



# A counterexample to $L^\infty$ -gradient type estimates for Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators

Emanuele Dolera<sup>1</sup> · Enrico Priola<sup>1</sup>

Received: 18 April 2023 / Accepted: 19 September 2023 / Published online: 27 October 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < \infty$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded smooth function and denote by  $u = u^f$  the bounded classical solution to

$$u(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m D_{kk}^2 u(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k x_k D_k u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

It is known that the following dimension-free estimate holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k (D_k u(y))^2 \right]^{p/2} \mu_m(dy) \leq (c_p)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f(y)|^p \mu_m(dy), \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

where  $\mu_m$  is the “diagonal” Gaussian measure determined by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $c_p > 0$  is independent of  $f$  and  $m$ . This is a consequence of generalized Meyer’s inequalities [4]. We show that, if  $\lambda_k \sim k^2$ , then such estimate does not hold when  $p = \infty$ . Indeed we prove

$$\sup_{f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k (D_k u^f(0))^2 \right\} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is in contrast to the case of  $\lambda_k = \lambda > 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , where a dimension-free bound holds for  $p = \infty$ .

**Keywords** Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators · Gradient estimates · Dimension-free constant

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 47D07 · 60H15 · 42B37 · 35R15

✉ Emanuele Dolera  
emanuele.dolera@unipv.it

Enrico Priola  
enrico.priola@unipv.it

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Pavia, via Ferrata, 5, 27100 Pavia, Italy

### 1 Introduction

Let us recall dimension-free  $L^p$ -gradient estimates involving Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators (cf. [4–6, 21, 25]). Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < \infty. \tag{1}$$

For any  $m \geq 1$  we denote by  $A_m$  the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix with negative eigenvalues  $-\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded  $C^2$ -function with all first and second bounded derivatives, i.e.,  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , and denote by  $u \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  the unique bounded classical solution to

$$u(x) - \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta_m u(x) + \langle A_m x, Du(x) \rangle \right) = u(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m D_{kk}^2 u(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k x_k D_k u(x) = f(x), \tag{2}$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Here,  $D_k$  and  $D_{kk}^2$  are first and second partial derivatives with respect to the canonical basis  $(e_k)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The operator we consider is an  $m$ -dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator, namely  $L_m = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_m + \langle A_m x, D \rangle$ .

Then, introduce the Gaussian measure  $\mu_m = \mathcal{N}(0, (-2A_m)^{-1})$  with mean 0 and covariance matrix  $(-2A_m)^{-1}$ , with density

$$\varphi_m(x) := \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \right)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i^2 \right\}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Note that  $L_m$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mu_m)$ , the usual  $L^2$ -space with respect to  $\mu_m$ . See, for instance, [4, 6, 7, 13]. It is known that, if  $1 < p < \infty$ , there exists a constant  $c_p$ , independent of  $f$  and the dimension  $m$ , such that the following sharp gradient estimate holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k (D_k u(y))^2 \right)^{p/2} \mu_m(dy) \leq (c_p)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f(y)|^p \mu_m(dy). \tag{3}$$

The result follows from the general estimates (11) given in Theorem 5.3 of [4], which extends Proposition 3.5 in [25] (see also the references therein). Note that (3) can be rewritten as

$$\|(-A_m)^{1/2} Du\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m, \mu_m)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m, \mu_m)}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$(-A_m)^{1/2} Du(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sqrt{\lambda_k} D_k u(x) e_k.$$

Our main result (cf. Theorem 6 below) shows that, when  $p = \infty$ , the dimension-free estimate (4) in general fails to hold. Indeed, we prove the following stronger assertion. Writing  $u = u^f$  to stress the dependence of the solution  $u$  on  $f$ , we show that if  $\lambda_k \sim k^2$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then, choosing  $x = 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \|(-A_m)^{1/2} Du^f(0)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 = \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k (D_k u^f(0))^2 \right\} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5}$$

In contrast to (5), we point out that, when  $A_m = -\lambda I_m$  with  $\lambda > 0$  and  $I_m$  the  $m \times m$  identity matrix, then the following dimension-free  $L^\infty$ -gradient estimates

$$\|(\lambda)^{1/2} Du^f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} |(\lambda)^{1/2} Du^f(x)|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} |f(x)|, \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \quad (6)$$

hold true; see Proposition 5.

### 1.1 Infinite dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroups

Let us comment on the previous dimension-free  $L_\mu^p$ -estimate (4). Such kind of inequalities can be deduced from known results for infinite dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators. This point of view is of interest in probability because of its connection with SPDEs (see also [8] and the references therein).

To introduce this setting, we replace  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by a real separable Hilbert space  $H$  with orthonormal basis  $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then, we consider the unbounded self-adjoint operator  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  such that

$$D(A) = \left\{ x \in H : \sum_{k \geq 1} (\langle x, e_k \rangle)^2 \lambda_k^2 < \infty \right\}, \quad Ae_k = -\lambda_k e_k, \quad k \geq 1 \quad (7)$$

(cf. [1, 8, 9, 22]). Our condition (1) is equivalent to require that the inverse operator  $A^{-1} : H \rightarrow H$  is a trace class operator. The operator  $A$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup  $(e^{tA})$  on  $H$ , given by  $e^{tA} e_k = e^{-t\lambda_k} e_k$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . We can define the corresponding Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup  $(P_t)$  by

$$P_t f(x) = \int_H f(e^{tA} x + \sqrt{I - e^{2tA}} y) \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A)^{-1}) (dy), \quad f \in B_b(H), \quad x \in H, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

where  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel, bounded function (i.e.,  $f \in B_b(H)$ );  $\mathcal{N}(0, -(2A)^{-1})$  stands for the centered Gaussian measure defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $H$  (see Chapter 1 in [7], [9] and Section 2.2);  $I : H \rightarrow H$  is the identity.

Formula (8) is an extension of a well-known formula used in finite dimension. From the probabilistic point of view  $(P_t)$  is the transition Markov semigroup of the OU stochastic process  $(X_t^x)$  which solves  $dX_t = AX_t dt + dW_t$ ,  $X_0 = x$  where  $W$  is a cylindrical Wiener process on  $H$ ; cf. [7, 8, 14]. When  $f \in C_b^2(H)$ , i.e.  $f$  is bounded, twice Fréchet-differentiable with first and second bounded and continuous derivatives, we consider  $u : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$u(x) = R(1, L)f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (P_t f)(x) dt, \quad x \in H. \quad (9)$$

Following Chapter 6 in [7],  $u$  is the generalized bounded solution to  $u - Lu = f$ , where  $L$  is formally given by  $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(D^2) + \langle x, AD \rangle$ . Here, we only note that if  $f$  is also cylindrical, i.e., there exist  $m \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{f} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  such that

$$f(x) = \tilde{f}(\langle x, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, e_m \rangle), \quad x \in H, \quad (10)$$

then  $u$  given in (9) depends only on a finite number of variables, i.e.,

$$u(x) = \tilde{u}(\langle x, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, e_m \rangle), \quad x \in H$$

(cf. Sect. 2.2). Moreover,  $\tilde{u}$  solves (2) with  $f$  replaced by  $\tilde{f}$ . In addition, if  $f \in C_b^2(H)$ , we have that  $u = R(1, L)f \in C_b^2(H)$ , and  $Du(x) \in D((-A)^{1/2})$ ,  $x \in H$  (cf. [9] for stronger

results). By Theorem 5.3 of [4] (see also Corollary 5.4 in [4] and Remark 1), there exists a constant  $c_p$  (independent of  $f$ ) such that

$$\|(-A)^{1/2} Du\|_{L^p(H,\mu)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p(H,\mu)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \tag{11}$$

where  $\mu = \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A)^{-1})$ . Moreover, we have  $\|D^2u\|_{L^p(H,\mu)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p(H,\mu)}$ , i.e.,

$$\int_H \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (D_{kk}u(y))^2 \right)^{p/2} \mu(dy) \leq (c_p)^p \int_H |f(y)|^p \mu(dy). \tag{12}$$

It is not difficult to show that (11) implies (4) using cylindrical functions  $f$  as in (10); see Remark 8. Estimates (11) and (12) are part of the generalized Meyer’s inequalities proved in [4] using the elliptic Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequalities associated with the OU semigroup  $(P_t)$ . For applications of the classical Meyer’s inequalities to the Malliavin Calculus we refer to [15, 20, 21, 26] (see also Remark 2). The results given in [4] give a characterization of the domain of the generator of  $(P_t)$  in  $L^p(H, \mu)$ ; see also [5] (the case  $p = 2$  was obtained earlier in [6]). We also mention the characterization of the domain of non self-adjoint Ornstein–Uhlenbeck generators given in [17, 19]. Estimates (12) have been used to prove strong uniqueness for a class of SPDEs in [10]. For related results on Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators in Gaussian harmonic analysis we refer to [2, 3, 13, 18] and the references therein.

Our main result implies that (11) fails to hold for  $p = \infty$ , i.e. it is not true that there exists  $C > 0$ , independent of  $f$ , such that

$$\sup_{x \in H} |(-A)^{1/2} DR(1, L)f(x)|_H \leq C \sup_{x \in H} |f(x)|, \quad f \in C_b^2(H), \tag{13}$$

where we have used  $u = R(1, L)f$  as in (9) (see in particular Corollary 7). This estimate is stated in [22, Theorem 7] which is based on [22, Lemma 6]. However, there is a mistake in the proof of that lemma. In particular, we show that [22, Theorem 7] cannot hold.

**Remark 1** Let us recall the notation used in [4] to study general symmetric Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroups in Hilbert spaces. For the sake of notational clarity, the operator  $C$  used in [4] corresponds to our  $-(2A)^{-1}$ , while our semigroup  $(e^{tA})$  corresponds to  $(e^{-tA})$  in [4]. They use the Malliavin gradient  $D_I = C^{1/2}D$  (where  $D$  is the Fréchet derivative) and  $D_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}D$ . Moreover, the symbol  $D_{A^2} = AD_I$ , which is used in the definition of the Sobolev space  $W_{A^2}^{1,p}$  (see Corollary 5.4 in [4]) corresponds to our operator  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-A)^{1/2}D$ .

**Remark 2** Let us recall the classical Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup  $(S_t)$

$$S_t f(x) = \int_H f(e^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}y) \nu(dy), \quad f \in B_b(H), \quad x \in H, \tag{14}$$

where  $\nu$  is a centered Gaussian measure on  $H$  (see Sect. 2.2). The classical Meyer’s inequalities give a complete characterization of the domains of  $(I - N_p)^{m/2}$  in  $L_p(H, \nu)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  in terms of Gaussian Sobolev spaces related to  $\nu$ . Here,  $N_p$  denotes the generator of  $(S_t)$  in  $L_p(H, \nu)$  (see [15, 20, 21]). For a discussion of Meyer’s inequalities in the Malliavin Calculus we refer to [15] and [26, Chapter 4].

**Remark 3** Estimates like (11) and (12) hold true also in Hölder spaces (see [9, 23] for more details). In particular, for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there exists an absolute constant  $c_\theta$  only depending on  $\theta$  such that

$$\|(-A)^{1/2} DR(1, L)f\|_{C_b^\theta(H,H)} \leq c_\theta \|f\|_{C_b^\theta(H)}. \tag{15}$$

**Remark 4** We do not know if for  $p \neq 2$  the constant  $c_p$  appearing in (3) is an absolute constant (independent of the positive eigenvalues  $(\lambda_k)$ ). Indeed, as we have mentioned before, the dimension-free estimate (3) follows from infinite dimensional estimates like (11) and (12) which are proved in Theorem 5.3 of [4] (extending Proposition 3.5 in [25]). However, Theorem 5.3 uses Lemma 5.1 in [4], whose proof invokes results on sums of operators with bounded imaginary powers (see Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 in [24]). The approach of [11] and [24], which has been also used in [19], does not provide sharp constants in the estimates and so we do not know if  $c_p$  also depends on  $A$ . We point out that the estimates given in Proposition 3.5 of [25] provide absolute constants.

## 2 Notations and main results

Let  $Q$  be a symmetric and positive definite  $m \times m$  matrix. We denote by  $\mathcal{N}(0, Q)$  the Gaussian measure with mean 0 and covariance matrix  $Q$ , which has density

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{m/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det Q}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} |Q^{-1/2}x|^2\right\} \tag{16}$$

with respect to the  $m$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. We first consider for  $\lambda > 0$  the equation

$$v(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_m v(x) - \lambda\langle x, Dv(x)\rangle\right) = v(x) - M_m v(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tag{17}$$

with  $M_m = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_m - \lambda\langle x, D \rangle$ . We assume that  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Equation (17) is similar to (2) with  $A_m$  replaced by  $-\lambda I_m$ . Using the following Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup  $(S_t^m)$

$$S_t^m f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(e^{-\lambda t}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\lambda t}}y) \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2\lambda}I_m\right)(dy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{18}$$

we find (cf. (9), and [7])

$$v(x) = R(1, M_m)f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}(S_t^m f)(x)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then, we have the following

**Proposition 5** For any  $\lambda > 0$  it holds:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sqrt{\lambda}|DR(1, M_m)f(x)|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} |f(x)|, \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{19}$$

**Proof** Let  $v(x) = R(1, M_m)f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . We set  $v(x) = u(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$  and so, for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we get

$$u(y) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta u(y) + \lambda\langle y, Du(y)\rangle = f(y/\sqrt{\lambda})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}u(y) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(y) + \langle y, Du(y)\rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda}f(y/\sqrt{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}(y).$$

We have

$$u(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}t}dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \tilde{f}(e^{-t}x + y)\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{2}I_m\right)(dy)$$

and, considering the directional derivative  $\langle Du(x), h \rangle = D_h u(x)$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $|h| = 1$ , we get, differentiating under the integral sign,

$$D_h u(x) = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \tilde{f}(e^{-t}x + y) \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} \langle h, y \rangle \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{2} I_m\right)(dy)$$

(cf. Theorem 6.2.2 in [7], [9]). Then, changing variable in the integral over  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_h u\|_\infty &\leq 2\|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}t} e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left| \langle h, \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{2}\right)^{1/2} y \rangle \right| \mathcal{N}(0, I_m)(dy) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} \|f\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\langle h, y \rangle| \mathcal{N}(0, I_m) dy \leq \frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{2}} \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D_h u(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} D_h v\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$ , we have  $\|D_h u\|_\infty = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|D_h v\|_\infty$  and (19) follows.  $\square$

Let us start the proof of the main estimate (5) concerning equation (2) involving the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator  $L_m$ . Similarly to the proof of Proposition 5 the solution  $u \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  to (2) is given by

$$u(x) = R(1, L_m) f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (P_t^m f)(x) dt \tag{20}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^m f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(e^{tA_m} x + \sqrt{I_m - e^{2tA_m}} y) \mathcal{N}\left(0, -\frac{1}{2} A_m^{-1}\right)(dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(e^{tA_m} x + y) \mathcal{N}(0, Q_t^m)(dy), \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_t^m = \int_0^t e^{2sA_m} ds = (-2A_m)^{-1} (I_m - e^{2tA_m}), \quad t \geq 0$$

( $Q_t^m$  is a diagonal matrix with positive eigenvalues). Let  $\mu_t^m = \mathcal{N}(0, Q_t^m)$ . By differentiating under the integral sign, the following formula holds for the directional derivative of  $P_t^m f$  along  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$D_h P_t^m f(x) = \langle DP_t^m f(x), h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle \Lambda_t^m h, (Q_t^m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y \rangle f(e^{tA_m} x + y) \mu_t^m(dy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad t > 0, \tag{21}$$

where  $\Lambda_t^m = (Q_t^m)^{-1/2} e^{tA_m}$ ; cf. Theorem 6.2.2 in [7]. Hence, the term

$$(-A_m)^{1/2} Du^f(0) = (-A_m)^{1/2} DR(1, L_m) f(0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

that appears in (5) has components

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-A_m)^{1/2} Du^f(0), e_k \rangle &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle (-A_m)^{1/2} \Lambda_t^m e_k, (Q_t^m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y \rangle f(y) \mu_t^m(dy) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \langle (-A_m)^{1/2} \Lambda_t^m e_k, y \rangle f((Q_t^m)^{\frac{1}{2}} y) \mathcal{N}(0, I_m)(dy) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(-A_m)^{1/2} DR(1, L_m) f(0)|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda_k e^{-t} e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(c_1(t)x_1, \dots, c_m(t)x_m) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where, for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $c_k(t) = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t}}{2\lambda_k} \right)^{1/2}$  and  $(Q_t^m)^{1/2} = \text{diag}[c_1(t), \dots, c_m(t)]$ .

We will prove the following result.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers, such that  $\lambda_k \sim k^2$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then, assertion (5) is in force, i.e., taking into account (22), there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|f\|_\infty \leq 1}} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda_k e^{-t} e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \times \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(c_1(t)x_1, \dots, c_m(t)x_m) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2 = \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3.

Finally, we show that Theorem 6 implies that the infinite dimensional estimate (13) cannot hold.

**Corollary 7** *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 6, there holds*

$$\sup_{f \in C_b^2(H), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |(-A)^{1/2} D(R(1, L)f)(0)|_H = \infty.$$

**Proof of Corollary 7** Recall that we are considering a real separable Hilbert space  $H$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . According to Chapter 1 in [7], we can rewrite the OU semigroup  $(P_t)$  in (8) as follows

$$P_t f(x) = \int_H f(e^{tA}x + y) \mathcal{N}(0, Q_t)(dy), \quad f \in C_b^2(H), \quad x \in H, \tag{23}$$

where  $Q_t = \int_0^t e^{2sA} ds := (-2A)^{-1}(I - e^{2tA})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $A$  is given in (7). Suppose that  $f \in C_b^2(H)$  is also cylindrical, i.e. that (10) holds for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{f} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Identifying  $H$  with  $l^2$ , we have that  $f(e^{tA}x + y) = \tilde{f}(e^{tA_m}x^{(m)} + y^{(m)})$ , where  $A_m$  is the same matrix given in (2) and (20) and  $h^{(m)} := (\langle h, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle h, e_m \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , for any  $h \in H$ . Moreover, we put  $\mu := \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A)^{-1}) = \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A_m)^{-1}) \times \nu_m$ , where  $\nu_m = \prod_{k=m+1}^\infty \mathcal{N}(0, (2\lambda_k)^{-1})$ ; see Theorem 1.2.1 in [7]. It follows that, for any  $x \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_t f(x) &= P_t^m(\tilde{f})(x^{(m)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \tilde{f}(e^{tA_m}x^{(m)} + \sqrt{I_m - e^{2tA_m}}y) \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A_m)^{-1})(dy), \\
 u(x) &= R(1, L)f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (P_t f)(x) dt = \tilde{u}(\langle x, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, e_m \rangle)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is given in (20) with  $\tilde{f}$  in place of  $f$  therein. Setting  $\mu_m := \mathcal{N}(0, -(2A_m)^{-1})$  and using that  $C_b^2(H)$  contains the cylindrical functions displayed in (10), we get that

$$\sup_{\substack{\tilde{f} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty \leq 1}} |(-A_m)^{1/2} D\tilde{u}(0)| \leq \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(H) \\ \|f\|_\infty \leq 1}} |(-A)^{1/2} Du(0)|_H, \tag{24}$$

holds for any  $m \geq 1$ . Notice that on the left-hand side of (24) we have  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  while on the right-hand side we have  $0 \in H$ . Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 6, we deduce the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 8** By the same argument as in the previous proof we get easily

$$\sup_{\substack{\tilde{f} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m, \mu_m)} \leq 1}} \|(-A_m)^{1/2} D\tilde{u}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m, \mu_m)} \leq \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(H) \\ \|f\|_{L^p(H, \mu)} \leq 1}} \|(-A)^{1/2} Du\|_{L^p(H, \mu)}, \tag{25}$$

for any  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . This can be used to deduce that (3) or (4) follow from (11) and (12).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 6

Let  $\delta \in (0, +\infty)$ . Then, put  $S_m = S_m(\delta)$  with

$$S_m(\delta) = \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ \|f\|_\infty \leq 1}} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(c_1(t)x_1, \dots, c_m(t)x_m) x_k \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} dx dt \right)^2.$$

If we show that

$$\sup_{m \geq 2} S_m = \infty \tag{26}$$

holds under the assumption that  $\lambda_k \sim k^2$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , then the validity of Theorem 6 will follow.

#### 3.1 Two useful lemmas

The following identity will be important. Recall that  $x_k = \langle x, e_k \rangle, k = 1, \dots, m$  where  $(e_j)$  denotes the canonical basis in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Lemma 9** For any  $m \geq 2, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  and  $F \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m,k}(F) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F(\langle c, x \rangle) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{2\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})} \frac{c_k}{|c|} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^\pi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m \cos \vartheta (\sin \vartheta)^{m-2} F(|c|\rho \cos \vartheta) d\rho d\vartheta. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$



**Proof** We provide additional details for the sake of completeness. Let us first consider  $m = 2$ . We introduce the unitary vectors  $\gamma_1 = c/|c|$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  is an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Using the polar coordinates with respect to such basis we can write

$$x = \rho \cos \theta \gamma_1 + \rho \sin \theta \gamma_2,$$

which entails that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,k}(F) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \rho^2 F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) (\cos \theta \langle \gamma_1, e_k \rangle + \sin \theta \langle \gamma_2, e_k \rangle) e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{+\infty} \rho^2 F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) \cos \theta \langle \gamma_1, e_k \rangle e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} d\rho d\theta, \quad k = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_0^{2\pi} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 0$ . Indeed, to prove this last identity under the sole assumption that  $F \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we just notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta &= \int_0^\pi F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta + \int_\pi^{2\pi} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 F(|c|\rho t) dt - \int_{-1}^1 F(|c|\rho t) dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds as a consequence of the change of variable  $\cos \theta = t$ . Finally, we get easily (27) for  $m = 2$  upon recalling that  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ .

In the general case of  $m \geq 3$ , we consider an orthonormal basis  $(\gamma_k)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  where  $\gamma_1 = c/|c|$ . Then, we introduce polar coordinates with respect to  $(\gamma_k)$ . Let  $\rho = |x|$ . Proceeding similarly to [12, Sect. 5.9], we have, for  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$x = \rho \cos \theta_1 \gamma_1 + \rho \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + \rho \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{m-2} \sin \theta_{m-1} \gamma_m,$$

where  $\rho > 0$  (radial distance),  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-2} \in [0, \pi]$  (latitudes;  $\theta_1$  is the angle between  $x$  and  $\gamma_1$ ) and  $\theta_{m-1} \in [0, 2\pi]$  (longitude). Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m-1})$ . Denote by

$$J(\rho, \theta) = \rho^{m-1} (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2})$$

the Jacobian determinant. Moreover, set  $\gamma_i^{(k)} = \langle \gamma_i, e_k \rangle$ , for  $i, k = 1, \dots, m$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1(\theta) &= \cos \theta_1, \quad \xi_2(\theta) = \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \quad \dots, \\ \xi_{m-1}(\theta) &= \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{m-2} \cos \theta_{m-1}, \quad \xi_m(\theta) = \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{m-2} \sin \theta_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m,k}(F) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_0^\infty \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i(\theta) \gamma_i^{(k)} \right) J(\rho, \theta) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_0^\infty \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta_1) \xi_1(\theta) \gamma_1^{(k)} J(\rho, \theta) d\rho d\theta \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

using that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_0^\infty \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} F(|c|\rho \cos \theta_1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^m \xi_i(\theta) \gamma_i^{(k)} \right) J(\rho, \theta) d\rho d\theta = 0. \quad (29)$$

In order to prove (29) we check that if  $\rho > 0$  then

$$\int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) \xi_i(\theta) J(\rho, \theta) d\theta = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq m. \tag{30}$$

If  $i = m$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) \xi_m(\theta) (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2}) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^\pi F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 \times \\ & \times \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-3} \times [0,2\pi]} \sin \theta_2 \dots \sin \theta_{m-1} (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2}) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{m-1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Fubini theorem, since  $\int_0^{2\pi} \sin \theta_{m-1} d\theta_{m-1} = 0$ . Similarly we obtain that (30) holds with  $i = m - 1$ . Note that up to now we have already proved (30) when  $m = 3$ . Let  $m \geq 4$ . We check (30) when  $2 < i \leq m - 2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-2} \times [0,2\pi]} F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) \xi_i(\theta) (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2}) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^\pi F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 \times \\ & \times \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-3} \times [0,2\pi]} \sin \theta_2 \dots \cos \theta_i (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2}) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{m-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\int_0^\pi \cos \theta_i (\sin \theta_i)^{m-1-i} d\theta_i = 0$ . Similarly, for  $i = 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\pi F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 \times \\ & \times \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-3} \times [0,2\pi]} \cos \theta_2 (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} \dots (\sin \theta_{m-2}) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{m-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have verified (30) and so (28) holds. We rewrite (28) as follow

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m,k}(F) &= R_m \frac{\gamma_1^{(k)}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \rho^m e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}} F(|c| \rho \cos \theta_1) \cos \theta_1 (\sin \theta_1)^{m-2} d\rho d\theta_1 \\ \gamma_1^{(k)} &= \frac{c_k}{|c|}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where  $R_m = 2\pi$  if  $m = 3$  and if  $m > 3$

$$\begin{aligned} R_m &= \int_{[0,\pi]^{m-3} \times [0,2\pi]} (\sin \theta_2)^{m-3} (\sin \theta_3)^{m-4} \dots \sin \theta_{m-2} d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_{m-1} \\ &= 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^{m-3} \int_0^\pi (\sin \phi)^j d\phi = 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^{m-3} B\left(\frac{j+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^{m-3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{j+2}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the Beta function  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  (cf. page 103 of [28]). Hence since  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ , we get  $R_m = 2\pi (\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}$ . Inserting  $R_m$  in (31) we obtain (27), i.e.,

$$I_{m,k}(F) = \frac{2\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} \frac{c_k}{|c|} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^\pi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m \cos \vartheta (\sin \vartheta)^{m-2} F(|c|\rho \cos \vartheta) d\rho d\vartheta.$$

□

**Lemma 10** *If  $F \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$  verifies  $F(x) = -F(-x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we have, for any  $m \geq 2, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$I_{m,k}(F) = \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} \frac{c_k}{|c|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F(|c|\rho x) dx \quad (32)$$

(cf. (27)). In the special case of  $F = F_0 := \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)} - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ , we obtain

$$I_{m,k}(F_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F_0(\langle c, x \rangle) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{c_k}{|c|}. \quad (33)$$

**Proof** By changing variable  $x = \cos \theta$  and using that  $F(x) = -F(-x), x \neq 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^\pi \cos \vartheta (\sin \vartheta)^{m-2} F(|c|\rho \cos \vartheta) d\vartheta = 2 \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F(|c|\rho x) dx.$$

Whence,

$$I_{m,k}(F) = \frac{2 \cdot 2\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} \frac{c_k}{|c|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F(|c|\rho x) dx.$$

Let us assume that  $F = F_0 = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)} - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ . We find

$$I_{m,k}(F_0) = \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} \frac{c_k}{|c|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} dx.$$

Using that  $\int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{m-1}$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho = \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$  we find

$$I_{m,k}(F_0) = \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{1}{m-1} \frac{c_k}{|c|} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{c_k}{|c|},$$

since  $x\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x+1), x > 0$  and this finishes the proof. □

### 3.2 Proof of assertion (26)

Recall that  $c_k(t) := \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t}}{2\lambda_k}\right)^{1/2}$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Set  $c(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_m(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Fix  $m \geq 2$  and  $\delta > 0$ , and put  $S_m := S_m(\delta)$ . Then, for  $m \geq 2$ , define

$$A_m := \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{c_k(t)}{|c(t)|} dt \right)^2. \quad (34)$$

We prove that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_m = \infty$  in two steps.

*1 step.* We prove

$$S_m \geq A_m, \quad \forall m \geq 2. \quad (35)$$

We start by constructing an approximating sequence of smooth functions for  $F_0 := \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)} - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , consider a non-decreasing  $F_n \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $F_n(y) = 0$  if

$0 \leq y \leq 1/(n + 1)$  and  $F_n(y) = 1$  if  $y \geq 1/n$ . Then, extend each  $F_n$  to an odd function on  $\mathbb{R}$  by the rule  $F_n(x) = -F_n(-x)$  if  $x < 0$ , and define

$$f_n(x_1, \dots, x_m) = F_n(x_1 + \dots + x_m), \quad x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is clear that each  $f_n \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Whence,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m &\geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_n(c_1(t)x_1, \dots, c_m(t)x_m) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2 \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F_n(\langle c(t), x \rangle) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, combining the fact that each  $F_n$  is an odd functions with (32), with  $c$  replaced by  $c(t)$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F_n(\langle c(t), x \rangle) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2 \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{c_k(t)}{|c(t)|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F_n(|c(t)|\rho x) dx \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using that both  $F_n(x) \leq F_{n+1}(x)$  and  $F_n(x) \rightarrow F_0(x)$  hold for any  $x \geq 0$ , apply the monotone convergence theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} S_m &\geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{c_k(t)}{|c(t)|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F_n(|c(t)|\rho x) dx \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{4\pi(\sqrt{\pi})^{m-3}}{(2\pi)^{m/2} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{c_k(t)}{|c(t)|} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2} \rho^m d\rho \int_0^1 x(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} F_0(|c(t)|\rho x) dx \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda_k e^{-\lambda_k t}}{(1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F_0(\langle c(t), x \rangle) x_k e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx dt \right)^2 = A_m, \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 2$ . In the last line we have used both (32) and (33) with  $c$  replaced by  $c(t)$ . This proves (35).

*II step.* We prove that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = \infty, \tag{36}$$

thus completing the proof of (26). Recalling the definition of  $c_k(t)$ , we have

$$A_m = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k} e^{-\lambda_k t}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{|c(t)|} dt \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \left( \int_0^\delta \frac{e^{-\lambda_k t}}{|c(t)|} dt \right)^2, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{37}$$

To bound (37) from below, note that

$$|c(t)| = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t}}{2\lambda_k} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_k t}}{2\lambda_k} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-2\lambda_k s} \right] ds \right)^{1/2}$$

holds for any  $t \geq 0$ . Now, if there is a positive constant  $c_0$  such that  $\lambda_k \geq c_0 k^2$  for any  $k \geq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-2\lambda_k s} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-2c_0 k^2 s} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2c_0 z^2 s} dz = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2c_0 s}}, \quad s > 0,$$

yielding

$$|c(t)| \leq \left( \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2c_0 s}} ds \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{2\pi t}{c_0} \right)^{1/4}.$$

Up to now we have found that

$$A_m \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \left( \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda_k t} \left( \frac{c_0}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/4} dt \right)^2, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Now, exploit that

$$\int_0^\delta t^{-1/4} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{3/4} \int_0^{\lambda\delta} s^{-1/4} e^{-s} ds \geq \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{3/4} \int_0^{c_0\delta} s^{-1/4} e^{-s} ds$$

holds for every  $\lambda \geq c_0$ , to get (after recalling that, in particular,  $\lambda_k \geq c_0$ , for any  $k \geq 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{c_0}{2\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \left( \int_0^\delta t^{-1/4} e^{-\lambda_k t} dt \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{c_0}{2\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)^{3/2} \left( \int_0^{c_0\delta} s^{-1/4} e^{-s} ds \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{c_0}{2\pi}} \left( \int_0^{c_0\delta} s^{-1/4} e^{-s} ds \right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\lambda_k \sim k^2$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \sim \log m$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , and (36) holds. This finishes the proof.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Pavia within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

1. Athreya, S.R., Bass, R.F., Perkins, E.A.: Hölder norm estimates for elliptic operators on finite and infinite-dimensional spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **357**, 5001–5029 (2005)
2. Casarino, V., Ciatti, P., Sjögren, P.: Riesz transforms of a general Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equ.* **60**, 135 (2021)
3. Casarino, V., Ciatti, P., Sjögren, P.: On the maximal operator of a general Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. *Math. Z.* **301**, 2393–2413 (2022)
4. Chojnowska-Michalik, A., Goldys, B.: Generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups: littlewood-Paley-Stein inequalities and the P.A. Meyer equivalence of norms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **182**, 243–279 (2001)

5. Chojnowska-Michalik, A., Goldys, B.: Symmetric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups and their generators. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **124**, 459–486 (2002)
6. Da Prato, G.: Characterization of the domain of an elliptic operator of infinitely many variables in  $L^2(\mu)$ -spaces. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* **8**, 101–105 (1997)
7. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, UK (2002)
8. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, UK (2014)
9. Da Prato, G.: A new regularity result for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generators and applications. *J. Evol. Eq.* **3**, 485–498 (2003)
10. Da Prato, G., Flandoli, F., Priola, E., Röckner, E.: Strong uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces perturbed by a bounded measurable drift. *Ann. Prob.* **41**, 3306–3344 (2013)
11. Dore, G., Venni, A.: On the closedness of the sum of two closed operators. *Math. Z.* **196**, 189–201 (1987)
12. Fleming, W.: *Functions of several variables*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977)
13. Gutierrez, C.E., Segovia, C., Torrea, J.L.: On higher Riesz transforms for Gaussian measures. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **2**, 583–596 (1996)
14. Hairer, M.: *An introduction to stochastic PDEs* (2009), available at [arXiv:0907.4178v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4178v1)
15. Nualart, D.: *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Probability and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York (1995)
16. Lunardi, A.: On the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in  $L^2$  spaces with respect to invariant measures. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **349**, 155–169 (1997)
17. Maas, J., van Neerven, J.: Boundedness of Riesz transforms for elliptic operators on abstract Wiener spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257**, 2410–2475 (2009)
18. Mauceri, G., Meda, S., Sjögren, P.: Endpoint estimates for first-order Riesz transforms associated to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **28**, 77–91 (2012)
19. Metafune, G., Pruss, J., Rhandi, A., Schnaubelt, R.: The domain of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on an  $L_p$ -space with invariant measure. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **1**, 471–485 (2002)
20. Meyer, P.A.: Note sur les processus d’Ornstein-Uhlenbeck. In: *Seminaire de Probabilités XVI, Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 920, pp. 95–133, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York (1982)
21. Meyer, P.A.: Transformations de Riesz pour les Lois Gaussiennes. *Seminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg* **18**, 179–193 (1984)
22. Priola, E.: An optimal regularity result for Kolmogorov equations and weak uniqueness for some critical SPDEs. *Ann. Prob.* **49**, 1310–1346 (2021)
23. Priola, E.: Erratum to “An optimal regularity result for Kolmogorov equations and weak uniqueness for some critical SPDEs”, published online on *Ann. Prob.* (preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.07096>)
24. Pruss, J., Sohr, H.: On operators with bounded imaginary powers in Banach spaces. *Math. Z.* **203**, 429–452 (1990)
25. Shigekawa, I.: Sobolev spaces over the Wiener space based on an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **32**, 731–748 (1992)
26. Shigekawa, I.: *Stochastic Analysis*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2004)
27. Shigekawa, I., Yoshida, N.: Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequality for a symmetric diffusion. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **44**, 249–280 (1992)
28. Spiegel, M.R.: *Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables*. Schaum (1968)
29. Stein, E.M.: *Topics in Harmonic Analysis*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1970)

**Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.