



Is endoscopic stent insertion a good choice?

Hu Qiang¹ · Yu Binying¹

Received: 3 February 2020 / Accepted: 9 February 2020 / Published online: 17 February 2020
© The International Gastric Cancer Association and The Japanese Gastric Cancer Association 2020

To the Editor

We have read with interest the article published by Haga Yoshio et al. [1]. Although we believe it is a very interesting topic and plays an important role in guiding us to treat for gastric outlet obstruction, we would like to offer the following points for your consideration.

First, patients in both groups did not receive preoperative nutritional status scores, such as Nutrition Risk Screening-2002 (NRS-2002), because patients with poor nutritional status had higher complications and longer hospital stay than those with good nutritional status [2].

Second, Haga Yoshio et al.'s [1] research shows that endoscopic stent insertion has the advantages of better efficacy and faster recovery than gastrojejunostomy. However, the disadvantages of endoscopic stent insertion include stent displacement, tumor growth along the stent, and easy obstruction again [3]. Jang Seung Hyeon et al.'s [4] study found that the incidence of re-obstruction in endoscopic stent insertion is much higher than gastrojejunostomy.

In conclusion, both gastrojejunostomy and endoscopic stent insertion can effectively solve pyloric obstruction. Endoscopic stent insertion has the advantages of fast recovery and short hospital stay, but the rate of re-obstruction is high, so it needs to be intervened again; the duration of patency is longer after surgical removal of obstruction. Therefore, endoscopic stent insertion should be selected for patients with poor physical condition, while gastrojejunostomy should be selected for patients with good physical condition and long life expectancy to prevent obstruction again.

Funding This study was funded by Science and Technology Planning Project of Zhejiang Province (No.2017F30045), Traditional Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Planning Project (No.2018ZZ004).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

References

1. Yoshio H, Naoki H, Takahiro K, Toshiyasu O, Yoshihiro N, Shirou K, et al. Treatment option of endoscopic stent insertion or gastrojejunostomy for gastric outlet obstruction due to gastric cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Gastric Cancer*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-020-01040-0>.
2. Alfred A, Pavel S, Arved W, Lobo DN. The impact of preoperative immune modulating nutrition on outcomes in patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg*. 2019;270:247–56.
3. Khashab M, Alawad AS, Shin EJ, Kim K, Bourdel N, Singh VK, et al. Enteral stenting versus gastrojejunostomy for palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction. *Surg Endosc*. 2013;27:2068–75.
4. Jang SH, Lee H, Min BH, Kim SM, Kim HS, Carriere KC, et al. Palliative gastrojejunostomy versus endoscopic stent placement for gastric outlet obstruction in patients with unresectable gastric cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 2017;31:4217–23.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This comment refers to the article available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-020-01040-0>.

✉ Yu Binying
1440740809@qq.com

¹ Department of General Surgery, Tongde Hospital of Zhejiang Province, 234 Gucui RD, Hangzhou 310012, China