

## Erratum to: Companion planting attract-and-kill method for wireworm management in potatoes

Robert S. Vernon<sup>1</sup> · Willem G. van Herk<sup>1</sup> · Markus Clodius<sup>1</sup> · Jeff Tolman<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 24 December 2015  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

**Erratum to: J Pest Sci**  
**DOI 10.1007/s10340-015-0707-6**

Unfortunately, there is an error in the Table 3b in the original publication which has been corrected using the correct version.

It is also been corrected by erratum.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10340-015-0707-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-015-0707-6).

---

✉ Robert S. Vernon  
bob.vernon@agr.gc.ca

Willem G. van Herk  
wim.vanherk@agr.gc.ca

<sup>1</sup> Agassiz Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, P.O. Box 1000, Agassiz, BC V0M 1A0, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 1391 Sandford Street, London, ON N5V 4T3, Canada

**Table 3** Mean blemishes (holes+scars) per tuber from potatoes harvested from insecticide trials conducted in Agassiz, BC, in 2009 (A) and 2010 (B)

| Treatment <sup>a</sup>                   |  | Seeds/m <sup>b</sup> |     | App. rate <sup>c</sup> | Mean (SEM) blemishes/tuber <sup>d</sup> 109 DAP | Mean (SEM) blemishes/tuber <sup>d</sup> 131 DAP | Mean (SEM) wireworms/rep in 2010 bait traps <sup>d,e</sup> |                       |
|--|--|----------------------|-----|------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|
|  |  | S1                   | S2  |                        |   |   | Small wws, <9 mm   | Large wws, ≥9 mm      |
| Control                                  |  | –                    | –   |                        | 6.7 (2.75) A                                    | 9.5 (2.78) A                                    | 8.3 (5.54) A   | 35.5 (26.82) A        |
| IFG: Phorate                             |  | –                    | –   | 32.3                   | 0.9 (0.28) B                                    | 3.9 (1.87) A                                    | 1.3 (0.48) A   | 10.8 (3.82) A         |
| A&K: Thiamethoxam + Fipronil             |  | 171                  | 171 | 10 + 5                 | 1.4 (0.41) B                                    | 2.5 (0.27) A                                    | 0.3 (0.25) A   | 1.5 (0.87) A          |
|  |  | trt df = 2,6         |     |                        | $F = 9.06, P = 0.015$                           | $F = 4.24, P = 0.07$                            | $F = 2.15, P = 0.20$                                       | $F = 2.09, P = 0.21$  |
|  |  | rep df = 3,6         |     |                        | $F = 1.39, P = 0.33$                            | $F = 1.02, P = 0.45$                            | $F = 0.90, P = 0.50$                                       | $F = 0.68, P = 0.60$  |
| Treatment <sup>a</sup>                   |  | Seeds/m <sup>b</sup> |     | App. rate <sup>c</sup> | Mean (SEM) blemishes/tuber <sup>d</sup> 100 DAP | Mean (SEM) blemishes/tuber <sup>d</sup> 119 DAP | Mean (SEM) wireworms/rep in 2011 bait traps <sup>d,e</sup> |                       |
|  |  | S1                   | S2  |                        |   |   | Small wws, <9 mm   | Large wws, ≥9 mm      |
| Control                                  |  | –                    | –   |                        | 8.1 (1.37) A                                    | 10.9 (3.64) A                                   | 5.3 (2.14) A   | 7.3 (2.29) A          |
| IFG: Phorate                             |  | –                    | –   | 32.3                   | 0.6 (0.26) C                                    | 0.9 (0.34) CD                                   | 1.5 (0.96) A   | 4.5 (2.87) AB         |
| A&K: Thiamethoxam + Fipronil             |  | 171                  | 171 | 10 + 5                 | 0.9 (0.18) C                                    | 0.6 (0.16) D                                    | 0.3 (0.25) A   | 0.3 (0.25) B          |
| A&K: Thiamethoxam + Fipronil             |  | 171                  | 171 | 10 + 1                 | 1.9 (0.40) B                                    | 2.4 (0.72) BC                                   | 1.0 (0.71) A   | 2.5 (0.50) AB         |
| A&K: Thiamethoxam + Fipronil; Tefluthrin |  | 86                   | 86  | 10 + 5; 10             | 2.4 (0.87) B                                    | 2.9 (1.03) B                                    | 0.8 (0.75) A   | 3.3 (1.32) AB         |
|  |  | trt df = 4,12        |     |                        | $F = 68.69, P < 0.0001$                         | $F = 38.84, P < 0.0001$                         | $F = 2.98, P = 0.06$                                       | $F = 4.62, P = 0.017$ |
|  |  | rep df = 3,12        |     |                        | $F = 15.20, P = 0.0002$                         | $F = 12.37, P = 0.0006$                         | $F = 3.68, P = 0.04$                                       | $F = 4.04, P = 0.034$ |

<sup>a</sup> Phorate (Thimet 15G); thiamethoxam (Cruiser 350FS); fipronil (Regent 4SC); tefluthrin (Force 200SC). All potato seed dusted with Maxim PSP at 2.5 g AI/100 kg seed

<sup>b</sup> S1: seed type 1; S2: seed type 2. Seeds types were thoroughly mixed before planting and seeds planted randomly

<sup>c</sup> IFG (in-furrow granular) application rates in g AI/100 m row; A&K (Attract-and-Kill wheat seed) application rates in g AI/100 kg wheat seed, and 171 or 86 seeds planted per m. All wheat seed also treated with Dividend XL RTA at 13 g AI/100 kg seed

<sup>d</sup> Numbers in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different, Tukey ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )

<sup>e</sup>  $n = 4$  traps/replicate from 14 to 27 April 2010 (A), and on 3 occasions between 1 May and 27 June 2011 (B)