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#### Abstract

We discuss the asymptotic behavior of the least energy solution of a Dirichlet problem in the zero mass case. If $Q$ is a uniformly positive potential having $k$ isolated local minima, then we prove the existence of a positive multi-spike solutions having $k$ peaks concentrating at each local minima of the potential.
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## 1 Introduction

There has been considerable interest in understanding the behavior of positive solutions of the elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u & =f(x, u) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a parameter, $f$ is a superlinear function and $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, t) \mathrm{d} t$. We consider the problems in the zero mass case i.e. when $f(x, 0)=0$ and $f_{u}(x, 0)=0$. Let $f(x, u)=f(u)$. Then problem (1.1) can be viewed as borderline problems because if $f^{\prime}(0)>0$, there is no non-trivial solutions for small $\varepsilon>0$ Berestycki and Lions [2] proved the existence of ground state solutions if $f(u)$ behaves

[^0]like $|u|^{p}$ for large $u$ and $|u|^{q}$ for small $u$ where $p$ and $q$ are supercritical and subcritical, respectively.

In this paper we consider the problems,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u=u^{p}-u^{q} & \text { in } \Omega \\
u>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.2}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u=u^{p}-Q(x) u^{q} & \text { in } \Omega \\
u>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right. \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $1<q<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 3$ and $Q(x) \geq b>0$ for all $x \in \Omega, Q$ is bounded and smooth. Let $U$ be a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =u^{p}-u^{q} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.4}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u & \rightarrow 0 & & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \\
u & \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . & &
\end{align*}\right.
$$

By [12] and [11], $U$ is radial and unique. Locating the points of concentration is important because they provide a concrete way of understanding the geometry of a class of solutions. In this paper, we study problems concerning the asymptotic behavior of the mountain pass solution and existence of multi-peak solutions for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. Let $N \geq 3$ and $q^{\star}:=\frac{N}{N-2}$. The exponent $q^{\star}$ is somewhat critical to the problems considered above. Then

Theorem 1.1 Consider the problem (1.2). For $q>q^{\star}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, there exists a least energy positive solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the problem and $u_{\varepsilon}$ has a unique point of maximum $x_{\varepsilon}$. Then $u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at a minima of $\psi_{x}(x)$, where $\psi_{x}$ satisfies,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \psi_{x} & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\
\psi_{x} & =\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Hence $u_{\varepsilon}$ concentrates at a harmonic center of $\Omega$.
Note that in the case $q=1$, the least energy solution to the problem (1.2) has a unique $\operatorname{maxima} x_{\varepsilon}$; as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero $u_{\varepsilon}$ decays exponentially away from $x_{\varepsilon}$ and $d\left(x_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega\right) \rightarrow$ $\max _{x \in \Omega} d(x, \partial \Omega)$. This implies that the solution concentrates at an interior point furthest from the boundary of $\Omega$. This was studied by Ni and Wei [13]. Later Flucher and Wei [10], proved that if $f(u)=(u-1)_{+}^{p}$, then the least energy solution of (1.1) concentrates at the harmonic center of $\Omega$. Note that harmonic center in general is different from the point of maximal distance from the boundary. With a slight modification of our proof we can prove that results of Theorem 1.1 holds for the nonlinearity

$$
f(u)=u^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} u^{q_{j}}
$$

where $1<q_{j}<p, c_{j}>0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $\alpha=\max \left\{\frac{2}{q-1}, N-2\right\}$. We have the following result:
Theorem 1.2 Consider the problem (1.3) and assume $q \neq q^{\star}$. Let $Q$ has $k$ isolated local minima in $\Omega$ say $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}$. Then, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$,
there exists a positive solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ to the problem (1.2) possessing exactly $k$ maxima $x_{\varepsilon, j} \in \Omega$ such that $x_{\varepsilon, j} \rightarrow z_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$ and there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon, Q$ such that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{\left|x-x_{\varepsilon, j}\right|^{\alpha}}
$$

away from $z_{j}$.
In the case $q=1$, the existence of a single spike solution first studied by Floer and Weinstein [8]. When $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and $f(u)=u^{3}$, they constructed a single spike solution concentrating around any given non-degenerate critical point of the potential $Q$. Later Yong-Geun [16,17], extended the result of Floer and Weinstein in the higher dimensional case. Wang [19] showed that the mountain pass solution concentrate around a global minimum point of $Q$. When $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Del Pino and Felmer [5], proved an analogue of Wang's result imposing the condition on $Q$ that there exists a bounded domain $\Lambda$ with

$$
\inf _{\Lambda} Q<\inf _{\partial \Lambda} Q .
$$

They then prove that the above problem has a solution concentrating around a minimum of $Q$ in $\Lambda$. Moreover, in [6,7] they proved the existence of multi-peak solutions concentrating near any finite set of local minima of a uniformly positive potential. Problem (1.2) was studied by Dancer [3] in domains having some kind of symmetry. In fact, he proved that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, the positive solution is unique. Note that the positive solutions we obtain are concentrating exactly at the local minima of $V$. Our main contribution is to cover the case where $q>1$. Before proving the main theorems, we look in to the difficulties associated with the problem.

- The solution of (1.4), $U \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $U$ decays algebraically.
- $\quad$ Since our proof requires nondegeneracy results and $U \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we work in the larger space $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
- Approximate solution to $U$ may not be positive in $\Omega$ in the Dirichlet case. In the case the problem (1.2) with Neumann boundary conditions, the approximate solution to $U$ is positive and satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta Z_{\varepsilon}+q U_{\varepsilon}^{q-1} Z_{\varepsilon} & =U_{\varepsilon}^{p}+(q-1) U_{\varepsilon}^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\
\frac{\partial Z_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $U_{\varepsilon}$ is a re-scaled version of $U$ and one expects to obtain similar results to [14] and [15].

- Most surprising fact is the existence of the exponent $q^{\star}$ such that for all $q \in\left(1, q^{\star}\right]$, the asymptotic behavior of least energy solution of problem (1.1) cannot be studied by our method. The natural question arises, is it possible to obtain a higher order expansion for the case $q \in\left(1, q^{\star}\right]$ ? This runs into a major problem as $U^{q-1}$ is not integrable at infinity. In fact, for $q=q^{\star}$, we expect the entire solution $U$ to satisfy $U \sim r^{-(N-2)}(\log r)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
- The reduction method could in principle be applied to $Q \equiv 1$, but it seems difficult to determine the location of peaks by our method.
- Finally note that we cannot extend Theorem 1.2 to unbounded domains. The main reason for that is we cannot obtain good boundary estimates as (7.7).


## 2 Preliminaries

Let us modify the problem (1.2) to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u & =\left(u^{+}\right)^{p}-\left(u^{+}\right)^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

It is easy to show that any solution of (2.1) is positive and is in fact a positive solution to (1.2). Note that the associated functional to the problem (1.2) is

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Note that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies Palais Smale condition and all the conditions of the mountain pass theorem and hence there exist a mountain pass solution $u_{\varepsilon}>0$ and a mountain pass critical value

$$
0<c_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1) \neq 0, \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\} .
$$

With a change of variable the problem (1.2) takes the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\Delta u=u^{p}-u^{q} & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}  \tag{2.2}\\
u>0 & \\
\text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\
u=0 & \\
\text { on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is a re-scaled version of $\Omega$. The functional associated to the problem (2.2) is

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Note that $I_{\varepsilon}(0)=0, I_{\varepsilon}(t u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ and $I_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the Palais Smale condition on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Hence, we obtain a positive solution $v_{\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon>0$ obtained by the mountain pass theorem. Then the mountain pass critical value $b_{\varepsilon}$ is given by

$$
b_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1) \neq 0, I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Note that as 0 is a strict local minima of $I_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}>0, \forall \varepsilon>0$. Also note that $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u)=$ $\varepsilon^{N} I_{\varepsilon}(u)$ which implies that $c_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{N} b_{\varepsilon}$. Let

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right): \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1}=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1 We have for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
b_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right), u \neq 0} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u) .
$$

Proof For the sake of completeness we prove this well-known lemma. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) \leq \inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first claim that $\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u)$. Define $h(t)=I_{\varepsilon}(t u)$. Then as discussed earlier and due to the nature of the nonlinearity we have $h(0)=0, h(t)>0$ for small $t>0$ and $h(t)<0$ for $t>0$ sufficiently large. Hence $\max _{t \in[0,+\infty)} h(t)$ is achieved. Also note that $h^{\prime}(t)=0$ implies $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}=g(t)$ where

$$
g(t)=t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u^{+}\right)^{p+1}-t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q+1} .
$$

It is easy to see that $g$ is an increasing function of $t$ whenever $g(t)>0$. Thus there exists a unique $t$ such that $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}=g(t)$. Hence there exist a unique point $\theta(u)$ such that $h^{\prime}(\theta(u) u)=0$ and $\theta(u) u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$. This implies that $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is radially homeomorphic to $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ if we prove that $\theta: H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous. In order to do so let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash\{0\}$. Then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $r \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}=\theta^{p-1}\left(u_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{p+1}-\theta^{q-1}\left(u_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{q+1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves there exist constants $m>0$ and $M>0$ independent of $n$ such that $m \leq$ $\theta\left(u_{n}\right) \leq M$. By passing to the limit in (2.4) the whole sequence $\left\{\theta\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges as $u_{n}$ is convergent and hence $\theta(u)=\theta_{0}$ where $\theta_{0} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ which proves our claim.

Next, we claim that $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)$. It is easy to see that $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)$ by (2.3). It is enough to prove that any $\gamma \in$ $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ intersects $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$. Note that $I_{\varepsilon}(u)>0$ for $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$ sufficiently small and $I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1))<0$ which implies the required result.

Lemma 2.2 There exists $a C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that $b_{\varepsilon} \leq C$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Hence along a subsequence $b_{\varepsilon}$ converges as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof Let $\varphi_{1}>0$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of $-\Delta$ in $\Omega$ with respect to the zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let $\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2}=1$. Note that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{1} \subset \Omega \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Choose a $t>0$ such that $I_{\varepsilon}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \leq 0$. We claim that in fact $t$ is uniformly bounded. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) & =\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla t \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right)^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\lambda_{1} t^{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{1}^{2}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{1}^{p+1}+\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{1}^{q+1} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1} t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{p+1}+\frac{t^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $t^{p-1} \leq C$. Now the right-hand side is independent of $\varepsilon$. Since $p>q>1$, we can find $\bar{t}>0$ such that $I_{\varepsilon}\left(\bar{t} \varphi_{1}\right)<0$ for all $\varepsilon$ small. Now

$$
b_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{\gamma_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) .
$$

Define $\gamma_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ such that $\gamma_{1}(t)=t \bar{t} \varphi_{1}$. Hence we have

$$
b_{\varepsilon} \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{1}(t)\right) \leq C
$$

where $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$, as required.
Lemma 2.3 The function $\psi_{x}(y)$ is positive and continuous in $\Omega \times \Omega$. Also $\psi_{x}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof The result can be found in Bandle and Flucher [1].
As a result,

$$
h(x)=\psi_{x}(x)
$$

is strictly positive in $\Omega$, locally bounded and $h(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \partial \Omega$. Hence it achieves a minimum in the interior of $\Omega$.

Remark 2.4 Since

$$
b_{\varepsilon}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} I_{\varepsilon}(u)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\varepsilon}=I_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}, \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}$ and $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}$ are uniformly bounded. First note that from (1.2), $\max _{x \in \Omega} u_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$. Also note that by Gidas-Spruck [9] we obtain $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C$ and from Schauder estimates, it follows that there exists $C>0$ such that $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C_{l o c}^{2, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C$ for some $0<\beta \leq 1$. Hence by the Ascoli-Arzela's theorem there exists an $U \neq 0$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{\varepsilon}-U\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Blowing up around $z_{\varepsilon}$ (where $z_{\varepsilon}$ is a point of maximum of $v_{\varepsilon}$ ) we easily see by a limit argument and the strong maximum principle $U$ satisfies (1.4). (That $U \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ will be proved in the next section.) The only case we have difficulty is if $z_{\varepsilon}$ is within order 1 of $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}$. In this case, we obtain a non-trivial solution of the half space problem.

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =u^{p}-u^{q} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}  \tag{2.6}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } y_{N}=0 \\
u & \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right) & &
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Suppose $\tilde{U}$ is a solution of (2.6) which achieves its maximum, then by [4] it follows that $\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial y_{N}}>0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ and hence $\tilde{U}$ cannot achieve a maximum, a contradiction. Using the above argument, it is easy to show that $d\left(z_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We call $U$ to be the entire solution.

## 3 Asymptotics of the entire solution

## Lemma 3.1 Then $U$ satisfies

$$
\nabla U \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad U \in L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and } U \in L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

## Moreover,

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} U(x)=0
$$

and $U$ is radially decreasing about the origin, $U$ is the unique positive decaying solution of (1.4). For $q \neq q^{\star}$,

$$
U(r) \sim \frac{1}{r^{\alpha}}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$ where $\alpha=\max \left\{\frac{2}{q-1}, N-2\right\}$.
Proof Note that from (2.5) it follows easily that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}$ are finite. Hence applying one sided Harnack inequality [18], we have

$$
\max _{B_{1}(x)} U \leq c\left(\int_{B_{2}(x)} U^{q+1}\right)^{1 / q+1}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an arbitrary point and $c$ is a constant depending on $N$. Hence we have

$$
U(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Applying the result in [12], we obtain that $U$ is radial. The uniqueness of $U$ follows from [11]. Also note that $-U_{r r}-\frac{N-1}{r} U_{r}=\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right), U(0)>1$ and hence for large $r, U_{r r}>0$, which implies that $U_{r}$ is increasing and hence $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left|U_{r}\right|=U_{r}(0)=0$.

First, we obtain the decay for the case $\alpha=N-2$. Consider the problem $\Delta u_{1}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)$. Let $u_{1}=r^{-(N-2)}$ and hence there exist $C>0$ such that $U-C u_{1}<0$ in $\partial B_{R}$ and

$$
-\Delta\left(U-C u_{1}\right)<0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}
$$

and $U-C r^{-(N-2)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Note that if $U-C u_{1}$ is positive somewhere on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)$, it has a positive maxima which contradicts the fact that $\Delta\left(U-C u_{1}\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)$. Hence $U \leq C r^{2-N}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}$.

In the case $q<\frac{N}{N-2}$, we claim that there exists a $C_{1}>0$ such that $C_{1} r^{-\frac{2}{q-1}} \geq U(r)$ for $r$ sufficiently large. Define

$$
H(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1} U^{p+1}-\frac{1}{q+1} U^{q+1}
$$

Then $H(r)$ is a decreasing function. For large $r, U^{\prime}(r)$ is small and hence it follows that $H(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Note that $H(r) \geq 0$ and hence for large $r$ we have

$$
\left|U^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} \geq\left(\frac{2}{q+1}-\epsilon\right) U^{q+1}
$$

for some $\epsilon>0$ small and hence

$$
\left\lvert\,\left(\left.U^{\left.\frac{1-q}{2}(r)\right)^{\prime}} \right\rvert\, \geq k\right.\right.
$$

Hence we have $U^{\frac{1-q}{2}} \geq k r$ for large $r$ which implies that $U \leq C_{1} r^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}$ for large $r$.
Define $v(r)=U(r) r^{\alpha}$. Then $v$ is bounded and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v_{r r}-\frac{(N-2 \alpha-1)}{r} v_{r}+\frac{\alpha(N-2 \alpha-2)}{r^{2}} v=r^{\alpha(1-p)} v^{p}-r^{\alpha(1-q)} v^{q} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
v_{r r}+\frac{|N-2 \alpha-1|}{r} v_{r}=\frac{\alpha(N-2 \alpha-2)}{r^{2}} v-r^{\alpha(1-p)} v^{p}+r^{\alpha(1-q)} v^{q}
$$

where $\alpha=\max \left\{\frac{2}{q-1}, N-2\right\}$. For $N>3$ we use the transformations $r=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t}{N-2 \alpha-1 \mid}}$ and $w(t)=v(r)$ in the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \alpha(N-2 \alpha-2)(N-2 \alpha-1)^{-2} w \\
& -(N-2 \alpha-1)^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{(2+\alpha(1-p) \mid N-2 \alpha-1) t}{|N-2 \alpha-1|}} w^{p} \\
& +(N-2 \alpha-1)^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{(2+\alpha(1-q) \mid N-2 \alpha-1) t}{|N-2 \alpha-1|}} w^{q} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g(t)$ be the right-hand side of (3.2). Note that $(N-2 \alpha-2)<0$ and $\frac{(2+\alpha(1-q)|N-2 \alpha-1|) t}{|N-2 \alpha-1|}$ $<0$, hence $w^{\prime \prime}$ has definite sign after a certain stage and hence $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} w^{\prime}(t)=l$ (where $l$ may be $\pm \infty)$. For the case $l>0$ and $l<0$ we can deduce that $w(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ and $w(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ respectively as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ which contradicts the fact that $w(t)$ is bounded. Therefore, $w^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Now $g(t)$ is integrable and as a result $w^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Hence $w^{\prime}(t)$ has definite sign after a certain stage and hence we conclude that there exists $\mu \geq 0$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} w(t)=\mu .
$$

We claim that when $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, then $\mu>0$. If $\mu=0$, then by (3.2), $w^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t \gg 0$. Thus there exists $t_{2}$ large such that $w^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$. Note that $w(t)>0$ in $(0,+\infty)$. Hence $w^{\prime}(t) \leq w^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ and this implies $w(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu>0$.

For $\alpha=N-2$, and $N>3$, we use the same technique as above to obtain $\mu>0$.
For $N=3$, note that $(N-2 \alpha-1)=(N-3)=0$ and hence (3.1) reduces to

$$
v_{r r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} v=r^{(1-p)} v^{p}-r^{(1-q)} v^{q}
$$

Hence we obtain for $r \gg 0, v_{r r} \leq 0$ as $\frac{v}{r^{2}} \geq 0$. This implies that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} v_{r}=0$ by similar argument to above. Hence

$$
v_{r}(r)=-\int_{r}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{s^{2}} v(s)+\frac{1}{s^{p-1}} v^{p}(s)-\frac{1}{s^{q-1}} v^{q}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

As a result $v_{r}$ has a definite sign and hence $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} v(r)$ exists. Applying the same technique as in the case $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$ we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r U(r)>0$.

Corollary 3.2 As $r \rightarrow+\infty$ we have,

$$
\left|U_{r}\right| \sim \begin{cases}\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} & \text { if } \alpha=N-2  \tag{3.3}\\ \frac{1}{r^{\alpha q-1}} & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{2}{q-1} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof Since $\left(r^{N-1} U_{r}\right)_{r}$ is positive after a certain stage, which implies that $\left(r^{N-1} U_{r}\right)$ is increasing after a certain stage $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{N-1}\left|U_{r}\right|=l$ exists finitely as the right-hand side is integrable if $q \neq q^{\star}$; and non-zero when $\alpha=N-2$. (Otherwise it will contradict Lemma 3.1.) Hence $0<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x<+\infty$ as $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{r}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) s^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} r=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{N-1}\left|U_{r}\right|=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) r^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} r$. As a result $\left|U_{r}\right| \sim r^{-(N-1)}$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.

When $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, then $r^{(N-1)} U_{r}(r) \rightarrow 0$. We have as $r \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\left(r^{N-1} U_{r}\right)_{r} \sim U^{q} r^{N-1}
$$

and note that $\alpha q>N$ and integrating we obtain

$$
-r^{N-1} U_{r}=\int_{r}^{+\infty}\left(s^{N-1} U_{s}\right)_{s} \sim \int_{r}^{+\infty} U^{q} s^{N-1} \sim \int_{r}^{+\infty} s^{-\alpha q+N-1} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|U_{r}\right| \sim r^{-\alpha q+1}
$$

Remark 3.3 Note that if $q=q^{\star}$, it is easy to show that in fact $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{N-1}\left|U_{r}\right|<+\infty$. Note that in fact the limit is zero since otherwise $U^{q^{\star}}$ is not integrable at infinity which contradicts the fact that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{N-1}\left|U_{r}\right|$ exists and thus $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{N-2} U=0$. Hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty$.
Remark 3.4 Let us define a space $\mathcal{D}=D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{q+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Define a norm on $\mathcal{D}$ as

$$
\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q+1}\right)^{1 / q+1} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}
$$

Note that $\left(\mathcal{D},\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is a reflexive Banach space. We claim that $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a continuous embedding provided $p+1 \leq \frac{2 N}{N-2}$. In order to prove this first note that there exists $0<\theta<1$ such that $\frac{1}{p+1}=\frac{\theta}{q+1}+\frac{1-\theta}{2^{*}}$ we have by interpolation and Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L^{p+1}} & \leq\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{\theta}\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{1-\theta} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{\theta}\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{1-\theta} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{\theta}\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{1-\theta} \\
& =C\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the embedding is continuous. Note that as $1<q<p<2^{*}-1$, by (3.4) follows that $U \in \mathcal{D}$. Define $I_{\infty}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
I_{\infty}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}|u|^{q+1}\right)
$$

Now we need to show that $I_{\infty}$ satisfies Palais Smale condition on $\mathcal{D}$. Let $u_{n}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $I_{\infty}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C$ and $I_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}=o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then we obtain that $u_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}=C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

Hence there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
C_{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}\right)=C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1}\right) \leq C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \min \left\{\left(C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)^{1 / 2},\left(C+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}}\right)^{1 / q+1}\right\}
$$

which implies that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}$.
In order to prove the Palais Smale condition we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3.5 Let $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of radially symmetric functions. Then $\mathcal{D}_{r} \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a compact embedding provided $2<p+1<\frac{2 N}{N-2}$.

Proof Suppose $T$ is a bounded set in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$. If $u \in T$,

$$
u(r)=-\int_{r}^{\infty} u^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and hence by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and the definition of the norm on $\mathcal{D}$

$$
|u(r)| \leq C r^{-\frac{N-2}{2}},
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $u$. Thus $|u(r)| \leq \epsilon$ if $u \in T$ and $r \geq R$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}^{\infty}|u(r)|^{p+1} r^{N-1} & =\int_{R}^{\infty}|u(r)|^{p-q}|u(r)|^{q+1} r^{N-1} \\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{R}^{\infty}|u|^{q+1} r^{N-1} \leq \epsilon\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we know that bounded sets in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ will converge strongly in $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ on compact subsets and hence we can use the usual diagonalization argument to obtain a strongly convergent subsequence in $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ from a sequence in $T$.

As a matter of fact $I_{\infty}$ satisfies all the conditions of the mountain pass theorem in $\mathcal{D}_{r}$. Hence there exists a $c>0$ such that

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\infty}(\gamma(t))=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}_{r}} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\infty}(t u)
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1] ; \mathcal{D}_{r}\right) ; \gamma(0)=0, I_{\infty}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Hence there exists a positive radial solution of (1.4) obtained by the mountain pass theorem. Hence by Lemma 2.2, $U$ is a mountain pass solution of (1.4).

## 4 Kernel of $\Delta+p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}$ in $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

Let $U$ be the radial solution to (1.4). In this section, we want to prove that $\Delta+p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}$ is Fredholm on $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let us write

$$
\phi=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k}(r) S_{k}(\theta)
$$

where $r=|x|, \theta=\frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$; and $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} S_{k}=\lambda S_{k}$ where $\lambda_{k}=k(N-2+k)$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ and whose multiplicity is given by $M_{k}-M_{k-2}$ where $M_{k}=\frac{(N+k-1)!}{(N-1)!k!}$ for $k \geq 2$. Note that $\lambda_{0}=0$ has algebraic multiplicity one and $\lambda_{1}=(N-1)$ has algebraic multiplicity $N$. Then $\phi_{k}$ satisfy an infinite system of ODE given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} \phi_{k}^{\prime}+\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{k}=0, \quad r \in(0, \infty) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also note that (4.1) has two linearly independent solutions $z_{1, k}$ and $z_{2, k}$. Let

$$
A_{k}(\phi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} \phi^{\prime}+\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}}\right) \phi
$$

Also recall that if one solution $z_{1, k}$ to (4.1) is known, a second linearly independent solution can be found in any interval where $z_{1, k}$ does not vanish as

$$
z_{2, k}(r)=z_{1, k}(r) \int z_{1, k}^{-2} r^{1-N} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

where $\int$ denotes antiderivatives. One can obtain the asymptotic behavior of any solution $z$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by examining the indicial roots of the associated Euler equation. Note that in the case $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, the limiting equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+(N-1) r \phi^{\prime}-\left(q \zeta+\lambda_{k}\right) \phi=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{2} U^{q-1} \rightarrow \zeta>0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and when $\alpha=N-2$, the limiting equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+(N-1) r \phi^{\prime}-\lambda_{k} \phi=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose indicial roots are given by

$$
\mu_{k}^{ \pm}= \begin{cases}\frac{N-2}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(N-2)^{2}+4\left(q \zeta+\lambda_{k}\right)}}{2} & \text { if } k \neq 0 \\ \frac{N-2}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(N-2)^{2}+4 q \zeta}}{2} & \text { if } k=0\end{cases}
$$

In this way we see that the asymptotic behavior is ruled by $z(r) \sim r^{-\mu}$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$; where $\mu$ satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mu^{2}-(N-2) \mu-\left(q \zeta+\lambda_{k}\right)=0 & \text { if } \alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}  \tag{4.4}\\
\mu^{2}-(N-2) \mu-\lambda_{k}=0 & \text { if } \alpha=N-2
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Lemma 4.1 If $k=0$, Eq. (4.1) has no nontrivial solution in $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof This follows exactly as in [11].
Lemma 4.2 If $k=1$, then all solutions of equation (4.1) are constant multiples of $U^{\prime}$.
Proof In this case $\lambda_{1}=N-1$ and hence we have $z_{1,1}(r)=-U^{\prime}(r)$ is a solution to the problem (4.1) and is positive $(0,+\infty)$. Hence we define

$$
z_{1,2}(r)=z_{1,1}(r) \int_{1}^{r} z_{1,1}(s)^{-2} s^{1-N} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Let us check how $z_{1,2}(r)$ behaves at infinity. By Corollary 3.2, when $\alpha=N-2$ then $\left|U_{r}\right| \sim r^{1-N}$ at infinity and hence $z_{1,2}(r) \sim r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ as a result $z_{1,2}$ does not belong to $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Again when $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, then $\left|U_{r}\right| \sim r^{-\alpha q+1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $z_{1,2}(r) \sim r^{\alpha q-N+1}$ and as $\alpha q>N, z_{1,2} \notin D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence any family of solutions of (4.1) is given by $\phi_{1}=c U^{\prime}(r)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.3 If $k \geq 2$, Eq. (4.1) admits only trivial solution in $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof We will show that if $A_{k}\left(\phi_{k}\right)=0$, then $\phi_{k}=0$. Note that $-U^{\prime}$ is a positive solution of $A_{1}$. Let us study the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}(\phi)=\lambda \phi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{4.5}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi^{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know from Lemma 3.1 that $U_{r r}>0$ after a certain stage and when $\alpha=N-2, U_{r r} \sim \frac{1}{r^{N}}$ and when $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}, U_{r r} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\alpha q}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Note that if $\lambda_{1}>0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{1} U^{\prime}=0$ and hence there exists a point in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\phi_{1}$ changes sign. But $\phi_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ and hence it has a definite sign. Hence $\lambda_{1} \leq 0$. Thus $A_{1}$ is an operator having no positive eigenvalues. Hence for $k \geq 2, c_{k}=k(N-2+k)-(N-1)>0$. Now

$$
A_{k}=A_{1}-\frac{k(N-2+k)-(N-1)}{r^{2}} I
$$

where $I$ is the identity. Hence $0=\left\langle-A_{k}\left(\phi_{k}\right), \phi_{k}\right\rangle \geq c_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\phi_{k}^{2}}{r^{2}}$ and as $\phi_{k} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have $\phi_{k} \equiv 0$.

Lemma 4.4 $\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta-p U^{p-1}+q U^{q-1}\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{N}}\right\}$ in $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof From the previous lemmas, we deduce that for any $\phi \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta-p U^{p-1}+q U^{q-1}\right)$, then $\phi=U^{\prime}(r) S_{1}$ where $S_{1}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} S_{1}=\lambda_{1} S_{1}
$$

$\operatorname{Now} \operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}-\lambda_{1} I\right)$ is $N$-dimensional and hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}-\lambda_{1} I\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{S_{1,1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.S_{1, N}\right\} \simeq \operatorname{span} \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\Delta-p U^{p-1}+q U^{q-1}\right) & =\operatorname{span}\left\{U^{\prime}(r) S_{1,1}, \ldots, U^{\prime}(r) S_{1, N}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{N}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.5 Also note that there is always a nontrivial bounded radial solution to the linearized equation. As a result, the operator is not nondegenerate in the space of bounded functions.

## 5 Profile of spikes

Let $z$ be a point of minimum of $h$ in $\Omega$. Let us define $U_{\varepsilon, z}(x)=U\left(\frac{x-z}{\varepsilon}\right)$, then $U_{\varepsilon, z}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta U_{\varepsilon, z} & =U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{5.1}\\
U_{\varepsilon, z} & >0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Also let $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}$ be the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} & =U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5.2}\\
\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then by the maximum principle $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} \leq U_{\varepsilon, z}$ in $\Omega$. Note that $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}$ may not be a positive solution of (5.2).

Lemma 5.1 For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\varepsilon, z}-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}=(C+o(1)) \varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{z} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$.
Proof Subtracting (5.1) from (5.2) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right) & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5.4}\\
U_{\varepsilon, z}-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} & =U_{\varepsilon, z} & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Now $U_{\varepsilon, z}=\frac{C+o(1)}{|x-z|^{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ on $\partial \Omega$, by Lemma 3.1. Hence by the maximum principle and the definition of $\psi_{z}, U_{\varepsilon, z}-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}=(C+o(1)) \varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{z}$ and $U-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}(z+\varepsilon y)=(C+o(1)) \psi_{z}(z+\varepsilon y) \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon, z}$.

Remark 5.2 Note that from Lemma 3.1, we have $U_{\varepsilon, z} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}|x-z|^{-\alpha}$ when $|x-z|$ is large. For $\alpha q>N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1}+\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)}=\varepsilon^{N+\alpha} o(1)$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1}=\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+\varepsilon^{N+\alpha} o(1)
$$

Lemma 5.3 Let c be the mountain pass value of (1.4) and $\frac{N}{N-2}<q<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$. Then, we have

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} \min _{\Omega} h \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof First note that by the mean value theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}= & \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}\right)^{q+1}+(q+1) \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}\right)^{q}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}-U_{\varepsilon, z}\right) \\
& +o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the equation satisfied by $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}$ and integration by parts,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left|\nabla \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q}\right) \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q}\right)\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}-(C+o(1)) \psi_{z} \varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p+1}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1}\right)-\frac{C+o(1)}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{z}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1} . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used (5.5), Remark 5.2 and that $U_{\varepsilon, z}$ has algebraic decay. Since $\psi_{z}(x)$ is bounded on $\Omega$ and $\psi_{z}(z+\varepsilon x)$ converges pointwise to $h$, we can use the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \psi_{z}(z+\varepsilon x)=h(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right)+o(1)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q+1} \\
& +\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) C \varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q}\right) \psi_{z} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +o(1) \varepsilon^{N-2+N} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1} \\
& +\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N+N-2} h(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right)+\varepsilon^{N+N-2} o(1) \\
= & \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} \min _{\Omega} h \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $t_{\varepsilon} \in(0,+\infty)$ be the unique constant such that

$$
\Phi\left(t_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)=\max _{t \geq 0} \Phi\left(t \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the equation satisfied by $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right|^{2}-\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}-\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
& =O(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and analyzing the higher order terms, and using the fact that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}
$$

there exists a $c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)\left\langle\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}, \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right|^{2}-p\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+q\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(-(p-1) U^{p+1}+(q-1) U^{q+1}\right)+o(1) \varepsilon^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\varepsilon^{N}\left(-(p-q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}-(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2}+o(1)\right) \\
& \leq-c^{\prime} \varepsilon^{N} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle=o(1) \varepsilon^{N}$, we have

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle=o(1) \varepsilon^{N}
$$

which implies

$$
\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2}\left|\nabla \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right|^{2}-\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}=o(1) \varepsilon^{N}
$$

and letting $\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, z}(x)=\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}(\varepsilon x+z)$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right|^{2}-\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}-1\right) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)_{+}^{q+1}=o(1)
$$

which implies that $t_{\varepsilon}-1=o(1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \max _{t>0} \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)=\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& =\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)+\left(t_{\varepsilon}-1\right)\left\langle\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right), \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2} \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{\varepsilon} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)\left\langle\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}, \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}\right)+o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} \min _{\Omega} h \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{\varepsilon}$ lies in between $t_{\varepsilon}$ and 1 . Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} \min _{\Omega} h \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right) . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.4 For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0, u_{\varepsilon}$ has a unique maximum.
Proof First note by Lemma 5.3, $\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C$ and hence by Moser iteration, $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is uniformly bounded. Thus applying Schauder estimates we obtain a $C>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon D u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$. If possible, let $z_{\varepsilon, 1}$ and $z_{\varepsilon, 2}$ are two distinct local maxima of $u_{\varepsilon}$. Then it easily follows that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon, 1}\right) \geq 1$ and $u_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon, 2}\right) \geq 1$. Suppose $z_{\varepsilon}=\frac{z_{\varepsilon, 1}-z_{\varepsilon, 2}}{\varepsilon}$. Suppose along a subsequence $\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow \delta \in[0,+\infty)$. Let $z=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{z_{\varepsilon, 1}-z_{\varepsilon, 2}}{\varepsilon}$. Then if $\delta>0$, then define $v_{\varepsilon}(y)=u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon y+z_{\varepsilon, 2}\right)$ then it follows from Remark 2.4, $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta U & =U^{p}-U^{q} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
U(0) & =U^{\prime}(\delta)=0 & & \\
U & \rightarrow 0 & & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

which is a contradiction as $U^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,+\infty)$. Now suppose $\delta=0$. Then $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $U$ has a unique critical point at 0 (since $U(0)>1$ and $U$ is a radial). Thus $v_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point in a neighborhood of zero which is a contradiction. Hence $\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We claim that $u_{\varepsilon}$ has exactly one maximum for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. First, note that as $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a mountain pass solution and hence it has Morse index at most one. Let $\tilde{z}_{1, \varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{z}_{2, \varepsilon}$ be two maxima of $v_{\varepsilon}$. Then by the above result $\left|\tilde{z}_{1, \varepsilon}-\tilde{z}_{2, \varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now by [3] p. 145, it was proved that there exist $r<0$ and $h$ exponentially decreasing such that $-\Delta h-f^{\prime}(U) h=r h$ and hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla h|^{2}-f^{\prime}(U) h^{2}<0$. Now using an appropriate cut off function we can obtain the same property for $h$ with compact support. Now define a twodimensional space spanned by $h_{1}(x)=h\left(x+\tilde{z}_{1, \varepsilon}\right)$ and $h_{2}(x)=h\left(x+\tilde{z}_{2, \varepsilon}\right)$ where $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$. Note that the support supp $h_{1} \cap \operatorname{supp} h_{2}=\emptyset$ as $\left|\tilde{z}_{1, \varepsilon}-\tilde{z}_{2, \varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence we obtain a two dimensional space on which $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla h_{i}\right|^{2}-f^{\prime}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) h_{i}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla h_{i}\right|^{2}-f^{\prime}(U) h_{i}^{2}<0$ for $i=1,2$. Note that we are using the fact that $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $h_{i}$ has compact support. Hence $u_{\varepsilon}$ has Morse index at least two, a contradiction.

Now we require to obtain the second-order lower bound. To this context, we first note that $U-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}\left(z_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon y\right)=(C+o(1)) \psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}\left(z_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon y\right) \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$. Let $\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}=\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}\left(z_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon y\right)$, and $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon y\right)$. Then

$$
-\Delta\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right)=f\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right)-f(U)=f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-U\right)
$$

where $\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}$ is between $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$ and $U$. Hence

$$
-\Delta\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}-U\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right) & =f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}-U\right) & & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}  \tag{5.12}\\
\left(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}\right) & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Define

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}}{C \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}
$$

where $z_{\varepsilon}$ is the point of maximum of $u_{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} & =f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right) S_{\varepsilon} & & \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}  \tag{5.13}\\
\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
S_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\left(\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon}-U\right)}{C \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}
$$

Lemma 5.5 For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, then up to a subsequence

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \varphi_{0}
$$

uniformly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \varphi_{0}-f^{\prime}(U) \varphi_{0}+f^{\prime}(U) & =0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{5.14}\\
\varphi_{0} & \rightarrow 0 & & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \\
\varphi_{0} & \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) & &
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof Note that since $\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(z_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega\right)}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\frac{\psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}\left(z_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon x\right)}{h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}$ is uniformly bounded and hence by Lemma 5.1, $S_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded. Note that by the decay property of $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$ and $U$, $\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2}}$ for $|x|$ sufficiently large. Hence $f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 0$ for $|x| \geq R_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{k}{|x|^{r}}$ where $r>2$. Hence we can choose $\tilde{C}|x|^{2-r}$ as a super-solution of (5.13) for $|x| \geq R_{0}$ if we choose $\tilde{r} \geq 2$ but close to 2 and $\tilde{C}>0$ is large. Hence we can bound $\tilde{C}>0$ if we have a uniform bound $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ on $|x|=R_{0}$. Thus we have a uniform decay for $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ if we can bound $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ on $|x|=R_{0}$.

If possible let $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ be unbounded. Then $\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ (up to a subsequence). Define $\psi_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\tilde{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}}{\left\|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}}$. Then $\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}=1$. Hence the right-hand term in (5.13) is uniformly small and thus by the argument in the previous paragraph $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ has a uniform decay for large $|x|$. Thus the maximum of $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ must occur at $k_{\varepsilon}$ where $\left|k_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq R$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Let $k$ be a subsequential limit of $k_{\varepsilon}$. By Schauder estimates we obtain $\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \theta}}$ is bounded for some $\theta \in(0,1]$ and hence by the Arzela-Ascoli's theorem there exists $\psi_{0} \in C^{1}$ such that $\left\|\psi_{\varepsilon}-\psi_{0}\right\|_{C_{\text {loc }}^{1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then $\psi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \psi_{0}-f^{\prime}(U) \psi_{0} & =0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{5.15}\\
\psi_{0}(k) & =1 \\
\psi_{0}(x) & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Note that we use the fact that $\operatorname{dist}\left(k_{\varepsilon}, \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ in order to conclude that the above problem is not a half space problem. We can now use $C|x|^{-(N-2)}$ as a super-solution to deduce that $|x|^{N-2} \psi_{0}$ is bounded. This implies that $\psi_{0} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. On the other hand we have,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \psi_{0}\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{\prime}(U) \psi_{0}^{2}<\infty .
$$

As a result, $\psi_{0} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta-f^{\prime}(U)\right)$. Since $\psi_{0} \not \equiv 0$ and since by Lemma 4.4, $\operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta-f^{\prime}(U)\right)=\left\{\frac{\partial U}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_{N}}\right\}$, we have

$$
\psi_{0}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_{i}}
$$

where not all $a_{j}$ 's are zero. Since $U$ is radial, $U^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $\Delta U(0) \neq 0$, it follows that $\psi_{0}(0)=0$ and $\nabla \psi_{0}(0) \neq 0$. We obtain a contradiction by proving $\nabla \psi_{0}(0)=0$. Note that $\nabla \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(0)=0$ and $\nabla U(0)=0$ and hence

$$
\nabla \tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(0)=\frac{\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}(0)}{\varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}=\frac{\nabla U(0)}{\varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}
$$

Thus $\nabla \tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(0)=0$ and by $C_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ convergence we have $\nabla \psi_{0}(0)=0$. This gives a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded.

By our earlier argument with a super-solution, we obtain that $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ decays uniformly, while by elliptic regularity theory applied to (5.13) we have $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to $\varphi_{0}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ where $\varphi_{0}$ satisfies the problem (5.14). By uniform decay of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$, we can conclude that $\varphi_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \varphi_{0}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly. This completes the proof.
Remark 5.6 Hence we have $u_{\varepsilon}=U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}-C \varepsilon^{N-2}\left(\psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}-\varphi_{0} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)+o(1)\right)$ in $\Omega$ and by using the fact that $z_{\varepsilon}$ is the only maximum of $u_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
\max _{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} u_{\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{N-2}
$$

Lemma 5.7 We have,

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof Multiplying both sides of (5.14) by $U \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and integrating by parts we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p} \varphi_{0}-(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q} \varphi_{0}=p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}-q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also note that $u_{\varepsilon}=U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}-C \varepsilon^{N-2}\left(\psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}-\varphi_{0} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)+o(1)\right)$ in $\Omega$. Choose a $R>0$ sufficiently large such that $U(r)<1$ for $r>R$, and by using Taylors expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p+1} \\
& -(p+1) C \varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p}\left(\psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}-\varphi_{0} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
& +o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Remark 5.6 we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\varepsilon}=\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{+}^{p+1}+\frac{1}{q+1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{+}^{q+1}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) u_{\varepsilon}-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) u_{\varepsilon}-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}\right)+o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{q+1} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p} \psi_{z_{\varepsilon}} \\
& +\frac{q-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N-2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{q} \psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}} \\
& +\frac{p-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p} \varphi_{0} \\
& -\frac{q-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{q} \varphi_{0}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By our decay estimates and Remark 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p+1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p+1}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p+1} \\
& =\varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also by Taylors expansion in $B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)$, we have $\psi_{z_{\varepsilon}}(z)-h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)=o(1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p} \psi_{z_{\varepsilon}} & =h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon R}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, z_{\varepsilon}}^{p}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N} \\
& =h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N} \\
& =h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\varepsilon}= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N+N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p}+\frac{q-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N+N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q} \\
& +\frac{p-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N+N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p} \varphi_{0} \\
& -\frac{q-1}{2} C \varepsilon^{N+N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q} \varphi_{0}+o(1) \varepsilon^{N+N-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

using (5.16) we deduce

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon^{N}\left(c+\frac{C}{2} \varepsilon^{N-2} h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Remark 5.8 As a result of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5 , we obtain $h\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \min _{\Omega} h$. Hence Theorem 1.1 is proved. Note that for $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$, from Corollary 3.2 we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-\right.$ $\left.U^{q}\right) d x=0$ and as a result we cannot obtain any information on the point of concentration of spikes.

## 6 Multi-peak solutions

We modify the problem (1.3) to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u & =\left(u^{+}\right)^{p}-Q(x)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{6.1}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Choose $\delta>0$ such that $Q(x)>Q\left(z_{j}\right)$ for all $x \in B_{\delta}\left(z_{j}\right) \backslash\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ and $B_{\delta}\left(z_{i}\right) \cap B_{\delta}\left(z_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Let $Q\left(z_{j}\right)=b_{j}>0$. Then for any $b>0$, let $W$ be the unique radial solution

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\Delta W=W^{p}-b W^{q} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{6.2}\\
W>0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
W \rightarrow 0 & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define the transformation, $W(x)=b^{\frac{1}{p-q}} U\left(b^{\frac{p-1}{2(p-q)}} x\right)$. Then $U$ satisfies the problem (1.4). We can assume that $Q\left(z_{j}\right)$ are all equal. This is not needed but it simplifies the notation. In this case, we can re-scale so that $b_{j}=1$ for all $j$. Let $\gamma>0$ be small and $\tau>0$ is defined in Lemma 7.1. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{k, \varepsilon}= & \left\{x \in \Omega^{k}, j=1, \ldots, k ; x_{j} \in B_{\delta}\left(z_{j}\right),\left|Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}},\right. \\
& \left.U\left(\frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2]}}, i \neq j\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also let $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z}$ be the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} & =U_{\varepsilon, z}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, z}^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{6.3}\\
\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, z} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Define a norm on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x \in D_{k, \varepsilon}$, let

$$
E_{\varepsilon, x, k}=\left\{\omega \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\left\langle\omega, \frac{\partial \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}}{\partial x_{j l}}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=0 ; l=1, \ldots, N, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

where $x_{j}=\left(x_{j 1}, \ldots, x_{j N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Choose $R>0$ sufficiently large such that $U(x)<1$ for $|x| \geq R$.

Remark 6.1 Let $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$. We derive an important inequality which we will use in the later stage of our proof. We have by the Sobolev and Hölder inequalities,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|\omega| & \leq\left|B_{\varepsilon R}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\left|B_{\varepsilon R}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}}|\omega|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|D \omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$.

Lemma 6.2 For any $\omega \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, there exists a $C>1$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \leq\left(\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \omega|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

Proof Note that the left hand side of the inequality follows trivially. Now let us estimate the term

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega^{2}= & \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega^{2} \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega^{2} \\
\leq & C \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} \omega^{2}+C \varepsilon^{\alpha(q-1)} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} \omega^{2} . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\varepsilon^{\alpha(q-1)} \int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} \omega^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \omega|^{2}$ and by (6.5) we obtain that the above inequality holds.

## 7 The reduction

In this section, we will reduce the proof of Theorem 1.2 to find a solution of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega$ for (6.1) to a finite dimensional problem. We will prove that for each $x \in$ $D_{k, \varepsilon}$, there is a unique $\omega_{\varepsilon, x} \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ such that

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \forall \eta \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}
$$

Let

$$
k(x, \omega)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right) .
$$

If we expand $k(x, \omega)$ near $\omega=0$ as

$$
k(x, \omega)=k(x, 0)+l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega)+\frac{1}{2} Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega, \omega)+R_{\varepsilon}(\omega)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega)= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}} D \omega-\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p} \omega \\
& +\int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega  \tag{7.1}\\
Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega, \eta)= & \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2} D \omega D \eta-p \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega \eta \\
& +q \int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \omega \eta \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\varepsilon}(\omega)=J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)+J_{2, \varepsilon}(\omega) . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)= & \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega\right)_{+}^{p+1}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p+1} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega\right)_{+}^{p}-\frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega^{2} \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{2, \varepsilon}(\omega)= & \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega\right)_{+}^{q+1}-\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega\right)_{+}^{q}-\frac{q}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \omega^{2} . \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We will prove in Lemma 7.1 that $l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega)$ is a bounded linear functional in $E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$. Hence it will follow by the Riesz representation theorem, that there exists $l_{\varepsilon, x} \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ such that

$$
\left\langle l_{\varepsilon, x}, \omega\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}
$$

In Lemma 7.2 we will prove that $Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega, \eta)$ is a bounded linear operator from $E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ to $E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ such that

$$
\left\langle Q_{\varepsilon, x} \omega, \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega, \eta) \quad \forall \omega, \eta \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k} .
$$

Thus finding a critical point of $k(x, \omega)$ is equivalent to solving the problem in $E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\varepsilon, x}+Q_{\varepsilon, x} \omega+R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)=0 \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove in Lemma 7.3 that the operator $Q_{\varepsilon, x}$ is invertible in $E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$. In Lemma 7.4, we will prove that if $\omega$ belongs to a suitable set, $R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)$ is a small perturbation term in (7.6). Thus we can use the contraction mapping theorem to prove that (7.6) has a unique solution for each fixed $x \in D_{k, \varepsilon}$.

Lemma 7.1 The functional $l_{\varepsilon, x}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (7.1) is a bounded linear functional. Moreover,

$$
\left\|l_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right|+\sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\tau}\right)
$$

where $\tau=\min \{\alpha, \sigma\}>0$.
Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega)= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}} D \omega-\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p} \omega+\int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\right) \omega-\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p} \omega+\int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\right) \omega-\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p} \omega+\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
& +\int_{\Omega}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate the last term we decompose the domain into $\Omega=\left(\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \cup$ $\left(\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$. Since $Q$ is bounded we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega= & \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
\leq & \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega+\varepsilon^{\alpha q} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega+C \varepsilon^{\alpha q} \int_{\Omega}|D \omega|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the decay estimates of $\hat{V}$. On the other hand using Taylors theorem on $Q$ in $B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and using (6.5) we have

$$
Q(x)=Q\left(x_{i}\right)+\left\langle D Q\left(x_{i}\right), x-x_{i}\right\rangle+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}(Q-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega & \leq C\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right| \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega|+\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+1}\right)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& =\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} O\left(\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|+\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+1}\right)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Taylors theorem and our estimate for $U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}-\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} & \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} \omega+O(1) \varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate the second term we decompose the domain into $\Omega=\left(\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \cup$ $\left(\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and we have from (6.5)

$$
\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

and by decay estimates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1} \omega & \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha q} \int_{\Omega}|\omega| \\
& =C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+\sigma}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{N}{2}-1$. We will use the following basic facts, in our proof

$$
\begin{gathered}
|a+b|^{q}-|a|^{q}-|b|^{q}=O(1)\left(|a|^{\frac{q}{2}}|b|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad 1<q<2 \\
|a+b|^{q}-|a|^{q}-|b|^{q}=O(1)|a|^{q-1}|b| \quad \text { if } \quad q \geq 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the case $q \geq 2$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} \omega=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q} \omega+O\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega|\right)
$$

In order to estimate the second term we decompose the domain into $\Omega=\left(\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \cup$ $\left(\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega|=\int_{\left.\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega|+\int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega|
$$

Now from (6.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega| & \leq\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{2(q-1)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{2(q-1)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\int_{B_{R}} U_{1, \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}}^{2(q-1)} U^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& =\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} O\left(U\left(\frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the boundary we have from decay estimates and since $\alpha q>N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left.\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q-1} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}|\omega| & \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha q} \int_{\left.\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}|\omega| \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha q} \int_{\Omega}|\omega|  \tag{7.7}\\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha q}\left(\int_{\Omega}|D \omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2}|D \omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\sigma}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case when $1<q<2$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} \omega=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q} \omega+O\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{\frac{q}{2}} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}^{\frac{q}{2}}|\omega|\right)
$$

and we proceed as in the case $q \geq 2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{\frac{q}{2}} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}^{\frac{q}{2}}|\omega| \leq C \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{\frac{q}{2}}|\omega| \leq & \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is small. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\right) \omega-\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p} \omega+\int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q} \omega \\
& =\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right|+\sum_{j \neq i} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\tau}\right)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7.2 The bilinear form $Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega)$ defined in (7.2) is a bounded linear. Moreover

$$
\left|Q_{\varepsilon, x}(\omega, \eta)\right| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof Note that there exists a $C>0$, such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega \eta \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q-1}|\omega||\eta| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

and

$$
\left|\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega} D \omega D \eta+q \int_{\Omega} Q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \omega \eta\right| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

Lemma 7.3 There exists $\rho>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\left\|Q_{\varepsilon, x} \omega\right\|_{\varepsilon} \geq \rho\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall \omega \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}, x \in D_{k, \varepsilon}
$$

Proof Note that $Q$ is uniformly positive and bounded. Purely for simplicity, we assume $Q \equiv 1$. Suppose there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0, x_{j, n} \in D_{k, \varepsilon_{n}}$, with $x_{j, n} \rightarrow z_{j}, \omega_{n} \in E_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{n}, k}$ such that $\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}=\varepsilon_{n}^{\frac{N}{2}}$ and

$$
\left\|Q_{\varepsilon_{n}} \omega_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}=o\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{\frac{N}{2}}\right)
$$

Let $\tilde{\omega}_{i, n}=\omega_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+x_{i, n}\right)$ and $\Omega_{n}=\left\{y: \varepsilon_{n} y+x_{i, n} \in \Omega\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|D \tilde{\omega}_{i, n}\right|^{2}=\varepsilon_{n}^{-N}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|D \omega_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=1 \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there exists $\omega_{i} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_{i, n} \rightharpoonup \omega_{i} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and hence $\tilde{\omega}_{i, n} \rightarrow \omega_{i} \in$ $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We claim that

$$
-\Delta \omega_{i}=p U^{p-1} \omega_{i}-q U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

that is for all $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \eta=p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \omega_{i} \eta-q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \eta . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} D \omega_{n} D \eta-p \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n} \eta+q \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \omega_{n} \eta \\
& \quad=\left\langle Q_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{n}} \omega_{n}, \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad=o\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{\frac{N}{2}}\right)\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{n}} D \tilde{\omega}_{i, n} D \tilde{\eta}-p \int_{\Omega_{n}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \tilde{\omega}_{i, n} \tilde{\eta}+q \int_{\Omega_{n}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \tilde{\omega}_{i, n} \tilde{\eta} \\
& \quad=o(1)\|\tilde{\eta}\|, \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}} & =\hat{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+x_{i, n}\right) \\
\|\tilde{\eta}\|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega_{n}}|D \tilde{\eta}|^{2} \\
\tilde{E}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{n}, k} & =\left\{\tilde{\eta}: \int_{\Omega_{n}} D \tilde{\eta} D \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}=\varepsilon_{n} \frac{\partial \hat{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{j, n}}\left(\varepsilon_{n} y+x_{i, n}\right)}{\partial x_{j l}}$. Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then we can choose $a_{j l n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\tilde{\eta}_{n}=\eta-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{j l n} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} .
$$

Note that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}$ satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} & =\left(p U^{p-1}\left(y-\frac{x_{i, n}-x_{j, n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)-q U^{q-1}\left(y-\frac{x_{i, n}-x_{j, n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} & & \text { in } \Omega_{n}  \tag{7.12}\\
\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{2}{q-1}$. Then we claim that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$. Now using Hölder's and Hardy's inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{q-1} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2}$ is uniformly bounded and as a result there exists $W$ such that

$$
\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightharpoonup W \text { in } D^{1,2}
$$

at least for a subsequence. Hence

$$
\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2} .
$$

Note that $W$ satisfies the problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta W & =\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{7.14}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla W|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We claim that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W$ in $D^{1,2}$. First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|U^{p-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right| & \leq C \int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right| \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2} & =p \int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{p-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -q \int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \\
\rightarrow & p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W-q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla W|^{2} . \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}$ converges weakly in $L^{2^{\star}}$. Hence $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}$ in $D^{1,2}$ strongly. Now for $i \neq j$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\eta, \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega_{n} \cap s u p p}\left\{p U\left(y-\frac{x_{i, n}-x_{j, n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{p-1}-q U\left(y-\frac{x_{i, n}-x_{j, n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{q-1}\right\} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \eta \\
& =o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=j$ we have

$$
\left|\left\langle\eta, \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right\rangle\right| \leq C
$$

Hence using a coordinate transformation we obtain $a_{j l n}=(I+O(1))^{-1}\left\langle\eta, \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right\rangle$ where $I$ is the identity matrix and $O(1)$ has small off diagonal elements. Hence $a_{j l n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \neq j$. Putting the value of $\eta_{n}$ in (7.11) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \eta-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \omega_{i} \eta+q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \eta \\
& \quad=\sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{l}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \omega_{i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}+q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{l}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{j l n}$. Using Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \omega_{i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}+q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \eta-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \omega_{i} \eta+q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \omega_{i} \eta=0
$$

Hence we have (7.10).
Since $\omega_{i} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, it follows by nondegeneracy

$$
\omega_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{N} b_{l} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}
$$

Since $\tilde{\omega}_{i, n} \in \tilde{E}_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{n}, k}$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (7.11), we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} D \omega_{i} D \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}=0
$$

which implies $b_{l}=0$ for all $l=1,2, \ldots, N$. Thus $\omega_{i}=0$. Hence for any $R>0$ we have

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon_{n}} R\left(x_{i, n}\right)}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2}=o\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{N}\right) .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n}^{2} & =\int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon_{n} R\left(x_{i, n}\right)}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n}^{2}+\int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon_{n} R}\left(x_{i, n}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon_{n} R} R\left(x_{i, n}\right)} \omega_{n}^{2}+\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon_{n} R}\left(x_{i, n}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n}^{2} \\
& \leq o(1) \varepsilon_{n}^{N}+\varepsilon_{n}^{\alpha(p-q)} \int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon_{n} R\left(x_{i, n}\right)}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{q-1} \omega_{n}^{2} \\
& \leq o(1) \varepsilon_{n}^{N}+\varepsilon_{n}^{\alpha(p-q)}\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
o\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{N}\right) \geq\left\langle Q_{\varepsilon_{n}, x_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right), \omega_{n}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon_{n}} & \geq\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{2}-p \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j, n}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega_{n}^{2} \\
& \geq \varepsilon_{n}^{N}-o(1) \varepsilon_{n}^{N} \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies a contradiction.
For the case $\alpha=N-2$. We claim that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$. As $\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \in L^{2}$ and $N(N-2)(q-1)>N$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{2(q-1)} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{\frac{2^{*}(2 q-2)}{2^{*}-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{\left.2^{*}\right)}\right.}\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{N(q-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{\left.2^{*}\right)}\right.}\left(\left.\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.17}
\end{align*}
$$

as $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{N(N-2)(q-1)-(N-1)}}<\infty$, which implies that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$. there exists $W$ such that

$$
\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightharpoonup W \text { in } D^{1,2}
$$

and hence

$$
\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2} .
$$

Note that $W$ satisfies the problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
-\Delta W & =\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{7.18}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla W|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(p U^{p-1}-q U^{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W . &
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim that $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W$ in $D^{1,2}$. First note that for any compact subset $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{n}$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}+\int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} .
$$

Hence the first integral

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W
$$

Using the fact that $(N-2)(q-1)>2$ and Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}^{2} & \leq C \int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}}|x|^{-(N-2)(q-1)} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}^{2} \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}}|x|^{-2} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}^{2} \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2} . \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|\nabla \tilde{W}_{n, j, l}\right|^{2}= & p \int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{p-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \\
& -q \int_{\Omega_{n}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} \tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \\
\rightarrow & p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W-q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q-1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}} W \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla W|^{2} . \tag{7.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\tilde{W}_{n, j, l} \rightarrow W=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{l}}$ in $D^{1,2}$ strongly. The remainder of the proof follows exactly as above.

Lemma 7.4 Let $R_{\varepsilon}(\omega)$ be the functional defined by (7.3). Let $\omega \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|R_{\varepsilon}(\omega)\right| \leq & C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p+1,3)}{2}\right)}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\min (p+1,3)}{2^{*}}}+C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min [q+1,3\}}{2}\right)}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \frac{\frac{\min (q+1,3)}{2^{*}}}{} \\
& +o(1)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{7.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq & C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p, 2\}}{2}\right)}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\min \{p, 2\}}{2^{*}}}+C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min [q, 2\}}{2}\right)}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\min [q, 2\}}{2^{*}}} \\
& +o(1)\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} . \tag{7.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof As before we have $R_{\varepsilon}(\omega)=J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)+J_{2, \varepsilon}(\omega)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)\right| & \leq \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)\right|+\int_{\Omega \backslash \cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|J_{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega|^{\min \{p+1,3\}}+p o\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used (7.4). However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\cup B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega|^{\min \{p+1,3\}} & \leq C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p+1,3\}}{2}\right)}\left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}|\omega|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{\min \{p+1,3\}}{2^{*}}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p+1,3\}}{2}\right)}\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\min \{p+1,3\}}{2^{*}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the algebraic decay of $\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}$ we obtain,

$$
o\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p-1} \omega^{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{Co}(1) \varepsilon^{\alpha(p-1)} \int_{\Omega} \omega^{2} \leq \operatorname{Co}(1) \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \omega|^{2}
$$

Hence the result follows.
Lemma 7.5 There exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, there exists a $C^{1} \operatorname{map} \omega_{\varepsilon, x}$ : $D_{k, \varepsilon} \rightarrow H$, such that $\omega_{\varepsilon, x} \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ we have

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=0, \quad \forall \eta \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2]}+\kappa}
$$

where $\kappa>0$ is sufficiently small.

Proof We have $l_{\varepsilon, x}+Q_{\varepsilon, x} \omega+R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)=0$. As $Q_{\varepsilon, x}^{-1}$ exists, the above equation is equivalent to solving

$$
Q_{\varepsilon, x}^{-1} l_{\varepsilon, x}+\omega+Q_{\varepsilon, x}^{-1} R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)=0
$$

Define

$$
G(\omega)=-Q_{\varepsilon, x}^{-1} l_{\varepsilon, x}-Q_{\varepsilon, x}^{-1} R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k} .
$$

Hence the problem is reduced to finding a fixed point of the map $G$.
Choose $\gamma>0$ small. For any $\omega_{1} \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ and $\omega_{2} \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ with $\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min \{q, 2]}}$, $\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}}$

$$
\left\|G\left(\omega_{1}\right)-G\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq C\left\|R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Note that

$$
\left\langle R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}=\left\langle J_{1, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-J_{1, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle J_{2, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-J_{2, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}
$$

From Lemma 7.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \eta\right\rangle_{\varepsilon} \leq & C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p, 2\}}{2}\right)}\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{\min \{p, 2\}}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& +C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{q, 2\}}{2}\right)}\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{\min \{q, 2\}}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& +o(1)\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon}\|\eta\|_{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq & C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{p, 2\}}{2}\right)}\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{\min \{p, 2\}} \\
& +C \varepsilon^{N\left(1-\frac{\min \{q, 2\}}{2}\right)}\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{\min \{q, 2\}}+o(1)\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \\
\leq & o(1)\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $G$ is a contraction as

$$
\left\|G\left(\omega_{1}\right)-G\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \operatorname{Co}(1)\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Also for $\omega \in E_{\varepsilon, x, k}$ with $\|\omega\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2)}}$, and $\kappa>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{align*}
\|G(\omega)\|_{\varepsilon} & \leq C\left\|l_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}+C\left\|R_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\omega)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}}+\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}}+\kappa \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2]}} \tag{7.23}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\left\|l_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2 \nu \tau}{\min (q, 2)}}$. Hence

$$
G: E_{\varepsilon, x, k} \cap B_{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{\min (q q) 2]}}}(0) \rightarrow E_{\varepsilon, x, k} \cap B_{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{\min (q, 2]}}}(0)
$$

is a contraction map if $\left\|l_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2 \nu \tau}{\min (q, 2]}}$. Hence by the contraction mapping principle there


$$
\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}=\left\|G\left(\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\nu \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa} .
$$

## 8 Existence of interior peaks

Lemma 8.1 For any positive integer $k$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)=k \varepsilon^{N} c-c_{1} \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+c_{2} \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|^{2}+\sum_{i<j} U^{1+\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\min \{1, \alpha\}}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \lambda>0$, and $c$ is the mountain pass critical value of the limiting problem.

Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{2} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{i}} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{k} F\left(x, \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Remark 5.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right|^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}-C \varepsilon^{\alpha}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}-C \varepsilon^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p+1}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p+1}-U^{q+1}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{p+1} & =\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right), \\
\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} & =\frac{1}{q+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega}(Q-1)\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1}= & \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(Q(x)-Q\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} \\
& +\frac{1}{q+1}\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the first term, we decompose $\Omega=B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{j}\right) \cup\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$ and using Taylor's theorem on $Q$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(Q(x)-Q\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1}= & \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{j}\right)}\left(Q(x)-Q\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon R}\left(x_{j}\right)}\left(Q(x)-Q\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1} \\
\leq & C \varepsilon^{N+1}+C \varepsilon^{\alpha(q+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the second term in (8.2) we use

$$
\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)_{+}^{q+1}=\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p+1}-U^{q+1}\right)-\frac{1}{p+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1} \\
& +\frac{1}{q+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \frac{1}{q+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\min \{1, \alpha\}}\right) \\
= & \varepsilon^{N}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p+1}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}\right] \\
& +\left(Q\left(x_{j}\right)-1\right) \frac{1}{q+1} \varepsilon^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\min \{1, \alpha\}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we know that for $i \neq j$

$$
U_{1, \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}=U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right)
$$

and using Remark 5.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Omega} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{i}} D \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\right) \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\Omega}\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{p}-U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q}\right) U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{N}}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) U_{1, \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{N}}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U^{p}-U^{q}\right) U_{1, \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
& =C \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}+O\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} \varepsilon^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}+O\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} \varepsilon^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| a+\left.b\right|^{q+1}-|a|^{q+1}-|b|^{q+1}-(q+1) a^{q} b-(q+1) a b^{q} \mid \\
& \quad=O(1) a^{\frac{q+1}{2}} b^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \quad \text { if } \quad 1<q<2 \\
& \left||a+b|^{q+1}-|a|^{q+1}-|b|^{q+1}-(q+1) a^{q} b-(q+1) a b^{q}\right| \\
& \quad=O(1)|a|^{q}|b|+O(1)|a||b|^{q} \quad \text { if } \quad q \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

and the decomposition technique used in Lemma 7.1, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=2}^{k} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}+(q+1) \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q} U_{\varepsilon, x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(q+1) \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{1}}^{q} \sum_{j=2}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
= & (q+1) \sum_{i<j} \int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q} U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}+\varepsilon^{N} O\left(U^{1+\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{k} F\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)= & \left\{\int_{\Omega} F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{k} F\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i \neq j} f\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right) U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}\right\}+\sum_{i \neq j} f\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right) U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}} \\
= & \sum_{i \neq j} f\left(U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right) U_{\varepsilon, x_{i}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{N} O\left(U^{1+\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right) \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(u)=u^{p}-u^{q}$ and $\lambda>0$. Now let us estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} & (Q-1)\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}\right\} \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(Q(x)-Q\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}\right\} \\
& +\left(Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}\right\} \\
= & \varepsilon^{N} O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|^{2}+\sum_{i<j} U^{1+\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\min \{1, \alpha\}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)^{q+1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}^{q+1}\right\} \\
& \quad=\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|+\varepsilon\right) \sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \quad=\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|^{2}+\sum_{i<j} U^{2}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon\right) . \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the result.

## Proof [Proof of Theorem 1.2] Define

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(x)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right)
$$

and consider the problem

$$
\min _{x \in D_{k, \varepsilon}} G_{\varepsilon}(x) .
$$

To prove that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}$ is a solution of (6.1), we need to prove that $x$ is a critical point of $G_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

For any $x \in D_{k, \varepsilon}$, we have from Lemma 8.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\varepsilon}(x)= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)+O\left(\left\|l_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}+\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}+R_{\varepsilon}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon, x}\right)\right) \\
= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}\right)+\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 v \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) \\
= & k \varepsilon^{N} c-c_{1} \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+c_{2} \varepsilon^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\left|Q\left(x_{i}\right)-1\right|^{2}+U^{1+\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{\min \{\alpha, 1\}}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x_{\varepsilon} \in D_{k, \varepsilon}$ be a point of minimum of $G_{\varepsilon}$ in $D_{k, \varepsilon}$. Choose $\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon}=\left(\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, 1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, k}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, j}-z_{j}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

and

$$
\left|\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, i}-\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, j}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2 k} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad i \neq j
$$

Then we have $U\left(\frac{\left|\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, i}-\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for $i \neq j$ and the mean value theorem yields

$$
\left|Q\left(\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, i}\right)-1\right| \leq C\left|\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon, i}-z_{i}\right|^{2} \leq C \varepsilon \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

Thus $\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon} \in D_{k, \varepsilon}$.
Hence it follows from (8.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)=c k \varepsilon^{N}+\varepsilon^{N} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \nu \tau}{\min (q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But since $G_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{x}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq G_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we have from (8.5) and (8.6)

$$
-c_{1} \sum_{i<j} U\left(\frac{\left|x_{\varepsilon, i}-x_{\varepsilon, j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right)+c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(Q\left(x_{\varepsilon, i}\right)-1\right) \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) .
$$

Thus we have

$$
0 \leq Q\left(x_{\varepsilon, i}\right)-1 \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

and

$$
-U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \gamma \tau}{\min (q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) \quad i \neq j .
$$

This implies

$$
U\left(\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2 \nu \tau}{\min [q, 2]}+\kappa}\right) \quad i \neq j .
$$

Hence $x_{\varepsilon}$ is an interior point of $D_{k, \varepsilon}$ and hence is a critical point as required. It easily follows $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{\varepsilon, x_{j}}+\omega_{\varepsilon, x}$ is a positive solution of (1.3). This finishes the proof.

Remark 8.2 Consider the problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) & =u^{p}-Q(x) u^{q} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{8.7}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $a$ is a smooth function satisfying $a(x) \geq \mu>0$ in $\Omega$. Note that for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the limiting problem to (8.7) is

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-a\left(x_{0}\right) \Delta u & =u^{p}-Q\left(x_{0}\right) u^{q} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{8.8}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u(x) & \rightarrow 0 & & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

By a change of variable of the form $u(x)=Q^{\frac{1}{p-q}}\left(x_{0}\right) v\left(\frac{Q^{\frac{p-1}{2(p-q)}} a^{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)}{a^{2}} x\right)$, then $v$ satisfies the problem (1.4). Define $\zeta: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\zeta(x)=\frac{Q^{\frac{N(p-1)+2(p+1)}{2(p-q)}}(x)}{a^{\frac{N}{2}}(x)}
$$

in $\Omega$. Let $\zeta$ has $k$ isolated local minima. Then using the results of Theorem 1.2 it seems likely that one can show that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ having $k$ peaks with each peak concentrating at a local minima of $\zeta$.
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