Correction to: Which trophic discrimination factors fit the best? A combined dietary study of a coastal seabird

Annick Morgenthaler¹ · Ana Millones¹ · Patricia Gandini^{1,2} · Esteban Frere^{1,2}

Published online: 16 December 2020
© Deutsche Ornithologen-Gesellschaft e.V. 2020

Correction to: Journal of Ornithology
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-020-01831-5>

In the original publication of the article, the Fig. 2 was published incorrectly. The original published Fig. 2 and its caption must be replaced by the Fig. 2 as given below:

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-020-01831-5>.

✉ Annick Morgenthaler
amorgenthaler@uaco.unpa.edu.ar

- ¹ Centro de Investigaciones de Puerto Deseado, Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia Austral, Av. Prefectura s/n, 9050 Puerto Deseado, Santa Cruz, Argentina
- ² Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Av. Prefectura s/n, Puerto Deseado 9050, Santa Cruz, Argentina

Fig. 2 Position of chick Neotropic cormorants (white circles) and main prey in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ – $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ bivariate space for each year. The values of prey correspond to those presented in Table 1 (mean $\pm$ standard deviation), without adding any trophic discrimination factors. Prey are notothenioid fish species (rock cods/blenny): Pm, *Paranotothenia magellanica*; Pc, *Patagonotothen cornucola*; Em, *Eleginops maclovinus* and one atherinopsid (silverside); On, *Odontesthes nigricans*

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.