

ERRATUM

Eri Nabeshima · Masashi Murakami · Tsutomu Hiura

## Effects of herbivory and light conditions on induced defense in *Quercus crispula*

Published online: May 7, 2003

### J Plant Res (2001) 114:403–409

There are errors in Table 2 of the above-mentioned paper.  
The corrected table is shown here.

**Table 2.** Results of repeated-measures ANOVAs on nitrogen content per unit area (N/area), percent nitrogen (N%), percent carbon (C%), and carbon nitrogen ratio (C/N). ANOVA probability values are shown, with F-values in parentheses. Data are shown for herbivory treatment (control and herbivory), light conditions (closed canopy and gap), and sampling times (first and second), respectively ( $n = 6$ )

Factor	df	N/area (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	N/mass (%)	C/mass (%)	C/N
Light conditions (L)	1	<0.001 (31.58)	0.001 (14.33)	0.610 (0.27)	<0.001 (22.15)
Herbivory (H)	1	0.500 (0.47)	0.058 (4.06)	0.457 (0.57)	0.004 (10.20)
Sampling time (S)	1	<0.001 (75.70)	0.001 (14.25)	0.639 (0.23)	0.003 (11.06)
L × H	1	0.418 (0.68)	0.944 (0.01)	0.459 (0.57)	0.421 (0.67)
S × L	1	0.086 (3.25)	0.007 (8.89)	0.748 (0.11)	0.017 (6.70)
S × H	1	0.624 (0.25)	0.960 (0.00)	0.230 (1.53)	0.573 (0.33)
S × L × H	1	0.781 (0.08)	0.538 (0.39)	0.810 (0.06)	0.313 (1.07)
error	20				