ORIGINAL PAPER



Antiretroviral Treatment Gaps and Adherence Among People with HIV in the U.S. Medicare Program

Pengxiang Li¹ · Girish Prajapati² · Zhi Geng¹ · Vrushabh P. Ladage¹ · Jean Marie Arduino² · Dovie L. Watson¹ · Robert Gross¹ · Jalpa A. Doshi¹

Accepted: 5 October 2023 / Published online: 27 October 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Approximately one-quarter of people with HIV (PWH) in the U.S. receive coverage through the Medicare program; however, no prior real-world study has examined antiretroviral therapy (ART) gaps and adherence and associated factors in this population. This retrospective cohort analysis used 2013-2018 national Medicare fee-for-service claims data to identify all PWH initiated on a new ART regimen including protease inhibitors [PI], non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors [NNRTIs], or integrase strand transfer inhibitors [INSTIs] between 1/1/2014 and 12/31/2017. Study outcomes included ART adherence (based on proportion of days covered [PDC]), continuous treatment gaps ranging from 1 to 6 days to ≥ 180 days, and discontinuation (continuous gap ≥ 90 days) in the 12-month follow-up period. Multivariable regressions were used to assess factors associated with ART adherence and discontinuation. The final sample included 48,627 PWH (mean age: 54.5 years, 74.4% male, 47.5% White, 89.8% disabled). Approximately 53.0% of PWH had a $PDC \ge 0.95$, 30.2% had a PDC between 0.70 and <0.95, and 16.8% had PDC < 0.70. Treatment gaps of at least ≥ 7 -days (55.2%) and \geq 30-days (26.2%) were common and 10.1% PWH discontinued treatment. Younger age, female sex, Black race, higher comorbidity score, mental health conditions, and substance use disorder were associated with higher odds of lower adherence and discontinuation (all p-values < 0.05). In conclusion, suboptimal adherence and treatment gaps in ART use were commonly observed among PWH in Medicare. Interventions and policies to mitigate barriers to adherence are urgently needed in this population to both improve their survival and increase the potential for ending the HIV epidemic in the US.

Keywords Medicare · HIV · Adherence · Treatment gaps · Discontinuation · Antiretrovirals · Claims

Introduction

There are approximately 1.1 million people with HIV (PWH) in the United States (U.S.), with over 30,000 newlydiagnosed cases in 2020 [1]. The U.S. Medicare program federally-funded health insurance for elderly (≥ 65 years) adults and younger patients with certain disabilities—has become a major source of funding for HIV care. Since the 1990s, the number of Medicare beneficiaries with HIV has tripled [2]. Most Medicare beneficiaries with HIV are eligible for the Medicare program due to disability; however, the

Jalpa A. Doshi jdoshi@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

¹ University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

² Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA

number of elderly PWH has steadily increased [2]. Approximately one-quarter of PWH receive health insurance coverage through Medicare, and the program is now the largest source of federal financing for HIV care and treatment [2]. Furthermore, nearly 300,000 PWH will reach age 65 by 2030 and become eligible for the Medicare program [1].

Like all PWH, Medicare beneficiaries have benefitted from the advent of antiretroviral therapy (ART), which revolutionized HIV treatment and significantly lowered mortality and morbidity rates [3, 4]. PWH are expected to continue ART treatment indefinitely. Thus, ART adherence is critical for PWH, given its importance in achieving durable virologic suppression and minimizing drug resistance [4, 5]. However, ART regimens differ in dosing frequency, complexity, and tolerability, which cumulatively influence optimal adherence and treatment outcomes [6–10]. A recent systematic literature review of HIV medication adherence studies did not identify a single study analyzing empirical claims-based data of ART adherence or treatment gaps among Medicare beneficiaries with HIV [11]. This is a critical gap in the literature given the unique circumstances that may impact medication adherence among Medicare beneficiaries including polypharmacy and high comorbidity burden. The objective of this study was to examine ART treatment patterns (adherence, gaps in treatment, and discontinuation) and assess associated factors among PWH in the Medicare program.

Methods

Study Design and Data Source

This was a retrospective analysis using 2013–2018 Chronic Conditions Warehouse (CCW) 100% Medicare Part A, B, and D claims available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) in the U.S. Our data extract included the CCW 100% Medicare files for all fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries with an HIV diagnosis between 2013 and 2018. The Medicare Part A and B files include claims for inpatient care, skilled nursing facility care, home health services, outpatient services, durable medical equipment, and hospice services covered by the fee-for-service Medicare program. The Part D files contain all outpatient prescription drug events covered by Part D and include information on the date of fill, the National Drug Code that identifies generic/brand name and prescription strength, the number of days' supply, the quantity of pills dispensed, and costs associated with each prescription. The Medicare claims files are linked to Medicare personal summary files, which contain information on enrollees' demographics, eligibility, and date of death, if applicable.

Study Sample

Figure 1 presents the sample selection schematic. We identified all PWH who initiated a new ART regimen between 01/01/2014 and 12/31/2017 including either treatmentnaïve PWH who initiated ART or treatment-experienced PWH who switched to a new ART regimen. We categorized ART regimens by class of new "anchor medication" initiated: protease inhibitors (PIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), or integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs). Anchor medications were identified from fixed-dose combination single-tablet regimens (STR) or multi-tablet regimens (MTR, Electronic Supplement Table 1). The fill date of the first prescription of the new anchor medication was deemed the index date. PWH were required to meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) evidence of ≥ 1 prescription claim for a new anchor medication between 01/01/2014 to 12/31/2017, (2) continuous eligibility for fee-for-service Medicare Parts A, B, and D covered in the 12 months pre- and post-index period, and $(3) \ge 1$ inpatient or outpatient claim with an HIV diagnosis in the 12-month pre-index period (ICD-9-CM codes 042, V08, 079.53 or ICD-10-CM codes B20, B97.35, O98.711, O98.712, O98.713, O98.719, O98.72, O98.73, Z21) [12–14]. PWH were excluded if they: (1) died during the 12-month post-index period, (2) were missing values on key covariates (i.e., age, sex, identification code for county of residence), and/or (3) were started on multiple anchor medications on the index date.

Outcomes

HIV treatment guidelines in the U.S. recommend a combination of three antiretroviral medications with two drugs from the NRTI class and a third "anchor" medication from the PI, NNRTI, or INSTI class. Given the highly correlated nature of adherence to the anchor medication with the NRTI medications in a regimen, we focused the adherence outcome measure on the third "anchor" medications. This approach was adapted from Hines et al. (2019) [15]. Adherence to



Fig. 1 Sample selection schematic

	Ν	%
N	48,627	100.0
Age group, years		
≤34	2,122	4.4
35–44	5,729	11.8
45–54	16,930	34.8
55–64	15,182	31.2
65–74	7,076	14.6
75–84	1,497	3.1
≥85	91	0.2
Sex		
Male	36,164	74.4
Female	12,463	25.6
Race/ethnicity*	,	
White	23,079	47.5
Black	21.052	43.3
Hispanic	3.044	6.3
Other	1.452	3.0
Low-income subsidy (LIS) status	1,102	510
Full LIS	39,944	82.1
Non-LIS	7.685	15.8
Partial LIS	998	2.1
Original reason for Medicare eligibility	,,,,	2.1
Age	4 4 5 6	92
Disability**	43 640	89.8
ESRD**	1 677	3 5
Census region (%)	1,077	5.5
Midwest	6 3 2 6	13.0
Northeast	10 765	22.1
South	22 0/0	17 2
West	22,949	47.2
Metropolitan status***	0,507	17.0
Purel	1 108	0.2
Irban	44 120	9.5 00 7
Medicara Bart Dinlan tuna	44,129	90.7
Enhanced alternative Dert D nlan	0 151	16.9
Others	0,134 40,427	10.0
Utilers Veer of the index date	40,427	83.2
	10 720	20 5
2014	18,730	38.3 22.0
2015	11,015	23.9
2016	11,332	23.3
	6,950	14.3
Drug class & STR status of Index anchor ARI	10.002	27.0
INSTITUTE INTER	18,003	37.0
INSTI and MIR	14,748	30.3
NNR11 and S1R	4,927	10.1
NNRTI and MTR	2,915	6.0
	8,034	16.5
CMS-HCC risk score, mean (SD)	48,627	1.04 (0.92)
Comorbidities****		(=)
Cardiovascular conditions and risk factors	34,280	70.5
Renal disease	10,795	22.2
Liver diseases	8,782	18.1
Cancer (non-AIDS and AIDS-defining cancer)	4,032	8.3
Mental health conditions	18,052	37.1

 Table 1
 Demographic and clinical characteristics of people with HIV in the U.S. Medicare program who initiated a new index anchor agent

Table 1 (continued)

	N	%
Substance use disorder	14,827	30.5
Lung diseases	10,146	20.9
Autoimmune conditions	11,210	23.1
Endocrine disorders	1,957	4.0
Gastrointestinal disorders	12,920	26.6
Number of 30-day supply prescriptions filled per month in the pre-index period, mean (SD)	48,627	6.10
		(4.37)

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; CMS-HCC risk score: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hierarchical Condition Categories risk score; ESRD, end-stage-renal disease; INSTI, Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor; MTR: multi-tablet regimen; NNRTI, Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; PI, Protease Inhibitor; SD: standard deviation; STR: single-tablet regimen

*Medicare administrative data does not have separate fields for race and ethnicity. We are unable to differentiate ethnicity within race (e.g., Hispanic Black vs. non-Hispanic Black). Beneficiaries who were reported as a race or ethnicity other than White, Black, or Hispanic were consolidated into the "Other" category and include Asian, North American Native, and Other

Includes 1146 people with both disability and ESRD; *Beneficiary level rurality categories defined using Rural-Urban Commuting Area codes and categorized as urban (RUCA 1.0 - 3.0) and rural (RUCA 4.0 - 10.3).

****Categories for the comorbidities included the following:

<u>Cardiovascular conditions and risk factors</u> include acute myocardial infarction; cardiac arrhythmias, ischemic heart disease, stroke/transient ischemic attack, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, obesity, overweight, and diabetes mellitus

Liver diseases include cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis B, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and chronic hepatitis C

Mental health conditions include depression, anxiety disorders, bipolar or manic depression, trauma-stressor related disorders, and suicidal ideation

Substance use include drug use disorders, alcohol use disorders, opioid use disorder, and tobacco use disorder

Lung diseases include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma

<u>Autoimmune conditions</u> include rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel diseases, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and hypothyroidism

Gastrointestinal disorders include diarrhea, peptic ulcer disease, nausea or vomiting, and esophageal reflux

anchor medications was measured using prescription fill data, including the date of fill and days' supply reported on each prescription claim. Adherence to anchor medications in the 12-month post-index period was examined using proportion of days covered (PDC) defined as the number of days covered by an anchor medication divided by the number of days in the period (i.e., 365 days from the index date) [16]. For example, if 292 days were covered by an anchor medication during the 12-month post-index period, then the beneficiary had a PDC of 0.80 (292 ÷ 365). To account for the possibility that an individual switched anchor medications during the 12-month post-index period (and would thus inappropriately be classified as "poorly adherent"), we measured adherence to any anchor medication rather than adherence to the index anchor medication. Davs spent in the hospital, skilled nursing facility, or hospice were excluded from both the denominator and numerator because the individual might have received medications dispensed from the facility's pharmacy rather than the beneficiary's own supply. If supplies for the anchor medication overlapped with days spent in a facility, we adjusted the prescription start date to be the day after the stay ended to account for possible stockpiling [17]. If the same anchor ART prescription was filled before the end of day supply of the last fill, the medication supply was carried over to adjust for each day of overlap.

For example, if an individual filled drug A with 30-day supply on day 1 and obtained a second fill for the same drug A on the 28th day, we adjusted the fill date for the second prescription from the 28th day to the 31st day. Optimal adherence to any anchor medication was defined as a PDC ≥ 0.95 based on existing clinical HIV treatment guidelines and the criteria most widely used in the HIV literature [9, 13, 18, 19]. Additionally, we measured adherence using different thresholds (PDC ≥ 0.70 , PDC ≥ 0.80 , and PDC ≥ 0.90) to account for previous studies that found lower PDC levels might be sufficient to achieve viral suppression [11, 20, 21]. Adherence patterns among beneficiaries were grouped into three PDC categories for our main analysis: (1) PDC ≥ 0.95 , (2) $0.95 > PDC \geq 0.70$, (3) PDC < 0.70.

Treatment gap in the use of anchor medications was a dichotomous variable, defined as the presence of a fixed period with no supply of an anchor medication after the days' supply of the most recent anchor prescription was exhausted. To account for possible anchor medication switches during the follow-up period, we coded continuous gaps in the use of any anchor medications (defined as the number of days without any anchor medication supply) and discontinuation of all anchor medications (defined as a continuous 90-day gap without any anchor medication supply). To examine treatment gaps, we spread the reported days' supply from each prescription for an anchor medication from the fill date to the date the supply would have been exhausted in the follow up period. We then calculated whether each day in the post-index period was covered (or not covered) by an anchor medication's days' supply to identify gaps in any anchor medication use. Any day the beneficiary spent in the hospital, skilled nursing facility, or hospice was not counted as a gap day in the gap measurement for similar reasons as stated earlier for the adherence measure. We categorized the duration of each continuous treatment gap into one of eight categories: <7, 7-13, 14-29, $30-89, 90-119, 120-149, 150-179, \text{ or } \ge 180 \text{ days}$. We measured the percentage of beneficiaries with at least one gap and the total number of gaps per person in the 12-month post-index period. ART discontinuation was a dichotomous variable, defined as the presence of a continuous treatment gap of \geq 90 days [14, 15, 22]. Time to discontinuation was a continuous variable, defined as the number of days from the index date to the date of discontinuation (i.e., beginning of \geq 90-day continuous treatment gap).

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated to describe the demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample. Multivariable regression models were used to examine factors associated with anchor medication adherence and discontinuation. Multinomial logistic regression was used to examine the categorical PDC adherence outcome (PDC \geq 0.95, $0.95 > PDC \ge 0.70$, and PDC < 0.70). Logistic regression was used for the binary outcome of anchor medication discontinuation (continuous gap of ≥ 90 days or not). Multivariable Cox regression was used to examine factors associated with time to discontinuation. All multivariable regressions included sociodemographic and clinical covariates selected based on prior literature and clinical expert inputs. The covariates included age group, sex, race/ethnicity, receipt of Part D low income subsidy (LIS), original reason for Medicare eligibility, U.S. census region, metropolitan status, Part D plan type, year of the index date, index anchor medication class by STR status, CMS Hierarchical Condition Category (CMS-HCC) risk score [23], presence of selected comorbidities, and number of prescriptions filled per month in the pre-index period.

All analyses were performed using SAS Enterprise Guide Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). This study was deemed exempt from review by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pennsylvania.

Results

The final study sample included 48,627 PWH who initiated a new anchor medication between 01/01/2014 and 12/31/2017 (Electronic Supplement Table 2). Mean age was 54.5 years (SD: 10.9); 82.2% were younger than 65 years old; and 74.4% were male (Table 1). Based on race/ ethnicity categories available in the data, 47.5% were White, 43.3% were Black, 6.3% were Hispanic, and 3% were another race/ethnicity. Most beneficiaries were eligible for Medicare due to disability (89.8%) and qualified for full Part D low-income subsidies (82.1%). Nearly half (47.2%) resided in the South census region, and 90.7% resided in an urban area. The index anchor medication for the majority of PWH enrolled in Medicare was an INSTI (67.3% overall; 37.0% STR and 30.3% MTR), followed by a PI (16.5% overall), and an NNRTI (16.1% overall; 10.1% STR and 6.0% MTR). Most beneficiaries (70.5%) had cardiovascular conditions and risk factors, 37.1% had a mental health condition, and 30.5% had a substance use disorder. PWH enrolled in Medicare experienced a high medication burden (including non-ART medications) with a mean of 6.1 prescriptions (SD 4.4) filled per month.

Table 2 presents the adherence and treatment gaps observed in our sample, overall and by anchor medication class. The mean PDC was 0.86 for any anchor medication (Table 2). More than half of enrollees (53.0%) had a PDC>0.95, 30.2% had a PDC between 0.70 and <0.95 and 16.8% had PDC < 0.70. PWH initiating an index anchor medication from the INSTI class had the highest PDC (0.87), followed by those initiating NNRTI-based regimens (0.85) and PI-based regimens (0.81). At least one continuous treatment gap of 7 days or more was common (55.2%) and over one-quarter (26.2%) of the sample had a continuous gap of 30 days or more. One in ten PWH enrolled in Medicare (10.1%) had evidence of ART discontinuation. The median time to discontinuation was 106 days (IQR 30-183). Discontinuation rates were lowest among PWH who initiated INSTI-based regimens (8.6%) and highest among those who initiated PI-based regimens (15.3%).

Several factors were associated with lower adherence and discontinuation in the multivariate analyses. The multinomial logit regressions showed that younger age, female sex, Black race, Hispanic ethnicity, full low-income subsidy status, higher comorbidity score, index anchor medication from the PI class, and comorbidities such as a mental health condition or substance use disorder were associated with statistically significant higher odds of being in the lower adherence groups relative to the PDC ≥ 0.95 group (Table 3). The factors associated with any anchor ART discontinuation identified from the binomial logistic regressions were quite similar. For example, younger age (age \leq 34 years: OR 1.54, Table 2 Descriptive outcomes of adherence and treatment gap among people with HIV in the U.S. Medicare program, overall and by class of index anchor agent

	Overall	Overall		INSTI		NNRTI		
	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
N	48,627	100.0	32,751	100.0	7842	100.0	8034	100.0
Adherence Measures								
PDC, mean (SD)	48,627	0.86	32,751	0.87	7842	0.85	8034	0.81
		(0.21)		(0.20)		(0.22)		(0.25)
Adherence cut-offs								
$PDC \ge 0.95$	25,760	53.0	18,011	55.0	4124	52.6	3625	45.1
$PDC \ge 0.90$	31,806	65.4	22,111	67.5	5109	65.1	4586	57.1
$PDC \ge 0.80$	37,380	76.9	25,842	78.9	6015	76.7	5523	68.7
$PDC \ge 0.70$	40,456	83.2	27,830	85.0	6,513	83.1	6,113	76.1
Adherence groups								
PDC < 0.70	8171	16.8	4921	15.0	1329	16.9	1921	23.9
$PDC \ge 0.70 \text{ to } < 0.95$	14,696	30.2	9819	30.0	2389	30.6	2488	31.0
$PDC \ge 0.95$	25,760	53.0	18,011	55.0	4124	52.6	3625	45.1
Treatment Gap Measures								
Longest continuous gap								
0 days (no gap)	9363	19.3	6695	20.4	1448	18.5	1220	15.2
1 to 6 days	12,408	25.5	8523	26.0	2045	26.1	1840	22.9
7 to <14 days	6899	14.2	4764	14.5	1079	13.8	1056	13.1
14 to < 30 days	7208	14.8	4931	15.1	1149	14.7	1128	14.0
30 to < 90 days	7839	16.1	5016	15.3	1260	16.1	1563	19.5
90 to < 120 days	1427	2.9	858	2.6	230	2.9	339	4.2
120 to < 150 days	935	1.9	572	1.7	142	1.8	221	2.8
150 to <180 days	669	1.4	380	1.2	124	1.6	165	2.1
≥180 days	1879	3.9	1012	3.1	365	4.7	502	6.2
Discontinuation (gap \geq 90 days)	4910	10.1	2822	8.6	861	11.0	1227	15.3
Time to discontinuation from index date (in days)								
Mean [SD]	118.2	[77.9]	123.4	[78.1]	109.2	[76.9]	112.5	[77.0]
Median [IQR]	106.0	[30.0,	115.0	[39.0,	93.0	[30.0,	95.0	[30.0,
		183.0]		188.0]		167.0]		178.5]

Abbreviations: INSTI, Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor; IQR: interquartile range; NNRTI, Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; PDC: proportion of days covered; PI, Protease Inhibitor; SD: standard deviation

95% CI: 1.30–1.83; age 35–44: OR 1.54, 95% CI: 1.32–1.79 vs. age 65–74), female sex (OR 1.20, 95% CI: 1.12–1.29), Black race (OR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08–1.26 vs. White race), higher comorbidity score (OR 1.08, 95% CI: 1.03–1.13), and comorbidities such as a mental health condition (OR 1.16, 95% CI: 1.08–1.25) or substance use disorder (OR 1.32, 95% CI: 1.23–1.42) were associated with statistically significant higher odds of any anchor ART discontinuation (Table 4). PWH who initiated an INSTI-based regimen (STR or MTR) and those who initiated an NNRTI-based STR had lower odds of treatment discontinuation compared to those who initiated a PI-based regimen. Multivariable Cox regressions showed similar results in terms of factors associated with time to treatment discontinuation.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine ART treatment patterns and associated factors among PWH enrolled in the Medicare program. As such, it offers critical insights on ART adherence and potential subpopulations to prioritize in ongoing efforts to provide high quality HIV care in this understudied but growing segment of the U.S. population.

Our study found a mean PDC of 0.86 and optimal adherence (PDC \geq 0.95) among 53% of beneficiaries with HIV during the follow-up period. This is notably higher than previous studies among commercially-insured PWH, which have found optimal adherence levels of approximately 40% or less [24, 25]. Although our findings suggest better adherence among PWH in the Medicare program compared to the commercially-insured population, 1 in 6 Medicare beneficiaries (16.8%) had suboptimal adherence with a

Table 3Factors associated with different adherence levels on any anchor ART agent over 12-months of follow-up from initiation of a new anchorART agent* among people with HIV in the U.S. Medicare program

	$PDC \ge 0.70$ to < 0).95 vs. PDC≥	PDC < 0.7		$0 \text{ vs. PDC} \ge 0.95$				
	OR	95%	CI	Chi-Square	P value	OR	95%	CI	Chi-Square	P value
Age group										
≤34	1.18	1.03	1.35	5.83	0.016	1.86	1.58	2.19	56.66	< 0.001
35–44	1.28	1.16	1.41	23.33	< 0.001	1.91	1.67	2.18	89.10	< 0.001
45–54	1.18	1.08	1.27	15.17	< 0.001	1.4	1.24	1.58	29.68	< 0.001
55–64	1.02	0.94	1.11	0.28	0.597	1.11	0.98	1.25	2.61	0.106
65–74 (Reference)										
75–84	1.13	0.99	1.29	3.12	0.077	1.13	0.92	1.4	1.31	0.253
≥85	1.54	0.97	2.46	3.35	0.067	1.32	0.63	2.74	0.55	0.46
Sex										
Male (Reference)										
Female	1.06	1.01	1.11	4.66	0.031	1.23	1.15	1.31	39.17	< 0.001
Race/ethnicity**										
White (Reference)										
Black	1.32	1.25	1.38	120.47	< 0.001	1.49	1.4	1.59	143.28	< 0.001
Hispanic	1.15	1.05	1.25	8.71	0.003	1.14	1.01	1.29	4.51	0.034
Other	0.94	0.83	1.07	0.93	0.334	1.02	0.86	1.21	0.06	0.807
Low-income subsidy (LIS) status										
Full LIS	1.14	1.06	1.21	14.23	< 0.001	1.27	1.15	1.39	23.70	< 0.001
Partial LIS	1.07	0.92	1.25	0.80	0.371	0.95	0.75	1.19	0.24	0.627
Non-LIS (Reference)										
Original reason for Medicare eligibility										
Age (Reference)										
Disability	1.16	1.05	1.28	9.19	0.002	1.23	1.08	1.42	8.86	0.003
ESRD	1.37	1.20	1.56	21.62	< 0.001	1.93	1.65	2.27	64.75	< 0.001
Census region										
Northeast (Reference)										
South	1.05	0.99	1.11	2.56	0.110	1.14	1.06	1.23	12.35	< 0.001
Midwest	0.96	0.9	1.04	1.00	0.318	0.93	0.84	1.03	1.89	0.170
West	0.93	0.87	0.99	4.58	0.032	1.02	0.92	1.12	0.11	0.742
Metropolitan status	1 1 2	1.04	1.01	0.51	0.002	1.07	0.07	1 10	2.01	0.156
Rural	1.12	1.04	1.21	9.51	0.002	1.07	0.97	1.18	2.01	0.156
Urban (Reference)										
Enhanced alternative alea tama	1.00	0.04	1.06	0.02	0.002	0.00	0.01	0.06	7 75	0.005
Others (Reference)	1.00	0.94	1.00	0.02	0.902	0.00	0.01	0.90	1.13	0.005
Vear of the index date										
2014 (Reference)										
2015	0.98	0.93	1 04	0.43	0.510	0.80	0 74	0.86	37.20	< 0.001
2016	0.90	0.78	0.9	25 37	< 0.001	0.57	0.52	0.60	144 37	< 0.001
2017	0.79	0.73	0.86	34 27	< 0.001	0.54	0.32	0.62	128.65	< 0.001
Index anchor ART drug class	0.75	0.75	0.00	51.27	< 0.001	0.51	0.17	0.00	120.05	< 0.001
INSTL and STR	0.78	0.73	0.83	53 78	< 0.001	0.56	0.51	0.60	188 52	< 0.001
INSTI and MTR	0.70	0.83	0.05	12.48	< 0.001	0.77	0.71	0.00	39.05	< 0.001
NNRTI and STR	0.80	0.03	0.95	27.41	< 0.001	0.57	0.51	0.63	107.07	< 0.001
NNDTI and MTD	1.04	0.75	1 15	0.54	< 0.001 0.464	1.08	0.04	1.22	1 22	< 0.001 0.270
PI (Reference)	1.04	0.94	1.15	0.54	0.404	1.00	0.94	1.23	1.22	0.270
CMS-HCC risk score	1.06	1.03	1 09	12.83	< 0.001	1 11	1.07	1 16	25.84	< 0.001
Comorbidities***	1.00	1.05	1.09	12.05	< 0.001	1.11	1.07	1.10	22.07	< 0.001
Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular conditions	0.91	0.87	0.96	12 42	< 0.001	1.00	0 94	1.06	0.01	0.925
Renal disease: chronic kidney disease	1.06	1.00	1 12	3 45	0.063	1 23	1 13	1 33	25.01	~ 0.001
end-stage-renal disease	1.00	1.00	1.12	5.15	0.005	1.23	1.15	1.55	20.01	< 0.001
Liver diseases	1.09	1.03	1.15	8.91	0.003	1.04	0.96	1.12	0.91	0.341

Table 3 (continued)

	PDC	≥ 0.70	to < 0	0.95 vs. PDC≥	0.95	PDC < 0.70 vs. PDC ≥ 0.95						
	OR	95%	CI	Chi-Square	P value	OR	95%	CI	Chi-Square	P value		
Cancer: non-AIDS and AIDS-defining cancer	1.00	0.92	1.08	0.01	0.915	0.97	0.87	1.08	0.30	0.581		
Mental health conditions	1.22	1.16	1.28	66.40	< 0.001	1.31	1.22	1.39	65.78	< 0.001		
Substance use disorder	1.25	1.19	1.32	79.29	< 0.001	1.59	1.49	1.69	198.34	< 0.001		
Lung diseases	1.10	1.04	1.16	10.18	0.001	1.30	1.21	1.40	49.10	< 0.001		
Autoimmune conditions	1.09	1.04	1.15	11.00	0.001	1.29	1.20	1.39	45.97	< 0.001		
Endocrine disorders	0.99	0.89	1.10	0.05	0.82	0.78	0.66	0.93	7.50	0.006		
GI disorders	1.13	1.07	1.18	20.30	< 0.001	1.31	1.22	1.40	59.83	< 0.001		
Number of 30-day supply prescriptions filled per month in the pre-index period		0.88	0.90	1366.43	< 0.001	0.70	0.69	0.71	4184.18	< 0.001		

Abbreviations: AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; ART: antiretroviral therapy; CI: confidence interval; CMS-HCC risk score: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hierarchical Condition Categories risk score; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; GI: gastrointestinal disorders; INSTI: Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor; MTR: multi-tablet regimen; NNRTI: Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; OR: odds ratio; PDC: proportion of days covered; PI: Protease Inhibitor; STR: single-tablet regimen

*Multinomial logit on three categories of outcome (PDC < 0.70 and $0.70 \le$ PDC < 0.95 versus PDC ≥ 0.95)

**Medicare administrative data does not have separate fields for race and ethnicity. We are unable to differentiate ethnicity within race (e.g., Hispanic Black vs. non-Hispanic Black). Beneficiaries who were reported as a race or ethnicity other than White, Black, or Hispanic were consolidated into the "Other" category and include Asian, North American Native, and Other

***Categories for the comorbidities included the following:

<u>Cardiovascular conditions and risk factors</u> include acute myocardial infarction; cardiac arrhythmias, ischemic heart disease, stroke/transient ischemic attack, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, obesity, overweight, and diabetes mellitus

Liver diseases include cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis B, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and chronic hepatitis C

<u>Mental health conditions</u> include depression, anxiety disorders, bipolar or manic depression, trauma-stressor related disorders, and suicidal ideation

Substance use include drug use disorders, alcohol use disorders, opioid use disorder, and tobacco use disorder

Lung diseases include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma

Autoimmune conditions include rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel diseases, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and hypothyroidism

Gastrointestinal disorders include diarrhea, peptic ulcer disease, nausea or vomiting, and esophageal reflux

PDC < 0.70. Additionally, ART treatment gaps were common: 1 in 4 beneficiaries experienced treatment gaps of 30 days or more and 1 in 10 beneficiaries discontinued treatment over 12 months of follow-up. It should be noted that while our study assessed adherence and treatment gaps over a 12-month period after ART initiation, these medications must be taken indefinitely to achieve viral suppression, maintain healthy immune function, prevent antiretroviral resistance, and reduce HIV transmission. Hence, any evidence of suboptimal adherence or ART discontinuation are concerning. These concerns are compounded by the fact that Medicare beneficiaries with HIV have been shown to have higher mortality rates compared to beneficiaries without HIV [26]. Therefore, maintaining optimal ART adherence and reducing gaps in treatment among beneficiaries with HIV as they age and develop other chronic conditions is critical to improving health outcomes. Providers should work with patients to identify opportunities and resources to support adherence and avoid ART discontinuation.

Several factors were associated with suboptimal treatment adherence and discontinuation. Younger PWH were less likely to be adherent compared to PWH over the age of 65, underscoring the need for age-specific strategies to improve adherence among younger beneficiaries [27]. Consistent with prior literature in the US, female PWH in our study were more likely to be in the lower adherence groups and more likely to discontinue ART compared to males [14, 19, 28]. Additionally, our study joins the wide body of literature demonstrating persistent inequities in HIV care continuum outcomes among Black and Hispanic PWH [29]. We found that odds of optimal ART adherence were significantly higher among White PWH relative to Black and Hispanic PWH. These inequities are largely driven by systematic barriers that have been articulated elsewhere, including racism, unemployment, structural poverty, stigma, low literacy, homelessness, and homophobia [30, 31]. Interventions at system and structural levels are needed to address the needs of these populations and achieve equitable HIV care outcomes.

We found that PWH receiving a Part D low-income subsidy were less likely to be in the optimal adherence group. This finding may seem somewhat counterintuitive given high out-of-pocket costs are known to be a barrier to ART adherence and yet PWH receiving a low-income subsidy are

Table 4	Factors	associated	with a	anchor	ART	discontinuation	(90-day	gap)	and t	time to	anchor.	ART	discontinuation	over	12-months	of
follow-	up from i	initiation o	f new :	anchor	ART	agent among peo	ople with	HIV	' in th	e U.S. 1	Medicare	e prog	gram*			

Outcome	Disco	ontinua	ation			Time	to dis	contin	uation (90-day	gap)				
	$\frac{(90-uay gap)}{OP = 0.05\% CI}$		Chi Squara	Dyalua	LID 0.059/		CI Chi Savara		Pvalue					
A go group	OK	0.935	/0 CI	Chi-Square	r value	пк	0.935	/0 CI	Cili-Square	r value				
	1.54	1 30	1 83	24.16	< 0.001	1 /3	1 22	1 66	20.66	< 0.001				
≥ 34 35 <i>4</i> 4	1.54	1.30	1.05	24.10	< 0.001	1.45	1.22	1.00	20.00	< 0.001				
45 54	1.54	0.08	1.79	2.86	< 0.001 0.001	1.12	0.08	1.07	28.88	< 0.001 0.006				
55 64	0.96	0.90	1.5	2.80	0.610	0.07	0.98	1.27	0.22	0.090				
55-04	0.90	0.04	1.11	0.20	0.010	0.97	0.85	1.11	0.22	0.041				
75_84	0.98	0.76	1 25	0.04	0.847	0.98	0.77	1 23	0.04	0.833				
> 85	1 49	0.70	3.09	1 13	0.289	1 39	0.77	2 71	0.96	0.327				
≥ o5 Sev	1.19	0.72	5.07	1.15	0.207	1.57	0.72	2.71	0.90	0.527				
Male (Reference)														
Female	1.20	1.12	1.29	25.62	< 0.001	1.18	1.11	1.26	27.32	< 0.001				
Race/ethnicity**	1120		1.22	20102	10.001			1.20	27102	20.001				
White (Reference)														
Black	1.17	1.08	1.26	16.72	< 0.001	1.16	1.08	1.24	18.86	< 0.001				
Hispanic	1.17	0.90	1 19	0.23	0.633	1.10	0.91	1 18	0.30	0 587				
Other	1.04	0.85	1.26	0.13	0.724	1.02	0.85	1.22	0.04	0.834				
Low-income subsidy (LIS) status	1.01	0.02	1.20	0.115	0.721	1.02	0.02	1.22	0.01	0.051				
Full-LIS	1.07	0.97	1.20	1.71	0.192	1.07	0.97	1.18	1.93	0.164				
Partial-LIS	0.81	0.62	1.06	2.33	0.127	0.81	0.63	1.04	2.68	0.102				
Non-LIS (Reference)														
Original reason for Medicare eligibility														
Age (Reference)														
Disability	1.06	0.91	1.24	0.51	0.476	1.04	0.90	1.20	0.34	0.561				
ESRD	1.36	1.14	1.62	11.80	0.001	1.30	1.11	1.51	11.08	0.001				
Census region														
Northeast (Reference)														
South	1.15	1.06	1.26	10.85	0.001	1.14	1.05	1.23	10.65	0.001				
Midwest	0.99	0.88	1.11	0.05	0.82	0.99	0.89	1.1	0.04	0.838				
West	1.06	0.95	1.18	1.01	0.315	1.06	0.96	1.17	1.23	0.267				
Metropolitan status														
Rural	1.03	0.92	1.15	0.27	0.602	1.03	0.93	1.13	0.29	0.593				
Urban (Reference)														
Medicare Part D plan type														
Enhanced alternative plan type	0.87	0.79	0.97	6.56	0.01	0.88	0.8	0.97	7.04	0.008				
Others (Reference)														
Year of the index date														
2014 (Reference)														
2015	0.82	0.76	0.89	22.56	< 0.001	0.83	0.78	0.90	24.39	< 0.001				
2016	0.61	0.55	0.68	86.18	< 0.001	0.64	0.58	0.71	83.50	< 0.001				
2017	0.60	0.53	0.68	68.97	< 0.001	0.63	0.56	0.70	68.30	< 0.001				
Drug class & STR status of Index anchor ART														
INSTI and STR	0.59	0.54	0.64	129.72	< 0.001	0.62	0.57	0.67	130.59	< 0.001				
INSTI and MTR	0.79	0.72	0.86	28.60	< 0.001	0.82	0.76	0.88	25.86	< 0.001				
NNRTI and STR	0.71	0.64	0.80	33.01	< 0.001	0.75	0.67	0.82	32.46	< 0.001				
NNRTI and MTR	1.02	0.89	1.18	0.09	0.764	1.05	0.92	1.19	0.54	0.464				
PI (Reference)														
CMS-HCC risk score (continuous variable)	1.08	1.03	1.13	10.81	0.001	1.06	1.02	1.11	10.24	0.001				
Comorbidities***														
Cardiovascular conditions and risk factors	1.01	0.94	1.08	0.03	0.866	1.01	0.95	1.07	0.05	0.817				
Renal disease: chronic kidney disease, end-stage-	1.15	1.05	1.26	8.84	0.003	1.12	1.03	1.22	7.55	0.006				
renal disease														
Liver diseases	0.95	0.87	1.04	1.15	0.283	0.96	0.89	1.04	1.02	0.312				

Table 4 (continued)

Outcome	Disco	Discontinuation Time to discontinuation (90-day gap)											
	(90-d	(90-day gap)											
	OR	OR 0.95% CI Cl		Chi-Square	P value	HR 0.95% CI		Chi-Square	P value				
Cancer non-AIDS and AIDS-defining cancer	0.90	0.79	1.01	3.08	0.079	0.90	0.81	1.01	3.28	0.070			
Mental health conditions	1.16	1.08	1.25	16.75	< 0.001	1.14	1.06	1.21	14.91	< 0.001			
Substance use disorder	1.32	1.23	1.42	58.54	< 0.001	1.28	1.2	1.36	56.38	< 0.001			
Lung diseases	1.15	1.06	1.25	11.21	0.001	1.12	1.05	1.21	9.95	0.002			
Autoimmune conditions	1.2	1.10	1.30	17.02	< 0.001	1.16	1.08	1.26	15.40	< 0.001			
Endocrine disorders	0.80	0.65	0.99	4.05	0.044	0.82	0.67	1.00	3.67	0.055			
Gastrointestinal disorders	1.13	1.05	1.22	9.62	0.002	1.11	1.04	1.19	8.77	0.003			
Number of 30-day supply prescriptions filled per month in the pre-index period	0.74	0.73	0.75	2098.47	< 0.001	0.76	0.75	0.76	2210.31	< 0.001			

Abbreviations: AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; ART: antiretroviral therapy; CI: confidence interval; CMS-HCC risk score: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hierarchical Condition Categories risk score; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; GI: gastrointestinal disorders; INSTI: Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor; MTR: multi-tablet regimen; NNRTI: Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; OR: odds ratio; HR: hazard ratio; PDC: proportion of days covered; PI: Protease Inhibitor; STR: single-tablet regimen

*Binomial logit regression for discontinuation outcome and Cox regression for time to discontinuation outcome

**Medicare administrative data does not have separate fields for race and ethnicity. We are unable to differentiate ethnicity within race (e.g., Hispanic Black vs. non-Hispanic Black). Beneficiaries who were reported as a race or ethnicity other than White, Black, or Hispanic were consolidated into the "Other" category and include Asian, North American Native, and Other

***Categories for the comorbidities included the following:

Cardiovascular conditions and risk factors include acute myocardial infarction; cardiac arrhythmias, ischemic heart disease, stroke/transient ischemic attack, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, obesity, overweight, and diabetes mellitus

Liver diseases include cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis B, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and chronic hepatitis C

<u>Mental health conditions</u> include depression, anxiety disorders, bipolar or manic depression, trauma-stressor related disorders, and suicidal ideation

Substance use include drug use disorders, alcohol use disorders, opioid use disorder, and tobacco use disorder

Lung diseases include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma

<u>Autoimmune conditions</u> include rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel diseases, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and hypothyroidism

Gastrointestinal disorders include diarrhea, peptic ulcer disease, nausea or vomiting, and esophageal reflux

theoretically shielded from such costs since they are only required to pay fixed nominal copayments per prescription. However, given the high monthly medication burden observed in these PWH it is possible that even small copayments add up to be unaffordable for these vulnerable patients. Prior work has shown that compared to White Medicare beneficiaries, substantially larger proportions of Black and Hispanic Medicare beneficiaries are eligible for Part D low-income subsidies due to low income and minimal assets and report problems paying medical bills, delaying care due to cost, and debt to collection agencies due to medical bills [32]. Therefore, LIS status in our analysis likely serves as a surrogate marker of severe financial burden and social determinants of health more broadly. Suboptimal ART adherence found among these groups is likely driven by underlying structural inequities and disparate experiences across multiple social determinants of health rather than the out-of-pocket costs alone. Future research should investigate multilevel approaches to support ART adherence and reduce discontinuation by addressing the needs of LIS Medicare beneficiaries.

Relative to PWH whose index anchor ART was a PI, those on INSTI-based regimens (MTR or STR) and NNRTI-based STR had lower odds of being in the suboptimal adherence and ART discontinuation groups. These findings are consistent with a previous analysis of commercial claims data, wherein authors found that PI-based regimens demonstrated a greater risk of discontinuation relative to INSTI-based regimens and NNRTI-based STR [14]. One explanation for our finding is that unlike NNRTIs and INSTIs, PIs were not available as a fixed-dose STR until 2018 (i.e. until after our sample identification window). In post-hoc analyses stratified by index anchor medication class, we found that STR users had lower odds of having suboptimal adherence and discontinuation relative to MTR users, among subgroups of patients on NNRTI- and INSTI-based regimens (Electronic Supplement Table 3). Similar findings were confirmed in a meta-analysis, which found that STRs have several advantages over MTRs for HIV treatment in terms of adherence, therapy continuation, viral suppression, tolerability, and quality of life [33]. At the same time, additional post-hoc analysis in the subgroup of MTR users found that patients on PI-based regimens had higher odds of suboptimal adherence relative to NNRTI- and INSTI-based regimens (**Electronic Supplement** Table 3). Hence, another possible explanation is the higher risk of drug-drug interactions, inhibitor-induced metabolic syndromes (e.g., dyslipidemia, insulin resistance), and cardiovascular events (e.g., myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke) associated with PI-based regimens [34, 35]. It is likely that the nearly 1 in 6 PWH (16.5%) in our sample who were started on a PIbased regimen may have done so due to prior suboptimal ART adherence and/or documented resistance to NNRTI- or INSTI-based regimens. Future work is needed to examine trends in PI use in more recent years and the reasons for prescribing PI-based regimens among PWH with Medicare.

Interestingly, fewer than 1 in 5 beneficiaries in our sample were prescribed a single-tablet regimen. This represents substantially lower use of STRs compared to the commercially insured population: a prior study by Kangethe et al. (2019) found that 41.2% of commercially-insured PWH used an STR [12]. Access barriers to STRs are unlikely to explain these findings since HIV drugs are a protected drug class in the Medicare Part D program, wherein all drugs must be covered on the plan formulary [36]. Also, most PWH in our sample (82%) were eligible for a full low-income subsidy with fixed nominal copayments per prescription and hence STRs would result in lower out-of-pocket costs than MTRs. Given the high burden of chronic medical conditions, it is possible that beneficiaries with HIV were prescribed MTRs rather than fixed-dosed STRs to adjust each antiretroviral for renal insufficiency, hepatic impairment, or potential drug-drug interactions [37].

Finally, we found several comorbidities to be associated with suboptimal adherence or discontinuation. Most importantly, PWH with mental health conditions or substance use disorders were more likely to be in the suboptimal adherence and discontinuation groups. These findings are consistent with prior published literature and suggest that tailored interventions to support these groups are needed in the Medicare program [14, 28].

Our study has several limitations. First, our findings are based on a national sample of fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries with Part D plan coverage and hence may not be generalizable to individuals in Medicare Advantage plans or other types of insurance (e.g., commercial). Second, as with all claims-based studies, prescription fills do not account for whether the medication dispensed was taken as prescribed, potentially overestimating adherence and underestimating discontinuation. Third, we were unable to determine whether those who discontinued treatment eventually restarted after the 12-month follow-up period ended; therefore, we may have overestimated ART discontinuation. Fourth, potential reasons for suboptimal adherence or treatment gaps including side effects, out-of-pocket costs, patient preferences, or use as post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) therapy were not available in our administrative claims data. Thus, we were unable to determine if treatment gaps were deliberate and appropriate. Nevertheless, ART is recommended for all persons with HIV in the U.S. to reduce morbidity and mortality and to prevent the transmission of HIV; therefore, we would expect all Medicare beneficiaries with HIV to receive treatment. Finally, unobserved or unmeasured variables may have confounded the relationship observed between measured factors and our study outcomes of adherence and discontinuation.

Despite these limitations, our study provides insights into an important but understudied group of Medicare beneficiaries with HIV and has direct implications for clinical practice and policy. Among fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries with HIV who initiated a new anchor medication between 2014 and 2017, suboptimal adherence and gaps in continuous ART use were commonly observed. Our findings provide insights into the characteristics of PWH with suboptimal ART adherence and those discontinuing ART treatments. Interventions and policies to mitigate barriers to adherence are urgently needed to achieve more equitable HIV care continuum outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries, particularly those who are younger, Black, have a history of a mental health condition or substance use disorder. Furthermore, ongoing monitoring of ART adherence and discontinuation in the Medicare population will be needed given the anticipated growth in the number of Medicare beneficiaries with HIV expected to occur in the coming decade.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-023-04208-8.

Declarations

Competing interests This study was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. JAD reports serving as a consultant and/or advisory board member for Abbvie/Allergan, Acadia, Janssen, Merck, Otsuka, and Takeda and has received research funding from Janssen, Regeneron, and Spark Therapeutics, all unrelated to this study. PL, ZG, VL, and DW have no relevant disclosures to report. GP and JMA are employees of Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA and own stock in Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA RG serves on a DSMB for Pfizer medication trials unrelated to HIV.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Surveillance Report HIV. 2020; vol. 33. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/ reports/hiv-surveillance.html [Accessed October 2022].
- Kaiser Family Foundation, Medicare, Kaiser Family Foundation. and HIV. (2016). Available at: https://www.kff.org/hivaids/factsheet/medicare-and-hiv/ [Accessed October 2022].
- Saag MS, Gandhi RT, Hoy JF, et al. Antiretroviral drugs for treatment and Prevention of HIV infection in adults: 2020 recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA panel. JAMA. 2020;324(16):1651–69.
- Lee FJ, Amin J, Carr A. Efficacy of initial antiretroviral therapy for HIV-1 infection in adults: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of 114 studies with up to 144 weeks' Follow-Up. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(5):e97482.
- Bangsberg DR. Monitoring adherence to HIV antiretroviral therapy in routine clinical practice: the past, the Present, and the future. AIDS Behav. 2006;10(3):249–51.
- Astuti N, Maggiolo F. Single-tablet regimens in HIV Therapy. Infect Dis Ther. 2014;3(1):1–17.
- DeJesus E, Young B, Morales-Ramirez JO, et al. Simplification of antiretroviral therapy to a single-tablet Regimen Consisting of Efavirenz, Emtricitabine, and Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Versus Unmodified Antiretroviral Therapy in Virologically suppressed HIV-1-Infected patients. JAIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;51(2):163–74.
- Glass TR, Battegay M, Cavassini M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of patterns and predictors of changes in self-reported adherence to antiretroviral therapy: swiss HIV Cohort Study. JAIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;54(2):197–203.
- Hanna DB, Hessol NA, Golub ET, et al. Increase in single-tablet regimen use and associated improvements in adherence-related outcomes in HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1999;2014(65):587–96.
- Parienti J-J, Bangsberg DR, Verdon R, Gardner EM. Better adherence with once-daily antiretroviral regimens: a Meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(4):484–8.
- Altice F, Evuarherhe O, Shina S, Carter G, Beaubrun AC. Adherence to HIV treatment regimens: systematic literature review and meta-analysis. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2019;13:475–90.
- Kangethe A, Polson M, Lord TC, Evangelatos T, Oglesby A. Key Trends in Medication Adherence and Overall Costs in Patients with HIV. J Manag Care Spec Pharm. 2019;25(1):88–93. Real-World Health Plan Data Analysis:.
- Langness J, Cook PF, Gill J, Boggs R, Netsanet N. Comparison of Adherence Rates for Antiretroviral, blood pressure, or Mental Health Medications for HIV-Positive patients at an Academic Medical Center Outpatient Pharmacy. J Manag Care Pharm. 2014;20(8):809–14.
- Juday T, Grimm K, Zoe-Powers A, Willig J, Kim E. A retrospective study of HIV antiretroviral treatment persistence in a commercially insured population in the United States. AIDS Care. 2011;23(9):1154–62.
- Hines DM, Ding Y, Wade RL, Beaubrun A, Cohen JP. Treatment adherence and persistence among HIV-1 patients newly starting treatment. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2019;13:1927–39.
- Peterson AM, Nau DP, Cramer JA, Benner J, Gwadry-Sridhar F, Nichol M. A Checklist for Medication Compliance and

Persistence Studies using Retrospective Databases. Value Health. 2007;10(1):3–12.

- Saberi P, Chakravarty D, Ming K, et al. Moving antiretroviral adherence assessments to the modern era: correlations among three Novel Measures of Adherence. AIDS Behav. 2020;24(1):284–90.
- Kauf TL, Davis KL, Earnshaw SR, Davis EA. Spillover adherence effects of fixed-dose combination HIV therapy. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2012;6:155–64.
- Taneja C, Juday T, Gertzog L, et al. Adherence and persistence with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral regimens. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2012;13(15):2111–8.
- Byrd KK, Hou JG, Hazen R, et al. Antiretroviral adherence level necessary for HIV viral suppression using Real-World Data. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 1999;82(3):245–51. (2019).
- Bezabhe WM, Chalmers L, Bereznicki LR, Peterson GM. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy and Virologic failure. Med (Baltim). 95(15), e3361 (2016).
- Sweet D, Song J, Zhong Y, Signorovitch J. Real-world medication persistence with single versus multiple tablet regimens for HIV-1 treatment. J Int AIDS Soc. 2014;17(4S3):19537.
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Report to Congress: Risk Adjustment in Medicare Advantage. (2021). Available at: https://www.cms.gov/files/document/report-congress-riskadjustment-medicare-advantage-december-2021.pdf. [Accessed October 2022].
- McComsey GA, Lingohr-Smith M, Rogers R, Lin J, Donga P. Real-world adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-1 Patients across the United States. Adv Ther. 2021;38(9):4961–74.
- Priest J, Bhak RH, Dersarkissian M, et al. Retrospective analysis of adherence to HIV treatment and healthcare utilization in a commercially insured population. J Med Econ. 2021;24(1):1204–11.
- Turrini G, Chan SS, Klein PW et al. Assessing the health status and mortality of older people over 65 with HIV. PLoS ONE. 15(11), e0241833 (2020).
- Shaw S, Amico KR. Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence Enhancing Interventions for Adolescents and Young Adults 13–24 Years of Age: A Review of the Evidence Base. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr 1999. 72(4), 387–399 (2016).
- Kim J, Lee E, Park B-J, Bang JH, Lee JY. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy and factors affecting low medication adherence among incident HIV-infected individuals during 2009–2016: a nationwide study. Sci Rep. 2018;8:3133.
- Simoni JM, Huh D, Wilson IB et al. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in ART Adherence in the United States: Findings From the MACH14 Study. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr 1999. 60(5), 466–472 (2012).
- Sumartojo E. Structural factors in HIV prevention: concepts, examples, and implications for research. AIDS Lond Engl. 2000;14(Suppl 1):3–10.
- Sutton MY, Jones RL, Wolitski RJ, Cleveland JC, Dean HD, Fenton KA. A review of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's response to the HIV/AIDS Crisis among Blacks in the United States, 1981–2009. Am J Public Health. 2009;99(Suppl 2):351–S359.
- Ochieng N, Cubanski J, Neuman T et al. Racial and Ethnic Health Inequities and Medicare. Kaiser Family Foundation (2021). Available at: https://www.kff.org/medicare/report/racial-and-ethnic-health-inequities-and-medicare/. [Accessed October 2022].
- 33. Clay PG, Yuet WC, Moecklinghoff CH, et al. A meta-analysis comparing 48-week treatment outcomes of single and multi-tablet antiretroviral regimens for the treatment of people living with HIV. AIDS Res Ther. 2018;15(1):17.
- 34. Ryom L, Lundgren JD, El-Sadr W, et al. Cardiovascular disease and use of contemporary protease inhibitors: the D:A:D

international prospective multicohort study. Lancet HIV. 2018;5(6):e291-e300.

- Lv Z, Chu Y, Wang Y. HIV protease inhibitors: a review of molecular selectivity and toxicity. HIVAIDS Auckl NZ. 2015;7:95–104.
- Kaiser Family Foundation. An Overview of the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Benefit. Kaiser Family Foundation. (2021). Available at: https://www.kff.org/medicare/fact-sheet/ an-overview-of-the-medicare-part-d-prescription-drug-benefit/ [Accessed October 2022].
- 37. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. (2022). Available at https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv. [Accessed October 2022].

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.