥ 50 years: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1204. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6118-x.
- UNAIDS. Evidence for eliminating HIV-related stigma and discrimination—guidance for countries to implement effective programmes to eliminate HIV-related stigma and discrimination in six settings. 2020. https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/ files/media\_asset/eliminating-discrimination-guidance\_en.pdf. Accessed 5 July 2023.
- Earnshaw VA, Chaudoir SR. From conceptualizing to measuring HIV stigma: a review of HIV stigma mechanism measures. AIDS Behav. 2009;13(6):1160–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-009-9593-3.
- Stangl AL, Brady L, Fritz K. Measuring HIV stigma and discrimination: Technical Brief. Washington, DC: International Center for Research on Women; 2012. https://www.icrw.org/ wp-content/uploads/2017/07/STRIVE\_stigma-brief-A4.pdf. Accessed 19 July 2023.
- Camacho G, Kalichman S, Katner H. Anticipated HIV-related stigma and HIV treatment adherence: the indirect effect of medication concerns. AIDS Behav. 2020;24(1):185–91. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10461-019-02644-z.
- Hutchinson P, Dhairyawan R. Shame, stigma, HIV: philosophical reflections. Med Humanit. 2017;43(4):225–30. https://doi. org/10.1136/medhum-2016-011179.
- Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Cloete A, Mthembu PP, Mkhonta RN, Ginindza T. Measuring AIDS stigmas in people living with HIV/AIDS: the internalized AIDS-related stigma scale. AIDS Care. 2009;21(1):87–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/0954012080 2032627.
- 27. Saki M, Mohammad Khan Kermanshahi S, Mohammadi E, Mohraz M. Perception of patients with HIV/AIDS from stigma and discrimination. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015;17(6):e23638. https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.23638v2.
- Golub SA, Gamarel KE. The impact of anticipated HIV stigma on delays in HIV testing behaviors: findings from a communitybased sample of men who have sex with men and transgender women in New York City. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2013;27(11):621–7. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2013.0245.
- Hamilton A, Shin S, Taggart T, Whembolua GL, Martin I, Budhwani H, Conserve D. HIV testing barriers and intervention strategies among men, transgender women, female sex workers and incarcerated persons in the Caribbean: a systematic review. Sex Transm Infect. 2020;96(3):189–96. https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2018-053932.
- Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Makhunga S, Hlongwana K. Barriers to HIV testing uptake among men in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Afr J AIDS Res. 2020;19(1):13–23. https://doi.org/10.2989/16085906.2020.1725071.
- Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC. HIV testing attitudes, AIDS stigma, and voluntary HIV counselling and testing in a black township in Cape Town, South Africa. Sex Transm Infect. 2003;79(6):442–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.79.6.442.

- 32. Cahill S, Valadéz R. Growing older with HIV/AIDS: New public health challenges. Am J Public Health. 2013;103(3):e7–15. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301161.
- Kahn K, Collinson MA, Gómez-Olivé FX, Mokoena O, Twine R, Mee P, et al. Profile: Agincourt health and socio-demographic surveillance system. Int J Epidemiol. 2012;41(4):988– 1001. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys115.
- Bor J, Herbst AJ, Newell ML, Bärnighausen T. Increases in adult life expectancy in rural South Africa: valuing the scale-up of HIV treatment. Science. 2013;339(6122):961–5. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1230413.
- 35. Kabudula CW, Houle B, Collinson MA, Kahn K, Gómez-Olivé FX, Clark SJ, Tollman S. Progression of the epidemiological transition in a rural South African setting: findings from population surveillance in Agincourt, 1993–2013. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):424. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4312-x.
- Gómez-Olivé F, Montana L, Wagner R, Kabudula C, Rohr JK, Kahn K, et al. Cohort profile: health and ageing in Africa: a longitudinal study of an indepth community in South Africa (HAALSI). Int J Epidemiol. 2018;47(3):689–90. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/ije/dyx247.
- UNAIDS. National AIDS Programmes: A Guide to Monitoring and Evaluation. 2000. https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/ media\_asset/jc427-mon\_ev-full\_en\_0.pdf. Accessed 2 Feb 2022.
- Link BG, Cullen FT, Frank J, Wozniak JF. The social rejection of former mental patients: understanding why labels matter. Am J Sociol. 1987;92(6):1461–500. https://doi.org/10.1086/228672.
- Chan BT, Tsai AC. HIV stigma trends in the general population during antiretroviral treatment expansion: Analysis of 31 countries in sub-Saharan Africa, 2003–2013. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;72(5):558–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000 00000001011.
- Wolfe WR, Weiser SD, Leiter K, Steward WT, Percy-de Korte F, Phaladze N, et al. The impact of universal access to antiretroviral therapy on HIV stigma in Botswana. Am J Public Health. 2008;98(10):1865–71. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2007. 122044.
- Link BG. Understanding labeling effects in the area of mental disorders: an assessment of the effects of expectations of rejection. Am Sociol Rev. 1987;52(1):96–112. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2095395.
- 42. Manne-Goehler J, Rohr J, Montana L, Siedner M, Harling G, Gómez-Olivé FX, et al. ART denial: results of a home-based study to validate self-reported antiretroviral use in rural South Africa. AIDS Behav. 2019;23(8):2072–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10461-018-2351-7.
- 43. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station: International Center for Research on Women; 2021.
- 44. Ahmed S, Autrey J, Katz IT, Fox MP, Rosen S, Onoya D, et al. Why do people living with HIV not initiate treatment? A systematic review of qualitative evidence from low- and middle-income countries. Soc Sci Med. 2018;213:72–84. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.socscimed.2018.05.048.
- 45. Katz IT, Ryu AE, Onuegbu AG, Psaros C, Weiser SD, Bangsberg DR, et al. Impact of HIV-related stigma on treatment adherence: systematic review and meta-synthesis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2013;16(3 Suppl 2):18640. https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.16.3.18640.
- Musheke M, Ntalasha H, Gari S, McKenzie O, Bond V, Martin-Hilber A, et al. A systematic review of qualitative findings on factors enabling and deterring uptake of HIV testing in Sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:220. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-13-220.
- 47. Bessong PO, Matume ND, Tebit DM. Potential challenges to sustained viral load suppression in the HIV treatment programme in South Africa: a narrative overview. AIDS Res Ther. 2021;18(1):1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-020-00324-w.

- Khan R, Yassi A, Engelbrecht MC, Nophale L, van Rensburg AJ, Spiegel J. Barriers to HIV counselling and testing uptake by health workers in three public hospitals in Free State Province, South Africa. AIDS Care. 2015;27(2):198–205. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/09540121.2014.951308.
- Mambanga P, Sirwali RN, Tshitangano T. Factors contributing to men's reluctance to seek HIV counselling and testing at primary health care facilities in Vhembe District of South Africa. Afr J Primary Health Care Fam Med. 2016;8(2):e1-7. https:// doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v8i2.996.
- Bonjour MA, Montagne M, Zambrano M, Molina G, Lippuner C, Wadskier FG, et al. Determinants of late disease-stage presentation at diagnosis of HIV infection in Venezuela: a case-case comparison. AIDS Res Ther. 2008;5:6. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1742-6405-5-6.
- Wolfe WR, Weiser SD, Bangsberg DR, Thior I, Makhema JM, Dickinson DB, et al. Effects of HIV-related stigma among an early sample of patients receiving antiretroviral therapy in Botswana. AIDS Care. 2006;18(8):931–3. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09540120500333558.
- Chen NE, Gallant JE, Page KR. A systematic review of HIV/ AIDS survival and delayed diagnosis among Hispanics in the United States. J Immigr Minor Health. 2012;14(1):65–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-011-9497-y.
- Evangeli M, Pady K, Wroe AL. Which psychological factors are related to HIV testing? A quantitative systematic review of global studies. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(4):880–918. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10461-015-1246-0.
- Deblonde J, De Koker P, Hamers FF, Fontaine J, Luchters S, Temmerman M. Barriers to HIV testing in Europe: a systematic review. Eur J Public Health. 2010;20(4):422–32. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/eurpub/ckp231.
- 55. Wei C, Cheung DH, Yan H, Li J, Shi LE, Raymond HF. The impact of homophobia and HIV stigma on HIV testing uptake among Chinese men who have sex with men: a mediation analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr (1988). 2016;71(1):87–93. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000000815.
- Bharat S. A systematic review of HIV/AIDS-related stigma and discrimination in India: current understanding and future needs. SAHARA J. 2011;8(3):138–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/17290 376.2011.9724996.
- 57. Schatz E, Houle B, Mojola SA, Angotti N, Williams J. How to "live a good life": aging and HIV testing in rural South Africa. J Aging Health. 2019;31(4):709–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0898264317751945.
- Boushab BM, Fall-Malick F-Z, Ould Cheikh Melaïnine ML, Basco LK. Forms of stigma and discrimination in the daily lives of HIV-positive individuals in Mauritania. Open AIDS J. 2017;11:12–7. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874613601711010012.
- Berger BE, Ferrans CE, Lashley FR. Measuring stigma in people with HIV: psychometric assessment of the HIV stigma scale. Res Nurs Health. 2001;24(6):518–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/ nur.10011.
- Logie C, Gadalla TM. Meta-analysis of health and demographic correlates of stigma towards people living with HIV. AIDS Care. 2009;21(6):742–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/0954012080 2511877.
- Kalichman SC, Simbayi L. Traditional beliefs about the cause of AIDS and AIDS-related stigma in South Africa. AIDS Care. 2004;16(5):572–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/095401204100017 16360.
- Kopelman LM. If HIV/AIDS is punishment, who is bad? J Med Philos. 2002;27(2):231–43. https://doi.org/10.1076/jmep.27.2. 231.2987.
- 63. Rueda S, Mitra S, Chen S, Gogolishvili D, Globerman J, Chambers L, et al. Examining the associations between HIV-related

stigma and health outcomes in people living with HIV/AIDS: a series of meta-analyses. BMJ Open. 2016;6(7): e011453. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011453.

- Tsai AC, Bangsberg DR, Kegeles SM, Katz IT, Haberer JE, Muzoora C, et al. Internalized stigma, social distance, and disclosure of HIV seropositivity in rural Uganda. Ann Behav Med. 2013;46(3):285–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-013-9514-6.
- Adeniyi OV, Ajayi AI, ter Goon D, Owolabi EO, Eboh A, Lambert J. Factors affecting adherence to antiretroviral therapy among pregnant women in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):175. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-018-3087-8.
- 66. Tanzania Commission for AIDS (TACAIDS), Zanzibar AIDS Commission (ZAC), National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Office of the Chief Government Statistician (OCGS), and ICF International. Tanzania HIV/AIDS and Malaria Indicator Survey 2011– 12: key findings. Dar es Salaam: TACAIDS, ZAC, NBS, OCGS, and ICF International; 2013. https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/ pdf/SR196/SR196.pdf. Accessed Mar 2022.
- Sweeney SM, Vanable PA. The association of HIV-related stigma to HIV medication adherence: a systematic review and synthesis of the literature. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(1):29–50. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10461-015-1164-1.
- Earnshaw VA, Smith LR, Chaudoir SR, Amico KR, Copenhaver MM. HIV stigma mechanisms and well-being among PLWH: a test of the HIV stigma framework. AIDS Behav. 2013;17(5):1785– 95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0437-9.
- Watt MH, Maman S, Golin CE, Earp JA, Eng E, Bangdiwala SI, et al. Factors associated with self-reported adherence to antiretroviral therapy in a Tanzanian setting. AIDS Care. 2010;22(3):381– 9. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540120903193708.
- Nachega JB, Stein DM, Lehman DA, Hlatshwayo D, Mothopeng R, Chaisson RE, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIVinfected adults in Soweto, South Africa. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2004;20(10):1053–6. https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2004.20. 1053.
- Okoror TA, Falade CO, Olorunlana A, Walker EM, Okareh OT. Exploring the cultural context of HIV stigma on antiretroviral therapy adherence among people living with HIV/AIDS in southwest Nigeria. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2013;27(1):55–64. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2012.0150.
- Ford CL, Godette DC, Mulatu MS, Gaines TL. Recent HIV testing prevalence, determinants, and disparities among US older adult respondents to the behavioral risk factor surveillance system. Sex Transm Dis. 2015;42(8):405–10. https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ. 000000000000305.
- Lekas HM, Schrimshaw EW, Siegel K. Pathways to HIV testing among adults aged fifty and older with HIV/AIDS. AIDS Care. 2005;17(6):674–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/095401204123313 36670.
- 74. Ayiga N, Nambooze H, Nalugo S, Kaye D, Katamba A. The impact of HIV/AIDS stigma on HIV counseling and testing in a high HIV prevalence population in Uganda. Afr Health Sci. 2013;13(2):278–86. https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v13i2.12.
- Deynu M, Agyemang K, Anokye N. Factors associated with HIV testing among reproductive women aged 15–49 years in the Gambia: analysis of the 2019–2020 Gambian Demographic and Health Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(8):4860. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19084860.
- Desta WG, Sinishaw MA, Bizuneh KD. Factors affecting utilization of voluntary HIV counseling and testing services among teachers in Awi Zone, Northwest Ethiopia. AIDS Res Treat. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9034282.
- Erena AN, Shen G, Lei P. Factors affecting HIV counselling and testing among Ethiopian women aged 15–49. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):1076. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4701-0.

- Jude O, Nelson O, Katagwa I. Socio-economic and demographic factors associated with never having tested for HIV among sexually active men across the four administrative regions of Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):2301. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-021-12384-2.
- Mahande MJ, Phimemon RN, Ramadhani HO. Factors associated with changes in uptake of HIV testing among young women (aged 15–24) in Tanzania from 2003 to 2012. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016;5(1):92. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-016-0180-3.
- Qiao S, Zhang Y, Li X, Menon JA. Facilitators and barriers for HIV-testing in Zambia: a systematic review of multi-level factors. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(2): e0192327. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0192327.
- Kubai PK, Kubai P, Kei R, Muchiri E, Mutema A, Karani C. Factors influencing anti-retroviral therapy uptake among HIV positive and exposed children aged below 14 years in Meru North District, Kenya. East Afr Med J. 2018;95:1125–33.
- Bayani A, Ghiasvand H, Rezaei O, Fattah Moghaddam L, Noroozi A, Ahounbar E, et al. Factors associated with HIV testing among people who inject drugs: a meta-analysis. J Addict Dis. 2020;38(3):361–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2020. 1771235.
- Worku MG, Tesema GA, Teshale AB. Prevalence and associated factors of HIV testing among reproductive-age women in eastern Africa: multilevel analysis of demographic and health surveys. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1262. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-021-11292-9.
- Hensen B, Lewis JJ, Schaap A, Tembo M, Mutale W, Weiss HA, et al. Factors associated with HIV-testing and acceptance of an offer of home-based testing by men in rural Zambia. AIDS Behav. 2015;19(3):492–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0866-0.
- Agegnehu CD, Geremew BM, Sisay MM, Muchie KF, Engida ZT, Gudayu TW, et al. Determinants of comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS among reproductive age (15–49 years) women in Ethiopia: further analysis of 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. AIDS Res Ther. 2020;17(1):51. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12981-020-00305-z.
- 86. Bhattarai N, Bam K, Acharya K, Thapa R, Shrestha B. Factors associated with HIV testing and counselling services among women and men in Nepal: a cross-sectional study using data from a nationally representative survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11(12): e049415. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049415.
- Somefun OD, Wandera SO, Odimegwu C. Media exposure and HIV testing among youth in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). SAGE Open. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019851551.
- Exavery A, Charles J, Kuhlik E, Barankena A, Ally A, Mbwambo T, et al. Correlates of uptake of antiretroviral therapy in HIV-positive orphans and vulnerable children aged 0–14 years in Tanzania. HIV AIDS (Auckl). 2020;12:233–41. https://doi.org/10.2147/HIV. S259074.
- Ncitakalo N, Mabaso M, Joska J, Simbayi L. Factors associated with external HIV-related stigma and psychological distress among people living with HIV in South Africa. SSM Popul Health. 2021;14: 100809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021. 100809.
- Visser MJ, Makin JD, Vandormael A, Sikkema KJ, Forsyth BWC. HIV/AIDS stigma in a South African community. AIDS Care. 2009;21(2):197–206. https://doi.org/10.1080/095401208019321 57.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.