RESEARCH ARTICLE



Sex-related differences in the clinical presentation of multiple system atrophy

Fabian Leys¹ · Sabine Eschlböck^{1,2} · Nicole Campese¹ · Philipp Mahlknecht¹ · Marina Peball¹ · Georg Goebel³ · Victoria Sidoroff¹ · Florian Krismer¹ · Roberta Granata¹ · Stefan Kiechl¹ · Werner Poewe¹ · Klaus Seppi^{1,4} · Gregor K. Wenning¹ · Alessandra Fanciulli¹

Received: 3 December 2023 / Accepted: 20 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Purpose To investigate sex-related differences in the clinical presentation of multiple system atrophy (MSA) through a literature review and an analysis of a retrospective cohort.

Methods The PubMed database was searched for articles including sex-related information in MSA. In a retrospective Innsbruck cohort, we investigated the baseline to last available follow-up clinical-demographic differences between men and women with MSA in a univariate fashion, followed by multivariable binary regression analysis.

Results The literature search yielded 46 publications with sex-related information in MSA. Most studies found comparable survival rates between the sexes, while some recent reports suggested a potential survival benefit for women, possibly due to initial motor onset and overall less severe autonomic failure compared to men. The retrospective Innsbruck MSA cohort comprised 56 female and 60 male individuals with a comparable median follow-up of 27 months. At baseline, female sex was independently associated with depression (odds ratio [OR] 4.7; p = 0.007) and male sex with severe orthostatic hypotension (OR 5.5; p = 0.016). In addition, at last follow-up, female sex was associated with the intake of central nervous system-active drugs (OR 4.1; p = 0.029), whereas male sex was associated with the presence of supine hypertension (OR 3.0; p = 0.020) and the intake of antihypertensive medications (OR 8.7; p = 0.001). Male sex was also associated with initiation of antihypertensive medications over the observation period (OR 12.4; p = 0.004).

Conclusion The available literature and findings of the present study indicate sex-related differences in the clinical presentation of MSA and its evolution over time, highlighting the importance of considering sex in symptom exploration, therapeutic decision-making, and future clinical trial design.

Keywords Multiple system atrophy \cdot Sex \cdot Gender \cdot Differences \cdot Clinical presentation

Deceased: Gregor K. Wenning.

Alessandra Fanciulli alessandra.fanciulli@i-med.ac.at

- ¹ Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
- ² Department of Neurology, Hochzirl-Natters Hospital, Zirl, Austria
- ³ Institute of Medical Statistics and Informatics, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
- ⁴ Department of Neurology, Provincial Hospital of Kufstein, Kufstein, Austria

Introduction

Multiple system atrophy (MSA) is a rare, rapidly progressive, and fatal neurodegenerative disorder of the adulthood, characterized by severe, multi-domain autonomic failure, poorly levodopa (L-Dopa)-responsive parkinsonism, and cerebellar and pyramidal features in various combinations [1]. Depending on the predominant motor presentation, a Parkinsonian (MSA-P) and a cerebellar variant (MSA-C) are distinguished [1]. Phosphorylated α -synuclein glial cytoplasmic inclusions associated with striatonigral, olivopontocerebellar, and central autonomic degeneration constitute the neuropathological hallmark of MSA and differentiate it from Parkinson's disease (PD), in which neuronal α -synuclein The term "sex" refers to the distinction of human individuals as female or male according to their reproductive organs and functions that derive from the chromosomal complement and determine differences in anatomy, physiology, and hormones [4]. By contrast, "gender" describes a person's self-representative identity, which may most commonly be male or female, but also encompasses transgender and other non-binary identities, and influences social interactions by the norms, behaviors, and roles associated with identifying a person as female, male, or non-binary [4].

Over the past years, both sex- and gender-related differences have been increasingly acknowledged as important factors influencing the clinical management and research outcomes of several neurodegenerative diseases [5]. In PD, differences between men and women have been found in the natural history, clinical profile, therapeutic needs, and treatment complications. The incidence and prevalence of PD is on average lower in women, who tend to be older at disease onset, suffer more frequently from disabling tremor, dyskinesia, and both motor and non-motor fluctuations, and have less access to deep brain stimulation compared to men [6]. Gender is also known to influence daily living and the care pathways of people with PD at multiple levels [6, 7].

By contrast, the incidence of MSA is comparable between women and men, and no clear sex-dependent difference has been identified so far in disease progression or survival of individuals living with MSA [1, 8]. Sex-related aspects have recently gained attention in MSA settings as well [9], but only a limited number of studies have specifically addressed this matter to date [10-12], and it ultimately remains unclear whether sex has an influence on MSA clinical presentation or its evolution over time.

In the study reported here, we first aimed to perform a narrative literature review on sex-related differences in the clinical presentation and natural history of MSA. Subsequently, we investigated whether sex-related differences occurred in the symptomatic profile or emerged over time in a large, retrospective cohort of individuals with MSA.

Methods

Literature search

Articles including sex-related information in the clinical presentation and natural history of MSA were identified by means of a PubMed literature search using the following combination of keywords: ["multiple system atrophy"] *AND* ["gender"] *OR* ["sex"] *OR* ["women"] *OR* ["men"].

The titles and abstracts of all search hits were screened for pertinence and relevance and, whenever applicable, a fulltext review was subsequently performed. The references of selected articles and relevant publications known to the study team were counterchecked for additional eligible papers. Records in English language published between 1969, when the term "multiple system atrophy" was first introduced in the scientific literature from Graham and Oppenheimer [13], through June 2023 were considered. A schematic overview of the literature search is provided in Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) Fig. 1.

Study population and data collection

The present study population comprised individuals retrospectively included in the Innsbruck MSA Registry who: (1) at the last available follow-up fulfilled the second consensus criteria for the diagnosis of MSA [14], namely probable MSA *OR* possible MSA with \geq 3 years disease duration; and (2) had a baseline visit and at least one follow-up visit.

All patients were examined at the Department of Neurology of the Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria, between 1998 and 2020. Clinical-demographic information concerning the baseline and last follow-up visit was retrospectively collected from available electronic and hand-written medical records and included:

- demographic data (i.e., sex; age at onset, baseline visit, and last available follow-up; disease duration to baseline visit and last available follow-up; and follow-up time);
- initial clinical features (divided into a motor, autonomic, or combined motor and autonomic symptomatic onset);
- presence of MSA motor or non-motor features and their associated treatment, including, among others:
 - classic orthostatic hypotension (OH), defined as orthostatic blood pressure (BP) falls of ≥ 20 mmHg systolic BP or ≥ 10 mmHg diastolic BP within 3 min of active or passive orthostatic challenge [15];
 - severe OH, defined as history of recurrent syncope and orthostatic BP falls ≥ 30 mmHg systolic BP or ≥ 15 mmHg diastolic BP [15];
 - intake of central nervous system (CNS)-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects, including anti-depressants, benzodiazepines, anti-psychotics, opioids, and trazodone [16];
- rating scales (Hoehn and Yahr stage [17] and Unified MSA Rating Scale [UMSARS] Part IV score [18]);
- comorbidities (presence of any cardio- and/or cerebrovascular disease, or diabetes mellitus).

A detailed description of the clinical-demographic information retrieval strategy and definitions of variables is provided in ESM Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Qualitative variables were summarized by absolute frequency (percentage) and quantitative variables were summarized by the median [25th; 75th percentile]. Absent information was treated as "missing data". The available sample size for each given analysis is reported in the tables in parentheses (n), and the relative frequencies correspond to the proportion of available data. Qualitative variables were analyzed using Pearson's Chi-square (χ^2) , Fisher's exact, or Fisher-Freeman-Halton test, where appropriate. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the distribution of quantitative variables. Depending on the data distribution, differences in quantitative variables were assessed with the Student's t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test, and bivariate correlations coefficients were calculated according to Pearson's or Spearman's ρ . Binary logistic regression analysis was used to create multivariable regressions models. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to compare observed and expected event rates of logistic regressions models.

As the first step, we performed a univariate analysis to investigate the differences in demographic data, initial clinical features, and the clinical presentation at baseline and last available follow-up of female versus male individuals with MSA. We then calculated the change in clinical characteristics (including new onset of motor/non-motor MSA features and comorbidities; start of any associated treatment; progression in the Hoehn and Yahr stage or dependency with core activities [i.e., UMSARS Part IV]; adjustment of L-dopa equivalent daily dosage; and worsening of dopaminergic response) from baseline to last followup for each individual and compared the respective rate of change from baseline to last follow-up between sexes. In a second step, clinical characteristics with a p value of <0.1 in the univariate comparison between female and male MSA individuals were selected as dependent outcome variables for the multivariable binary regression analyses to investigate possible associations with sex and other covariates. In addition to sex, other covariates included in each multivariable model were age, disease duration or follow-up time, and other clinically meaningful parameters, depending on the outcome variable of interest (e.g., anti-hypertensive medication intake for the outcome variable "severe OH"). Taking the cohort size into account, we allowed up to a maximum of six covariates without high direct (i.e., Pearson's/Spearman's $\rho \ge 0.7$) or inverse (i.e., Pearson's/ Spearman's $\rho \leq -0.7$) bivariate correlations for each multivariable analysis. Whenever the calculation of multivariable odds ratios was not feasible due to high interference of covariates included in the model (e.g., covariates which were present in most of the subjects), bivariate odds ratios were presented.

A two-tailed *p* value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Due to the study's explorative nature, we did not apply a correction for multiple testing. The statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS® V29.0 statistical package (SPSS IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The full analytic approach of the present study is summarized in ESM Fig. 2.

Results

Literature review on sex-related differences in the clinical presentation and natural history of MSA

The literature search yielded 46 articles that included information on sex-related differences in the clinical presentation and natural history of MSA. Figure 1 depicts the number of studies reporting sex-related information before [19–25] and after [26–34] the publication of the first [35] and second MSA consensus criteria [14] through June 2023 [10–12, 36–62].

Most studies comprised clinically diagnosed MSA cohorts, while six studies included post-mortem MSA cases only [21, 24, 31, 32, 34, 42]. Both clinical and neuropathological studies on MSA found sex-related differences in survival and clinical presentation of the disease.



Fig. 1 Studies providing sex-related information in the clinical presentation or natural history of MSA from its first description in 1969 through 2023. *MSA* multiple system atrophy

Numerous studies investigated survival differences between women and men with MSA [10, 19–21, 23–27, 32-34, 38-40, 42-47, 51, 52]. In 1994, Wenning and colleagues first reported a shorter survival of women with MSA when considering autonomic features-including erectile/ejaculatory dysfunction in men-as initial clinical symptoms, whereas no survival difference was found when marking the disease onset by motor features only [20]. Schrag et al. [33] and O'Sullivan et al. [34] likewise observed a shorter survival of women with MSA; however, both studies also considered male erectile dysfunction as a possible MSA onset feature [34], or did not specify which symptoms qualified for MSA disease onset [33]. A number of more recent studies, which did not account for isolated sexual dysfunction as a MSA onset feature, reported a survival benefit of women with MSA [38, 52], possibly due to an initial motor symptomatic onset and overall less severe autonomic failure compared to men [10]. The majority of the retrieved studies, however, did not observe a sexrelated difference in survival [19, 21, 23-27, 32, 39, 40, 42-47].

Based on a shorter time to dependency on walking aids or the wheelchair, an early study of 1998 reported a faster disease progression in women with MSA [25]. Subsequent reports did not observe a sex-related difference in disease progression as indicated by the Unified PD Rating Scale (UPDRS) or UMSARS, or by reaching milestones that correspond to the loss of independent movement [27, 28, 30, 32]. However, two more recent studies, which also applied the UMSARS, again showed a faster disease progression in women [11, 51].

The literature on sex-related differences in the clinical presentation of MSA suggested that compared to men, women more frequently have a motor symptomatic onset and a better response to L-dopa, but overall develop greater motor disability over time and more frequently suffer from pain, depression, anxiety, sarcopenia, frailty, early falls, fractures, worse cognitive performance, and lower healthrelated quality of life [10, 11, 22, 25, 31, 48, 50, 51, 59]. By contrast, men were more frequently reported to have an autonomic symptomatic onset and to suffer from more widespread and severe autonomic failure, except for urinary urge incontinence and constipation, which were more common in women [10, 12, 20, 34, 36, 37, 41, 51, 55, 60, 61]. Higher supine BP levels as well as greater orthostatic BP falls were both associated with increased mortality in men with MSA [54, 57].

Controversial findings were found in the literature on sexrelated differences in excessive daytime sleepiness and REM sleep behavior disorder [53, 56, 61]. The full results of the literature search on sex-related aspects in MSA are provided in ESM Table 2.

Comparison of the clinical-demographic characteristics of female and male individuals included in the Innsbruck MSA Registry

A total of 56 female (48%) and 60 male (52%) individuals from the Innsbruck MSA Registry fulfilled the inclusion criteria of the present study and were selected for further analysis, while 28 individuals had no follow-up visit and were therefore excluded. No intersex cases were recorded. The women included in the study were younger at the time of disease onset than their male counterparts (56 [50; 63] vs. 62 [53; 66] years; p = 0.019). The disease onset was mainly characterized by motor features (i.e., parkinsonian or cerebellar symptoms, in >85% of cases) in both sexes (ESM Table 3).

Sex-related differences in the clinical presentation at baseline visit

Women also presented at a younger age at the time of the baseline visit (p = 0.040). The univariate analysis of clinical characteristics showed that at the baseline visit, women were more likely to suffer from depression (p = 0.010) and to be more frequently on CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects (p = 0.003). By contrast, men showed a higher frequency of sexual dysfunction (p = 0.024) and severe OH (p = 0.042). Global disability as indicated by the UMSARS Part IV (more pronounced in women; p = 0.067) and cerebellar oculomotor dysfunction (more frequent in men; p = 0.093) failed to reach statistical significance, but also qualified as dependent outcome variables for the multivariable regression analysis. The full results of the comparison of clinical characteristics at baseline visit are shown in the left part of Table 1.

Multivariable regression analysis showed that female sex was independently associated with depression at baseline. By contrast, male sex was associated with the presence of cerebellar oculomotor dysfunction (this, in turn, was associated with a cerebellar symptomatic onset and a longer disease duration to baseline visit), severe OH (which was negatively associated with the intake of antihypertensive medications), and sexual dysfunction. Table 2 summarizes the clinical characteristics that showed an association with female or male sex in the multivariable analysis, while also indicating other associated covariates. The multivariable analysis did not reveal an association of sex with the baseline level of global disability (i.e., UMSARS Part IV score) or the intake of CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects anymore (ESM Table 4).

Table 1	Clinical-demographic	characteristics a	t baseline a	nd last availab	le follow-up	of male and	female in	ndividuals	included in	the !	Innsbruck
MSA Re	egistry										

Clinical-demo-	Baseline visit			Last follow-up			
graphic character- istics	Total MSA cohort $(n = 116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	Total MSA cohort $(n=116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort $(n=56)$	
Sociodemographic da	ta						
Age (years) Disease duration (months)	60.9 [55.0; 68.5] 30.9 [17.2; 48.2]	64.0 [55.5; 70.6]* 29.3 [17.4; 45.8]	59.0 [53.2; 65.6]* 34.8 [14.2; 53.5]	64.3 [57.1; 71.3] 61.8 [48.7; 83.8]	66.5 [57.6; 72.9]* 54.4 [46.3; 70.4]*	62.4 [56.8; 69.1]* 68.8 [56.1; 87.9]*	
Motor features and as	sociated treatment						
Parkinsonism (<i>n</i> -BV = 58 M, 55 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 57 M, 56 F)	86 (76.1)	46 (79.3)	40 (72.7)	104 (92.0)	50 (87.7)	54 (96.4)	
Bradykinesia (<i>n</i> -FU=59 M, 56 F)	90 (77.6)	48 (80.0)	42 (75.0)	107 (93.0)	53 (89.8)	54 (96.4)	
Rigidity (<i>n</i> -BV = 59 M, 55 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 58 M, 56 F)	85 (74.6)	45 (76.3)	40 (72.7)	101 (88.6)	48 (82.8)*	53 (94.6)*	
Tremor, any $(n-BV=59 \text{ M}, 56 \text{ F})$	66 (57.4)	35 (59.3)	31 (55.4)	68 (58.6)	34 (56.7)	34 (60.7)	
Postural instability (<i>n</i> -BV = 48 M, 49 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 52 M, 55 F)	51 (52.6)	23 (47.9)	28 (57.1)	95 (88.8)	43 (82.7)	52 (94.5)	
Cerebellar syndrome (<i>n</i> -BV = 58 M, 55 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 56 M; 53 F)	52 (46.0)	25 (43.1)	27 (49.1)	72 (66.1)	36 (64.3)	36 (67.9)	
Gait ataxia (<i>n</i> -BV = 60 M, 55 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 56 M, 53 F)	57 (49.6)	29 (48.3)	28 (50.9)	74 (67.9)	38 (67.9)	36 (67.9)	
Ataxic dysarthria (n -BV = 54 M, 52 F; n-FU = 47 M, 43 F)	32 (30.2)	14 (25.9)	18 (34.6)	46 (51.1)	21 (44.7)	25 (58.1)	
Limb ataxia (<i>n</i> -BV = 59 M, 55 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 59 M, 55 F)	49 (43.0)	24 (40.7)	25 (45.5)	68 (59.6)	33 (55.9)	35 (63.6)	
Cerebellar oculomo- tor dysfunction	57 (49.1)	34 (56.7)*	23 (41.1)*	88 (75.9)	46 (76.7)	42 (75.0)	
Postural abnormali- ties (n-BV = 58 M, 56 F; n-FU = 58 M, 56 F)	28 (24.6)	17 (29.3)	11 (19.6)	61 (53.5)	31 (53.4)	30 (53.6)	
Pyramidal tract signs (n -BV = 54 M, 51 F; n-FU = 56 M, 53 F)	58 (55.2)	27 (50.0)	31 (60.8)	61 (56.0)	24 (42.9)*	37 (69.8)*	
Dopaminergic treat- ment	77 (66.4)	42 (70.0)	35 (62.5)	89 (76.7)	43 (71.7)	46 (82.1)	
LEDD (mg) (<i>n</i> -BV=41 M, 35 F; <i>n</i> -FU=42 M, 46 F)	600 [300; 975]	583 [300; 947]	600 [300; 1000]	849 [481; 1244]	800 [500; 1169]	938 [400; 1286]	
Dopaminergic response (<i>n</i> -BV = 38 M, 28 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 48 M, 50 F)							
- No or poor	12 (18.2)	5 (13.2)	7 (25.0)	70 (71.4)	39 (81.3)*	31 (62.0)*	
- Moderate to good	15 (22.7)	9 (23.7)	6 (21.4)	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)*	1 (2.0)*	
- Uncertain	39 (59.1)	24 (63.2)	15 (53.6)	27 (27.6)	9 (18.7)*	18 (36.0)*	

Table 1 (continued)

Clinical-demo-	Baseline visit			Last follow-up			
graphic character- istics	Total MSA cohort $(n = 116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	Total MSA cohort $(n = 116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	
Rating scales							
Hoehn and Yahr stage (<i>n</i> -BV = 49 M, 45 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 56 M, 54 F)	2.3 [2.0; 3.0]	2.0 [2.0; 3.0]	3.0 [2.0; 3.0]	4.0 [3.0; 5.0]	4.0 [2.0; 5.0]	4.0 [4.0; 5.0]	
UMSARS Part IV score (<i>n</i> -BV=42 M, 37 F)	2.0 [2.0; 3.0]	2.0 [2.0; 3.0]*	2.0 [2.0; 3.0]*	4.0 [3.0; 4.0]	4.0 [3.0; 4.0]	4.0 [3.0; 5.0]	
Non-motor features &	associated treatmen	t					
Classic OH (<i>n</i> -BV = 57 M, 52 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 58 M, 53 F)	43 (39.4)	26 (45.6)	17 (32.7)	84 (75.7)	49 (84.5)*	35 (66.0)*	
- Severe OH (<i>n</i> -BV = 58 M, 51 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 56 M, 53 F)	34 (31.2)	23 (39.7)*	11 (21.6)*	67 (61.5)	41 (73.2)*	26 (49.1)*	
- History of orthos- tatic syncope (<i>n</i> -BV = 60 M, 53 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 59 M, 54 F)	26 (23.0)	17 (28.3)	9 (17.0)	47 (41.6)	30 (50.8)*	17 (31.5)*	
- OH treatment, pharmacological and/or non-phar- macological	36 (31.0)	18 (30.0)	18 (32.1)	68 (58.6)	38 (63.3)	30 (53.6)	
- OH treatment, pressor agents	26 (22.4)	13 (21.7)	13 (23.2)	50 (43.1)	29 (48.3)	21 (37.5)	
- Supine hyperten- sion (<i>n</i> -BV = 55 M, 49 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 53 M, 54 F)	21 (20.2)	12 (21.8)	9 (18.4)	45 (42.1)	28 (52.8)*	17 (31.5)*	
Neurogenic bladder disturbances (<i>n</i> -BV = 49 M, 46 F;	51 (53.7)	26 (53.1)	25 (54.3)	93 (91.2)	49 (92.5)	44 (89.8)	
n-FU = 53 M, 49 F		a. (72. 1)					
- Urinary inconti- nence (<i>n</i> -BV = 58 M, 56 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 57 M, 55 F)	58 (50.9)	51 (55.4)	27 (48.2)	93 (83.0)	48 (84.2)	45 (81.8)	
- Incomplete blad- der emptying (<i>n</i> -BV = 44 M, 43 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 50 M, 42 F)	37 (42.5)	20 (45.5)	17 (39.5)	68 (73.9)	39 (78.0)	29 (69.0)	
- Overactive blad- der symptoms (<i>n</i> -FU = 59 M, 55 F)	78 (67.2)	44 (73.3)	34 (60.7)	109 (95.6)	58 (98.3)	51 (92.7)	
- Catheterization (<i>n</i> -FU=59 M, 56 F)	16 (13.8)	9 (15.0)	7 (12.5)	48 (41.7)	29 (49.2)*	19 (33.9)*	

Table 1 (continued)										
Clinical-demo-	Baseline visit			Last follow-up						
graphic character- istics	Total MSA cohort $(n=116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	Total MSA cohort $(n=116)$	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)				
Sexual dysfunction (n -BV = 30 M, 6 F; n-FU = 43 M, 17 F)	31 (86.1)	28 (93.3)*	3 (50.0)*	57 (95.0)	43 (100)*	14 (82.4)*				
Constipation (<i>n</i> -BV = 47 M, 46 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 50 M, 49 F)	43 (46.2)	22 (46.8)	21 (45.7)	75 (75.8)	39 (78.0)	36 (73.5)				
Stridor (<i>n</i> -BV = 16 M, 22 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 29 M, 25 F)	8 (21.1)	2 (12.5)	6 (27.3)	29 (53.7)	15 (51.7)	14 (56.0)				
Inspiratory sighs (<i>n</i> -BV = 14 M, 14 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 21 M, 21 F)	8 (28.6)	4 (28.6)	4 (28.6)	25 (59.5)	12 (57.1)	13 (61.9)				
Speaking/acting out dreams (<i>n</i> -BV = 44 M, 37 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 55 M, 51 F)	51 (63.0)	28 (63.6)	23 (62.2)	83 (78.3)	41 (74.5)	42 (82.4)				
Depression (<i>n</i> -BV = 57 M, 48 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 58 M, 48 F)	49 (46.7)	20 (35.1)*	29 (60.4)*	63 (59.4)	24 (41.4)*	39 (81.3)*				
Intake of CNS-active drugs with BP lowering side effects	60 (51.7)	23 (38.3)*	37 (66.1)*	77 (66.4)	30 (50.0)*	47 (83.9)*				
Comorbidities										
Cardiovascular disease	41 (35.3)	25 (41.7)	16 (28.6)	49 (42.2)	31 (51.7)*	18 (32.1)*				
Antihypertensive medication intake	36 (31.0)	21 (35.0)	15 (26.8)	39 (33.6)	29 (48.3)*	10 (17.9)*				
Diabetes mellitus (<i>n</i> -BV = 59 M, 56 F; <i>n</i> -FU = 59 M, 56 F)	11 (9.6)	7 (11.9)	4 (7.1)	12 (10.4)	8 (13.6)	4 (7.1)				

Qualitative variables are presented as the absolute frequency (percentage) and quantitative variables as the median [25th; 75th percentile] *BP* blood pressure, *CNS* central nervous system, *F* female, *LEDD* L-dopa equivalent daily dosage, *M* male, *MSA* multiple system atrophy, *n* number, *n-BV* number at baseline visit, *n-FU* number at last follow-up, *OH* orthostatic hypotension, *UMSARS* Unified MSA Rating Scale *Significant at p < 0.1 in the comparison between men and women

Sex-related differences in the clinical presentation at last available follow-up

At last available follow-up, 99 (85%) individuals fulfilled the criteria for probable MSA and 73 were classified as MSA-P (63%), without any sex-related differences observed in the level of MSA diagnostic certainty (45 [80%] women were diagnosed with probable MSA vs. 54 [90%] men; p=0.142) or predominant MSA subtype (35 [63%] women with MSA-P vs. 38 [63%] men; p=0.926).

Compared to men, women showed a longer disease duration up to the last available follow-up (p = 0.005). Women also had a higher frequency of depression (p < 0.001), were more frequently on CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects (p < 0.001), and were more

likely to show pyramidal signs at last available follow-up (p = 0.005). By contrast, men showed a higher frequency of classic (p = 0.024) and severe OH (p = 0.010), orthostatic syncope (p = 0.037), supine hypertension (p = 0.025), and sexual dysfunction (p = 0.020). Men also had a higher prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidities (p = 0.033) and a more frequent intake of antihypertensive medication (p < 0.001). Other characteristics that qualified for the multivariable regression analysis comprised rigidity (more frequent in women; p = 0.075), dopaminergic response (worse in men; p = 0.055), and catheterization (more frequent in men; p = 0.098). Full results of the comparison of clinical characteristics at last available follow-up are shown in the right part of Table 1.

Table 2 Clinical characteristics of Innsbruck MSA individuals that showed an association with female or male sex in the multivariable analysis

Outcome variable		uriate sex		Other covariates in the multivariable models
(i.e., clinical characteristic showing an asso- ciation with female or male sex)	M/F	Odds ratio (95% CI)	р	
At baseline visit				
Cerebellar oculomotor dysfunction (yes vs. no)	М	2.7 (1.2–6.5)	0.021*	Initial clinical feature: <u>cerebellar symptoms</u> ^b . At baseline visit: age; <u>disease duration</u> ^b
Severe OH (yes vs. no)	М	5.5 (1.4–21.9)	0.016*	At baseline visit: age; disease duration; LEDD; intake of CNS-active drugs with BP lowering side effects; antihypertensive medication intake ^c
Sexual dysfunction (yes vs. no)	М	14 (1.6–120.1) ^a	0.024*	At baseline visit: age; disease duration; depression; comorbidities (cardiovascular disease; diabetes mel- litus)
Depression (yes vs. no)	F	4.7 (1.5–14.1)	0.007*	At baseline visit: age; disease duration; UMSARS Part IV score; comorbidities (cardiovascular disease; diabe- tes mellitus)
At last available follow-up				
Pyramidal tract signs (yes vs. no)	F	2.7 (1.2–6.2)	0.019*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; comorbidities (diabetes mellitus)
Classic OH (yes vs. no)	М	7.5 (1.5–37.8)	0.014*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; LEDD; intake of CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects; antihypertensive medication intake ^c
Severe OH (yes vs. no)	М	11.1 (2.5–50.2)	0.002*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; LEDD; intake of CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects; antihypertensive medication intake
Supine hypertension (yes vs. no)	М	3.0 (1.2–7.6)	0.020*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; OH treatment (pressor agents); antihypertensive medication intake
Depression (yes vs. no)	F	6.2 (2.3–16.4)	<0.001*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; UMSARS Part IV score; comorbidities (cardiovascular disease; diabe- tes mellitus)
Intake of CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects (yes vs. no)	F	4.1 (1.2–14.4)	0.029*	At last follow-up: age; <u>disease duration</u> ^c ; <u>UMSARS Part</u> <u>IV score^b</u> ; <u>depression^b</u> ; speaking/acting out dreams
Antihypertensive medication intake (yes vs. no)	М	8.7 (2.4–32.2)	0.001*	At last follow-up: age; disease duration; severe OH; supine hypertension; <u>comorbidities (cardiovascular</u> <u>disease</u> ^b)
Change from baseline to last available follow-	ıp			
Antihypertensive medication intake (start yes vs. no)	М	12.4 (1.5–99.1) ^a	0.018*	Age at last follow-up; follow-up time New onset from baseline to follow-up of: <u>severe OH</u> ^b ; <u>supine hypertension</u> ^c ; <u>comorbidities (cardiovascular</u> <u>disease^b)</u>

BP blood pressure, CI confidence interval, F female, LEDD L-dopa equivalent daily dosage, M male, MSA multiple system atrophy, OH orthostatic hypotension, UMSARS Unified MSA Rating Scale

*Significant at p < 0.05

^aPresentation of bivariate odds ratio

^bOther covariates included in the multivariable analysis and showing a significant positive association with the outcome variable (underlined) ^cOther covariates included in the multivariable analysis and showing a significant negative association with the outcome variable (underlined)

Multivariable regression analysis showed that at last follow-up, female sex was independently associated with pyramidal signs and depression (Table 2). In contrast to the baseline visit, at last follow-up, female sex showed an association with the intake of CNS-active drugs with BPlowering side effects (this, in turn, was associated with a higher UMSARS Part IV score, depression, and a shorter disease duration to last follow-up). Male sex was associated with classic OH (which also showed a negative association with the intake of antihypertensive medication), severe OH, and supine hypertension. In addition, male sex showed an association with the intake of antihypertensive medication (which was also associated with the presence of cardiovascular comorbidities). We did not observe an association between sex and rigidity, response to dopaminergic treatment, history of orthostatic syncope, catheterization, or cardiovascular comorbidities at last available follow-up (ESM Table 4).

Sex-related differences in the baseline to last follow-up changes in clinical presentation

The available follow-up time remained comparable between sexes (Table 3). Over the observational period, women had a higher increase of L-dopa equivalent daily dosage, whereas men showed a more frequent start of antihypertensive medication. Other characteristics that qualified for the multivariable regression analysis included development of parkinsonism or bradykinesia (in individuals with the MSA-C phenotype), rigidity, and postural abnormalities (all more frequent in women), as well as new onset of supine hypertension and worsening of dopaminergic response (both more frequent in men).

In the multivariable model, male sex was associated with the introduction of antihypertensive medications over the observational period (Table 2), while we did not observe an association of sex with newly developed parkinsonism, bradykinesia, rigidity, postural abnormalities, supine hypertension, a L-dopa equivalent daily dosage increase of more than 300 mg/day, or worsening of dopaminergic response (ESM Table 4).

Discussion

Although sex-related differences generally remain understudied in MSA, we found a steadily increasing number of publications assessing—at least in subgroup analyses—the influence of sex on the clinical presentation and natural history of MSA. Such mounting research interest is probably due to the overall growing awareness of the importance of considering sex-specific aspects in healthcare provision.

The most frequently investigated sex-related aspect in the MSA literature was survival, with the majority of studies concluding on a comparable survival outcome between sexes [19, 20, 23–27, 32, 39, 40, 42–47]. In these studies, disease onset was mainly defined with the beginning of motor features only. Earlier studies reported on a male survival benefit, but also considered sexual dysfunction for defining MSA disease onset [20, 34]. While erectile dysfunction is a common and well-recognized early feature in men with MSA, female sexual dysfunction remains largely underestimated and only rarely addressed, despite its high prevalence [9]. These earlier reports of a male survival benefit were therefore probably due to differential recording of sexual dysfunction in women and men and likely affected by a lead-time bias [20, 24, 34].

The literature on sex-related differences in the clinical presentation of MSA primarily consisted of studies conducted following the publication of the second consensus MSA criteria [14]. Altogether, these studies indicated that women suffer from a greater motor disability and higher neuropsychiatric burden, while possibly experiencing a slight survival benefit due to initial motor onset and overall less severe autonomic failure compared to men [10-12,38, 41, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 60, 61]. A motor onset in MSA may positively impact women's survival by prompting an earlier referral, diagnosis, and thus optimized care [10]. By contrast, early and severe autonomic failure has been associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality both in MSA and in the general population [27, 34, 42, 43, 63–65], and may explain the shorter survival of male MSA individuals observed in some recent studies [10, 54].

In the present retrospective study, we focused on sexrelated differences in the clinical presentation of MSA and for the first time—its evolution over time. We found that:

- 1. Cardiovascular autonomic failure occurred more frequently and was more severe in men. As early as at baseline, men suffered more frequently from severe OH, while at follow-up, both severe OH and supine hypertension were independently associated with male sex.
- 2. Male sex was associated with a higher use of antihypertensive medications throughout the observation period.
- 3. In contrast to men, depression was more frequent in women and independently associated with female sex both at baseline and last available follow-up.
- 4. Female sex was associated with the intake of CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects at last follow-up.

Population-based studies generally indicate a similar prevalence of OH among aging women and men [66–68]. However, in individuals aged > 75 years, a higher OH frequency has been reported in men [69]. OH has also been observed more frequently in men with PD [70], especially in male individuals of taller stature [71]. A greater height is generally associated with lower BP in healthy elderly individuals, possibly reflecting underlying hydrostatic mechanisms [72], and a taller stature may likewise contribute to an increased risk of developing clinically relevant orthostatic BP falls in men [73]. The influence of sex on autonomic BP control in aging individuals also needs to be considered [74]. Vascular transduction, i.e., more vasoconstriction/a greater increase in BP for a given sympathetic burst, has been shown to increase with age in women (in part possibly due to the loss of estrogen), while decreasing in men [74]. This increase in sympathetic activity and adrenergic vasoconstrictor responsiveness may partially compensate for the changes arising from MSA neurodegeneration at a preganglionic level. Altogether, sex-specific morphological

 Table 3
 Baseline to last available follow-up change of clinical characteristics and follow-up time in male versus female individuals included in the Innsbruck MSA Registry

Clinical presentation	Total MSA cohort ($n = 116$)	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	<i>p</i> (M vs. F)
Sociodemographic data				
Follow-up time (months) Motor features & associated treatment	27.0 [14.3; 41.8]	25.5 [11.4; 39.1]	29.8 [14.9; 47.2]	0.193
New onset of parkinsonism $(n = 56 \text{ M}; 55 \text{ F})$	19 (17.1)	6 (10.7)	13 (23.6)	0.071*
New onset of bradykinesia (n = 59 M; 56 F)	18 (15.7)	6 (10.2)	12 (21.4)	0.097*
New onset of rigidity $(n = 57 \text{ M}; 55 \text{ F})$	19 (17.0)	6 (10.5)	13 (23.6)	0.065*
New onset of tremor, any $(n = 59 \text{ M}; 56 \text{ F})$	19 (16.5)	8 (13.6)	11 (19.6)	0.380
New onset of postural instability $(n = 46 \text{ M}; 48 \text{ F})$	32 (34.0)	15 (32.6)	17 (35.4)	0.774
New onset of cerebellar syndrome $(n = 55 \text{ M}; 52 \text{ F})$	19 (17.8)	11 (20.0)	8 (15.4)	0.532
New onset of gait ataxia (n = 56 M; 52 F)	17 (15.7)	10 (17.9)	7 (13.5)	0.531
New onset of ataxic dysarthria $(n = 47 \text{ M}; 42 \text{ F})$	14 (15.7)	8 (17.0)	6 (14.3)	0.723
New onset of limb ataxia (n = 58 M; 54 F)	24 (21.4)	12 (20.7)	12 (22.2)	0.843
New onset of cerebellar oculomotor dysfunction	37 (31.9)	16 (26.7)	21 (37.5)	0.211
New onset of postural abnormalities $(n = 56 \text{ M}; 56 \text{ F})$	32 (28.6)	12 (21.4)	20 (35.7)	0.094*
New onset of pyramidal tract signs $(n = 51 \text{ M}; 49 \text{ F})$	18 (18.0)	7 (13.7)	11 (22.4)	0.256
Start of dopaminergic treatment	17 (14.7)	6 (10.0)	11 (19.6)	0.142
- Adjustment of LEDD (mg) (n=46 M; 46 F)	290.0 [-33.0; 490.0]	195.0 [-92.5; 396.3]	337.5 [1.8; 587.5]	0.013*
- Worsening of dopaminergic response (n=38 M; 28 F)	45 (68.2)	29 (76.3)	16 (57.1)	0.098*
Rating scales				
Increase of Hoehn and Yahr, overall $(n=56 \text{ M}; 54 \text{ F})$	89 (80.9)	42 (75.0)	47 (87.0)	0.108
Increase of Hoehn and Yahr stage $(n=56 \text{ M}; 54 \text{ F})$	2.0 [1.0; 3.0]	1.0 [0.0; 3.0]	2.0 [1.0; 3.0]	0.120
- per year of follow-up (n=56 M; 54 F)	0.6 [0.3; 1.0]	0.6 [0.0; 0.9]	0.6 [0.4; 1.1]	0.849
Increase of UMSARS Part IV, overall $(n=42 \text{ M}; 37 \text{ F})$	61 (77.2)	31 (73.8)	30 (81.1)	0.442
Increase of UMSARS Part IV score $(n = 42 \text{ M}; 37 \text{ F})$	1.0 [1.0; 2.0]	1.0 [0.0; 2.0]	2.0 [1.0; 2.0]	0.327
- per year of follow-up $(n=42 \text{ M}; 37 \text{ F})$	0.6 [0.3; 1.0]	0.6 [0.0; 1.1]	0.7 [0.4; 0.9]	0.916
Non-motor features & associated treatme	ent			
New onset of classic OH $(n = 56 \text{ M}; 51 \text{ F})$	38 (35.5)	21 (37.5)	17 (33.3)	0.653
- New onset of severe OH $(n=55 \text{ M}; 49 \text{ F})$	29 (27.9)	17 (30.9)	12 (24.5)	0.466
- New onset of history of orthostatic syncope (<i>n</i> = 59 M; 52 F)	20 (18.0)	13 (22.0)	7 (13.5)	0.241

Clinical presentation	Total MSA cohort ($n = 116$)	Male MSA cohort $(n=60)$	Female MSA cohort ($n = 56$)	<i>p</i> (M vs. F)
- Start of OH treatment, pharmacologi- cal and/or non-pharmacological	36 (31.0)	20 (33.3)	16 (28.6)	0.580
- Start of OH treatment, pressor agents	29 (25.0)	18 (30.0)	11 (19.6)	0.198
- New onset of supine hypertension $(n=49 \text{ M}; 48 \text{ F})$	19 (19.6)	13 (26.5)	6 (12.5)	0.082*
New onset of neurogenic bladder disturbances ($n = 46 \text{ M} \cdot 43 \text{ F}$)	29 (32.6)	16 (34.8)	13 (30.2)	0.647
- New onset of urinary incontinence (n=56 M; 55 F)	36 (32.4)	18 (32.1)	18 (32.7)	0.948
- New onset of incomplete bladder emptying (n=40 M; 38 F)	21 (26.9)	10 (25.0)	11 (28.9)	0.694
 New onset of overactive bladder symptoms (n=59 M; 55 F) 	32 (28.1)	15 (25.4)	17 (30.9)	0.515
- Start of catheterization $(n = 59 \text{ M}; 56 \text{ F})$	34 (29.6)	21 (35.6)	13 (23.2)	0.146
New onset of sexual dysfunction $(n=30 \text{ M}; 6 \text{ F})$	2 (5.6)	2 (6.7)	0 (0)	1.000
New onset of constipation $(n=42 \text{ M}; 41 \text{ F})$	23 (27.7)	13 (31.0)	10 (24.4)	0.504
New onset of stridor $(n = 15 \text{ M}; 18 \text{ F})$	2 (6.1)	1 (6.7)	1 (5.6)	1.000
New onset of inspiratory sighs $(n = 14 \text{ M}; 13 \text{ F})$	2 (7.4)	1 (7.1)	1 (7.7)	1.000
New onset of speaking/acting out dreams $(n=43 \text{ M}; 37 \text{ F})$	11 (13.8)	4 (9.3)	7 (18.9)	0.330
New onset of depression $(n = 56 \text{ M}; 43 \text{ F})$	15 (15.2)	7 (12.5)	8 (18.6)	0.401
Start of CNS-active drugs with BP lowering side effects	23 (19.8)	11 (18.3)	12 (21.4)	0.676
Comorbidities				
New onset of cardiovascular disease	9 (7.8)	6 (10.0)	3 (5.4)	0.493
Start of antihypertensive medication intake	12 (10.3)	11 (18.3)	1 (1.8)	0.004*
New onset of diabetes mellitus $(n=59 \text{ M}; 56 \text{ F})$	1 (0.9)	1 (1.7)	0 (0)	1.000

Clinical Autonomic Research

Table 3 (continued)

Qualitative variables are presented as the absolute frequency (percentage) and quantitative variables as the median [25th; 75th percentile]

BP blood pressure, CNS central nervous system, F female, LEDD L-dopa daily equivalent dosage, M male, MSA multiple system atrophy, n number, OH orthostatic hypotension, UMSARS Unified MSA Rating Scale

*Significant at p < 0.1 in the comparison between men and women

and physiological differences in cardiovascular autonomic BP control may explain the predisposition of men with MSA to develop earlier and more severe cardiovascular autonomic failure.

We also found that both classic and severe OH were negatively associated with an antihypertensive medication intake. Antihypertensive drugs are among the most frequent factors exacerbating OH, and reducing the dosage or suspending their administration is an established initial step of OH management [75]. This negative association therefore likely reflects the implementation of a stepwise OH treatment approach in a tertiary referral center [75, 76].

Compared to the general aging population, in which women and men are equally likely to use antihypertensive medication for cardiovascular disease [77], the here observed association of an antihypertensive medication intake with male sex over time and at last follow-up may be driven by a higher frequency of supine hypertension in male MSA subjects.

Although men had a higher prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidities at last follow-up, the multivariable analysis only showed an association between older age and the occurrence of cardiovascular comorbidities. For individuals aged 60 to 79 years, data from the 2023 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics Update [78] in fact shows that age-adjusted frequency rates of cardiovascular comorbidities remain similar between sexes. The higher frequency of cardiovascular comorbidities in our male MSA cohort might therefore be due to the higher age at disease onset and subsequently also the borderline higher age at last follow-up of men. Despite previous studies showing a link between cardiovascular autonomic failure and worse cardio- and cerebrovascular outcomes in affected individuals [63, 79, 80], we did not observe an association between cardiovascular comorbidities and severe OH or supine hypertension in our MSA cohort. This finding may result from the limited follow-up time of the present study, since end-organ damage may cumulate over a long time before it becomes clinically manifest.

Meta-analyses and population-based studies suggest that women are diagnosed with depression about twice as often as men [81–83]. Frequency rates of depression in MSA are significantly higher when compared to age- and sex-matched healthy controls [84], and a preponderance of depression in women with MSA has been reported in earlier studies [11, 48]. The here observed higher frequency of depression in women and its independent association with female sex thus likely reflect a sex-related female background susceptibility to developing mood disorders, amplified by the burden of a relentlessly progressing disease. In addition, gender-related differences in receiving home-care versus undergoing early institutionalization and a higher likelihood of recognizing depression in women may possibly contribute to this observation [84].

Anti-depressants represented a considerable proportion of the CNS-active drugs with BP-lowering side effects observed in the present study and were associated with both depression and female sex at last available follow-up. This observation may indicate that women are more prone to seek mental health care and/or have a more favorable response to antidepressant therapy [83, 85–88].

Compared to previous studies [10, 12, 37, 55], we did not observe an association between sex and urinary incontinence or catheterization. In the general population, perineal laxity and multiparity are well-known factors that contribute to urinary incontinence in women, while prostate hypertrophy may cause urinary retention in men. These sex-specific anatomical differences are also likely to contribute to the occurrence and severity of urological disturbances in individuals with MSA, emphasizing the need for further research in this area. This study has a number of limitations. During the scoping phase, we carefully assessed the feasibility of performing a systematic review, but given the high heterogeneity of the data and possible overlap across the available studies, we ultimately sought to provide a narrative overview of the topic. Due to the monocentric and retrospective assessment of the MSA cohort, potential selection, observer, recall, and documentation biases are also to be considered. However, all assessments were performed by staff members with longstanding expertise in the field of atypical parkinsonian disorders, and often repeated multiple times over the follow-up period, thereby securing accurate and comprehensive information for most of the reported clinical characteristics.

Clinical Autonomic Research

Documentation inhomogeneity particularly affected nonmotor symptoms, such as stridor, inspiratory sighs, and sexual dysfunction. The latter was by far better documented in male than female MSA individuals, probably reflecting a sociodynamic, gender-related difference in history-taking. The retrospective Innsbruck MSA Registry also did not include any transgender cases nor were closer genderrelated aspects documented, thereby preventing an assessment of their possible influence on medical decision-making in MSA. Moreover, cardiovascular and urological autonomic testing was not performed exclusively in our center. Whenever available, the respective findings were taken into account for a qualitative analysis, but the lack of biosignal homogeneity hindered a quantitative analysis of autonomic function indices. Likewise, full UMSARS scores were only available in a subset of patients and could therefore not be included in the data analysis.

In our MSA cohort, men had a significantly higher age at disease onset. To counteract this potential source of bias, we included the respective age as well as the disease duration or available follow-up time in the multivariable analyses. Interestingly, in PD, women tend to have a later disease onset than men, possibly due to neuroprotective effects of female sex hormones, especially estrogen [6, 89, 90]. While it remains unclear how sex hormones may influence α -synuclein pathology and studies in MSA models are lacking, our findings suggest that female sex hormones may lack a neuroprotective effect against MSA-specific mechanisms of disease.

Since sex has been recently shown to have no significant effect on survival in a larger sample of Innsbruck MSA patients [91], we did not perform another survival analysis. Finally, given the explorative, rather than hypothesisdriven, nature of the study, we did not correct for multiple testing, but performed multivariable analyses to evaluate the influence of putative demographic and clinical confounders on sex-related differences in MSA core clinical features and treatment choices observed at the univariate analysis. The converging evidence from both clinical and neuropathological studies ultimately substantiates the sexrelated differences observed in our study.

Conclusion

The available literature and findings from our retrospective series indicate that sex-related differences do exist in the clinical presentation of MSA and its symptoms' evolution over time. While early and severe cardiovascular autonomic failure was more frequent in our male MSA cohort, our female MSA cohort suffered from a higher burden of psychiatric comorbidities. These differences in the symptomatic profile likely reflect sex-specific morphological and biological background susceptibilities to such disorders, amplified by the MSA neurodegenerative changes and disease burden.

Awareness for sex-related differences in the MSA disease journey holds promise for improving therapeutic decisionmaking to a highly individualized level. Future research efforts are equally called to integrate sex-related outcome measures and assess the prognostic relevance of sex-related differences in MSA clinical presentation. Ultimately, a better understanding of MSA sex-related aspects will guide the development of treatment strategies and prevention of complications that meet the different needs of women and men living with MSA.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10286-024-01028-1.

Author contributions All authors made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the study. Material preparation and data acquisition were performed by FL. Data correction was conducted by AF and FL. Formal analysis and interpretation were performed by AF, FL, and GG. The first draft of the manuscript was written by FL. All authors critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Innsbruck and Medical University of Innsbruck. This was an academic study without external funding. F Leys and N Campese are supported by the US MSA Coalition and the Dr. Johannes & Hertha Tuba Foundation.

Data availability and sharing The first and last author take responsibility for the integrity of the data presented herein. The data supporting the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from any of the qualified investigators.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Fabian Leys: nothing to report. Sabine Eschlböck: nothing to report. Nicole Campese: nothing to report. Philipp Mahl-knecht: lecture fees from AbbVie and a travel grant from Boston Scientific, outside the submitted work. Marina Peball: nothing to report. Georg Goebel: nothing to report. Victoria Sidoroff: nothing to report. Florian Krismer: personal fees from Takeda, Sanofi, Teva, Bial, and Koneksa Health in the past 12 months, and ongoing grant support paid to their institution from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) and

the National Institutes of Health, outside of the submitted work. Roberta Granata: nothing to report. Stefan Kiechl: support by VASCage, a COMET Centre within the Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies (COMET) programme funded by the Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology, the Federal Ministry of Labour and Economy, and the federal states of Tyrol, Salzburg and Vienna. COMET is managed by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (Österreichische Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft) (FFG Project number: 898252). Werner Poewe: consultancy and lecture fees from AC Immune, Alterity, Affiris, Biogen, Lundbeck, Takeda, in relation to clinical drug development programmes for MSA. Klaus Seppi: personal fees from Ono Pharma UK Ltd, Teva, UCB Pharma, Lundbeck; grants and personal fees from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals AG; personal fees from Roche Pharma, Grünenthal, Stada, AbbVie, Ever Pharma, Licher Pharma, Biogen, BIAL; grants and personal fees from International Parkinson and Movement Disorders Society; grants from Michael J. Fox Foundation; grants from FWF Austrian Science Fund, outside the submitted work. Gregor K. Wenning: consultancy and lecture fees from Biohaven, Inhibicase, Lundbeck, Ono, Teva, and Theravance; research grants from the FWF Austrian Science Fund, the Austrian National Bank, the US MSA Coalition, and Parkinson Fonds Austria, outside of the submitted work. Alessandra Fanciulli: royalties from Springer Verlag; speaker fees and honoraria from Theravance Biopharma, GE Health Care, Broadview Ventures, Austrian Autonomic Society, Stopp-HSP, International Parkinson Disease and Movement Disorders Society, Elsevier; and research grants from the FWF-Austrian Science Fund, Medical University of Innsbruck, US MSA Coalition, Dr. Johannes and Hertha Tuba Foundation and Austrian Exchange Program, outside of the submitted work.

Ethical & regulatory aspects Due to its retrospective nature and its initiation before July 2020, the present study did not require written informed consent or ethic approval. This study was notwithstanding conducted in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments, the directives of the Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, as well as the current European Data Protection Regulation. The manuscript was prepared in line with the STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement version 4 (see ESM).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Fanciulli A, Wenning GK (2015) Multiple-system atrophy. N Engl J Med 372(14):1375–1376. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc15016 57
- Papp MI, Kahn JE, Lantos PL (1989) Glial cytoplasmic inclusions in the CNS of patients with multiple system atrophy (striatonigral degeneration, olivopontocerebellar atrophy and Shy-Drager

syndrome). J Neurol Sci 94(1-3):79-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0022-510X(89)90219-0

- Poewe W, Seppi K, Tanner CM, Halliday GM, Brundin P, Volkmann J et al (2017) Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers 3:17013. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.13
- 4. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding the Biology of Sex and Gender Differences (2001) In: Wizemann TM, Pardue ML (eds) Exploring the biological contributions to human health: does sex matter? National Academies Press, Washington, DC. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK222288/
- Nicoletti A, Baschi R, Cicero CE, Iacono S, Re VL, Luca A et al (2023) Sex and gender differences in Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: a narrative review. Mech Ageing Dev 212:111821. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mad.2023.111821
- Picillo M, Nicoletti A, Fetoni V, Garavaglia B, Barone P, Pellecchia MT (2017) The relevance of gender in Parkinson's disease: a review. J Neurol 264(8):1583–1607. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-016-8384-9
- Subramanian I, Mathur S, Oosterbaan A, Flanagan R, Keener AM, Moro E (2022) Unmet needs of women living with Parkinson's disease: gaps and controversies. Mov Disord 37(3):444–455. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28921
- Poewe W, Stankovic I, Halliday G, Meissner WG, Wenning GK, Pellecchia MT et al (2022) Multiple system atrophy. Nat Rev Dis Primers 8(1):56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-022-00382-6
- Raccagni C, Indelicato E, Sidoroff V, Daniaux M, Bader A, Toth B et al (2021) Female sexual dysfunction in multiple system atrophy: a prospective cohort study. Clin Auton Res 31(6):713–717. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10286-021-00825-2
- Coon EA, Nelson RM, Sletten DM, Suarez MD, Ahlskog JE, Benarroch EE et al (2019) Sex and gender influence symptom manifestation and survival in multiple system atrophy. Auton Neurosci 219:49–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2019.04.002
- Cuoco S, Picillo M, Cappiello A, Carotenuto I, Erro R, Russillo MC et al (2020) Effects of gender on cognitive and behavioral manifestations in multiple system atrophy. J Neural Transm 127(6):925–934. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-020-02169-z
- Bailey ES, Hooshmand SJ, Badihian N, Sandroni P, Benarroch EE, Bower JH et al (2023) Sex and gender influence urinary symptoms and management in multiple system atrophy. J Mov Disord 16(2):196–201. https://doi.org/10.14802/jmd.23016
- Graham JG, Oppenheimer DR (1969) Orthostatic hypotension and nicotine sensitivity in a case of multiple system atrophy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 32(1):28–34. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp. 32.1.28
- Gilman S, Wenning GK, Low PA, Brooks DJ, Mathias CJ, Trojanowski JQ et al (2008) Second consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. Neurology 71(9):670–676. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000324625.00404.15
- Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, Benditt DG, Benarroch E, Biaggioni I et al (2011) Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. Clin Auton Res 21(2):69–72. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10286-011-0119-5
- Rivasi G, Rafanelli M, Mossello E, Brignole M, Ungar A (2020) Drug-related orthostatic hypotension: beyond anti-hypertensive medications. Drugs Aging 37(10):725–738. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40266-020-00796-5
- Goetz CG, Poewe W, Rascol O, Sampaio C, Stebbins GT, Counsell C et al (2004) Movement Disorder Society Task Force report on the Hoehn and Yahr staging scale: status and recommendations. Mov Disord 19(9):1020–1028. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds. 20213
- Wenning GK, Tison F, Seppi K, Sampaio C, Diem A, Yekhlef F et al (2004) Development and validation of the Unified

Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale (UMSARS). Mov Disord 19(12):1391–1402. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20255

- Saito Y, Matsuoka Y, Takahashi A, Ohno Y (1994) Survival of patients with multiple system atrophy. Intern Med 33(6):321–325. https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.33.321
- Wenning GK, Ben Shlomo Y, Magalhaes M, Daniel SE, Quinn NP (1994) Clinical features and natural history of multiple system atrophy. An analysis of 100 cases. Brain. 117(Pt 4):835–845. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.4.835
- Wenning GK, Ben-Shlomo Y, Magalhaes M, Daniel SE, Quinn NP (1995) Clinicopathological study of 35 cases of multiple system atrophy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 58(2):160–166. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.58.2.160
- Tison F, Wenning GK, Volonte MA, Poewe WR, Henry P, Quinn NP (1996) Pain in multiple system atrophy. J Neurol 243(2):153– 156. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02444007
- Testa D, Filippini G, Farinotti M, Palazzini E, Caraceni T (1996) Survival in multiple system atrophy: a study of prognostic factors in 59 cases. J Neurol 243(5):401–404. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf00868999
- Ben-Shlomo Y, Wenning GK, Tison F, Quinn NP (1997) Survival of patients with pathologically proven multiple system atrophy: a meta-analysis. Neurology 48(2):384–393. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.48.2.384
- Klockgether T, Ludtke R, Kramer B, Abele M, Burk K, Schols L et al (1998) The natural history of degenerative ataxia: a retrospective study in 466 patients. Brain 121(Pt 4):589–600. https:// doi.org/10.1093/brain/121.4.589
- Testa D, Monza D, Ferrarini M, Soliveri P, Girotti F, Filippini G (2001) Comparison of natural histories of progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy. Neurol Sci 22(3):247– 251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s100720100005
- 27. Watanabe H, Saito Y, Terao S, Ando T, Kachi T, Mukai E et al (2002) Progression and prognosis in multiple system atrophy: an analysis of 230 Japanese patients. Brain 125(Pt 5):1070–1083. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awf117
- Seppi K, Yekhlef F, Diem A, Luginger Wolf E, Mueller J, Tison F et al (2005) Progression of parkinsonism in multiple system atrophy. J Neurol 252(1):91–96. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-005-0617-2
- Yamamoto T, Sakakibara R, Uchiyama T, Liu Z, Ito T, Awa Y et al (2005) When is Onuf's nucleus involved in multiple system atrophy? A sphincter electromyography study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 76(12):1645–1648. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2004. 061036
- Geser F, Wenning GK, Seppi K, Stampfer-Kountchev M, Scherfler C, Sawires M et al (2006) Progression of multiple system atrophy (MSA): a prospective natural history study by the European MSA Study Group (EMSA SG). Mov Disord 21(2):179–186. https://doi. org/10.1002/mds.20678
- Williams DR, Watt HC, Lees AJ (2006) Predictors of falls and fractures in bradykinetic rigid syndromes: a retrospective study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 77(4):468–473. https://doi.org/10. 1136/jnnp.2005.074070
- 32. Tada M, Onodera O, Ozawa T, Piao YS, Kakita A, Takahashi H et al (2007) Early development of autonomic dysfunction may predict poor prognosis in patients with multiple system atrophy. Arch Neurol 64(2):256–260. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur. 64.2.256
- Schrag A, Wenning GK, Quinn N, Ben-Shlomo Y (2008) Survival in multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord 23(2):294–296. https:// doi.org/10.1002/mds.21839
- O'Sullivan SS, Massey LA, Williams DR, Silveira-Moriyama L, Kempster PA, Holton JL et al (2008) Clinical outcomes of progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy. Brain 131(Pt 5):1362–1372. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awn065

- 35. Gilman S, Low PA, Quinn N, Albanese A, Ben-Shlomo Y, Fowler CJ et al (1999) Consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. J Neurol Sci 163(1):94–98. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0022-510X(98)00304-9
- 36. Yamamoto T, Sakakibara R, Uchiyama T, Liu Z, Ito T, Awa Y et al (2009) Questionnaire-based assessment of pelvic organ dysfunction in multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord 24(7):972–978. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22332
- 37. Köllensperger M, Geser F, Ndayisaba JP, Boesch S, Seppi K, Ostergaard K et al (2010) Presentation, diagnosis, and management of multiple system atrophy in Europe: final analysis of the European Multiple System Atrophy Registry. Mov Disord 25(15):2604–2612. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23192
- Kim HJ, Jeon BS, Lee JY, Yun JY (2011) Survival of Korean patients with multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord 26(5):909– 912. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23580
- 39. Wenning GK, Geser F, Krismer F, Seppi K, Duerr S, Boesch S et al (2013) The natural history of multiple system atrophy: a prospective European cohort study. Lancet Neurol 12(3):264–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(12)70327-7
- Roncevic D, Palma JA, Martinez J, Goulding N, Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Kaufmann H (2014) Cerebellar and parkinsonian phenotypes in multiple system atrophy: similarities, differences and survival. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 121(5):507–512. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00702-013-1133-7
- Yamamoto T, Sakakibara R, Uchiyama T, Yamaguchi C, Ohno S, Nomura F et al (2014) Time-dependent changes and gender differences in urinary dysfunction in patients with multiple system atrophy. Neurourol Urodyn 33(5):516–523. https://doi.org/10. 1002/nau.22441
- Figueroa JJ, Singer W, Parsaik A, Benarroch EE, Ahlskog JE, Fealey RD et al (2014) Multiple system atrophy: prognostic indicators of survival. Mov Disord 29(9):1151–1157. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/mds.25927
- 43. Low PA, Reich SG, Jankovic J, Shults CW, Stern MB, Novak P et al (2015) Natural history of multiple system atrophy in the USA: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol 14(7):710–719. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(15)00058-7
- Coon EA, Sletten DM, Suarez MD, Mandrekar JN, Ahlskog JE, Bower JH et al (2015) Clinical features and autonomic testing predict survival in multiple system atrophy. Brain 138(Pt 12):3623– 3631. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv274
- 45. Starhof C, Korbo L, Lassen CF, Winge K, Friis S (2016) Clinical features in a Danish population-based cohort of probable multiple system atrophy patients. Neuroepidemiology 46(4):261–267. https://doi.org/10.1159/000444325
- 46. Glasmacher SA, Leigh PN, Saha RA (2017) Predictors of survival in progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 88(5):402–411. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-314956
- 47. Savica R, Grossardt BR, Bower JH, Ahlskog JE, Boeve BF, Graff-Radford J et al (2017) Survival and causes of death among people with clinically diagnosed synucleinopathies with parkinsonism: a population-based study. JAMA Neurol 74(7):839–846. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.0603
- Zhang LY, Cao B, Zou YT, Wei QQ, Ou RW, Zhao B et al (2018) Depression and anxiety in multiple system atrophy. Acta Neurol Scand 137(1):33–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12804
- 49. Zhang L, Cao B, Zou Y, Wei QQ, Ou R, Liu W et al (2018) Causes of death in Chinese patients with multiple system atrophy. Aging Dis 9(1):102–108. https://doi.org/10.14336/ad.2017.0711
- Jecmenica-Lukic MV, Pekmezovic TD, Petrovic IN, Dragasevic NT, Kostić VS (2018) Factors associated with deterioration of health-related quality of life in multiple system atrophy: 1-year follow-up study. Acta Neurol Belg 118(4):589–595. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13760-018-0962-4

- Foubert-Samier A, Pavy-Le Traon A, Guillet F, Le-Goff M, Helmer C, Tison F et al (2020) Disease progression and prognostic factors in multiple system atrophy: a prospective cohort study. Neurobiol Dis 139:104813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2020. 104813
- McCarter SJ, Coon EA, Savica R, St Louis EK, Bower JH, Benarroch EE et al (2020) Lower vitamin B12 level at multiple system atrophy diagnosis is associated with shorter survival. Mov Disord 35(8):1462–1466. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28070
- 53. Lin JY, Zhang LY, Cao B, Wei QQ, Ou RW, Hou YB et al (2020) Sleep-related symptoms in multiple system atrophy: determinants and impact on disease severity. Chin Med J (Engl) 134(6):690– 698. https://doi.org/10.1097/cm9.000000000001211
- 54. Gurevich T, Merkin L, Rozenberg A, Fisher A, Atanasova Mishkova-Serafimova E, Klepikov D et al (2021) Interrelationships between survival, sex, and blood pressure in patients with multiple system atrophy. Neuroepidemiology 55(1):56–61. https:// doi.org/10.1159/000512697
- Eschlböck S, Kiss G, Krismer F, Fanciulli A, Kaindlstorfer C, Raccagni C et al (2021) Urodynamic evaluation in multiple system atrophy: a retrospective cohort study. Mov Disord Clin Pract 8(7):1052–1060. https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.13307
- 56. Wang H, Tang X, Zhou J, Xu Y (2021) Excessive daytime sleepiness is associated with non-motor symptoms of multiple system atrophy: a cross-sectional study in China. Front Neurol 12:798771. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.798771
- 57. Pavy-Le Traon A, Foubert-Samier A, Ory-Magne F, Fabbri M, Senard JM, Meissner WG et al (2022) Ambulatory blood pressure and drug treatment for orthostatic hypotension as predictors of mortality in patients with multiple system atrophy. Eur J Neurol 29(4):1025–1034. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15232
- Zhou H, Sun Y, Wei L, Wang X, Jiang Y, Li F et al (2022) Quantitative assessment of oculomotor function by videonystagmography in multiple system atrophy. Clin Neurophysiol 141:15–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2022.05.019
- 59. Xie D, Huang H, Zhao Q, Ning P, Shen Q, Lu H et al (2022) Prevalence and associated factors of frailty and sarcopenia in multiple system atrophy and progressive supranuclear palsy: a cross-sectional study. Neurol Sci 43(11):6329–6337. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10072-022-06296-1
- Hu WZ, Cao LX, Yin JH, Zhao XS, Piao YS, Gu WH et al (2022) Non-motor symptoms in multiple system atrophy: a comparative study with Parkinson's disease and progressive supranuclear palsy. Front Neurol 13:1081219. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022. 1081219
- 61. Zhang J, Han J, Shi Z, Zhang J, Zhou Z, Liu J et al (2023) The characteristic of nonmotor symptoms with different phenotypes and onsets in multiple system atrophy patients. J Clin Neurosci 109:1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2022.12.012
- Altmann CF, Koschel J, Jost WH (2023) Predictors of falls in Parkinson's disease, progressive supranuclear palsy, and multiple system atrophy: a retrospective study. Neurol Neurochir Pol 57(3):297–304. https://doi.org/10.5603/PJNNS.a2023.0036
- Fanciulli A, Strano S, Colosimo C, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G, Pontieri FE (2013) The potential prognostic role of cardiovascular autonomic failure in α-synucleinopathies. Eur J Neurol 20(2):231– 235. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2012.03819.x
- 64. Angelousi A, Girerd N, Benetos A, Frimat L, Gautier S, Weryha G et al (2014) Association between orthostatic hypotension and cardiovascular risk, cerebrovascular risk, cognitive decline and falls as well as overall mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens;32(8):1562–1571; discussion 71. https://doi.org/10.1097/hjh.0000000000235
- Ricci F, De Caterina R, Fedorowski A (2015) Orthostatic hypotension: epidemiology, prognosis, and treatment. J Am Coll Cardiol 66(7):848–860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.06.1084

- 66. Rutan GH, Hermanson B, Bild DE, Kittner SJ, LaBaw F, Tell GS (1992) Orthostatic hypotension in older adults. The Cardiovascular Health Study. CHS Collaborative Research Group. Hypertension 19(6 Pt 1):508–519. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.hyp.19.6.508
- 67. Alagiakrishnan K, Patel K, Desai RV, Ahmed MB, Fonarow GC, Forman DE et al (2014) Orthostatic hypotension and incident heart failure in community-dwelling older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 69(2):223–230. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/ glt086
- Wolters FJ, Mattace-Raso FU, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Ikram MA (2016) Orthostatic hypotension and the long-term risk of dementia: a population-based study. PLoS Med 13(10):e1002143. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002143
- Méndez AS, Melgarejo JD, Mena LJ, Chávez CA, González AC, Boggia J et al (2018) Risk factors for orthostatic hypotension: differences between elderly men and women. Am J Hypertens 31(7):797–803. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpy050
- 70. Szewczyk-Krolikowski K, Tomlinson P, Nithi K, Wade-Martins R, Talbot K, Ben-Shlomo Y et al (2014) The influence of age and gender on motor and non-motor features of early Parkinson's disease: initial findings from the Oxford Parkinson Disease Center (OPDC) discovery cohort. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 20(1):99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.09.025
- Campese N, Goebel G, Leys F, Ndayisaba JP, Eschlboeck S, Eckhardt C et al (2021) Orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease: do height and weight matter? Mov Disord 36(11):2703– 2705. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28768
- 72. Bourgeois B, Watts K, Thomas DM, Carmichael O, Hu FB, Heo M et al (2017) Associations between height and blood pressure in the United States population. Medicine 96(50):e9233. https:// doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000009233
- Wells JC (2007) Sexual dimorphism of body composition. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 21(3):415–430. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.beem.2007.04.007
- Baker SE, Limberg JK, Ranadive SM, Joyner MJ (2016) Neurovascular control of blood pressure is influenced by aging, sex, and sex hormones. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 311(6):R1271–R1275. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00288. 2016
- Fanciulli A, Leys F, Falup-Pecurariu C, Thijs R, Wenning GK (2020) Management of orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis 10(s1):S57–S64. https://doi.org/10.3233/ JPD-202036
- 76. Gibbons CH, Schmidt P, Biaggioni I, Frazier-Mills C, Freeman R, Isaacson S et al (2017) The recommendations of a consensus panel for the screening, diagnosis, and treatment of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension and associated supine hypertension. J Neurol 264(8):1567–1582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8375-x
- 77. Zhao M, Woodward M, Vaartjes I, Millett ERC, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Hyun K et al (2020) Sex differences in cardiovascular medication prescription in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc 9(11):e014742. https://doi.org/ 10.1161/jaha.119.014742
- Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Anderson CAM, Arora P, Avery CL et al (2023) Heart disease and stroke statistics-2023 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 147(8):e93–e621. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000001123
- 79. Fanciulli A, Jordan J, Biaggioni I, Calandra-Buonaura G, Cheshire WP, Cortelli P et al (2018) Consensus statement on the definition of neurogenic supine hypertension in cardiovascular autonomic failure by the American Autonomic Society (AAS) and the

European Federation of Autonomic Societies (EFAS): endorsed by the European Academy of Neurology (EAN) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Clin Auton Res 28(4):355–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10286-018-0529-8

- Palma JA, Redel-Traub G, Porciuncula A, Samaniego-Toro D, Millar Vernetti P, Lui YW et al (2020) The impact of supine hypertension on target organ damage and survival in patients with synucleinopathies and neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 75:97–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. parkreldis.2020.04.011
- Sonnenberg CM, Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, van Tilburg W (2000) Sex differences in late-life depression. Acta Psychiatr Scand 101(4):286–292. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0447.2000.10100 4286.x
- Salk RH, Hyde JS, Abramson LY (2017) Gender differences in depression in representative national samples: meta-analyses of diagnoses and symptoms. Psychol Bull 143(8):783–822. https:// doi.org/10.1037/bul0000102
- Eid RS, Gobinath AR, Galea LAM (2019) Sex differences in depression: insights from clinical and preclinical studies. Prog Neurobiol 176:86–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2019. 01.006
- Lv Q, Pan Y, Chen X, Wei J, Wang W, Zhang H et al (2022) Depression in multiple system atrophy: views on pathological, clinical and imaging aspects. Front Psychiatry 13:980371. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.980371
- Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha TS, Bryson H et al (2004) Psychotropic drug utilization in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 420:55–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0047.2004.00331.x
- 86. Kovess-Masfety V, Boyd A, van de Velde S, de Graaf R, Vilagut G, Haro JM et al (2014) Are there gender differences in service use for mental disorders across countries in the European Union? Results from the EU-World Mental Health survey. J Epidemiol Community Health 68(7):649–656. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-202962
- Boyd A, Van de Velde S, Pivette M, Ten Have M, Florescu S, O'Neill S et al (2015) Gender differences in psychotropic use across Europe: results from a large cross-sectional, populationbased study. Eur Psychiatry 30(6):778–788. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.eurpsy.2015.05.001
- Mauvais-Jarvis F, Bairey Merz N, Barnes PJ, Brinton RD, Carrero JJ, DeMeo DL et al (2020) Sex and gender: modifiers of health, disease, and medicine. Lancet 396(10250):565–582. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31561-0
- Vegeto E, Villa A, Della Torre S, Crippa V, Rusmini P, Cristofani R et al (2020) The role of sex and sex hormones in neurodegenerative diseases. Endocr Rev 41(2):273–319. https://doi.org/10.1210/ endrev/bnz005
- 90. Hirohata M, Ono K, Morinaga A, Ikeda T, Yamada M (2009) Anti-aggregation and fibril-destabilizing effects of sex hormones on alpha-synuclein fibrils in vitro. Exp Neurol 217(2):434–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.03.003
- Eschlboeck S, Goebel G, Eckhardt C, Fanciulli A, Raccagni C, Boesch S et al (2023) Development and validation of a prognostic model to predict overall survival in multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord Clin Pract 10(9):1368–1376. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mdc3.13822