ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Clinical and imaging features of interstitial lung disease in cancer patients treated with trastuzumab deruxtecan

Tomohisa Baba¹ · Masahiko Kusumoto² · Terufumi Kato³ · Yasuyuki Kurihara⁴ · Shinichi Sasaki⁵ · Katsunori Oikado⁶ · Yoshinobu Saito⁷ · Masahiro Endo⁸ · Yutaka Fujiwara⁹ · Hirotsugu Kenmotsu¹⁰ · Masafumi Sata¹¹ · Toshimi Takano¹² · Ken Kato¹³ · Koji Hirata¹⁴ · Tomomi Katagiri¹⁴ · Hanako Saito¹⁴ · Kazuyoshi Kuwano¹⁵

Received: 29 May 2023 / Accepted: 6 September 2023 / Published online: 3 October 2023 © The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2023

Abstract

Background Interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis (ILD/pneumonitis) has been identified as a drug-related adverse event of special interest of trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd), but there were a few reports of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis in clinical practice.

Methods Between May 25, 2020 (the launch of T-DXd in Japan) and February 24, 2022, there were 287 physician-reported potential ILD/pneumonitis cases from the Japanese post-marketing all-case surveillance. By February 27, 2022, an independent adjudication committee assessed 138 cases and adjudicated 130 cases as T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis. The clinical features and imaging characteristics of these cases were evaluated.

Results The majority of adjudicated T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases were grade 1 or 2 (100/130, 76.9%). The most common radiological pattern types observed were organizing pneumonia patterns (63.1%), hypersensitivity pneumonitis patterns (16.9%), and diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) patterns (14.6%). Eleven cases (8.5%) from 130 resulted in death; the majority of these (8/11, 72.7%) had DAD patterns. The overall proportion of recovery (including the outcomes of recovered, recovered with sequelae, and recovering) was 76.9%, and the median time to recovery was 83.5 days (interquartile range: 42.25–143.75 days). Most cases (59/71, 83.1%) that were treated with corticosteroids were considered responsive to treatment.

Conclusions This is the first report to evaluate T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases in clinical practice. Our findings are consistent with previous reports and suggest that patients with DAD patterns have poor outcomes. Evaluation of a larger real-world dataset may further identify predictors of clinical outcome.

Keywords Adverse event \cdot Interstitial lung disease \cdot Drug-related pneumonitis \cdot Trastuzumab deruxtecan \cdot Post-marketing \cdot Computed tomography

Introduction

Trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd), also known as DS-8201a, is an antibody–drug conjugate that comprises an anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) monoclonal antibody linked by a tetrapeptide-based cleavable linker to a novel cytotoxic topoisomerase I inhibitor payload [1, 2]. T-DXd has shown potent anti-tumor efficacy for the treatment of HER2-expressing or mutant cancers [3–9]. A potentially life-threatening adverse event (AE) associated with T-DXd is interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis (ILD/ pneumonitis), and a pooled analysis of nine T-DXd studies

reported that ILD/pneumonitis occurred in 15.4% of patients treated with T-DXd [10]. Although most ILD/pneumonitis cases were mild (grade 1 or 2), ILD/pneumonitis resulted in death in 2.2% of patients. Additionally, the risk of drug-related ILD/pneumonitis for Japanese patients treated with T-DXd was significantly higher than for patients from other countries (hazard ratio: 2.08, 95% confidence interval: 1.45–2.98) [10]. However, the number of patients enrolled in clinical trials is limited and may not reflect the diverse range of patient profiles found in clinical practice. Therefore, there was consensus that a larger real-world study could provide additional information.

As part of the approval conditions of T-DXd, surveillance of all T-DXd-treated patients in Japan was conducted.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

An independent ILD adjudication committee (ILD-AC) was established and assessed all physician-reported ILD/ pneumonitis cases. In this report, we evaluated the clinical features and imaging characteristics of ILD/pneumonitis reported from this Japanese nationwide post-marketing surveillance program of T-DXd.

Patients and methods

Study design

Physician-reported potential ILD/pneumonitis cases during or after T-DXd treatment for HER2-positive metastatic breast or gastric cancer were identified from the Japanese nationwide post-marketing all-case surveillance conducted from May 25, 2020, when T-DXd was launched, until February 24, 2022.

The surveillance was conducted in accordance with the Good Post-Marketing Study Practice regulations. Written informed consent from patients and institutional review board approval were not required for the surveillance. The breast cancer survey and the gastric cancer survey were registered at the Japan Registry of Clinical Trials (https://jrct.niph.go.jp/) under the identifiers jRCT1080225197 and jRCT2001200001, respectively.

Patients

All patients who were treated with T-DXd in clinical practice after the launch of this drug were enrolled in this

Table 1 Definitions of ILD/pneumonitis outcomes

surveillance. All potential ILD/pneumonitis cases occurring during or after T-DXd treatment were reported by physicians.

Assessment of ILD/pneumonitis

The ILD-AC was established in May 2020 to independently, consistently, and retrospectively assess all physicianreported ILD/pneumonitis cases from the post-marketing clinical use of T-DXd. The committee consisted of four radiologists, eight pulmonologists, a breast cancer expert, and a gastric cancer expert. A radiologist and a pulmonologist each independently evaluated the radiographs for each potential ILD/pneumonitis case when the documentation submitted by the physicians was considered sufficient for adjudication. A pulmonologist independently evaluated the clinical data, including symptoms, clinical course, and any available relevant test results. After independent evaluation by the radiologists and pulmonologists, the committee assessed these evaluations and reviewed chest computed tomography (CT)/X-ray images and the clinical data to determine whether the event was T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis based on member consensus.

If the case was adjudicated as T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis, the following items were evaluated: date of ILD/ pneumonitis onset; common terminology criteria for AE (CTCAE) grades at the time of ILD/pneumonitis onset and the most severe grade reached; the outcome of ILD/ pneumonitis (Table 1); responsiveness to corticosteroids (if the patient had received them); and whether ILD/pneumonitis led to patient death if the patient died. To ensure

Category	Definition
Recovered or resolved	The patient meets both of the following criteria:1. Symptoms have fully resolved2. New abnormal shadows on chest CT have fully disappeared or only slight shadows are present that are considered not to affect respiratory function. If only chest X-ray images are available, then abnormal shadows have fully disappeared, or only slight shadows are present that are considered not to affect respiratory function
Recovering or resolving	The patient meets both of the following criteria:1. Symptoms have fully resolved or have improved2. New abnormal shadows on chest CT have improved; shadows (not considered scar-like lesions) are still present. If only chest X-ray images are available, then abnormal shadows have improved
Recovered or resolved with sequelae	 The patient meets either of the following criteria: 1. Symptoms have improved but no further improvement is expected; the patient has respiratory difficulties that impact their daily living (e.g., requiring chronic oxygen therapy) 2. New abnormal shadows on chest CT have improved but no further improvement is expected; scar-like lesions which are considered to impact respiratory function are present. If only chest X-ray images are available, then abnormal shadows have improved but no further improvement is expected, and abnormal shadows that are considered to affect respiratory function are present
Not recovered or not resolved	The patient has no symptom improvement or improvement on chest CT or chest X-ray images
Fatal	Death caused by ILD/pneumonitis

CT computed tomography, ILD/pneumonitis interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis

that the outcomes of ILD/pneumonitis events reported in a post-marketing setting were evaluated consistently, the definition of ILD/pneumonitis event outcomes was discussed and agreed by the ILD-AC and the study sponsor (Table 1). Response to corticosteroids was determined by the ILD-AC pulmonologists by assessment of the clinical course and the radiological images.

Assessment of the ILD/pneumonitis imaging pattern for each case was performed by two or more radiologists retrospectively evaluating chest CT images from adjudicated ILD/pneumonitis cases using a similar process as used in T-DXd clinical trials. One radiologist pre-read CT images and selected a pattern; then two or more radiologists read CT images in a consensus read and agree on a pattern. Images were classified as per the following categories: diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) pattern, organizing pneumonia (OP) pattern, hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) pattern, non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) pattern, and others [11]. The CT images were evaluated at the onset of ILD/pneumonitis and after onset to evaluate any changes in pattern type over time. Data were summarized by number of patients, percentage, median, and range or interquartile range (IQR).

Results

Patient disposition and characteristics

The patient flow is shown in Fig. 1. Between May 25, 2020 and February 24, 2022, approximately 3000 patients (breast cancer: n = 1830, gastric cancer: n = 1170) began treatment with T-DXd: 287 of these cases were reported by their physician as potential T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis. A total of 138 cases were assessed by the ILD-AC as of February 27, 2022. Among these, 7/138 cases were adjudicated as either not ILD/pneumonitis or ILD/pneumonitis that was not related to T-DXd treatment. One T-DXd-related ILD/ pneumonitis case was excluded from this report as the ILD/ pneumonitis occurred while the patient was participating in a T-DXd clinical trial prior to marketing approval. A total of 130 cases adjudicated as T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis (101 patients with breast cancer and 29 patients with gastric cancer) were evaluated in this report. For 24.6% of cases (32/130), the ILD-AC considered the time of onset to be earlier than the physicians' notation (median difference: 29.5 days [range: 1–276 days]).

The characteristics of patients with T-DXd-related ILD/ pneumonitis and their CT findings prior to initiating T-DXd



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of ILD/pneumonitis cases. Approximately 3000 patients (1830 patients with breast cancer and 1170 patients with gastric cancer) were estimated to have initiated T-DXd treatment in Japan between May 25, 2020 and February 24, 2022. ^aReported terms coded by preferred terms (PTs) using MedDRA/J version 25.0: pneumonitis, interstitial lung disease, organizing pneumonia, radiation

pneumonitis, and lung disorder. *ARDS* acute respiratory distress syndrome, *BC* breast cancer, *DIC* disseminated intravascular coagulation, *ILD/pneumonitis* interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, *GC* gastric cancer, *PPFE* pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan treatment, as reviewed by the ILD-AC radiologists, are summarized in Table 2. The median age of patients was 64.5 years (patients with breast cancer: 62 years, patients with gastric cancer: 72 years). A higher percentage of patients with gastric cancer had a history of smoking than those with breast cancer (72.4% vs 15.8%). All patients had received at least two prior chemotherapy regimens. Eighteen patients with gastric cancer had received immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy prior to T-DXd treatment. Approximately half (49/101, 48.5%) of patients with breast cancer had a history of thoracic radiotherapy. As per the evaluation by the ILD-AC radiologists, 18 (13.8%) patients (10 with breast cancer and 8 with gastric cancer) had pre-existing interstitial pneumonia, including any interstitial shadow in lung CT scan (e.g., chronic fibrotic interstitial pneumonia) or interstitial lung abnormalities (not including gravity-dependent opacity [12]) at baseline. While no patients with gastric cancer had radiation pneumonitis or radiation pulmonary

Table 2 Patient characteristics

fibrosis prior to T-DXd treatment, 32 (31.7%) patients with breast cancer had these pre-existing conditions.

Imaging pattern and severity of ILD/pneumonitis

The imaging patterns of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases were categorized and tabulated by the most severe grade reached (Table 3). Given the small number of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis in patients with gastric cancer in this report, the imaging patterns of T-DXdrelated ILD/pneumonitis cases were tabulated by using all patients with T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases. The most common pattern types observed were OP (63.1%), HP (16.9%), and DAD patterns (14.6%). NSIP patterns were observed in 3.1% of cases, and the remaining 2.3% of cases had other patterns (such as radiation recall, non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema pattern, and extremely slight shadows). The majority (100/130, 76.9%)

Characteristic	Gastric cancer $(n=29)$	Breast cancer $(n=101)$	Total ($N = 130$)
Age, years			
Median (range)	72 (48-86)	62 (37-82)	64.5 (37-86)
Age group			
\geq 65 years	24 (82.8)	41 (40.6)	65 (50.0)
\geq 75 years	8 (27.6)	8 (7.9)	16 (12.3)
Sex			
Female	3 (10.3)	98 (97.0)	101 (77.7)
Smoking history			
Yes ^a	21 (72.4)	16 (15.8)	37 (28.5)
No	7 (24.1)	73 (72.3)	80 (61.5)
Unknown	1 (3.4)	12 (11.9)	13 (10.0)
Number of prior chemotherapy regimens ^b			
Median (range)	3 (2–7)	5.5 (2-22)	5 (2-22)
History of prior immune check point inhibitor			
Yes	18 (62.1)	0 (0.0)	18 (13.8)
History of thoracic radiotherapy			
Yes	2 (6.9)	49 (48.5)	51 (39.2)
CT findings prior to T-DXd treatment ^c			
Pre-existing IP ^d	8 (27.6)	10 (9.9)	18 (13.8)
Radiation pneumonitis or radiation pulmonary fibrosis	0 (0.0)	32 (31.7)	32 (24.6)
Lymphangitic carcinomatosis	1 (3.4)	6 (5.9)	7 (5.4)
Pleural effusion	3 (10.3)	21 (20.8)	24 (18.4)
Multiple lung metastases	6 (20.7)	45 (44.6)	51 (39.2)

Data are shown as n (%) unless otherwise stated

CT computed tomography, ILD interstitial lung disease, IP interstitial pneumonia, T-DXd trastuzumab deruxtecan

^aBoth past smokers and current smokers

^bExcluding one patient who had received an unknown number of prior chemotherapy regimens

^cBased on the evaluation by the ILD adjudication committee members (radiologists)

^dAny interstitial shadow on the lung CT scan including chronic fibrotic interstitial pneumonia, or interstitial lung abnormalities; not including gravity-dependent opacity

 Table 3 Imaging pattern by the worst grade of ILD/pneumonitis

Imaging pattern	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Total
Total	55 (42.3)	45 (34.6)	17 (13.1)	2 (1.5)	11 (8.5)	130 (100.0)
OP	43 (78.2)	28 (62.2)	7 (41.2)	1 (50.0)	3 (27.3) ^a	82 (63.1)
HP	9 (16.4)	12 (26.7)	1 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	22 (16.9)
DAD	0 (0.0)	1 (2.2)	9 (52.9)	1 (50.0)	8 (72.7)	19 (14.6)
NSIP	2 (3.6)	2 (4.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (3.1)
Other ^b	1 (1.8)	2 (4.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.3)

Data are shown as n (%)

DAD diffuse alveolar damage, HP hypersensitivity pneumonitis, ILD/pneumonitis interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, NSIP non-specific interstitial pneumonia, OP organizing pneumonia

^aIncluding ILD/pneumonitis and other diseases such as massive pleural effusion due to progression of disease or concurrent *Pneumocystis* pneumonia

^bIncluding radiation recall, non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema pattern, and cases where a definitive diagnosis of ILD/pneumonitis could not be made because of very slight shadows

of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases were not severe, while 30 (23.1%) cases had a severity grade of 3 or higher. There were 11 cases (8.5%) for which the outcome was death, of which eight (72.7%) had DAD patterns and three (27.3%) had OP patterns. Representative CT images of cases with a DAD pattern, an OP pattern, an HP pattern, and an NSIP pattern are shown in Fig. 2. There were four cases where the imaging pattern changed over time: indeterminant faint ground glass opacities changed to an OP pattern (n=2), an originally OP pattern changed to a DAD pattern (n = 1), and an HP pattern changed to an OP pattern (n = 1).

ILD/pneumonitis event outcomes and response to corticosteroids

Table 1 shows the definition of ILD/pneumonitis event outcomes. The overall ILD/pneumonitis outcomes are shown in Fig. 3. The median time from T-DXd administration to ILD/pneumonitis outcome was 183.5 days (IQR:

(a)	(b)	CT findings		
		DAD pattern A female patient in her 70s with unresectable breast cancer. Pulmonary metastases were visible at the right upper lobe (a). Widespread ground glass opacities with consolidation, subtle reticular shadows, and traction bronchiectasis were visible in the whole lung on the CT scan 6 days after onset (b).		
		OP pattern A female patient in her 40s with unresectable breast cancer. No abnormal findings in the lung at baseline CT scan (a). Patchy ground glass opacities/consolidation were visible in the peripheral zone of the upper lobe of the bilateral lung (b).		
		HP pattern A female patient in her 50s with unresectable breast cancer. No abnormal findings in the lung at baseline CT scan (a). Widespread and inhomogeneous ground glass opacities without traction bronchiectasis were visible in the CT scan at onset (b).		
		NSIP pattern A female patient in her 60s with unresectable breast cancer. No abnormal findings in the lung at baseline CT scan (a). Ground glass opacities with traction bronchiectasis in bilateral peripheral area were visible in a basal predominant distribution on the CT scan 84 days after onset. (b).		

Fig. 2 Representative images of DAD, OP, HP, and NSIP patterns of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis. *CT* computed tomography, *DAD* diffused alveolar damage, *HP* hypersensitivity pneumonitis, *ILD/*

pneumonitis interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, *NSIP* non-specific interstitial pneumonia, *OP* organizing pneumonia, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan



Fig.3 The overall proportion of ILD/pneumonitis outcomes. The proportion of recovery is the percentage of patients with the outcomes recovered/resolved, recovered/resolved with sequelae, or

recovering/resolving. *ILD/pneumonitis* interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, *IQR* interquartile range, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan

114.5–276.25 days). The proportion of recovery was 76.9% at the time of data cutoff on February 27, 2022, which included the outcomes of recovered (49/130, 37.7%), recovered with sequelae (14/130, 10.8%), and recovering (37/130, 28.5%). The median time to recovery (including all recovered, recovered with sequelae, and recovering outcomes) was 83.5 days (IQR: 42.25-143.75 days). The ILD/ pneumonitis outcomes stratified by the most severe CTCAE grade are shown in Fig. 4a. The proportions of recovery for grade 1, 2, 3, and 4 events were 83.6%, 82.2%, 88.2%, and 100%, respectively. Eight of the 11 fatal cases (72.7%) had DAD patterns (Online Resource 1). When stratified by imaging pattern, worse outcomes occurred in cases with DAD patterns (Fig. 4b): 8/19 (42.1%) of cases with DAD patterns had a fatal outcome. Cases with OP patterns and HP patterns had higher proportions of recovery (67/82, 81.7% and 19/22, 86.4%, respectively).

The ILD/pneumonitis cases treated with corticosteroids, stratified by severity grade, are shown in Table 4. The majority of ILD/pneumonitis cases treated with corticosteroids were considered responsive to treatment (59/71, 83.1%), including 100% of grade 2, 3, and 4 cases. The clinical course, including corticosteroid use, of the 11 fatal cases

is shown in Fig. 5. Symptoms of ILD/pneumonitis deteriorated in cases 1–5, and these patients died despite immediate high-dose corticosteroid therapy after ILD/pneumonitis onset. Among the fatal cases, the clinical course for some cases (n=3) indicated that high-dose corticosteroids were not initiated immediately, despite grade 3 severity ILD/pneumonitis at the time of onset.

Discussion

T-DXd is becoming more broadly used for HER2-expressing or mutant cancers worldwide. As more patients use T-DXd in the future, the management of potential ILD/pneumonitis in T-DXd-treated patients is of prime importance. During the post-marketing period between May 25, 2020 and February 24, 2022, approximately 3000 patients received T-DXd; 287 of these patients (breast cancer: n = 207, gastric cancer: n = 80) were reported by their physician as potential ILD/pneumonitis cases, and 130 cases were evaluated as T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis (breast cancer: n = 101, gastric cancer: n = 29). The majority of cases adjudicated as T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis were grade 1 or 2, and



Fig. 4 The proportion of ILD/pneumonitis outcomes by **a** worst Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events grade and **b** imaging pattern. The proportion of recovery is the percentage of patients with the outcomes recovered/resolved, recovered/resolved with sequelae, or recovering/resolving. In panel a, among the nine cases of grade 1 ILD/pneumonitis who did not recover, four cases were lost to follow-up. The eight cases of grade 2 ILD/pneumonitis with no recovery remained in follow-up, and the two cases of grade 3 ILD/pneumonitis who had not recovered were lost to follow-up. In panel b, the

23.1% of cases were grade 3 or higher. The clinical outcomes varied by imaging pattern, suggesting that cases with DAD patterns have a poor prognosis and those with OP and two cases with a DAD pattern and who had not recovered were lost to follow-up. Among the 12 cases with an OP pattern and who had not recovered, four were lost to follow-up. Those who had not recovered and had an HP pattern or an NSIP pattern remain in follow-up. *DAD* diffused alveolar damage, *HP* hypersensitivity pneumonitis, *ILD/* pneumonitis interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, *IQR* interquartile range, *NSIP* non-specific interstitial pneumonia, *OP* organizing pneumonia, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan

HP patterns have favorable outcomes [11]. In addition, most ILD/pneumonitis cases that were treated with corticosteroids were considered responsive to treatment.

		Carala 2				
Outcomes	Grade I	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Total
Total	55	45	17	2	11	130
ILD/pneumonitis cases receiving corticosteroids	12	34	17	2	11	76
Corticosteroid responsiveness ^a						
Evaluated	9	33	16	2	11	71
Yes	8 (88.9)	33 (100.0)	16 (100.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	59 (83.1)
No	1 ^b (11.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (100.0)	12 (16.9)
Corticosteroid responsiveness not evaluable ^c	3	1	1	0	0	5

Table 4 Corticosteroid responsiveness by the worst grade of ILD/pneumonitis

Data are shown as n (%)

ILD/pneumonitis interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis

^aCorticosteroid responsiveness was judged by pulmonologists from the ILD adjudication committee based on the patient's clinical course, radiological images, and other relevant data for adjudication

^bAlthough corticosteroid treatment was initiated 6 days after the onset of ILD/pneumonitis, the patient died 38 days after the onset of ILD/pneumonitis because of disease progression

^cThe reasons for not evaluating the corticosteroid responsiveness included a lack of images/clinical data for follow-up after onset of ILD/pneumonitis, the patient died during tapering, the patient received corticosteroids for other reasons (e.g., brain edema)



: periods without corticosteroid therapy: periods of high-dose intravenous corticosteroid therapy: periods of lower-dose corticosteroid therapy: periods of oxygen therapy.

Fig. 5 Clinical course of grade 5 ILD/pneumonitis cases. Black triangles indicate the time of onset for adjudicated ILD/pneumonitis cases. Black boxes indicate times of death. White bars indicate periods without corticosteroid therapy. Dark gray bars indicate periods of high-dose intravenous corticosteroid therapy. Light gray bars indicate periods of oxygen therapy. The adjudicated severity grade of ILD at onset is shown below the time of onset for adjudicated cases (black triangle). The daily corticosteroid doses (milligrams) are shown after the abbreviations of corticosteroids inside of dark gray and light gray bars. ^aThe detailed periods of high-dose intravenous corticosteroid therapy of Case #3 were not provided. ^bThe initial grade 2 ILD/

pneumonitis of Case #10 was improved after conventional corticosteroid therapy. However, the breathing symptoms deteriorated on Day 88, the patient died on Day 96 despite receiving high-dose corticosteroid therapy. The ILD adjudication committee determined that the cause of breathing difficulties and death was because of both worsening of ILD and *Pneumocystis* pneumonia. *CPA* cyclophosphamide, *DAD* diffused alveolar damage, *G* Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events grade, *HOT* home oxygen therapy, *ILD/pneumonitis* interstitial lung disease or pneumonitis, *mPSL* methyl prednisolone, O_2 oxygen therapy, *OP* organizing pneumonia, *PSL* prednisolone, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan

Although the pooled analysis of nine clinical trials reported the frequency of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis to be 15.4% in patients treated with T-DXd [10], the currently available surveillance data suggest that the overall incidence of adjudicated T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis may be lower than the incidence previously reported. However, many patients enrolled in this surveillance were still within the observation period, meaning that not all potential ILD/pneumonitis cases have yet been identified or adjudicated; moreover, the outcomes of some ILD/pneumonitis cases were still being followed up at the data cutoff (February 27, 2022). Further investigation is needed to evaluate the overall incidence of adjudicated T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis in the post-marketing setting. Moreover, the lower rates of ILD/pneumonitis in patients with gastric cancer may also reflect the 6-month gap between approval of T-DXd for breast cancer and for gastric cancer in Japan. Importantly, we observed no noticeable increase in the incidence of ILD/ pneumonitis compared with the incidence reported by the previous pooled analysis [10].

Many of the patients with gastric cancer and T-DXdrelated ILD/pneumonitis had received prior treatment with ICIs (18/29, 62.1%). These patients did not have an earlier onset of ILD/pneumonitis or worse outcomes compared with those who had not received ICIs. The previous pooled analysis found no connection between prior treatment with ICIs and the frequency of ILD/pneumonitis [10]. Furthermore, this report was not designed to evaluate risk factors for ILD/ pneumonitis. Therefore, it is currently unknown what effect this factor may have on ILD/pneumonitis risk.

Among the 130 adjudicated T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases that were evaluated, most cases (76.9%) had a low severity grade (1 or 2), which is similar to the previous pooled analysis [10]. We observed a spectrum of patterns including OP, HP, and DAD patterns. A similarly wide range of patterns was reported in earlier clinical trials [13] and studies of other anti-cancer drugs [11, 14]. No imaging pattern specific to T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis was observed in this report. We observed that the majority (8/11,72.7%) of fatal ILD/pneumonitis cases had DAD patterns; this is consistent with previous reports of other anti-cancer drugs [11, 15–19]. ILD/pneumonitis cases with DAD patterns often respond poorly to corticosteroid treatment [11], and some of the patients with DAD patterns in this report died even after receiving high-dose corticosteroid treatment immediately after diagnosis. However, in the absence of other effective treatment options, high-dose corticosteroid therapy should be initiated as soon as possible. Careful monitoring, prompt diagnosis, and immediate treatment are important for ILD management [20] and may potentially help prevent poor outcomes of patients with DAD patterns.

In the early phase of clinical trials of T-DXd, ILD/pneumonitis was identified as an important risk; the guidance for managing T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis was created with reference to established guidance for ILD/pneumonitis induced by other anti-cancer drugs and was used during the T-DXd clinical trials [1, 3–9, 21–24]. However, many of the non-serious ILD/pneumonitis cases did not have a reported outcome by the end of the study and the response of these cases to corticosteroid therapy was not evaluated [13]. Thus, the reversibility of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis and its responsiveness to corticosteroid therapy is not clearly understood. A statement has since been added to the protocol, clarifying that all ILD/pneumonitis events, regardless of severity or seriousness, must be followed until resolution, including after drug discontinuation. Furthermore, evaluation of the AE outcomes in the T-DXd clinical trials was at the investigator's discretion. Therefore, in the post-marketing setting, the ILD-AC and the study sponsor established definitions of T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis outcomes so that the committee could evaluate outcomes consistently. Cases were followed until the case was considered either recovered or recovered with sequelae, or for up to 12 months after the onset of ILD/pneumonitis. For the cases whose outcome was recovering (n=37) or not recovered (n=19), the final outcome will be followed up, and a better outcome can be expected for some cases.

The approved labels for T-DXd include warnings regarding ILD/pneumonitis and guidance for its management, suggesting the use of corticosteroids [25–27]. To our knowledge, there has been no published report regarding the effectiveness of corticosteroids for treating T-DXdrelated ILD/pneumonitis. A non-clinical report suggests T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis is caused by lung inflammation [28]. Therefore, treatment of ILD/pneumonitis involves suppression of inflammation and prevention of irreversible fibrosis [29]. The timing of corticosteroids influences their effectiveness; treatment is considered to be most effective when administered soon after onset, during the inflammatory phase of the condition [30]. High-dose corticosteroid treatment has a strong anti-inflammatory effect that is induced by non-genomic mechanisms [31], and is recommended for severe drug-induced ILD/pneumonitis [11, 20]. In this report, most (83.1%) of the cases evaluated for response to corticosteroids were considered responsive. However, in 5 of 11 fatal cases, patients rapidly deteriorated and died despite immediate administration of high-dose corticosteroids. Rapid deterioration can be difficult to identify in cases with faint shadows on imaging scans, but immediate treatment with high-dose corticosteroids is recommended if bilateral diffuse opacities, dyspnea, or a significant decrease in oxygen saturation from baseline occurs [20]. Other studies of fatal ILD/ pneumonitis cases have shown the importance of following the current ILD/pneumonitis guidance [20, 32-34]. Our findings show that T-DXd-induced ILD/pneumonitis can progress rapidly and reiterate the importance of careful monitoring of patients receiving T-DXd to provide prompt treatment of severe (grades 3 and above) ILD/pneumonitis cases.

In Japan, rechallenge with T-DXd was not permitted for patients who experienced any grades of ILD/pneumonitis during the period of this surveillance; therefore, the safety of rechallenge with T-DXd treatment was not evaluated in this report. However, as of November 2022, T-DXd rechallenge after complete resolution of grade 1 ILD/pneumonitis was allowed. The safety of resuming treatment with T-DXd in these patients will need to be assessed in the future.

We acknowledge the limitations of this report: this was an ad hoc exploratory analysis. Corticosteroid use (such as duration and route of administration) may be inconsistently recorded on clinical report forms. Future studies on the association between corticosteroid therapy and T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis outcomes are needed. Additionally, the evaluated data and cases with an earlier onset of ILD/pneumonitis were considered to have a bias toward serious ILD/ pneumonitis cases for two reasons: 1) serious ILD/pneumonitis cases were prioritized for sending to the ILD-AC, and 2) cases that had an earlier onset of ILD/pneumonitis were ready for adjudication before those with late onset. Therefore, our findings may not be representative of all T-DXdrelated ILD/pneumonitis cases in Japan and should be carefully interpreted. The incidence of T-DXd-related ILD/ pneumonitis cases by severity grade and the time to ILD/ pneumonitis onset will be summarized and reported when the adjudication of all potential ILD/pneumonitis cases is completed.

In conclusion, this report is the first to evaluate the adjudicated T-DXd-related ILD/pneumonitis cases in a Japanese post-marketing environment. The relationship between imaging pattern type and ILD/pneumonitis severity was consistent with previous reports, suggesting that cases with DAD patterns have poor outcomes. Evaluating a larger real-world dataset may further identify predictors of clinical outcome.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10147-023-02414-x.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the patients and their families who were involved in this post-marketing survey, and the physicians who cooperated with the survey and data collection. Data analysis support was provided by Micron, Inc., and editorial support was provided by Hannah Read, PhD, of Edanz (http://www.edanz.com), which was funded by Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., in accordance with Good Publication Practice 2022 guidelines (https://www.ismppp.org/gpp-2022).

Author contributions All the authors had access to the complete data, significantly contributed to development of the manuscript and had final responsibility for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Funding This post-marketing all-case surveillance was funded by Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.

Data availability The data supporting the findings in this study are available within the paper, in the corresponding Online Resource, or upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Tomohisa Baba received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work. Masahiko Kusumoto received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work; lecture fess from AstraZeneca K.K., outside the submitted work; and a research grant from Canon Medical Systems Corp., outside the submitted work. Terufumi Kato received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work; lecture fees from AstraZeneca K.K., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., and Merck Biopharma, outside the submitted work; and research funds from AbbVie G.K., Amgen Inc., AstraZeneca K.K., BeiGene Ltd., BluePrint, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Haihe, Merck KgaA, MSD K.K., Novartis Pharma K.K., Pfizer Japan Inc., Regeneron, Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and TurningPoint, outside the submitted work. Yasuyuki Kurihara, Shinichi Sasaki, Katsunori Oikado, Yoshinobu Saito, Masahiro Endo, and Kazuvoshi Kuwano received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work. Yutaka Fujiwara received advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work; lecture fees from AstraZeneca K.K., and advisor fees from Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., outside the submitted work; and clinical trial funds from Amgen Inc., AnHeart Therapeutics Inc., and Bristol-Myers Squibb K.K., outside the submitted work. Hirotsugu Kenmotsu received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work; lecture fees from Amgen Inc., AstraZeneca K.K., Bayer Yakuhin, Ltd., Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Bristol-Myers Squibb K.K., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Kyowa Kirin Co., Ltd., Merk Ltd., MSD K.K., Novartis Pharma K.K., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Pfizer Japan Inc., Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., outside the submitted work; research grants from Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Novartis Pharma K.K., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., AstraZeneca K.K., and Loxo Oncology, Inc., outside the submitted work. Masafumi Sata received advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work, and research funds from Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., outside the submitted work. Toshimi Takano received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work, and lecture fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and Eli Lilly Japan K.K., outside the submitted work. Ken Kato received lecture and advisor fees from Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., related to the submitted work; lecture fees from Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Bristol-Myers Squibb K.K., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Merck & Co., Inc., outside the submitted work; and research funds from Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Bristol-Myers Squibb K.K., AstraZeneca K.K., Bayer Yakuhin, Ltd., Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., Merck Biopharma Co., Ltd., and Merck & Co., Inc., outside the submitted work. Koji Hirata, Tomomi Katagiri, and Hanako Saito are employees and stock owner of Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.

Research ethics Although not mandatory, the post-marketing all-case surveillance protocol was approved by the institutional review board or ethics committee at the participating sites per each site's requirements. Written informed consent from patients, although not mandatory, was obtained. This post-marketing all-case surveillance was registered under the identifiers jRCT1080225197 (breast cancer survey) and jRCT2001200001 (gastric cancer survey).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Doi T, Shitara K, Naito Y et al (2017) Safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumour activity of trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201), a HER2-targeting antibody-drug conjugate, in patients with advanced breast and gastric or gastro-oesophageal tumours: a phase 1 dose-escalation study. Lancet Oncol 18:1512–1522
- Ogitani Y, Aida T, Hagihara K et al (2016) DS-8201a, a novel HER2-targeting ADC with a novel DNA topoisomerase I inhibitor, demonstrates a promising antitumor efficacy with differentiation from T-DM1. Clin Cancer Res 22:5097–5108
- Modi S, Saura C, Yamashita T et al (2020) Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 382:610–621
- Shitara K, Bang YJ, Iwasa S et al (2020) Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-positive gastric cancer. N Engl J Med 382:2419–2430
- Cortés J, Kim SB, Chung WP et al (2022) Trastuzumab deruxtecan versus trastuzumab emtansine for breast cancer. N Engl J Med 386:1143–1154
- Hurvitz SA, Hegg R, Chung WP et al (2023) Trastuzumab deruxtecan versus trastuzumab emtansine in patients with HER2positive metastatic breast cancer: updated results from DES-TINY-Breast03, a andomized, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet 401:105–117
- Li BT, Smit EF, Goto Y et al (2022) Trastuzumab deruxtecan in HER2-mutant non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 386:241–251
- Modi S, Jacot W, Yamashita T et al (2022) Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-low advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 387:9–20
- André F, Hee Park Y, Kim SB et al (2023) Trastuzumab deruxtecan versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (DESTINY-Breast02): a andomized, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet S0140–6736(23):00725
- Powell CA, Modi S, Iwata H et al (2022) Pooled analysis of drug-related interstitial lung disease and/or pneumonitis in nine trastuzumab deruxtecan monotherapy studies. ESMO Open 7:100554
- Kubo K, Azuma A, Kanazawa M et al (2013) Consensus statement for the diagnosis and treatment of drug-induced lung injuries. Respir Investig 51:260–277
- Hatabu H, Hunninghake GM, Richeldi L et al (2020) Interstitial lung abnormalities detected incidentally on CT: a position paper from the Fleischner Society. Lancet Respir Med 8:726–737
- Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. Review reports: drugs (trastuzumab deruxtecan). https://www.pmda.go.jp/files/ 000238706.pdf. Accessed May 2023
- 14. Johkoh T, Lee KS, Nishino M et al (2021) Chest CT diagnosis and clinical management of drug-related pneumonitis in patients

receiving molecular targeting agents and immune checkpoint inhibitors: a position paper from the Fleischner Society. Radiology 298:550–566

- 15. Baba T, Sakai F, Kato T et al (2019) Radiologic features of pneumonitis associated with nivolumab in non-small-cell lung cancer and malignant melanoma. Future Oncol 15:1911–1920
- 16. Saito Y, Sasaki S, Oikado K et al (2021) Radiographic features and poor prognostic factors of interstitial lung disease with nivolumab for non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Sci 112:1495–1505
- 17. Sata M, Sasaki S, Oikado K et al (2021) Treatment and relapse of interstitial lung disease in nivolumab-treated patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Sci 112:1506–1513
- Gemma A, Kusumoto M, Kurihara Y et al (2019) Interstitial lung disease onset and its risk factors in Japanese patients with ALK-positive NSCLC after treatment with crizotinib. J Thorac Oncol 14:672–682
- Gemma A, Kusumoto M, Sakai F et al (2020) Real-world evaluation of factors for interstitial lung disease incidence and radiologic characteristics in patients with EGFR T790M-positive NSCLC treated with osimertinib in Japan. J Thorac Oncol 15:1893–1906
- Swain SM, Nishino M, Lancaster LH et al (2022) Multidisciplinary clinical guidance on trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd)related interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis—focus on proactive monitoring, diagnosis, and management. Cancer Treat Rev 106:102378
- 21. Tamura K, Tsurutani J, Takahashi S et al (2019) Trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201a) in patients with advanced HER2-positive breast cancer previously treated with trastuzumab emtansine: a dose-expansion, phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol 20:816–826
- Shitara K, Iwata H, Takahashi S et al (2019) Trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201a) in patients with advanced HER2-positive gastric cancer: a dose-expansion, phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol 20:827–836
- 23. Tsurutani J, Iwata H, Krop I et al (2020) Targeting HER2 with trastuzumab deruxtecan: a dose-expansion, phase I study in multiple advanced solid tumors. Cancer Discov 10:688–701
- Modi S, Park H, Murthy RK et al (2020) Antitumor activity and safety of trastuzumab deruxtecan in patients with HER2low-expressing advanced breast cancer: results from a phase Ib study. J Clin Oncol 38:1887–1896
- Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd (2022) Trastuzumab deruxtecan (Enhertu) for intravenous drip infusion [Japanese package insert]. Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan. https://www. data-index.co.jp/dragdata/pdf/4/430574_4291452D1029_1_06. pdf. Accessed May 2023 (in Japanese)
- 26. Daiichi Sankyo, Inc (2022) Trastuzumab deruxtecan (Enhertu [fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxki]) for injection, for intravenous use [US prescribing information]. https://daiichisankyo.us/ prescribing-information-portlet/getPIContent?productName= Enhertu&inline=true. Accessed May 2023
- Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH (2021) Trastuzumab deruxtecan (Enhertu) powder for concentrate for solution for infusion [EU summary of product characteristics]. https://www.ema.europa. eu/en/documents/product-information/enhertu-epar-productinformation_en.pdf. Accessed May 2023
- Kumagai K, Aida T, Tsuchiya Y et al (2020) Interstitial pneumonitis related to trastuzumab deruxtecan, a human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-targeting Ab-drug conjugate, in monkeys. Cancer Sci 111:4636–4645
- 29. Schwaiblmair M, Behr W, Haeckel T et al (2012) Drug induced interstitial lung disease. Open Respir Med J 6:63–74
- Skeoch S, Weatherley N, Swift AJ et al (2018) Drug-induced interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. J Clin Med 7:356

- Panettieri RA, Schaafsma D, Amrani Y et al (2019) Nongenomic effects of glucocorticoids: an updated view. Trends Pharmacol Sci 40:38–49
- Tarantino P, Modi S, Tolaney SM et al (2021) Interstitial lung disease induced by anti-ERBB2 antibody-drug conjugates: a review. JAMA Oncol 7:1873–1881
- 33. Bardia A, Harnden K, Mauro L et al (2022) Clinical practices and institutional protocols on prophylaxis, monitoring, and management of selected adverse events associated with trastuzumab deruxtecan. Oncologist 27:637–645

Authors and Affiliations

 Rugo HS, Bianchini G, Cortes J et al (2022) Optimizing treatment management of trastuzumab deruxtecan in clinical practice of breast cancer. ESMO Open 7:100553

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

- Tomohisa Baba¹ · Masahiko Kusumoto² · Terufumi Kato³ · Yasuyuki Kurihara⁴ · Shinichi Sasaki⁵ · Katsunori Oikado⁶ · Yoshinobu Saito⁷ · Masahiro Endo⁸ · Yutaka Fujiwara⁹ · Hirotsugu Kenmotsu¹⁰ · Masafumi Sata¹¹ · Toshimi Takano¹² · Ken Kato¹³ · Koji Hirata¹⁴ · Tomomi Katagiri¹⁴ · Hanako Saito¹⁴ · Kazuyoshi Kuwano¹⁵
- Tomohisa Baba baba.19049@kanagawa-pho.jp
- ¹ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kanagawa Cardiovascular and Respiratory Center, 6-16-1 Tomiokahigashi, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa 236-0051, Japan
- ² Department of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
- ³ Department of Thoracic Oncology, Kanagawa Cancer Center, 2-3-2 Nakao, Asahi-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa 241-8515, Japan
- ⁴ Department of Radiology, St. Luke's International Hospital, 9-1 Akashi-cho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-8560, Japan
- ⁵ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Juntendo University Urayasu Hospital, 2-1-1 Tomioka, Urayasu-shi, Chiba 279-0021, Japan
- ⁶ Department of Diagnostic Imaging, The Cancer Institute Hospital of Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 3-8-31 Ariake, Koto, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan
- ⁷ Department of Pulmonary Medicine and Oncology, Nippon Medical School, 1-1-5 Sendagi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8602, Japan
- ⁸ Division of Diagnostic Radiology, Shizuoka Cancer Center, 1007 Shimonagakubo, Nagaizumi-cho, Sunto-gun, Shizuoka 411-8777, Japan

- ⁹ Department of Thoracic Oncology, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, 1-1 Shikoden, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 464-8681, Japan
- ¹⁰ Division of Thoracic Oncology, Shizuoka Cancer Center, 1007 Shimonagakubo, Nagaizumi-cho, Sunto-gun, Shizuoka 411-8777, Japan
- ¹¹ Division of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Jichi Medical University, 3311-1 Yakushiji, Shimotsuke-shi, Tochigi 329-0498, Japan
- ¹² Breast Medical Oncology Department, The Cancer Institute Hospital of Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 3-8-31 Ariake, Koto, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan
- ¹³ Department of Head and Neck, Esophageal Medical Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
- ¹⁴ Clinical Safety and Pharmacovigilance Division, Medical Safety Department, Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., 3-5-1, Nihonbashi Honcho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8426, Japan
- ¹⁵ Division of Respiratory Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, The Jikei University School of Medicine, 3-25-8 Nishi-Shinbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-8461, Japan