

# $C^k$ -solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar complex vector fields of infinite type

Paulo Leandro Dattori da Silva

Received: 24 March 2009 / Accepted: 19 August 2009 / Published online: 12 September 2009  
© Fondazione Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata and Springer-Verlag 2009

**Abstract** This paper deals with semi-global  $C^k$ -solvability of complex vector fields of the form  $L = \partial/\partial t + x^r(a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $C^\infty$  real-valued functions in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . It is shown that the interplay between the order of vanishing of the functions  $a$  and  $b$  at  $x = 0$  influences the  $C^k$ -solvability at  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$ . When  $r = 1$ , it is permitted that the functions  $a$  and  $b$  of  $L$  depend on the  $x$  and  $t$  variables, that is,  $L = \partial/\partial t + x(a(x, t) + ib(x, t))\partial/\partial x$ , where  $(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon$ .

**Keywords** Solvability near the characteristic set · Complex vector fields · Normalization · Condition (P)

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** Primary 35A01 · Secondary 58Jxx

## 1 Introduction

Let

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad a, b \in C^\infty(S^1), \quad (1)$$

be a complex vector field, defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$  is the characteristic set of the structure associated with  $L$  and that  $L$  is of infinity type along  $\Sigma$ . Hence,  $L$  is elliptic on  $\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$  and  $a(0) = b(0) = 0$ . In particular,  $b(x) \neq 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ .

We recall the concept of infinity type: a point  $p$  in the characteristic set  $\mathcal{C}(L)$  is said to be of finite type  $\nu$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) if there exists a Lie bracket of  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  of length  $\nu$  which is nonzero at  $p$ . If  $p \in \Sigma$  is not of finite type then it is said to be of infinite type.

---

Supported in part by FAPESP.

---

P. L. Dattori da Silva (✉)  
Departamento de Física e Matemática, Faculdade de Filosofia Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto,  
Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida dos Bandeirantes, 3900,  
CEP 14040-901 Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil  
e-mail: dattori@ffclrp.usp.br

We say that  $L$  is locally solvable on  $\Omega_\epsilon$  if given  $p \in \Omega_\epsilon$  and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ , where  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Omega_\epsilon$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$ , there exists a distribution  $u$  such that  $Lu = f$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  is some neighborhood of  $p$ .

The local solvability of linear partial differential equations (see, for instance, [12, 13] and [15]) is characterized by the well-known *Nirenberg–Treves* condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ . For operators given by (1), condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  has a simple statement:  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  if and only if the function  $b$  does not change sign on any integral curve of  $\Re(L) = \partial/\partial t + a(x)\partial/\partial x$  (see [12]).

The operator  $L$ , given by (1), satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  under our hypotheses, and the local solvability is well understood.

The problem is interesting if we are concerned with solvability in a full neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Hörmander (see [13]) gives us the following concept of semi-global solvability:

Let  $P$  be a linear partial differential operator defined on a smooth manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We say that  $P$  is solvable at  $K$  if for every  $f$  in a subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$  of finite codimension there is a distribution  $u$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $Pu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $K$ .

Condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  is necessary for solvability at  $K$  of  $P$  (see [13]); moreover, for operators of principal type on  $\mathcal{M}$ , condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  is sufficient for solvability at  $K$  (see [13]). The operator  $L$  defined by (1) is not of principal type on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ . Let us recall the definition of principal type: as in [13] we say that a point  $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathcal{M} \setminus 0$  is a characteristic point of  $P$  if  $p(x, \xi) = 0$ , where  $p$  is the principal symbol of operator  $P$ . When  $P$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  we say that  $P$  is of principal type if  $P$  satisfies the following geometric condition (see [12] and [13]), for each  $K$  compact subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ : (GC) every characteristic point of  $P$  over  $K$  lies on a compact interval of a bicharacteristic of  $\Re(pq)$ , on which  $q \neq 0$ , with no characteristic endpoint over  $K$ .

A bicharacteristic of  $\Re(pq)$  is an integral curve of the Hamilton field of  $\Re(pq)$  over which  $\Re(pq)$  vanishes. Note that the principal symbol of our operator  $L$  is  $\ell(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \tau + (a(x) + ib(x))\xi$ , which implies that a characteristic point of  $L$  is of the form  $(0, t, \xi, 0)$ , with  $\xi \neq 0$ . Hence, (GC) is not satisfied.

The solvability at  $\Sigma$  was studied for operators given by (1), in papers such as [4] and [11]; moreover, [3] and [5] studied this problem for a more general class of complex vector fields, for instance, when the functions  $a$  and  $b$  of  $L$  depend on  $x$  and  $t$  variables. See, for instance, [1, 2, 7–9] and [13], for more related papers. In [7]  $b \equiv 0$  was permitted.

It follows from [11] (see also [4]):

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 2.1, [11]) *Consider the complex vector field*

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad b \neq 0,$$

*defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real-valued smooth functions. Assume that the characteristic set of  $L$  is equal to  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$ . If we have  $(a + ib)(x) = x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x)$ , where  $b_0(0) \neq 0$  and  $2 \leq m < 2n - 1$ , then given  $f$  belonging to  $C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and satisfying*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(0, t) dt = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, r - 1, \quad r = \min\{m, n\}, \tag{2}$$

*there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $u$  solving the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 2.2, [11]) *Consider the complex vector field*

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad b \neq 0,$$

defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real-valued smooth functions. Assume that the characteristic set of  $L$  is equal to  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$ . If we have  $(a + ib)(x) = x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x)$  and one of the following conditions is satisfied

- 1★.  $a_0(0) \neq 0$  and  $m > 2n - 1$ ;
- 2★.  $b_0(0) \neq 0$  and  $m = 1$ ;
- 3★.  $a_0(0) \neq 0, b_0(0) \neq 0, m = 2n - 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ;

then there exists a function  $f$  belonging to  $C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and satisfying (2), for which the equation  $Lu = f$  does not have  $C^\infty$  solution in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

Theorem 2 leaves us the following question:

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, does the equation  $Lu = f$  have  $C^k$  solution in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ ?

The goal of this paper is to answer the question above. One of the motivations for this paper was [14], where the existence of  $C^k$  solutions was studied in a full neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ , considering the model operator

$$T_\lambda = \lambda \partial / \partial t - ix \partial / \partial x; \tag{3}$$

moreover, [14] proved theorems about the normalization of complex vector fields, and the results about solvability at  $\Sigma$  of (3) can be used to study the solvability of more general complex vector fields. Indeed, [14] looked for  $C^k$  solutions, in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ , for equation  $T_\lambda u = f$ , when  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  satisfies

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(0, t) dt = 0.$$

An important means of finding  $C^k$  solutions was to use results reported by Vekua (see [16]) on generalized analytic functions. In this paper, we have employed Vekua's results to find  $C^k$  solutions for other classes of complex vector fields. Let us give some information about these results: let  $f, g \in L^1(G)$ , where  $G$  is a bounded domain. If  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the relation

$$\int \int_G g \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} dx dy + \int \int_G f \phi dx dy = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(G),$$

the function  $f$  is said to be the *generalized derivative* of  $g$  with respect to  $\bar{z}$ . We will denote by  $D_{\bar{z}}(G)$  the linear manifold constituted by the functions having generalized derivatives. The function  $w(z)$  is said to satisfy the equation

$$X(w) \equiv \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} + Aw + B\bar{w} = F, \quad \text{where } A, B, F \in L^p(G), \quad p > 2,$$

in a neighborhood  $G_0$  of a point  $z_0$  if  $w \in D_{\bar{z}}(G_0)$  and  $X(w) = F$  almost everywhere in  $G_0$ . If  $X(w) = F$  in a neighborhood of every point of  $G$  then  $w$  is called *regular solution*. As in [16], we will denote by  $\mathcal{U}(A, B, F, G)$  the class of regular solutions of  $Xu = F$  in  $G$ . Theorem 3.3 of Chapter III of [16] states that: if  $A, B, F \in C^{m,\alpha}(G)$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the function  $w(z)$  of the classe  $\mathcal{U}(A, B, F, G)$  belongs to the class  $C^{m+1,\alpha}(G)$ .

The present paper is organized as follows:

In Sect. 2, we will consider that  $L$  satisfies 2★ of Theorem 2; in fact, complex vector fields will be considered in a more general form, that is,

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial/\partial t + x(a(x, t) + ib(x, t))\partial/\partial x, \quad a, b \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon),$$

where  $t \mapsto b(0, t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t \in S^1$ .

In Sect. 3, we will consider that 1★ and 3★ of Theorem 2 are satisfied. It will be shown that the interplay between the order of vanishing of the functions  $a$  and  $b$  at  $x = 0$  influences the existence of  $C^k$  solutions of  $\mathcal{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

### 2 Assuming 2★ of Theorem 2

Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial/\partial t - ix(b(x, t) + ia(x, t))\partial/\partial x, \tag{4}$$

be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1, \epsilon > 0$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $C^\infty$  real-valued functions. Suppose that

1.  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$  is the characteristic set of  $\mathcal{L}$ ;
2.  $t \mapsto b(0, t) > 0$ , for all  $t \in S^1$ .

It was proved in [14] that

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (b + ia)(0, t) dt \tag{5}$$

is an invariant that characterizes  $\mathcal{L}$  and also that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  then, for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , there exists a  $C^p$  diffeomorphism defined near  $\Sigma$  that transforms  $\mathcal{L}$  into a multiple of the vector field

$$T_\lambda = \lambda \partial/\partial t - ix \partial/\partial x.$$

The case  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  was treated in [10].

Results from [10] and [14] allow us to state that:

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be given by (4) and  $\lambda$  be given by (5). Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, for any  $p \geq 1$ , there exists a  $C^p$  diffeomorphism sending  $\mathcal{L}$  into a multiple of  $T_\lambda = \lambda \partial/\partial t - ix \partial/\partial x$ .*

**Theorem 4** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be given by (4) and  $\lambda$  be given by (5). Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $q_\lambda$  be equal to 1 if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $q_\lambda$  be the largest positive integer such that  $j\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$  for  $1 < j \leq q_\lambda$ , if  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Define*

$$s = \begin{cases} \lambda q_\lambda - 1, & \text{if } \lambda \leq 1 \\ q_\lambda - 1, & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

*Then there exist  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and a  $C^p$  diffeomorphism, where  $p = \max\{1 + \sigma, s\}$ , sending  $\mathcal{L}$  into a multiple of  $T_\lambda = \lambda \partial/\partial t - ix \partial/\partial x$ .*

Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 4.4 of [10]. Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 4.4 of [10] and Theorem 2.2 of [14].

It is worth mentioning that [10] proved that, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\lambda) > 0$ , there exists a complex vector field  $\mathcal{L}$ , given by (4), such that the function  $a + ib$  satisfies (5), which is not equivalent to a multiple of  $T_\lambda$  by any  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism. Moreover, the optimal regularity of the class of the diffeomorphism given by Theorem 4 is unknown.

Now, let us study the equation

$$T_\lambda u = f \tag{6}$$

in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Using the same arguments of the proof of Theorem 5.2 of [14], we can state that:

**Theorem 5** *Let*

$$T_\lambda = \lambda \partial / \partial t - ix \partial / \partial x$$

*be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ . We have:*

- I. *If  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  then fixed  $k \geq 1$  there exists  $p = p(k, \lambda)$  such that for all  $f \in C_0^p(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(0, t) dt = 0, \tag{7}$$

*the equation  $T_\lambda u = f$  has a  $C^k$  solution  $u$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

- II. *If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  then fixed  $k \geq 1$  there are  $p = p(k, \lambda)$  and  $n = n(k, \lambda)$  such that for all  $f \in C_0^p(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(0, t) dt = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n, \tag{8}$$

*the equation  $T_\lambda u = f$  has a  $C^k$  solution  $u$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

The next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 and Theorem 5:

**Theorem 6** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be given by (4) and  $\lambda$  be given by (5). Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ . If  $f$  satisfies (7), then for every  $k \geq 1$  there exists  $u \in C^k$  solution of  $\mathcal{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof* Fixed  $k \geq 1$ , take  $p = p(k, \lambda)$  given by Theorem 5. By Theorem 3, there exists a  $C^p$  diffeomorphism sending  $\mathcal{L}$  into a multiple of  $T_\lambda = \lambda \partial / \partial t - ix \partial / \partial x$ ; hence, the equation  $\mathcal{L}u = f$  on  $\Omega_\epsilon$  is equivalent to  $T_\lambda \tilde{u} = \tilde{f}$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}_\epsilon$ , where  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  are the pushforward of  $u$  and  $f$ , respectively. Now, applying Theorem 5, we can find the wanted solution.  $\square$

*Remark 1* In conclusion, we can write:

1. As mentioned in [10], we have no example showing that regularity results of normalization given by Theorem 4 are optimal.
2. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be given by (4) and  $\lambda$  be given by (5). Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ . If  $f$  satisfies (7), then, it follows from [14] that there exists  $u \in C^\alpha$ , for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , solution of  $\mathcal{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .
3. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be given by (4) and  $\lambda$  be given by (5). Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and assume that  $f$  satisfies (7). Finding  $C^1$  solution for the equation  $\mathcal{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  is still an open problem which the author hopes to consider in a future paper.

**3 Assuming 1★ and 3★ of Theorem 2**

Let

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad a, b \in C^\infty(-\epsilon, \epsilon),$$

be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ , for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real-valued functions.

Suppose that  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$  is the characteristic set of  $L$  and that  $L$  is tangent along  $\Sigma$ . Hence,  $L$  is elliptic on  $\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Sigma$ ,  $a(0) = b(0) = 0$ , and  $L$  satisfies condition (P).

Assume that we can write  $a(x) + ib(x) = x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x)$  with  $(a_0 + ib_0)(0) \neq 0$  and that some of the conditions below are satisfied:

1.  $a_0(0) \neq 0$  and  $m > 2n - 1$ ;
2.  $a_0(0) \neq 0, b_0(0) \neq 0, m = 2n - 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

We start considering that 2. above holds, that is,  $m = 2n - 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 7** *Let*

$$L = -i\partial/\partial t + (b_0(x)x^{2n-1} - ia_0(x)x^n)\partial/\partial x, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where  $a_0, b_0$  are  $C^\infty$  real-valued functions, with  $a_0(x) \neq 0$  and  $b_0(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x$ , be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ . Fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(0, t) dt = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1, \tag{9}$$

there exists  $u \in C^k$  solution for  $Lu = f$ , in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof* It follows from [4] that given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (9), there exists a function  $v \in C^\infty$  such that  $Lv - f$  is a flat function along  $\Sigma$ . Hence, to solve the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ , when  $f$  satisfies (9), it is enough to assume that  $f$  is flat along  $\Sigma$ . From now on, we will assume that  $f$  is flat along  $\Sigma$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $b_0(x) > 0$  and  $a_0, b_0 \in L^\infty([-\epsilon, \epsilon])$ .

Consider

$$\Omega_\epsilon^+ = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon; x > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\epsilon^- = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon; x < 0\}.$$

Define

$$Z(x, t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\int_x^\epsilon \frac{b_0(y)y^{2n-1}}{a_0^2(y)y^{2n} + b_0^2(y)y^{4n-2}} dy} \cdot e^{-i\left(t + \int_x^\epsilon \frac{a_0(y)y^n}{a_0(y)^2 y^{2n} + b_0(y)^2 y^{4n-2}} dy\right)}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ e^{\int_{-\epsilon}^x \frac{b_0(y)y^{2n-1}}{a_0^2(y)y^{2n} + b_0^2(y)y^{4n-2}} dy} \cdot e^{-i\left(t - \int_{-\epsilon}^x \frac{a_0(y)y^n}{a_0(y)^2 y^{2n} + b_0(y)^2 y^{4n-2}} dy\right)}, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Evidently,  $Z \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)$  and, by a simple computation, we have

$$LZ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L\bar{Z} = \frac{2b_0(x)x^{n-1}}{b_0(x)x^{n-1} + ia_0(x)}\bar{Z}.$$

Define  $F(x) = |Z(x, t)|$ . As shown in [11],  $F \in C^\infty((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\})$  and it is continuous in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Moreover,  $F$  is injective in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, if  $x \neq 0$  then  $x = F^{-1}(|z|)$ , for some  $z \in D(0; 1)$ .

The pushforward of the equations

$$Lw = f \quad \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon^\pm \tag{10}$$

via the map  $Z$  are given by

$$\frac{2b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))[F^{-1}(|z|)]^{n-1}}{b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))[F^{-1}(|z|)]^{n-1} + ia_0(F^{-1}(|z|))} \bar{z} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^\pm}{\partial \bar{z}} = \tilde{f}^\pm \quad \text{in } D(0; 1) \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\tilde{w}^\pm$  and  $\tilde{f}^\pm$  are the pushforward of functions  $w$  and  $f$  in  $\Omega_\epsilon^+$  and  $\Omega_\epsilon^-$ , respectively. Thus, we have (using that  $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$ )

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{w}^\pm}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{(b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))[F^{-1}(|z|)]^{n-1} + ia_0(F^{-1}(|z|))) e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^\pm}{2b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))|z|(F^{-1}(|z|))^{n-1}}. \tag{11}$$

Since  $\tilde{f}^\pm$  are flat at  $z = 0$ , it is easy to see that

$$\frac{(b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))[F^{-1}(|z|)]^{n-1} + ia_0(F^{-1}(|z|))) e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^\pm}{2b_0(F^{-1}(|z|))[F^{-1}(|z|)]^{n-1}|z|} \in C^\infty(D(0; 1));$$

hence we have that the solutions

$$\tilde{w}^\pm(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \int_{D(0;1)} \frac{(b_0(F^{-1}(|\zeta|))[F^{-1}(|\zeta|)]^{n-1} + ia_0(F^{-1}(|\zeta|))) e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^\pm}{2b_0(F^{-1}(|\zeta|))(F^{-1}(|\zeta|))^{n-1}|\zeta|(\zeta - z)} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$$

belong to  $C^\infty(D(0; 1))$  (see, for example, [16]). Thus, for any fixed  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we can write

$$\tilde{w}^\pm(z) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell-1} c_j^\pm z^j + |z|^\ell \tilde{v}^\pm(z),$$

where  $\tilde{v}^\pm(z)$  belong to  $C^\infty(D(0; 1))$ . Note that  $|z|^\ell \tilde{v}^\pm(z)$  are also solutions of the equations (11).

Define  $\beta = \frac{b_0(0)}{a_0^2(0)}$ . Using Taylor’s formula we have

$$\frac{b_0(x)x^{2n-1}}{a_0^2(x)x^{2n} + b_0^2(x)x^{4n-2}} = \frac{1}{x} (\beta + O(|x|));$$

consequently,

$$|Z(x, t)| = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)^\beta e^{-\int_x^\epsilon \frac{O(|y|)}{y} dy}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x = 0. \\ \left(\frac{-x}{\epsilon}\right)^\beta e^{\int_{-\epsilon}^x \frac{O(|y|)}{y} dy}, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Now, define

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)^{\ell\beta} e^{-\ell \int_x^\epsilon \frac{O(|y|)}{y} dy} \tilde{v}^+(Z(x, t)), & x > 0 \\ 0, & x = 0. \\ \left(\frac{-x}{\epsilon}\right)^{\ell\beta} e^{\ell \int_{-\epsilon}^x \frac{O(|y|)}{y} dy} \tilde{v}^-(Z(x, t)), & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

We have that  $u$  satisfies  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, it is enough to choose an  $\ell$  sufficiently large to obtain  $u \in C^k$ . □

*Example 1* Consider the complex vector field

$$L = -i\partial/\partial t + (x^{2n-1} - ix^n)\partial/\partial x, \quad n \geq 2,$$

defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ . Define

$$Z(x, t) = \frac{x}{(1 + x^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}} e^{-i\left[t + \frac{1}{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + \arctan x^{n-1}\right)\right]}.$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$LZ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L\bar{Z} = \frac{2x^{n-1}}{x^{n-1} + i}\bar{Z}.$$

Let  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and be flat along  $\Sigma$ . Since  $x = \pm \frac{|Z|}{(1-|Z|^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}}$ , the pushforward of the equations

$$Lw = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_\epsilon^\pm$$

via the map  $Z$  yields

$$\frac{2|Z|^{n-1}}{|Z|^{n-1} + i\sqrt{1 - |Z|^{2n-2}}}\bar{Z} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^+}{\partial \bar{z}} = \tilde{f}^+ \quad \text{in} \quad D(0; \epsilon/(1 + \epsilon^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}) \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$\frac{2|Z|^{n-1}}{|Z|^{n-1} + i(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{1 - |Z|^{2n-2}}}\bar{Z} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^-}{\partial \bar{z}} = \tilde{f}^- \quad \text{in} \quad D(0; \epsilon/(1 + \epsilon^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}) \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\tilde{w}^\pm$  and  $\tilde{f}^\pm$  are the pushforward of functions  $u$  and  $f$  in  $\Omega_\epsilon^+$  and  $\Omega_\epsilon^-$ , respectively. Denote  $D^\epsilon = D(0; \epsilon/(1 + \epsilon^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}})$ .

Hence, we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{w}^+}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{|Z|^{n-1} + i\sqrt{1 - |Z|^{2n-2}}}{2|Z|^n} e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^+ \quad \text{in} \quad D^\epsilon \setminus \{0\} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{w}^-}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{|Z|^{n-1} + i(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{1 - |Z|^{2n-2}}}{2|Z|^n} e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^- \quad \text{in} \quad D^\epsilon \setminus \{0\}. \tag{13}$$

The solutions

$$\tilde{w}^+(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{D^\epsilon} \frac{|\zeta|^{n-1} + i\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^{2n-2}}}{2|\zeta|^n(\zeta - z)} e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^+(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$$

and

$$\tilde{w}^-(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{D^\epsilon} \frac{|\zeta|^{n-1} + i(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^{2n-2}}}{2|\zeta|^n(\zeta - z)} e^{i\theta} \tilde{f}^-(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$$

belong to  $C^\infty(D^\epsilon)$  (see, for example, [16]). Thus, for any fixed  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we can write

$$\tilde{w}^\pm(z) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell-1} c_j^\pm z^j + |z|^\ell \tilde{v}^\pm(z),$$



where  $\tilde{v}^\pm(z)$  belongs to  $C^\infty(D^\ell)$ ; note that  $|z|^\ell \tilde{v}^+(z)$  and  $|z|^\ell \tilde{v}^-(z)$  also satisfy Eqs. (12) and (13), respectively. Hence, the function  $u$  defined by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{|x|^\ell}{(1 + |x|^{2n-2})^{\frac{\ell}{2n-2}}} \tilde{v}^+ \left( \frac{x}{(1 + x^{2n-2})^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}} e^{-i \left[ t + \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + \arctan x^{n-1} \right) \right]} \right),$$

if  $x \neq 0$  and  $u(0, t) = 0$  for all  $t \in S^1$ , satisfies  $\mathbf{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, it is enough to choose an  $\ell$  sufficiently large to obtain  $u \in C^k$ .

In the next, we will suppose that 1. holds, that is,  $a_0(0) \neq 0, m \geq 2n$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 8** *Let*

$$\mathbf{L} = \partial/\partial t + (x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m \geq 2n,$$

*be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , where  $a_0, b_0$  are  $C^\infty$  real-valued functions, with  $a_0(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x$ . There exists  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (9), such that the equation  $\mathbf{L}u = f$  does not have a  $C^1$  solution in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof* Assume that for each function  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (9), there exists  $u \in C^1$  solution of the equation  $\mathbf{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . As in [3] (see also [6]), an argument using Baire’s theorem and the open mapping theorem implies that there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that given  $f \in C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$ , satisfying (9), there exists  $u \in C^1([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$  solution of  $\mathbf{L}u = f$  in some neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . Indeed, under the assumptions above, let

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{span} \langle 1 \otimes \delta^{(j)} \rangle, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

and let  $\mathcal{F}^\circ$  denote the annihilator of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Define the Fréchet space

$$G_\ell = \{(f, u) \in (C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ) \times C^1(\bar{U}_\ell); \mathbf{L}u = f \text{ on } U_\ell\},$$

where  $U_\ell = (-\delta_\ell, \delta_\ell) \times S^1$  and  $\delta_\ell \downarrow 0$  with  $\delta_1 = \epsilon/2$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\ell : G_\ell &\rightarrow C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ \\ (f, u) &\mapsto f \end{aligned},$$

the projection on the first factor.

Under our assumptions

$$\bigcup_\ell \pi_\ell(G_\ell) = C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ.$$

It follows from Baire’s theorem and the open mapping theorem that

$$\pi_{\ell_0}(G_{\ell_0}) = C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ,$$

for some  $\ell_0$ . In other words, for  $\delta_0 = \delta_{\ell_0}$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{L} : C^1([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \rightarrow C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ \tag{14}$$

is surjective.

Next, we will go to contradict (14) by exhibiting a function  $f$  belonging to  $C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{F}^\circ$  for which there is no solution  $u \in C^1([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$  of the equation  $\mathbf{L}u = f$  in any neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . The argument is the same as in [4], which we will recall.

We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $b(x) > 0$  if  $-\delta_0 < x < 0$ .

Fixed  $x_0 \in (-\delta_0, 0)$ , define  $(\hat{f}_k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by

$$\hat{f}_k(x) = g(x) \cdot (a + ib)(x) \cdot c_k \cdot e^{-ik \int_{x_0}^x \frac{a}{a^2+b^2}(y)dy},$$

where  $(c_k)$  is a rapidly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers (to be chosen later) and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -e^{\frac{1}{x}}, & x < 0 \\ 0, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

It follows from [4] that the sequence  $(\hat{f}_k)$  defines a function  $f \in C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$ , which is flat along  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$ , and evidently belongs to  $\mathcal{F}^\circ$ .

We will prove that there is no  $u \in C^1([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$  solving  $\mathbb{L}u = f$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . In [4] it was shown that for a similar function  $f$ , the equation  $\mathbb{L}u = f$  does not have  $C^\infty$  solution in any neighborhood of  $[-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0] \times S^1$ , for some  $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon/2$ .

Using Fourier series, the equation  $\mathbb{L}u = f$  can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{L}_k \hat{u}_k) e^{ikt} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_k e^{ikt},$$

where  $\mathbb{L}_k \hat{u}_k(x) = ik\hat{u}_k(x) + (a + ib)(x)(\hat{u}_k)'(x)$ ; moreover, the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(x) e^{ikt}$  converges uniformly in a neighborhood of  $\{0\} \times S^1$ .

It follows from (14) that for  $f$  defined above there exists a  $C^1$  function  $u(x, t)$  satisfying  $\mathbb{L}u(x, t) = f(x, t)$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . Hence, we have  $\mathbb{L}_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  with  $\hat{u}_k(x) = v_k(x) + h_k(x)$ , for each  $k$ , where  $h_k$  is an arbitrary solution of the homogeneous equation  $\mathbb{L}_k h_k = 0$  and  $v_k$  is a solution of the nonhomogeneous equation.

As in [4], we will look for a solution,  $v_k$ , to  $\mathbb{L}_k v_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$ , for each  $k \neq 0$ . If  $x > 0$  then we obtain  $v_k(x) \equiv 0$ . If  $x < 0$  then we obtain

$$v_k(x) = - \int_x^0 e^{-ik(C(x)-C(y))} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib}(y)dy, \quad \text{where } C(x) = \int_{-\delta_0}^x \frac{1}{a + ib}(y)dy.$$

It is clear that each  $v_k$  is smooth in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ , and a simple calculation (see [4]) shows that for  $k > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(x_0) &= - \int_{x_0}^0 g(y) c_k e^{k \int_{x_0}^y \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds} dy \\ &\geq e^{kM} M' c_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \int_{x_0}^{\frac{x_0}{2}} \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds > 0$  and  $M' = - \int_{\frac{x_0}{2}}^0 g(y)dy > 0$ .

If in the definition of  $\hat{f}_k$  we choose  $c_k = e^{-Mk}$ , for each  $k > 0$ , then we will have that  $v_k(x_0) \geq M'$ . Hence, the sequence  $(v_k)$  cannot be the coefficients of a  $C^1$  function at  $x = x_0$ .

It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [6] that there is no  $h_k \in C^1$  solution of  $\mathbb{L}_k h_k = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $x = 0$ .

Hence, for each  $k > 0$ ,  $\hat{u}_k(x) = v_k(x)$  is the only  $C^1$  solution to the equation  $\mathbb{L}_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  and, consequently,  $(\hat{u}_k)$  cannot be the sequence of Fourier coefficients of any  $C^1$  periodic function; from (14), we have a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

1. Bergamasco, A.P., Meziani, A.: Semiglobal solvability of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type. *Math. Contemp.* **18**, 31–42 (2000)
2. Bergamasco, A.P., Meziani, A.: Solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar vector fields of infinite type. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **55**(1), 77–112 (2005)
3. Bergamasco, A.P., Cordaro, P.D., Petronilho, G.: Global solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus. *Commun. PDE* **29**, 785–819 (2004)
4. Bergamasco, A.P., Dattori da Silva, P.L.: Global solvability for a special class of vector fields on the torus. *Contemp. Math.* **400**, 11–20 (2006)
5. Bergamasco, A.P., Dattori da Silva, P.L.: Solvability in the large for a class of vector fields on the torus. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **86**, 427–477 (2006)
6. Bergamasco, A.P., Dattori da Silva, P.L., Ebert, M.R.: Gevrey solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar complex vector fields of infinite type. *J. Differ. Equ.* **246**(4), 1673–1702 (2009)
7. Bergamasco, A.P., Petronilho, G.: Closedness of the range for vector fields on the torus. *J. Differ. Equ.* **154**, 132–139 (1999)
8. Berhanu, S., Meziani, A.: Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type. *Commun. PDE* **22**, 99–142 (1997)
9. Berhanu, S., Meziani, A.: On rotationally invariant vector fields in the plane. *Manuscr. Math.* **89**(3), 355–371 (1996)
10. Cordaro, P.D., Gong, X.: Normalization of complex-valued planar vector fields which degenerate along a real curve. *Adv. Math.* **184**, 89–118 (2004)
11. Dattori da Silva, P.L.: Nonexistence of global solutions for a class of complex vector fields on two-torus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351**, 543–555 (2009)
12. Hörmander, L.: Pseudo-Differential Operators of Principal Type, *Nato Advanced Study Institute on Singularities in Boundary Value Problems*, pp. 69–96. Reidel, Dordrecht (1981)
13. Hörmander, L.: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators IV*. Springer, Berlin (1984)
14. Meziani, A.: On planar elliptic structures with infinite type degeneracy. *J. Funct. Anal.* **179**(2), 333–373 (2001)
15. Nirenberg, L., Treves, F.: Solvability of a first order linear partial differential equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **16**, 331–351 (1963)
16. Vekua, I.V.: *Generalized Analytic Functions*. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1962)