ERRATUM

## Erratum to: Role of fecal *Clostridium difficile* load in discrepancies between toxin tests and PCR: is quantitation the next step in *C. difficile* testing?

J. L. Leslie · S. H. Cohen · J. V. Solnick · C. R. Polage

Accepted: 25 July 2012 / Published online: 4 August 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

## Erratum to: Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis DOI 10.1007/s10096-012-1695-6

All  $\text{Log}^{10}$  *C. difficile* DNA concentrations reported in the manuscript are incorrectly low by a factor of 10 and, thus, represent the number of *C. difficile* DNA copies/100uL feces, not DNA copies/mL, as labeled. This includes the Y-axis labels for Figure 1 and the 95 % sensitivity cutoff for prediction of toxin status. The appropriate quantitative cutoff to detect 95 % of toxin positive samples is  $\geq 5.1 \text{ Log}^{10}$  *C. difficile tcd*B DNA copies/mL. All other proportions, relationships and conclusions remain accurate and valid. The authors regret any confusion this error may cause.

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-012-1695-6.

J. L. Leslie · C. R. Polage (⊠) Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California, Davis, School of Medicine, Davis, CA, USA e-mail: christopher.polage@ucdmc.ucdavis.edu

S. H. Cohen · J. V. Solnick · C. R. Polage Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Davis, School of Medicine, Davis, CA, USA

J. V. Solnick Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Davis, School of Medicine, Davis, CA, USA