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#### Abstract

We consider the problem $\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u-u^{q}+u^{p}=0$ in $\Omega, u>0$ in $\Omega, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 1<q<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon$ is a small positive parameter. We determine the location and shape of the least energy solution when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
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## 1 Introduction

There has been considerable interest in understanding the behavior of positive solutions of the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{cases}\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+f(u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u>0 \text { in } \Omega, \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a parameter, $f$ is a changing sign superlinear nonlinearity and $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$. We consider the problems in the zero mass case, that is, when $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=0$. It is easy to check that the problem (1.1) admits solutions on $\Omega$ if $f^{\prime}(0)<0$, while there may be no nontrivial solutions for small $\varepsilon>0$ if

[^0]$f^{\prime}(0)>0$. Thus, problem (1.1) can be viewed as borderline problems. Berestycki and Lions in [2] proved the existence of ground state solutions if $f(u)$ behaves like $|u|^{p}$ for large $u$ and $|u|^{q}$ for small $u$ where $p$ and $q$ are, respectively, supercritical and subcritical. This type of equations arise in the Yang-Mills theory, in various mathematical models derived from population theory, chemical reactor theory, and are much harder to handle; see Gidas [6] and Gidas et al. [7]. In this paper, we consider the following singular perturbed problem,
\[

$$
\begin{cases}\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u-u^{q}+u^{p}=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number and $v$ denotes the unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. Here, $1<q<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 2$.

This problem with the Dirichlet boundary condition was first studied by Dancer and Santra [3], and they have proved that there exists $q_{\star}=\frac{N}{N-2}$ called the zero mass exponent such that when $q \in\left(\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{N+2}{N-2}\right)$, the least energy solution, concentrates at a harmonic center of $\Omega$. Moreover, $q_{\star}$ is critical to (1.2) in the determination of concentration of the least energy solution. Furthermore, Dancer et al. [4] proved that $q \in\left(1, \frac{N}{N-2}\right)$, the least energy solution concentrates at the global minimum of $\mathcal{R}_{q}$ (re-normalized energy) where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{R}_{q}(\xi):=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\delta}(\xi)} \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathcal{G}_{q}(x, \xi)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{q+1} \mathcal{G}_{q}^{q+1}(x, \xi)-\frac{(q-1)}{2(q+1)(2+2 \alpha-N)} \delta^{-2-2 \alpha} \omega_{q}^{q+1}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathcal{G}_{q}(\cdot, \xi)$ is the unique positive weakly singular solution to the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{x} \mathcal{G}_{q}(x, \xi)-\mathcal{G}_{q}(x, \xi)^{q}=0 & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{\xi\}  \tag{1.4}\\ \mathcal{G}_{q}(x, \xi) \sim \frac{\omega_{q}}{|x-\xi|^{\alpha}} & \text { for } x \sim \xi \\ \mathcal{G}_{q}(x, \xi)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and when $q=q_{\star}, u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at the global minima of $\Psi_{q_{\star}}$, where $\Psi_{q_{\star}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{q_{\star}}(\xi):= & \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \mathcal{H}_{q_{\star}}(x, \xi)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +(N-2)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{2(N-1)}|\log | x-\left.\xi\right|^{N-2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(N-2)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{2(N-1)}|\log | x-\left.\xi\right|^{N-1}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{2(N-1)}|\log | x-\left.\xi\right|^{N}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{q_{\star}}(\cdot, \xi)$ is the solution to the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{x} \mathcal{H}_{q_{\star}}(x, \xi)=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\ \mathcal{H}_{q_{\star}}(x, \xi)=\frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{N-2}|\log | x-\left.\xi\right|^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{q}^{q-1}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{q-1}\left[\frac{2}{q-1}-(N-2)\right] & \text { if } q<q_{\star}  \tag{1.6}\\ \left(\frac{N-2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{N-2} & \text { if } q=q_{\star}\end{cases}
$$

In this paper, we consider the analogue Neumann problem (1.2). As in the Dirichlet problem, there are zero mass exponents for the Neumann problem. We now derive the zero mass exponent, which will be crucial in determining the points of concentration.

As in [12], we first define the least energy solution. Let the associated functional to the problem (1.2) be

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Easy to check that $I_{\varepsilon}(u)$ satisfies Palais-Smale condition and all the conditions of the mountain pass theorem and hence there exists a mountain pass solution $u_{\varepsilon}>0$ and a mountain pass critical value characterized by

$$
0<c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H^{1}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\right\},
$$

where $I_{\varepsilon}(e)<0$ and $e(x)=k$ is a constant function on $\Omega, k$ chosen sufficiently large. Note that as 0 is a strict local minima of $I_{\varepsilon}, c_{\varepsilon}>0, \forall \varepsilon>0$. Let

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1}=\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}\right\} .
$$

The problem is now to obtain the asymptotic behavior of $c_{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. To this end, we start with the entire problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta U-U^{q}+U^{p}=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.7}\\ U>0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ U \rightarrow 0 & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, \\ U \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . & \end{cases}
$$

By Li and Ni [11] and Kwong and Zhang [10], (1.7) has a unique radial solution $U$ such that $U \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \mathrm{L}^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u:|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$ and $\left.u \in L^{2^{\star}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ when $N \geq 3$. Moreover, $U$ behaves at infinity as

$$
U(r) \sim \begin{cases}\frac{1}{r^{\frac{2}{q-1}}} & \text { if } 1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}  \tag{1.8}\\ \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} & \text { if } \frac{N}{N-2}<q<\frac{N+2}{N-2} . \\ \frac{1}{r^{N-2}(\log r)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} & \text { if } q=\frac{N}{N-2} .\end{cases}
$$

When $q=1$, Ni and Takagi [12] showed that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, the least energy solution is a single boundary spike and has only one local maximum $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$. Moreover, in [13], they prove that $H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ where $H(P)$ is the mean curvature of $\partial \Omega$ at $P$. A simplified proof was given by Del Pino and Felmer in [5], for a wide class of nonlinearities.

We first point out a useful lemma whose proof follows from the computations in Ni and Takagi [12].

Lemma 1.1 Let $A(x)$ be a radial function with $A(x) \sim \frac{C}{|x|^{\nu}}$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\gamma>N+1$. Then, for $P \in \partial \Omega$, we have the following asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x-P}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\varepsilon^{N}\left[\frac{c}{2}-\varepsilon K H(P)+o(\varepsilon)\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(P)$ is the mean curvature of the boundary at the point $P$

$$
c=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} A(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
K=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|y|^{2} A(y, 0) d y .
$$

Now, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^{2}+\frac{1}{q+1} U^{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1} U^{p+1} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $K>0$. Note that from algebraic decay of $U$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
K & =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left[\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}-F(U)\right]|y|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}=\frac{N-1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left[\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}-F(U)\right] y_{N} \mathrm{~d} y^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{N-1}{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{\prime}(|y|)\right)^{2} y_{N} \mathrm{~d} y . \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the claim.
Observe that the restriction $\gamma>N+1$ is necessary otherwise $K$ is not defined.
Then, the lowest decay rate in (1.10) is given by the gradient term since $2(\alpha+1) \leq \alpha(q+1)$. Note that the equality holds for $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$.

So, if $2(\alpha+1)>N+1$, we obtain an estimate depending only on the mean curvature. As a result if $2(\alpha+1)>N+1$, we obtain an estimate on the least energy (as in [12]) depending only on the mean curvature. So, if $\alpha>\frac{N-1}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{N}\left[\frac{c}{2}-\varepsilon K H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o(\varepsilon)\right] \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\varepsilon}$ is the unique local maximum point of $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is the boundary mean curvature function at $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$.

Following the same argument in Ni and Takagi [12], we can then prove that $H\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow$ $\max _{P \in \partial \Omega} H(P)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Observe that $\alpha>\frac{N-1}{2}$ is satisfied if and only if either $N \geq 4$, or $N=3, q<3$, or $N=2, q<5$.

The most interesting cases are

1) $N=3, q \geq 3,(\alpha=1)$. Note that when $N=3$ and $q=3$, we are in the situation of a zero mass exponent.
2) $N=2, q \geq 5,\left(\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}\right)$.

The main objective of this paper is to locate the maximum point $P_{\varepsilon}$ in the remaining cases. It turns out that as in the Dirichlet problem, the location of the spikes is determined in a nonlocal way.

Let $P \in \partial \Omega$. We define a diffeomorphism straightening of the boundary in a neighborhood of $P$. After rotation and translation of the coordinate system, we may assume that the inward normal to $\partial \Omega$ at $P$ points in the direction of the positive $x_{N}$ axis and that $P=0$.

Let $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N-1}\right)$ and $B_{\delta}^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}:\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\delta_{0}\right\}$ and $\Omega_{1}=\Omega \cap B\left(P, \delta_{0}\right)$, where $B\left(P, \delta_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x-P|<\delta_{0}\right\}$. Since $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, we can choose a $\delta_{0}>0$ such that $\partial \Omega \cap B\left(P, \delta_{0}\right)$ can be represented by the graph of a smooth function $f=f_{P}: B\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{P}(0) & =0, \nabla f_{P}(0)=0 \text { and } \partial \Omega \cap B\left(P, \delta_{0}\right) \\
& =\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in B(P, \delta): x_{N}-P_{N}>f_{P}\left(x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
f_{P}\left(x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} k_{i}\left(x_{i}-P_{i}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right|^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$ are the principal curvatures at $P$. Note that the first condition implies that $\left\{x_{N}=0\right\}$ is a tangent plane of $\partial \Omega$ at $P$.

We deform the boundary near $P$. For $x \in \Omega_{1}=\Omega \cap B\left(P, \delta_{0}\right)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon y^{\prime}=x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}, \quad \varepsilon y_{N}=x_{N}-P_{N}-f\left(x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This transformation we denote by $y=T_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Note that the Jacobian of $T_{\varepsilon}$ equals $\varepsilon^{N}$. Its inverse is called $x=T_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=P^{\prime}+\varepsilon y^{\prime}, \quad x_{N}=P_{N}+\varepsilon y_{N}+f\left(\varepsilon\left(y^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace operator and the boundary operator reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
v(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} f\right|^{2}}}\left(\nabla_{x^{\prime}} f,-1\right)  \tag{1.15}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial v} & =\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} f\right|^{2}}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} f_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{N}}\right\}\right|_{x_{N}-P_{N}=f\left(x^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right)} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Laplace operator becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta_{x}=\Delta_{y}+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} f\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2} y_{N}}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{N}}-\varepsilon \Delta_{x^{\prime}} f \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{N}} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

$$
y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{N}\right), y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N-1}\right) \text { and } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N-1}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: y_{N}>0\right\} .
$$

When $N=2$, we define a space

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right):|\nabla u| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} ;|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha}} \text { whenever }|x| \gg 1\right\}
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $u$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\infty}(U)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} U^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1} U^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined on $\mathcal{D}$. Note that when $N \geq 3, I_{\infty}(U)$ is well defined in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In this paper, we show that when $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and $N=2$, the asymptotic behavior of the least energy solution of the Neumann problem (1.2) is not determined by the mean curvature of $\partial \Omega$, instead it is determined by a nonlinear singular problem. For any $P \in \partial \Omega$, we define the renormalized energy in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{q}(P):= & \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)}\left|\nabla G_{q}(x, P)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)}\left|G_{q}(x, P)\right|^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{q-1}{4(q+1) \alpha} \delta^{-(2 \alpha+2)} \omega_{q}^{q+1}\right] . \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{q}$ is the unique (up to a modulo constant) positive solution

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{x} G_{q}(x, P)-G_{q}(x, P)^{q}=0 & \text { in } \bar{\Omega} \backslash\{P\}  \tag{1.20}\\ \frac{\partial G_{q}(x, P)}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \backslash\{P\} \\ G_{q}(x, P) \sim \frac{\omega_{q}}{|x-P|^{\alpha}} & \text { when } x \sim P\end{cases}
$$

Now, we state the main results of the paper
Theorem 1.1 There exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, the least energy positive solution of (1.2) $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ has a unique point of maximum $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$.
(a) When $N=2$ and $q>5, u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at the global minimum of $\Phi_{q}$, where $\Phi_{q}$ satisfies (1.3) and

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}+\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \Phi_{q}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right)
$$

where $\Phi_{q}$ satisfies (1.19).
(b) When $N=2$ and $q=5, u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at a local maxima of $H$, where $H$ is the boundary curvature function and

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}-\frac{\left(1-\sigma_{0}\right)}{8} \varepsilon^{3}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{3}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)
$$

for some $\sigma_{0}<1$.
Theorem 1.2 There exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, the least energy positive solution of (1.2) $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ has a unique point of maximum $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$.
(a) When $N=3$ and $q>3, u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at a local maximum of $H$, where $H$ is the boundary curvature function and

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{2} I_{\infty}-\gamma_{3}^{2} \varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) .
$$

where $\gamma_{3}=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty}|x| U(x)$.
(b) When $N=3$ and $q=3$, (corresponds to the zero mass exponent) $u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at a local maximum of $H$, where $H$ is the boundary curvature function

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{2} I_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \frac{H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)}{4}+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

By concentration, we mean $u_{\varepsilon}$ converge uniformly to zero in compact subsets of $\Omega \backslash\{P\}$ while there exists a $c>0$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq c$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Renormalized energy is a well-known concept in theoretical physics for instance see Bethuel et al. [1] is independent of the core radius and is a function of the singularity position which characterizes the energy content of a dislocated body. They established that a family of global minimizers of

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2} ; u \in H^{1}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Dirichlet constraint $u=g$ on $\partial \Omega$ where $g$ is a smooth function with values in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. When $n:=\operatorname{deg}(g ; \partial \Omega)>0$, it was found that $u_{\varepsilon}$ has exactly $n$ zeros (called vortices) of local degree one, which approach, up to subsequence, $n$ distinct points $\xi_{j}$ for which

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \rightarrow e^{i \varphi(x, \xi)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x-\xi}{|x-\xi|}=w(x, \xi)
$$

Besides, $\xi$ globally minimizes a re-normalized energy, $W(\xi)$, characterized as the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\xi)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{\Omega \backslash \cup j=1^{n} B_{\rho}\left(\xi_{j}\right)}\left|\nabla_{x} w\right|^{2}-n \pi \log \frac{1}{\rho}\right] . \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which explicit expression in terms of Greens functions can be found in Bethuel et al. [1]. The asymptotic expansion of $W(\xi)$, of (1.22) shows that the renormalized energy is the remaining energy after the removal of the singular core energy $n \pi \log \frac{1}{\rho}$ has been removed, see Kleman [9].

## 2 Preliminaries

We recall some well-known results to (1.2).
Lemma 2.1 (a) For all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\inf _{u \in H^{1}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u) .
$$

Proof For the sake of completeness, we prove this well-known lemma. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. First, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) \leq \inf _{u \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first claim that $\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\inf _{u \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u)$. Define $\beta(t)=I_{\varepsilon}(t u)$. Due to the nature of the nonlinearity, we have $\beta(0)=0, \beta(t)>0$ for small $t>0$ and $\beta(t)<0$ for $t>0$ sufficiently large. Hence, $\max _{t \in[0,+\infty)} \beta(t)$ is achieved. Also note that $\beta^{\prime}(t)=0$ implies $\varepsilon^{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=g(t)$ where

$$
g(t)=t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}-t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1} .
$$

It is easy to see that $g$ is an increasing function of $t$ whenever $g(t)>0$. Thus, there exists a unique $t$ such that $\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}=g(t)$. Hence, there exists a unique point $\theta(u)$ such that $\beta^{\prime}(\theta(u) u)=0$ and $\theta(u) u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$. This implies that $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ is radially homeomorphic to $H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ if we prove that $\theta: H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous. In order to do so, let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$. Then, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{r}(\Omega)$ for all $r \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}=\theta^{p-1}\left(u_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p+1}-\theta^{q-1}\left(u_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves there exist constants $m>0$ and $M>0$ independent of $n$ such that $m \leq$ $\theta\left(u_{n}\right) \leq M$. By passing to the limit in (2.2), the whole sequence $\left\{\theta\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges as $u_{n}$ is convergent and hence $\theta(u)=\theta_{0}$ where $\theta_{0} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ which proves our claim.

Next, we claim that $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)$. It is easy to see that $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]}$ $I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)$ by (2.1). It is enough to prove that any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ intersects $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$. Note that $I_{\varepsilon}(u)>0$ for $\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$ sufficiently small and $I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1))<0$ which implies the required result.

Lemma 2.2 When $N=2$, then $I_{\infty}$ satisfies the Palais Smale condition on $\mathcal{D}$ and hence the functional $I_{\infty}$ satisfies all the conditions of mountain pass theorem on $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof Define a norm on $\mathcal{D}$ as

$$
\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{q+1}\right)^{1 / q+1} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}
$$

Note that $\left(\mathcal{D},\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is a Banach space. We claim that $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a continuous embedding provided $1<p<\infty$. Define $I_{\infty}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
I_{\infty}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}|u|^{q+1}\right) .
$$

Now, we need to show that $I_{\infty}$ satisfies Palais Smale condition on $\mathcal{D}$. Let $u_{n}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $I_{\infty}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C$ and $I_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}=o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then, we obtain that $u_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}=C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

Hence, there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
C_{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}\right)=C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) & \leq C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}\right) & \leq C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \min \left\{\left(C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)^{1 / 2},\left(C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)^{1 / q+1}\right\}
$$

which implies that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}$.
This implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \leq C
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} \leq C .
$$

Hence by reflexivity, we obtain $\nabla u_{n} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L^{2}$ and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^{q+1}$. Also by Rellich Lemma $u_{n}$ converges strongly in compact subset of $L^{2}$ and $L^{q+1}$. Hence there exists a subsequence of $u_{n}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. But $\left|u_{n}\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha}}$ and $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha+1}}$ for $|x| \gg 1$. By using the decay estimates, we can show that $u_{n}$ converges strongly $u$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of radially symmetric functions. Then, $\mathcal{D}_{r} \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a compact embedding provided $2<p+1<\infty$.

Suppose $T$ is a bounded set in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$. Then, $|u(r)| \leq \epsilon$ if $u \in T$ and $r \geq R$. Hence

$$
\int_{R}^{\infty}|u(r)|^{p+1} r=\int_{R}^{\infty}|u(r)|^{p-q}|u(r)|^{q+1} r \leq \epsilon \int_{R}^{\infty}|u|^{q+1} r \leq \epsilon\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}
$$

Now, we know that bounded sets in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ will converge strongly in $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ on compact subsets and hence we can use the usual diagonalization argument to obtain a strongly convergent subsequence in $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ from a sequence in $T$. As a matter of fact, $I_{\infty}$ satisfies all the conditions of the mountain pass theorem in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$. Hence there exists a $c>0$ such that

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\infty}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}_{r}} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\infty}(t u)
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1] ; \mathcal{D}_{r}\right) ; \gamma(0)=0, I_{\infty}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Hence there exists a positive radial solution of (1.7) obtained by the mountain pass theorem. Hence by Lemma 2.1, $U$ is a mountain pass solution of (1.7).

Since

$$
c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}=I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}, \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}$ and $\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}$ are uniformly bounded. Let $P_{\varepsilon}$ be a local maxima of (1.2), then $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 1$. By Gidas and Spruck [8], we obtain $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$. Hence $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{2, \beta}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$ for some $0<\beta<1$, as a result $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon x\right) \rightarrow U(x)$ uniformly in $\Omega_{\varepsilon, P}=\left\{x / P_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon x \in \Omega\right\}$ where $U$ satisfies (1.7).

Moreover, if $\alpha:=\max \left\{\frac{2}{q-1}, N-2\right\}$, by Dancer and Santra [3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{\alpha} U(x)=\omega_{q}>0, \text { if } q \neq q_{\star} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q<q_{\star} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\alpha>N-2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\frac{\omega_{q}}{|x|^{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{(p-q) \alpha+\alpha}}\right) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=-\frac{N-2}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{(N-2)^{2}+4 \omega_{q}^{2}}}{2}$. Moreover,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\alpha(q+1)} U_{r}^{2}(r)=\omega_{q}^{q+1}
$$

## 3 Linear theory in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

Consider the operator $L=\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)$.
Lemma 3.1 Let $\psi$ be a bounded solution of

$$
L(\psi)=0 .
$$

Then, $\psi \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}$.
Proof Let us write

$$
\psi=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k}(r) S_{k}(\theta)
$$

where $r=|x|, \theta=\frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$; and $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} S_{k}=\lambda S_{k}$ where $\lambda_{k}=k^{2} ; k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ and whose multiplicity is given by $M_{k}-M_{k-2}$ where $M_{k}=\frac{(k+1)!}{k!}$ for $k \geq 2$. Note that $\lambda_{0}=0$ has algebraic multiplicity one and $\lambda_{1}=1$ has algebraic multiplicity 2 . Then, $\phi_{k}$ satisfy an infinite system of ODE given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} \phi_{k}^{\prime}+\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{k}=0, r \in(0, \infty) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also note that (3.1) has two linearly independent solutions $z_{1, k}$ and $z_{2, k}$. Let

$$
A_{k}(\phi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} \phi^{\prime}+\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}}\right) \phi
$$

Also recall that if one solution $z_{1, k}$ to (3.1) is known, a second linearly independent solution can be found in any interval where $z_{1, k}$ does not vanish as

$$
z_{2, k}(r)=z_{1, k}(r) \int z_{1, k}^{-2} r^{-1} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

where $\int$ denotes antiderivatives. One can obtain the asymptotic behavior of any solution $z$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by examining the indicial roots of the associated Euler equation. The limiting equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+r \phi^{\prime}-\left(q \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{k}\right) \phi=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose indicial roots are given by

$$
\mu_{k}^{ \pm}= \begin{cases}\sqrt{\left(q \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{k}\right)} & \text { if } k \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{q} \alpha & \text { if } k=0\end{cases}
$$

In this way, we see that the asymptotic behavior is ruled by $z(r) \sim r^{-\mu}$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$; where $\mu$ satisfies the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}-\left(q \omega_{q}^{q-1}+\lambda_{k}\right)=0 \quad \text { if } \alpha=\frac{2}{q-1} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1 If $k=0$, Eq. (3.1) has no nontrivial solution in $\mathcal{D}$.

Since (3.1) is a second-order differential equation, it has two solutions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. The other solution $g_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r g_{1, r}\right)_{r}=-f^{\prime}(U(r)) r g_{1}(r) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we can choose $R>0$ such that for $r \geq R$ we obtain $f^{\prime}(U(r)) \leq 0$. If we choose $g_{1}(R)=1$ and $g_{1}^{\prime}(R)>0$, we obtain (3.4) that $r g_{1, r}$ is increasing for all $r \geq R$ and hence there exist a constant $c>0$ such that $r g_{1, r} \geq c$. Hence by integration, we can show $g_{1}(r) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. As a result, $g_{1}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{D}$. We consider the solution $g_{2}(0)=1$ we can show exactly as in [10] that $g_{2}$ satisfies $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g_{2}(r)=K \neq 0$. Hence, $g_{2}(r) \notin \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, note that the operator is not nondegenerate in the space of bounded functions.

Claim 2 If $k=1$, then all solutions of Eq. (3.1) are constant multiples of $U^{\prime}$.
In this case, $\lambda_{1}=1$, and hence we have $z_{1,1}(r)=-U^{\prime}(r)$ is a solution to the problem (3.1) and is positive $(0,+\infty)$. Hence we define

$$
z_{1,2}(r)=z_{1,1}(r) \int_{1}^{r} z_{1,1}(s)^{-2} s^{-1} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Let us check how $z_{1,2}(r)$ behaves at infinity.
Again when $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, then $\left|U_{r}\right| \sim r^{-\alpha q+1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $z_{1,2}(r) \sim r^{\alpha q-1}$ and as $\alpha q=2+\alpha>2, z_{1,2} \notin \mathcal{D}$. Hence any family of solutions of (3.1) is given by $\phi_{1}=c U^{\prime}(r)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 3 If $k \geq 2$, Eq. (3.1) admits only trivial solution in $\mathcal{D}$. We will show that if $A_{k}\left(\phi_{k}\right)=0$, then $\phi_{k}=0$. Note that $-U^{\prime}$ is a positive solution of $A_{1}$. Let us study the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}(\phi)=\lambda \phi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi^{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know $U_{r r} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\alpha q}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Note that if $\lambda_{1}>0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{1} U^{\prime}=0$ and hence there exists a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\phi_{1}$ changes sign. But $\phi_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ and hence it has a definite sign. Hence $\lambda_{1} \leq 0$. Thus, $A_{1}$ is an operator having no positive eigenvalues. Hence for $k \geq 2, c_{k}=k^{2}-1>0$. Now,

$$
A_{k}=A_{1}-\frac{k^{2}-1}{r^{2}} I
$$

where $I$ is the identity. Hence $0=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} A_{k}\left(\phi_{k}\right) \phi_{k} \geq c_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\phi_{k}^{2}}{r^{2}}$ and as $\phi_{k} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we have $\phi_{k} \equiv 0$.

Remark 3.1 Hence deduce that for any $\phi \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta-p U^{p-1}+q U^{q-1}\right)$, then $\phi=U^{\prime}(r) S_{1}$ where $S_{1}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} S_{1}=\lambda_{1} S_{1} .
$$

Now, $\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}-\lambda_{1} I\right)$ is 2 dimensional and hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}-\lambda_{1} I\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{S_{1,1}, S_{1,2}\right\} \simeq$ $\operatorname{span} \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{U^{\prime}(r) S_{1,1}, U^{\prime}(r) S_{1,2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{D}$.
Corollary 3.1 If we restrict $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)\right)$ to $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\mathcal{D} \cap\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{2}}=0\right.$ on $\left.\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right\}$ then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial y_{1}}\right\}$.
Remark 3.2 When $N \geq 3, \operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+f^{\prime}(U)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \cdots \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{N-1}}\right\}$ where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{N}}=0\right.$ on $\left.\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right\}$.

For any $P \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for any $\varepsilon>0$ set

$$
U_{\varepsilon, P}(x):=U\left(\frac{x-P}{\varepsilon}\right) x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

It is clear that $U_{\varepsilon, P}$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta U_{\varepsilon, P}-U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q}+U_{\varepsilon, P}^{p}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Profile of spike $N=2$ and $q>5$.

Lemma 4.1 Then, (1.20) admits a solution. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{q}(x, P)=\frac{\omega_{q}}{|x-P|^{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x-P|^{\alpha-1}}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof In order to prove existence of solution of (1.20), we consider

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \phi_{0}-\phi_{0}=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.2}\\ \frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial v}=\left|\frac{\partial U_{0}}{\partial v}\right| & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $U_{0}=\omega_{q}|x-P|^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$ and $P \in \partial \Omega$. Note that this problem has $L^{\infty}$ solution since it is easy to check that $\left|\frac{\partial U_{0}}{\partial \nu}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|x-P|^{\alpha}}$ and the solution $\left|\phi_{0}\right| \leq C_{1}|x-P|^{1-\alpha}+C_{2}$. Secondly, we use $U_{0} \pm C \phi_{0}$ as sub-super solution to the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta G_{\varepsilon}-G_{\varepsilon}^{q}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}=\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(P)  \tag{4.3}\\ \partial_{\nu} G_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \cap \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\ G_{\varepsilon}=\omega_{q} \varepsilon^{-\alpha} & \text { in } \partial B_{\varepsilon}(P)\end{cases}
$$

Then, we can show that

$$
U_{0}-C \phi_{0} \leq G_{\varepsilon} \leq U_{0}+C \phi_{0}
$$

for $C$ large independent of $\varepsilon$. Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
U_{0}-C \phi_{0} \leq G_{q} \leq U_{0}+C \phi_{0} .
$$

This proves the existence of $G_{q}$, as well as the asymptotic behavior. Note that this solution is unique up to a constant.

We define

$$
f_{q}(x, P)=G_{q}(x, P)-\frac{\omega_{q}}{|x-P|^{\alpha}} .
$$

Lemma 4.2 Then, close to $P \in \partial \Omega$, the following happens

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla f_{q}(x, P)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(|x-P|^{-\alpha}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Delta f_{q}(x, P)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(|x-P|^{-(\alpha+1)}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

near $P$.
Proof Without loss of generality, we consider $P=0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f-\frac{q \alpha^{2}}{|x|^{2}} f=\mathcal{O}\left(|x|^{-(\alpha+1)}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that there exists a $R>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)| \leq C|x|^{\nu} \quad \text { in } B_{R}(0) \cap \Omega
$$

Let $x \in B\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$ and $r=\frac{|x|}{2}$. For any $y \in B_{1}$, we define $\tilde{f}(y)=f(x+r y)$. Then, from (4.6), we have

$$
\Delta \tilde{f}=r^{2} \Delta f=q \alpha^{2} \tilde{f}+\mathcal{O}\left(|x+r y|^{1-\alpha}\right)
$$

Hence by elliptic estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla \tilde{f}(0)| & \leq C\left(\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}+\|\Delta \tilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}\right) \\
& \leq C\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)} \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(x)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, $|\nabla f(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}$. Similarly

$$
|\Delta \tilde{f}(0)| \leq C\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}
$$

and hence we have

$$
|\Delta f(x)| \leq C|x|^{-(\alpha+1)} .
$$

## 5 Construction of the projection

Consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \varphi-\frac{q \alpha^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \varphi=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{5.1}\\
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \backslash\{0\} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\varphi=\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} y_{2}+\hat{\varphi}$ be a solution of (5.1). Then, $\hat{\varphi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \hat{\varphi}-\frac{q \alpha^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \hat{\varphi}+\Delta\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} y_{2}\right)-\frac{q \alpha^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \frac{y_{2}}{|x|^{\alpha}}=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2},  \tag{5.2}\\ \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Consider $\hat{\varphi}=r^{\beta} Q(\theta)$ with $\beta=1-\alpha$ and $Q(\theta)=Q(-\theta)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(r^{\beta} Q(\theta)\right)-\frac{q \alpha^{2}}{r^{2}} r^{\beta} Q(\theta)=\left[\left(\beta^{2}-q \alpha^{2}\right) Q(\theta)+Q_{\theta \theta}\right] r^{\beta-2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\theta \theta}+\left(\beta^{2}-q \alpha^{2}\right) Q(\theta) & =-\left[(\sin \theta)_{\theta \theta}+\left(\beta-q \alpha^{2}\right) \sin \theta\right] \\
& =\left(q \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}+1\right) \sin \theta . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we need to solve

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
Q_{\theta \theta}+\left(\beta^{2}-q \alpha^{2}\right) Q(\theta) & =|\sin \theta|\left(q \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}+1\right) \quad \text { in }(0, \pi)  \tag{5.5}\\
Q^{\prime}(0) & =Q^{\prime}(\pi)=0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

This problem can be uniquely solved as long as

$$
\beta^{2}-q \alpha^{2} \neq n^{2}
$$

that is

$$
(1-\alpha)^{2}-q \alpha^{2} \neq 1
$$

We denote this solution as $q_{0}(\theta)$. Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}=r^{1-\alpha}\left[\sin \theta+q_{0}(\theta)\right] . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we solve

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \varphi_{0}-q U^{q-1} \varphi_{0}+p U^{p-1} \varphi_{0}=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2},  \tag{5.7}\\ \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} & \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \backslash\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$

Let $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{1}+\hat{\varphi}_{0}$ be a solution of (5.7). Then, $\hat{\varphi}_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \hat{\varphi}_{0}-q U^{q-1} \hat{\varphi}_{0}+p U^{p-1} \hat{\varphi}_{0}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2+\sigma+\alpha-1}}\right)=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{5.8}\\ \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

which can be uniquely solved if $\hat{\varphi}_{0}$ is even in $y_{1}$, and by super-solution method, we obtain for $|x| \gg 1$

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{0}(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha-1+\sigma}}\right) .
$$

Choose a $\eta=\eta_{\delta} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$

$$
\eta_{\delta}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { in }|x-P| \leq \delta,  \tag{5.9}\\ 0 & \text { in }|x-P|>2 \delta .\end{cases}
$$

We define a nonlinear projection in the following way: $P U_{\varepsilon, P} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P U_{\varepsilon, P}=\eta\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right)+(1-\eta) \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
P U_{\varepsilon, P}=\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right)+(1-\eta)\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)\right] .
$$

Lemma 5.1 For any $P \in \partial \Omega$, the following expansion holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}(U)+\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \Phi_{q}(P)+o\left(\varepsilon^{(2 \alpha+2)}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\infty}(U):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} U^{p+1}(x)-\frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} U^{q+1}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Set $F(s):=\frac{1}{p+1}\left(s^{+}\right)^{p+1}-\frac{1}{q+1}\left(s^{+}\right)^{q+1}$. Here $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$. We compute the energy as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)= & \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{p+1} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x= & \int_{B_{\delta}(P) \cap \Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right)^{q+1}+\varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)} \int_{\Omega \backslash\left(B_{2 \delta}(P) \cap \Omega\right)} G_{q}^{q+1}(x, P) \\
& +\int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}+\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}-\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \eta\right)^{q+1} \\
= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)} U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)^{q+1}+\varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)} \int_{\Omega \backslash\left(B_{\delta}(P) \cap \Omega\right)} G^{q+1}(x, P) \\
& +\int_{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}+\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}-\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \eta\right)^{q+1}-\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)^{q+1}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int_{B_{\delta}(P) \cap \Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right)^{q+1} \\
& =\int_{B_{\delta}(P) \cap \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1}+\varepsilon \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{B_{\delta}(P) \cap \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q} \varphi_{0}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{B_{\delta}^{+}(P)} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1}-\int_{B_{\delta}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} U^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \backslash B_{\delta}^{+}(P)} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{B_{\delta}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} U^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\omega_{q}^{q+1}}{2 \alpha} \varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \delta^{-2 \alpha-2}-\int_{B_{\delta}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q+1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} U^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\omega_{q}^{q+1}}{2 \alpha} \varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \delta^{-2 \alpha-2}-\varepsilon^{2} \int_{B_{\delta}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}} U^{q+1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}} U^{q+1} & =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{\frac{f\left(\varepsilon y_{1}\right)}{\varepsilon}} U^{q+1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} \\
& =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{\frac{f\left(\varepsilon y_{1}\right)}{\varepsilon}}\left[U^{q+1}\left(y_{1}, 0\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\left|y_{2}\right| U^{q+1}\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)\right)\right] \mathrm{d} y_{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{3} H(P)}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}\left[U^{q+1}\left(y_{1}, 0\right) y_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right]=o\left(\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

by choosing $\delta$ sufficiently close to $\varepsilon$.
Using the fact that $\alpha(q+1)=\alpha+2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & =\int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}+\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}-\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \eta\right)^{q+1}-\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)^{q+1}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-\xi|<2 \delta\}} G_{q}^{q}(x, \xi)\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}-\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-\xi|<2 \delta\}} G_{q}^{q}(x, \xi)\left\{\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)}}{|x-\xi|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha}}+|x-\xi|^{1-\alpha}\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, note that

$$
\nabla P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \nabla \varphi_{0} & \text { in }|x-P| \leq \delta  \tag{5.14}\\
\varepsilon^{\alpha} \nabla G_{q} & \text { in }|x-P|>2 \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

and in the annulus $\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)= & \varepsilon^{\alpha} \nabla G_{q}(x, P)+\nabla \eta\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \\
& +\eta \nabla\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \nabla \varphi_{0}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)}\left|\nabla G_{q}(x, P)\right|^{2} \\
& +\int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}\left|\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}}|\eta|^{2}\left|\nabla\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}} \eta \nabla G_{q} \nabla\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \\
& +2 \varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}} \nabla \eta \nabla G_{q}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega \cap\{\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta\}} \eta \nabla \eta \nabla\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}|\nabla U|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\left[\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)}\left|\nabla G_{q}(x, P)\right|^{2}-\omega_{q}^{q+1} \delta^{-2 \alpha-2}\right]+o\left(\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{p+1} \mathrm{~d} x=\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} U^{p+1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2}\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}+\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \Phi_{q}(P)+o(1) \varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
E_{\varepsilon}[u]=\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+f(u) .
$$

Now, we estimate the error due to $P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)$.

Lemma 5.2 For $\delta>0$, sufficiently small, there exists $\sigma^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \varphi_{0}^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right) & \text { in }|x-P|<\delta,  \tag{5.16}\\
\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{|x-P|^{2}}\right) & \text { in } \delta<|x-P|<2 \delta \\
\varepsilon^{\alpha p} G_{q}^{p} & \text { in }|x-P|>2 \delta .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof First, it is easy check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]=\varepsilon^{\alpha p} G_{q}^{p} \text { in }|x-P|>2 \delta \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we estimate the error in the $|x-P|<\delta$. As $q>5$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]= & \left\{\varepsilon^{2} \Delta U_{\varepsilon, P}+f\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)\right\} \\
& +\varepsilon\left\{\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \varphi_{0}+f^{\prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \varphi_{0}\right\} \\
& +\left\{f\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)-f\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-\varepsilon f^{\prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \varphi_{0}\right\} \\
= & \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \varphi_{0}^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we need to calculate the error when $\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta$. We write

$$
P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)=U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)+(1-\eta)\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)= & \Delta U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)+\Delta(1-\eta)\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \\
= & \Delta U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)+(1-\eta) \Delta\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \\
& -2 \nabla \eta \nabla\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)+\Delta \eta\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}(x, P)-U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{2} \Delta P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)= \varepsilon^{2} \Delta U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-(\alpha+1)}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}\right. \\
&+ \varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-\alpha}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+1}} \\
&+\left.\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{1-\alpha}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha}}\right) \\
&\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{q}=\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{q}+\mathcal{O}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q-1}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)\right) \\
&= U_{\varepsilon, P}^{q}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha p}}+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-(\alpha+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{p} & =\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)^{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}^{p-1}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}-U_{\varepsilon, P}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =U_{\varepsilon, P}^{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha p}}+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-(\alpha+1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up all the terms and using the fact (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]= & \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-(\alpha+1)}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}\right. \\
& +\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-\alpha}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+1}} \\
& \left.+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{1-\alpha}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha}}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha(p-q)+\alpha+2}}{|x-P|^{\alpha p}}+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}|x-P|^{-\alpha-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we can choose $\sigma^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha}}{|x-P|^{2}}\right) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Refinement of the projection

Now, we refine the projection $P U_{\varepsilon, P}$. We define a projection of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varepsilon, P}=P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v_{1}+q U^{q-1} v_{1}=0 & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{6.2}\\ \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial v}=-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\partial P U_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}}{\partial v} & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Note that $v_{1}$ is bounded and is chosen in such a way that $\frac{\partial V_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
Lemma 6.1 For any $P \in \partial \Omega$, the following expansion holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{(2 \alpha+2)}\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} \nabla P U_{\varepsilon, P} \nabla v_{1} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)\right\} \\
= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\varepsilon^{2} \nabla P U_{\varepsilon, P} \nabla v_{1}+f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right\} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right\} \\
= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\varepsilon^{2} \Delta P U_{\varepsilon, P}+f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)\right\} v_{1}+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial P U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial v} v_{1} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right\} \\
= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial P U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial v} v_{1} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|^{2}=o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right) \\
& \varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{(1-\alpha)} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial P U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial v} v_{1}=o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1} \mathrm{~d} x= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}+\int_{\Omega \cap\left(B_{2 \delta}(P) \backslash B_{\delta}(P)\right)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1} \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{2 \delta}(P)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we estimate $I_{1}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}(P)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}+\int_{\Omega \cap\left(B_{\delta} \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}(P)\right)} E_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right) \\
& +O\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{2-\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From $I_{2}$ we have

$$
I_{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \log \delta\right)
$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$
I_{3}=o\left(\varepsilon^{2+\alpha}\right)
$$

As $q>5$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left\{F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-F\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right\} \\
& =\varepsilon^{2 \alpha} \delta^{2-2 \alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{\Omega} f^{\prime}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}^{2}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \delta^{2-2 \alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above facts, we obtain

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right)
$$

Lemma 6.2 The error due to the refined projection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]=E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \Delta v_{1}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime}\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) v_{1}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]= & E_{\varepsilon}\left[P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \Delta v_{1} \\
& +\left\{f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\right)-f\left(P U_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $|x-P|<\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]= & \varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \Delta v_{1} \\
& +\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) v_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the neck region, $\delta<|x-P|<2 \delta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P}(x)\right]= & \varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x-P|^{2}}\right)+\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \Delta v_{1} \\
& +\varepsilon^{\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(f^{\prime}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) v_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.3 Moreover, if $P \in \partial \Omega$, then

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}+\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \Phi_{q}(P)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2}\right)
$$

Proof For $t>0$ let $\beta(t)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(t V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)$, then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \max _{t>0} \beta(t)
$$

and hence there exists a unique $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\beta\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\max _{t>0} \beta(t) \text { and } \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0 .
$$

We claim that $t_{\varepsilon}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha+\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ for some $\sigma^{\prime}>0$ sufficiently small. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right), V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}-\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P}\right] V_{\varepsilon, P}=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2+\sigma^{\prime}}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon, P}\right), V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right), V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle=\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}$, we have

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right), V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle=\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)+\sigma^{\prime}}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t_{\varepsilon}^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}-\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}-1\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{\Omega}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{q+1}=\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha+\sigma^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and letting $\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, P}(x)=V_{\varepsilon, P}(\varepsilon x+P)$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}-\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{q+1}=\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{\sigma^{\prime}+\alpha}
$$

which implies that $t_{\varepsilon}-1=\mathcal{O}(1) \varepsilon^{\alpha+\sigma^{\prime}}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)\left\langle V_{\varepsilon, P}, V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}-p\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+q\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(-(p-1) U^{p+1}+(q-1) U^{q+1}\right)+O(1) \varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)} \\
& =\varepsilon^{2}\left(-(p-q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U^{p+1}-(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla U|^{2}+o(1)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \max _{t>0} I_{\varepsilon}\left(t V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)=J_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \\
& =I_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+\left(t_{\varepsilon}-1\right)\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right), V_{\varepsilon, P}\right\rangle+\left(t_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2} \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \leq J_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P}\right)+o(1) \varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}+\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha} \Phi_{q}(P)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.4 For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0, u_{\varepsilon}$ has a unique maximum $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$.
Proof First, note by an application of mountain pass theorem, $\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C$ and hence by Moser iteration, $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is uniformly bounded. Thus, applying Schauder estimates, we obtain a $C>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon D u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$. Let $P_{\varepsilon} \in \bar{\Omega}$ be a local maxima of $u_{\varepsilon}$. If $P_{\varepsilon} \in \Omega$, then $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 1$. If $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$, then there exists a point $S_{\varepsilon}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 1$, otherwise by the boundary Hopf lemma, we must have $\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon}>0$, a contradiction. Suppose $\frac{d\left(P_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega\right)}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then by the change of variable $v_{\varepsilon}(x)=u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon x\right)$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v_{\varepsilon}-v_{\varepsilon}^{q}+v_{\varepsilon}^{p}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}  \tag{6.7}\\ v_{\varepsilon}(x)>0 & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}} \\ \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega-P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{2}$ where

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v-v^{q}+v^{p}=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{6.8}\\ v(x)>0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty & \end{cases}
$$

Using this, we can show that $c_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{2}\left(I_{\infty}+o(1)\right)$, a contradiction to Lemma 6.3. As a result, $\frac{d\left(P_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega\right)}{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded. If possible, let $P_{\varepsilon, 1}$ and $P_{\varepsilon, 2}$ are two distinct local maxima of $u_{\varepsilon}$. Then, $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon, 1}\right) \geq 1$ and $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon, 2}\right) \geq 1$. Suppose $Q_{\varepsilon}=\frac{P_{\varepsilon, 1}-P_{\varepsilon, 2}}{\varepsilon}$. Suppose along a subsequence $\left|Q_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow \delta_{0} \in[0,+\infty)$. Let $Q=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{\varepsilon, 1}-P_{\varepsilon, 2}}{\varepsilon}$. Then, if $\delta_{0}>0$, then define $v_{\varepsilon}(y)=u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon y+P_{\varepsilon, 2}\right)$ then it follows that, $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta U=U^{p}-U^{q} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\ U^{\prime}(0)=U^{\prime}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=0 & \\ U \rightarrow 0 & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

which is a contradiction as $U^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,+\infty)$. Now, suppose $\delta_{0}=0$. Then, $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and $U$ has a unique critical point at 0 (since $U(0)>1$ and $U$ is a radial). Thus, $v_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point in a neighborhood of zero which is a contradiction. Hence $\left|Q_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
We claim that $u_{\varepsilon}$ has exactly one maximum for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. First, note that as $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a mountain pass solution and hence it has Morse index at most one. By the above result $\frac{\left|P_{1, \varepsilon}-P_{2, \varepsilon}\right|}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now by Sect. 2, the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that $\Delta \psi+f^{\prime}(U) \psi=-\lambda_{1} \psi$ and is easy to check that $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-f^{\prime}(U) \psi^{2}<$ 0 . Now, using an appropriate cut-off function, we can obtain the same property for $\psi$ with compact support. Now, define a two-dimensional subspace spanned by $\psi_{1}(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x-P_{1, \varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\psi_{2}(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x-P_{2, \varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $x \in \Omega$. Note that the support supp $\psi_{1} \cap \operatorname{supp} \psi_{2}=\emptyset$ as $\frac{\left|P_{1, \varepsilon}-P_{2, \varepsilon}\right|}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence we obtain a two-dimensional space on which $\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \psi_{i}\right|^{2}-$ $f^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi_{i}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \psi_{i}\right|^{2}-f^{\prime}(U) \psi_{i}^{2}<0$ for $i=1,2$. As $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \psi_{i}$ has compact support. Hence $u_{\varepsilon}$ has Morse index at least two, a contradiction.

The proof of $P_{\varepsilon} \in \partial \Omega$ follows exactly as Ni and Takagi [12].

## 7 Lower bound

First, we prove that

Lemma 7.1 There exists constants $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\left(x, P_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\left(x, P_{\varepsilon}\right) \text { in } \Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $R>0$ sufficiently large.
Proof $\operatorname{In} \Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right), u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\left(\cdot, P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ are bounded. We have $\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}^{q}=-u_{\varepsilon}^{p} \leq 0$ and $\Delta G_{q}-G_{q}^{q}=0$. Note $u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty} \geq 1$. Since by Hopf maximum principle, we can choose $0<\eta<1$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v} \leq \varepsilon^{\alpha} \eta \frac{\partial G_{q}\left(x, P_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial v} \text { on } \partial\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\eta G_{q}\right)-\left(\eta G_{q}\right)^{q}=\eta \Delta G_{q}-\eta^{q} G_{q}^{q}=\left(\eta-\eta^{q}\right) G_{q}^{q} \geq 0 . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}^{q}+\left(\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)^{q} \leq 0
$$

which implies that

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)-\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}-\left(\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)^{q}}{u_{\varepsilon}-\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Hence by the maximum principle, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \geq \eta \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}$ in $\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$.
For the upper bound, let $0<\theta<1$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}<\theta$ in $\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\eta_{1} \gg 1$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v} \geq \varepsilon^{\alpha} \eta_{1} \frac{\partial G_{q}\left(x, P_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial v} \text { on } \partial\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) .
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\eta_{1} G_{q}\right)-\left(\eta_{1} G_{q}\right)^{q}=\eta_{1} \Delta G_{q}-\eta_{1}^{q} G_{q}^{q}=\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{1}^{q}\right) G_{q}^{q} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}^{q} \geq-\theta^{p} \text { in } \Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

As a result, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\eta_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)-\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{q}-\left(\eta_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right)^{q}}{u_{\varepsilon}-\eta_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\eta_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\right) \geq-\theta^{p}-\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{1}^{q}\right) G_{q}^{q} \geq 0 .
$$

Hence we obtain by the maximum principle in $\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}\left(x, P_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

In order to obtain the lower bound, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}=V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we plug this in Eq. (1.2), then $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \psi_{\varepsilon}+f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon^{-\alpha} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right]+N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{7.5}\\ \frac{\partial \psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right]=\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\{f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 7.2 For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We claim that $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded. If possible, let there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{k}$ such that $\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon, k}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right|$ have its maximum at a point $k_{\varepsilon} \in \bar{\Omega}$. As $\frac{\partial \psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v}=0$ by Hopf's lemma $k_{\varepsilon} \in \operatorname{int}(\Omega)$.

We claim that $\frac{\left|k_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\varepsilon}<C$.
Suppose this is not true then $\frac{\left|k_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$. Then, we have three cases; $\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \delta, \delta<$ $\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq 2 \delta$ or $\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq 2 \delta$.

Case 1 When $\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq 2 \delta$, and as a result $-\Delta \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 0$ and there exists a $c>0$ such that $\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq c$. We have from (7.5)

$$
0 \leq-\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \Delta \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\{f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right\}-E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, x_{\varepsilon}}\right]
$$

which reduces to

$$
\left(G_{q}\left(k_{\varepsilon}, P_{\varepsilon}\right)+\delta^{1-\alpha} v_{1}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)+c\right)^{q} \leq G_{q}^{q}\left(k_{\varepsilon}, P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o(1)
$$

and hence a contradiction.
Case 2 When $\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right|<\delta$. Then, $\varepsilon R<\left|P_{\varepsilon}-k_{\varepsilon}\right|<\delta$

$$
\left\{f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right\}-E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right] \geq 0 .
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\left|k_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha}}+c+o(1)\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{\left|k_{\varepsilon}-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

which is a contradiction. The other case is much easier to handle.
Thus, we consider $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon x\right)$

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\psi_{\varepsilon}}{\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}}
$$

By the Schauder estimates, we obtain $\left\|\Psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \theta}}$ is bounded for some $\theta \in(0,1]$ and hence by the Arzela-Ascoli's theorem there exists $\Psi_{0} \in C^{1}$ such that $\left\|\Psi_{\varepsilon}-\Psi_{0}\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using the fact that $\frac{d\left(k_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega\right)}{\varepsilon} \leq C, \psi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \Psi_{0}+f^{\prime}(U) \Psi_{0}=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}  \tag{7.7}\\ \left|\Psi_{0}\right| \leq 1 & \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{0}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 & \text { in } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

Now, we show that $\Psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}$.
We obtain a contradiction by showing that $\nabla \Psi_{0}(0)=0$. Using the fact that $\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ and

$$
\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(0)=\frac{\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)-\nabla V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon^{\alpha}\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}}
$$

we obtain $\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon}(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\nabla \Psi_{0}(0)=0$ by pointwise convergence and hence $\nabla\left(a_{1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}\right)(0)=0$ and this implies that $a_{1}=0$.

Lemma 7.3 We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}(U)+\varepsilon^{2 \alpha+2} \Phi_{q}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We want to write $u_{\varepsilon}=V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)= & J_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \nabla V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}-f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, x_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{2} f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)= & J_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{2} f^{\prime}\left(V_{\left.\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we estimate the following terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\left.\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}\right]}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x= & \int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<\varepsilon R} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\left.\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}\right]}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\varepsilon R<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\left.\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}\right]} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right. \\
& +\int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|>2 \delta} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} \\
\leq & C \varepsilon^{4}+C \varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \delta^{1-\alpha}|\log \delta| \\
& +C \varepsilon^{2+\alpha+\sigma^{\prime}} \int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} \frac{1}{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha p} \int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|>2 \delta} G_{q}^{p} \psi_{\varepsilon} \\
\leq & o(1) \varepsilon^{\alpha+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (7.5)

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}=\varepsilon^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} E_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}-\int_{\Omega} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}
$$

As a result, we only estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}= & \int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \varepsilon R} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\varepsilon R<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} \\
& +\int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq 2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+\int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq 2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute $I_{1}$. As $q>5$, we obtain

$$
I_{1}=\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon} R\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)^{q-2} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{3}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha+2}\right)
$$

We calculate $I_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{B_{\delta}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(U_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right)^{q-2} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{3}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}\left(\int_{B_{\delta}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)} \frac{\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}}{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2-\alpha}}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \delta^{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimating in the neck region

$$
\int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}^{q-2} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{3}\right) .
$$

In the neck region we have

$$
V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}=U_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+(1-\eta)\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} G_{q}-U_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}-\varepsilon \varphi_{0}\right) .
$$

In order to estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}^{q-2} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{3} & =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} \frac{1}{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha(q-2)}} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{3} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\delta<\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|<2 \delta} \frac{1}{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2-\alpha}} \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \delta^{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Whenever $\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right|>2 \delta$, we have

$$
\int_{\left|x-P_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq 2 \delta} N_{\varepsilon}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon}\right] \psi_{\varepsilon}=o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha q}\right) .
$$

Similarly, we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right)-\varepsilon^{\alpha} f\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2 \alpha}}{2} f^{\prime}\left(V_{\varepsilon, P_{\varepsilon}}\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right] \\
& =o\left(\varepsilon^{2+2 \alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate follows exactly as the previous estimate. This completes the proof.
Remark 7.1 As a result of Lemmas 6.3 and 7.3, we obtain $\Phi_{q}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \min _{P \in \partial \Omega} \Phi_{q}(P)$. Hence Theorem 1.1 is proved.

## 8 Profile of spikes $N=2$ and $q=5$

In this case, $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 remains almost the same. So, we calculate only estimate (8.1) as $K$ is not integrable. So, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{+}(P) \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}} U^{6} & =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{\frac{f\left(\varepsilon y_{1}\right)}{\varepsilon}}
\end{align*} U^{6}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} .
$$

As $U^{6}\left(y_{1}, 0\right) \sim \frac{\omega_{q}^{6}}{y_{1}^{3}}$, we estimate the first term in (8.2) in the following way,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varepsilon^{3} H(P)}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} U^{6}\left(y_{1}, 0\right) y_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} & =\frac{\varepsilon^{3} H(P)}{2} \int_{0}^{R} U^{6}\left(y_{1}, 0\right) y_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1}+\frac{\varepsilon^{3} H(P)}{2} \int_{R}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} U^{6}\left(y_{1}, 0\right) y_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)+\frac{\omega_{q}^{6} H(P)}{2} \varepsilon^{3} \int_{R}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{y_{1}} \mathrm{~d} y_{1} \\
& =\frac{\omega_{q}^{6} H(P) \varepsilon^{3}}{2} \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, it is also easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}=-\frac{\omega_{q}^{4} H(P) \varepsilon^{3}}{2} \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\delta=\varepsilon^{\sigma_{0}}$, we have from (8.2) and (8.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} I_{\infty}-\frac{1-\sigma_{0}}{8} \varepsilon^{3}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) H\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\omega_{q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

## 9 Profile of spikes $N=3$ and $q>3$

When $q>3, U(r) \sim \frac{\gamma_{3}}{r}$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. The projection $P U_{\varepsilon, P}=\eta U_{\varepsilon, P}$ where $\eta$ is the same cut-off function defined in (5.9). In this case, we perform the reduction in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}\right)$. Note that in this case, $K$ is not integrable. Therefore, from Lemma 1.1, we estimate the terms involved in $K$. Note that in this case, $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}$ is the lowest order term in the energy expansion and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2} & =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P} \frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}+\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, P} f\left(U_{\varepsilon, P}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)} U_{\varepsilon, P} \frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial \nu}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right) \tag{9.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (1.16), we have

$$
\frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(1+\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} f\right|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial z_{i}}-\frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial z_{N}}\right] .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_{\delta}(P)} U_{\varepsilon, P} \frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial v}= & \varepsilon \int_{B_{\delta}^{2}(P)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial z_{i}}-\frac{\partial U_{\varepsilon, P}}{\partial z_{N}}\right] \mathrm{d} y^{\prime} \\
= & \varepsilon^{3} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{2}(0)} U\left(y^{\prime}, \frac{f\left(\varepsilon y^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\varepsilon k_{i} y_{i}+\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, \frac{f\left(\varepsilon y^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}-\frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, \frac{f\left(\varepsilon y^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial y_{N}}\right] \\
= & \varepsilon^{3}\left[\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{2}(0)} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right) \frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial r} \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_{i} y_{i}^{2}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{-1} \varepsilon\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{2}(0)} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right) \frac{\partial^{2} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial y_{N}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_{i} y_{i}^{2} \varepsilon+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right] \\
= & \varepsilon^{4} \frac{H(P)}{2} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{2}(0)} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right) \frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial r}\left|y^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} y^{\prime} \\
& +o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
= & -\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{H(P)}{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using the fact that

$$
\frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial r}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{-1}=\frac{\partial^{2} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial y_{N}^{2}}
$$

## 10 Profile of spikes $N=3$ and $q=3$

When $q=3$, by Lemma 1.1 of [4], we have $U(r) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{r \sqrt{\log r}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left|U_{r}\right|^{2} \sim$ $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{r^{4} \log r}$. Note that in this, $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2}$ and $U_{\varepsilon, P}^{4}$ are of the same order and are the lowest order term in the energy expansion and hence we have from (9.1) and $R \gg 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon, P}\right|^{2} & =\varepsilon^{4} \frac{H(P)}{2} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}^{2}(0)} U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right) \frac{\partial U\left(y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial r}\left|y^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} y^{\prime}+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{4} \frac{H(P)}{4} \int_{R}^{\delta / \varepsilon} \frac{1}{r(\log r)} \mathrm{d} r+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =-\varepsilon^{4} \frac{H(P)}{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{4}\left(\log \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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