

A Brief History of the Bioengineering Institute of California and the UC System-wide Symposia

SHU CHIEN^{1,2}

¹Bioengineering Institute of California, University of California, La Jolla, CA, USA; and ²Department of Bioengineering and Institute of Engineering in Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0427, USA

(Received 5 February 2011; accepted 24 February 2011; published online 8 March 2011)

Associate Editor Daniel Takashi Kamei oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract—The plan to establish a Multicampus Research Unit (MRU) on Bioengineering in the University of California (UC) System started in August 1999. The cooperative efforts of the UC campuses led to the formal establishment of the Bioengineering Institute of California (BIC) in October 2003. Three years prior to the BIC establishment, the System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposium was started at UC Davis. The Symposia were then hosted sequentially by UC Santa Barbara, UC Berkeley, UCSD, UC Santa Cruz, UC Irvine, UCSF, UCLA, and UC Riverside, with the completion of the first cycle of a decade in the newest campus of UC Merced in 2009. The second cycle began in 2010 with the Symposium returning again to UC Davis. Each campus hosted a wonderful Symposium, with the active participation of students and faculty from all campuses, with the motto of “Ten campuses united as one, learning and growing together.” These Symposia have contributed significantly to the collaborative research and training of students and young scientists in bioengineering, as well as fruitful interactions with industry and government agencies, which have provided strong support for these valuable meetings. The BIC will endeavor to further enhance these efforts by fostering research collaborations and joint education and training activities, with the ultimate goal of advancing bioengineering for the improvement of human health and wellbeing.

Keywords—Annual symposium, Education and training, Multi-campus research unit, Research collaboration, University of California.

Near the end of the twentieth century, the majority of the University of California (UC) campuses had active programs in bioengineering (BE) or biomedical engineering (BME)—these two terms are used

interchangeably in this article. Several new BE/BME programs were initiated during the last decade before the turn of the century, and those that had begun earlier became increasingly more active. For example, UCSD had a Bioengineering Program since 1966 (in the Department of Applied Mechanics and Engineering Science, as a joint program with the School of Medicine), and it became a Department of Bioengineering in 1994. In 1998, a Department of Bioengineering was also established in UC Berkeley jointly with UCSF, as an outgrowth of a joint graduate program. Discussions among colleagues in the UC campuses led to a consensus that it would be desirable to synergize the excellent and blossoming research and educational activities of all UC campuses by forming a UC Multi-campus Organized Research Unit (MRU).

In August 1999, at the occasion of the 80th birthday celebration for Professor Yuan-Cheng (Bert) Fung, founder of the UCSD Bioengineering Program, I invited leaders in bioengineering from the other UC campuses to come to San Diego to participate in the celebration and to discuss the possibility of forming an MRU dedicated to the synergism and collaboration in bioengineering among all campuses. The meeting was held on August 12, 1999, in the UCSD Faculty Club with attendance by Stanley Berger and Thomas Budinger from UC Berkeley, Steve George from UC Irvine, Katherine Ferrara, Maury Hull, and Scott Simon from UC Davis, Chih-Ming Ho from UCLA, Ashok Mulchandani and John Shyy from UC Riverside, and David Gough, Andrew McCulloch, Geert Schmid-Schönbein, and I from UCSD (Fig. 1).

Following very fruitful discussions, the group decided unanimously to form an MRU on Bioengineering in the UC System. Knowing that the approval of an MRU would take some time, we decided on carrying

Address correspondence to Shu Chien, Department of Bioengineering and Institute of Engineering in Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0427, USA. Electronic mail: shuchien@ucsd.edu



FIGURE 1. The first planning meeting to establish a UC System-wide Multi-campus Research Unit on August 12, 1999, in the Faculty Club of UCSD. Front (L to R): Geert Schmid-Schönbein, Scott Simon, Chih-Ming Ho, Thomas Budinger, Shu Chien, Katherine Ferrara, Andrew McCulloch. Back (L to R): David Gough, John Shyy, Ashok Mulchandani, Stanley Berger, Maury Hull, Steven George.

out the function of an MRU before the formation of its structure. The function we chose was to hold UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposia that would rotate among all UC campuses, with the goal of bringing together faculty and particularly students for collaborations in research and education. Our colleagues from UC Davis (Maury Hull, Katherine Ferrara, and Scott Simon) volunteered to be the first campus to lead this effort, leveraging on their experience in organizing the UC Davis Biomedical Engineering Symposia that had the participation of UC Berkeley and other neighboring universities. The First UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposium held at UC Davis in May 2000 was a great success (Fig. 2). There were 100 attendees from the nine campuses (UC Merced was not yet formed at that time).

Following a meeting of campus representatives at UC Berkeley on March 13, 2000, a group of us worked on the proposal for the establishment of this MRU, with the first draft written on April 8, 2000. Subsequently, the draft proposal went through nearly 20 revisions, some within our group and others requested by the various committees and Office of the President of the University of California (UCOP), as well as the individual campuses. Finally, the proposal was officially approved by UCOP on October 16, 2003, 4 months after the fourth System-wide Symposium held at UCSD (Fig. 3).

The MRU was named the Bioengineering Institute of California (BIC), with its headquarters at UCSD. The goal of the BIC is to synergize the strengths and expertise

on all UC campuses, and foster the cooperation among them to create a coherent and cohesive network for sharing of resources and exchange of information.

By the time of the forming of the BIC and shortly thereafter, additional new departments were established in several UC campuses. These include UC Davis (Biomedical Engineering, 2001), UC Irvine (Biomedical Engineering, 2001), UCLA (Bioengineering, 2002), UC Santa Cruz (Biomolecular Engineering, 2002), UC Riverside (Bioengineering, 2004), and UCSF (Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, 2009). UC Santa Barbara has a Biomolecular Science and Engineering Program and is planning to form a department. UC Merced has Bioengineering as one of its five majors in its School of Engineering. Table 1 provides a summary of the current leadership in BE/BME in the 10 campuses and the web addresses of the Department/Program.

During its first decade, the Annual Symposia rotated through all 10 UC campuses: UC Davis, UC Santa Barbara, UC Berkeley, UCSD, UC Irvine, UC Santa Cruz, UCLA, UCSF, UC Riverside, and our newest campus UC Merced (Table 2). It was during the Symposium in UC Berkeley in 2002 that the motto of “Ten campuses united as one, learning and growing together” was initiated. The display of photos from the 10 campuses (Fig. 4) and the involvement of Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center (TATRC) began at UC Riverside in 2008. These wonderful symposia were made possible by the superb organizational efforts of the 10 campuses and the



FIGURE 2. The First UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposium at UC Davis on May 1, 2000.



FIGURE 3. The Fourth UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposium at UCSD on June 22, 2000.

enthusiastic participation by students and faculty. These 10 wonderful meetings brought together students, faculty, and research scientists from all 10 campuses and

guest participants from industry, foundations, government, and other institutions to actively engage in interactions, collaborations, and innovations.

TABLE 1. UC Bioengineering Departments/Programs.

Campus	Name of Dept./Program	Chair/Director	Web Address
UC Berkeley	Dept. Bioengineering	Matthew Tirrell	http://bioeng.berkeley.edu/
UC Davis	Dept. Biomed. Engineering	Kyriacos Athanasiou	http://www.bme.ucdavis.edu/
UC Irvine	Dept. Biomed. Engineering	Abraham Lee	http://www.eng.uci.edu/dept/bme/
UC Los Angeles	Dept. Bioengineering	Timothy Deming	http://www.bioeng.ucla.edu/
UC Merced	Bioengineering Majors	Aerial Escobar	https://eng.ucmerced.edu/soe/acad-prog/
UC Riverside	Dept. Biomed. Engineering	Jerome Schultz	http://www.bioeng.ucr.edu
UC Santa Barbara	Biomolecular Science & Engineering Program	Fyl Pincus	http://www.bmse.ucsb.edu/research/bioengineering
UC Santa Cruz	Dept. Biomolecular Eng'g	Mark Akeson	http://biomedical.ucsc.edu/Bioengineering.html/
UC San Diego	Dept. Bioengineering	Shankar Subramaniam	http://www.be.ucsd.edu/o
UC San Francisco	Dept. Bioengineering & Therapeutic Sciences	Sarah Nelson & Kathy Giacomini	http://bts.ucsf.edu/

TABLE 2. UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposia 2000–2011.

Year	UC Campus	Date	Chair
2000	UC Davis	4/30–5/01, 2000	Maury Hull
2001	UC Santa Barbara	5/06–5/07, 2001	Alison Butler
2002	UC Berkeley	5/05–5/06, 2002	Thomas Budinger
2003	UC San Diego	6/21–6/22, 2003	Shu Chien
2004	UC Irvine	6/26–6/28, 2004	Steve George
2005	UC Santa Cruz	6/25–6/27, 2005	Wentai Liu
2006	UC Los Angeles	6/24–6/26, 2006	Benjamin Wu
2007	UC San Francisco	6/15–6/17, 2007	Tejal Desai
2008	UC Riverside	6/20–6/22, 2008	Victor Rodgers
2009	UC Merced	6/19–6/21, 2009	Kara McCloskey
2010	UC Davis	6/17–6/19, 2010	Angelique Louie
2011	UC Santa Barbara	6/13–6/15, 2011	Frank Doyle

In June 2010, the System-wide Symposium began the second decade with a bang by returning to its birth site: UC Davis. The Symposium had the largest ever attendance (Fig. 5) and started the Grand Challenge for Entrepreneurism sponsored by TATRC. As we are planning for the 12th Annual System-wide Symposium in 2011, we look forward to our return to UC Santa Barbara for another great meeting. The tremendously successful and valuable Symposia rotating around all 10 UC campuses for more than a decade are probably the only one of its kind anywhere, thanks to the great efforts of our colleagues at the 10 campuses and the support by everyone involved. These Symposia have played a major role in achieving the mission of the BIC to synergize the strengths of the UC campuses, in partnership with the National Laboratories, other universities, bioengineering-related industry, private foundations, and government agencies.

In this connection, I wish to express our most sincere thanks, on behalf of the BIC, to the generous support by the California Healthcare Institute, California Institute for Regenerative Medicine, Southern California Bio-medical Council, TATRC, Whitaker Foundation, other

universities such as Arizona State University, Georgia Institute of Technology, and University of Southern California, as well as many industrial firms. In the last 3 years, we received valuable support from the following industrial partners: Abbott Vascular, Agilent Technologies, Bio-Rad, Boston Scientific, Caliper Lifesciences, CRC Press, Genentech, Gilead, Instron, Penguin Computing, Penumbra, Philips, ResMed, SHRINK nanotechnologies, Springer, Technical Instruments, Third Rock Ventures, and Xradia Insight in 3D. We appreciate the support of the University of California (Office of the President, the 10 campuses, and UC Discovery) and national scientific organizations such as Biomedical Engineering Society (BMES), BMECareer Alliance, Institute of Physics, and Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE).

The strength of the BIC is based on those of the 10 campuses in the UC System and their synergism. Each campus has its unique areas of emphasis and expertise, as summarized in Table 3. The numbers of students (currently enrolled and most recently graduated) and faculty (both core and affiliated) are summarized in Table 4. The Bioengineering programs in the UC System have a total of nearly 3500 undergraduate student and almost 1000 graduate students (with over 800 PhD students). During the past academic year, the 10 campuses graduated 561 B.S., 87 Masters, and 93 PhD students. Comparison of the graduated and enrolled student numbers indicates a continued expansion of the program pool. These well-trained students provide an important work force in areas related to biomedical engineering in California, our Nation, and beyond. The graduate and undergraduate students in each campus form professional organizations, including many active BMES Chapters, to enrich their learning experience and team activities, including interactions with industry. The Departments/Programs in the UC System have 176 core faculty and 277 affiliated faculty, as well as several hundred research

Bioengineering Institute of California (Ten Campuses United as One)



FIGURE 4. The 10 UC campuses in the Bioengineering Institute of California.



FIGURE 5. The 11th UC System-wide Annual Bioengineering Symposium at UC Davis on June 18, 2010.

scientists. They contribute importantly to the advancement of bioengineering research and education, and include recipients of many outstanding awards and recognitions. The data in Tables 3 and 4 provide a general overview of the expertise and summary information on

research and education in the 10 UC campuses. Collectively, they form a system that is almost unparalleled anywhere. These tables do not include the research and training activities in the National Laboratories (Lawrence Berkeley, Lawrence Livermore, and Los Alamos),

TABLE 3. BE/BME Research Areas in the UC System.

	UCB	UCSF	UCD	UCI	UCLA	UCR	UCM	UCSB	UCSC	UCSD
Bioinfo. & Comp. Biology	++	+	++	+			+		++	+
Biomaterials		+	+		++	++	++	++		
Biomechanics	++	+	++			+		++	+	++
Biomedical Imaging	++	++			++	++		+		+
Biomedical optics	+	+	++			++				
Bio-MEMS & Nanoscience	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+
Biomimetics							+	+		
Biomolecular Assembly	+					+		+	++	++
Biosensors				+			++	++	+	++
Genomics, Proteomics	+							+	++	
Molec. & Cell. Engineering	++	++	+		++	++	+	++		++
Molecular (Drug) Delivery	+					+	+			
Neuroengineering	++			+	+					+
Robotics						+				+
Stem Cell Research	++		+	++	+	+	++		++	++
Synthetic Biology	++									
Systems Bioengineering	+				+			+	++	++
Tissue Engineering	+	++	++	++	++	+	++			++
Wireless Health					+	+				

+ indicates research areas being pursued.

++ indicates research areas with major emphasis.

Each campus entered a maximum of 5 “++” and 10 check marks overall. Therefore, there are other additional areas in some campuses that are not presented in this table.

TABLE 4. Current student enrollments, past-year graduates, and numbers of faculty in UC Bioengineering Programs.

Campus	Current enrollment (2010)			# Graduated (2009–2010)			Faculty number	
	BS	MS	PhD	BS	MS	PhD	Core	Affiliated
UC Berkeley ^a	427	16	164	120	—	26	30	4
UC Davis	347	8	113	39	5	7	19	27
UC Irvine	684	38	92	96	6	15	17	54
UC Los Angeles	258	36	165	45	31	11	8	78
UC Merced	105	5	13	18	—	—	3	20
UC Riverside	342	11	31	22	2	—	9	40
UC Santa Barbara	304	7	66	65	7	8	27	7
UC Santa Cruz ^b	238	7	36	7	11	8	11	1
UC San Diego ^c	762	43	133	149	25	18	23	17
UC San Francisco ^a	(16)	(164)				(26)	29	29
Total	3,467	181	813	561	87	93	176	277

^aUCB and UCSF have a joint Graduate Program. The graduate enrollment and graduates are listed for both, but counted only once in the Total.

^bUCSC's figures represent the sum of Departments of Bioengineering and Biomolecular Engineering.

^cThe figures for M.S. for UCSD include Master of Engineering students (10 enrolled and 9 graduated).

which are closely linked with the UC System. Furthermore, UC Campuses form Consortia with outstanding neighboring institutions. An example is the Sanford Consortium for Regenerative Medicine on the La Jolla Mesa, which is formed by the Salk Institute for Biological Studies, the Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute, the Scripps Research Institute, and UCSD to pursue collaborative, frontier stem cell research, including bioengineering.

In the UC system, there are also Organized Research Units (ORUs) that foster interdisciplinary

research and training within each campus. Examples are the Institute of Engineering in Medicine in UCSD, Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies at UCSB, Center for Bioengineering Research at UC Riverside, Center for Biomolecular Science and Engineering at UC Santa Cruz, etc. These ORUs provide interdisciplinary research and training related to bioengineering within each campus and contribute to the System-wide BIC as an MRU.

At the turn of the century, the State of California formed four California Institutes for Science and Inno-

vation across UC Campuses. They are the California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3: UCSF, UCB and UCSC), California Institute for Telecommunications and Information Technology (CalIT2: UCSD and UCI), California Nanosystems Institute (CNSI: UCLA and UCSB), and Center for Information Technology Research in the Interest of Society (CITRIS: UCB, UCD, UCM and UCSC). All four California Institutes are based on two or more UC Campuses and have significant activities related to bioengineering.

The BIC MRU has established a modern information infrastructure for broadband inter-campus transmission, thus forming a network for research and teaching system-wide. This makes possible the sharing of database, broadcasting of teaching materials, tele-operation of specialized instruments, video conferencing, and telecommunication. Seminars have been broadcasted from one campus by videocast to other campuses. *The BIC LearnBME website* is a portal and teaching collaboratory for Bioengineering education that was initiated by a Special Opportunity Award from the Whitaker Foundation. This serves to synergize the collective strengths and expertise of the UC campuses in bioengineering education. The web address for BIC is <http://www.bicmru.org/>.

In summary, by fostering system-wide collaborations in research and education, the BIC has been able to synergize the expertise and activities of various campuses to advance biomedical engineering to a higher level than the sum of individual campuses.

The future goals of the BIC are to leverage on the accomplishments in the first decade to further enhance

the System-wide Symposium, to initiate new research collaborations, to establish collective educational activities, and to collaborate with industry and government agencies, as well as other institutions. Together, we can achieve the common objectives of advancing the discipline of Bioengineering and benefiting the health and wellbeing of people.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank all the colleagues in the UC System for their marvelous collaborative efforts in making possible the functioning of the BIC, the successful holding of UC System-wide Symposia for over a decade, and the writing of this article. On behalf of the BIC, the author wishes to thank the University of California, its 10 campuses, the National Laboratories, other education and research institutions, industry, foundations, and government agencies (many of them are mentioned in this article) for their most valuable support and collaborations.

OPEN ACCESS

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.