CORRECTION



Correction to: Verbal learning in frontal patients: area 9 is critical for employing semantic strategies

Alessandro Cocuzza^{1,2} · Giulio Bertani³ · Giorgio Conte^{1,4} · Edoardo Nicolò Aiello⁵ · Barbara Zarino⁶ · Teresa Difonzo² · Stefano Zago² · Leonardo Tariciotti^{1,3} · Claudia Gendarini^{1,2} · Elena Baratelli⁷ · Federico Verde^{1,5} · Barbara Poletti^{5,8} · Nicola Ticozzi^{1,5} · Mauro Pluderi³ · Marco Locatelli^{1,3} · Giacomo Pietro Comi^{1,2} · Maria Cristina Saetti^{1,2}

© The Author(s) 2024

Correction to: Neurological Sciences (2024) https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-024-07569-7

The Abstract of the original article needs an update. The updated abstract as follows:

Abstract

Introduction Learning is a long-term memory process heavily influenced by the control processes implemented by working memory, including recognition of semantic properties of items by which subjects generate a semantic structure of engrams.

Aim The aim of this study is to investigate the verbal learning strategies of patients affected by a tumor in the left frontal lobe to highlight the role of area 9.

Method Ten patients with frontal low-grade gliomas and ten healthy control subjects, matched for age, sex and education, were recruited and then evaluated with a two-part verbal learning test: multi-trial word list learning in free recall, and multi-trial word list learning preceded by an explicit semantic strategy cue. Frontal patients were divided into two groups: those either with frontal lesions involving or sparing area 9.

Results In comparison to healthy control subjects, frontal patients with lesions involving area 9 memorized fewer words and displayed difficulty in using semantic strategies. When the strategy was suggested by the examiner, their performance improved, but to a lesser extent than the healthy control. Conversely, frontal patients with lesions sparing area 9 showed similar results to healthy control subjects.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-024-07569-7.

Alessandro Cocuzza alessandro.cocuzza@unimi.it

- ¹ Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, 20122 Milan, Italy
- ² Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore, Policlinico, Neurology Unit, 20122 Milan, Italy
- ³ Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore, Policlinico, Neurosurgery Unit, 20122 Milan, Italy
- ⁴ Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore, Policlinico, Neuroradiology Unit, 20122 Milan, Italy

- ⁵ Department of Neurology and Laboratory of Neuroscience, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy
- ⁶ Humanitas Psico Medical Care, MCH SRL, Via Manzoni, 113, Rozzano, 20089 Milan, Italy
- ⁷ Department of Neurology, G. Salvini Hospital, Garbagnate Milanese, Italy
- ⁸ Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, Universitàdegli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

Conclusion The results suggested that, while the identification of the categorical criterion requires the integrity of the entire dorsolateral prefrontal area, only area 9, and not the surrounding areas, could be responsible for the effective use of semantic strategies in learning tasks.

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as

long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.