REVIEW ARTICLE



Effect of TNF inhibitors on arterial stiffness and intima media thickness in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Bafrin Abdulmajid^{1,2} · Annelies B. Blanken^{1,3,4} · Eva H. van Geel^{1,3} · Joost G. Daams⁴ · Michael T. Nurmohamed^{1,3,4}

Received: 14 September 2022 / Revised: 17 December 2022 / Accepted: 31 December 2022 / Published online: 16 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Controlling inflammation with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients is hypothesized to reduce their cardiovascular risk. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of TNF inhibitors on arterial stiffness and carotid intima media thickness (IMT) in RA. MEDLINE, EMBASE, clinicaltrials.gov, and WHO Clinical Trials Registry were searched up to September 2021 for randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, and nonrandomized clinical trials evaluating the effects of TNF inhibitors on pulse wave velocity (PWV), augmentation index (AIx), and IMT in RA. A meta-analysis was performed to assess changes of these measures after therapy during different follow-up periods. Risk of bias assessment was performed using an adjusted Downs and Black checklist (INPLASY: 2022-1-0131). Thirty studies were identified from 1436 records, of which 23 were included in the meta-analysis. PWV and AIx showed a decrease after treatment (PWV: mean difference (MD) -0.51 m/s (95% CI: -0.96, -0.06), p=0.027; AIx: MD -0.57% (95% CI: -2.11, 0.96), p=0.463, sensitivity analysis AIx: MD -1.21% (95% CI: -2.60, 0.19), p=0.089). For IMT, there was a slight increase in the first months of follow-up, but this disappeared on the long-term (overall timepoints MD -0.01 mm (95% CI: -0.04, 0.02), p=0.615). Heterogeneity was high in the overall analyses and subgroups with long follow-up periods (≥ 12 months). The included studies showed mixed results of the effects of TNF inhibitors on the surrogate markers. The pooled results suggest that PWV and AIx decrease over time, while IMT remains stable. This indicates a favorable effect of TNF inhibitors on the cardiovascular disease risk, all the more since these markers also increase with age.

Keywords Cardiovascular burden · Rheumatoid arthritis · Systematic review · Tumor necrosis factor inhibitors

Key Points

Bafrin Abdulmajid, Annelies B. Blanken and Eva H. van Geel contributed equally to this work.

☐ Bafrin Abdulmajid bafrinam@hotmail.com; b.abdulmajid@amsterdamumc.nl

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and CVD-associated mortality [1–3]. The mortality, adjusted for age and sex, is 0.5–2 times higher in RA patients compared to healthy individuals [4], and cardiovascular diseases are responsible for about 50–60% of this mortality in RA patients [5]. Furthermore, these patients have a 50% higher chance of a cardiovascular event [6]. It is known that RA patients have increased prevalences of traditional cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes) [7]. However, the chance of cardiovascular events of RA patients is higher than expected based on the prevalence of these traditional cardiovascular risk factors alone [8]. This suggests that chronic inflammation itself contributes to (accelerated) atherosclerotic plaque development and instability [1–3]. Studies suggest that the

[•] TNF inhibitors have favorable effects on arterial stiffness and carotid intima media thickness in RA.

[•] Future studies with longer follow-up and appropriate controls are needed, while differentiating between disease stages.

Surrogate markers can be used as surrogate endpoints for cardiovascular disease in studies with a short follow up period.

increased cardiovascular risk can be reduced by controlling inflammation [9]. In particular, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors appear to have a favorable effect on patients' cardiovascular risk [5]. The hypothesis is that this is due to directly reducing inflammation in the arterial wall [9]. Surrogate endpoints can be used to determine the effects of TNF inhibitors on subclinical atherosclerosis in a noninvasive manner [10]. Arterial stiffness (pulse wave velocity (PWV), augmentation index (AIx)) and arterial wall thickness (carotid intima media thickness (IMT)) are well-established markers for subclinical cardiovascular disease. These markers give insight into the progress of atherosclerosis and are therefore determinants of the cardiovascular risk in RA patients [11].

The last decade the cardiovascular burden of RA patients has attracted more attention from researchers. Several studies have examined the effects of TNF inhibitors on the increased cardiovascular risk in RA patients. However, a recent overview of these studies investigating the effect of these agents particularly on RA patients is still lacking [12]. Evaluating these surrogate markers will provide insight whether a commonly used treatment in RA patients also has a beneficial effect on cardiovascular disease. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to evaluate the effect of TNF inhibitors on arterial stiffness (as measured with PWV and AIx) and IMT in RA patients.

Methods

This systematic review was reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist (Supplementary Data S1). This protocol was registered in the International Platform of Registered Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Protocols (INPLASY), on 28 February 2022 (registration number: 2022-1-0131)

Eligibility criteria

Studies were included if (1) the population analyzed was diagnosed with RA, or at least 80% of the study population consisted of RA patients, (2) the intervention was a TNF inhibitor or any combination of drugs including an TNF inhibitor, and (3) the outcome measure was either PWV, AIx, and/or IMT. Dosage and duration of the agents were not considered for inclusion. Patients had to start with the intervention directly after the baseline visit, or in case of follow-up \geq 12 months no later than 3 months after the baseline visit. At least 80% of the patients needed to receive TNF inhibiting treatment. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs), prospective cohort studies, and nonrandomized clinical trials were included. Studies not providing longitudinal data (pre-post treatment values or change over time) of the

outcome were excluded. For a sensitive search, there were no restrictions based on the language or publication date.

Search strategy and study selection

We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, clinicaltrials.gov, and WHO international Clinical Trials registry from inception until 15 September 2020 (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Data S2). Furthermore, similarity tracking for eligible studies, a citation search and screening of reference lists were used to identify relevant articles. ResearchGate profiles of top authors on the subject were investigated to identify relevant data points. When necessary, study authors were contacted by e-mail for additional or missing data. Two independent reviewers (A. B. and B. A.) screened the articles and abstracts using the Rayyan QCRI screening tool [14]. Disagreements were solved by reviewing full texts when available and discussed until consensus was reached. Subsequently, full papers were obtained for detailed assessment by the two independent reviewers (A. B. and B. A.).

Data extraction

Primary outcome measures for this systematic review were the effects of TNF inhibiting treatment on IMT, PWV, and AIx. For this purpose, one reviewer (A. B. and B. A.) collected the following data with the use of a standardized data extraction form, such as study characteristics, outcome measure at baseline, follow-up and/or MD, and measurement method (Supplementary Data S3). The principal study measures were baseline, follow-up, and MD of PWV, AIx, and IMT after TNF inhibiting treatment. A second reviewer (A. B., B. A., or E. G.) checked all data independently, and any differences were discussed. In studies providing data only in figures, the numbers were extracted with the WebPlot-Digitizer tool [15] by two authors (A. B. and B. A.), and the mean of the two measurements were used. Additional data received via contacting study authors were checked by two independent reviewers (A. B. and E. G.).

Risk of bias assessment

Quality and risk of bias of all studies were assessed using an adjusted version of the Downs & Black checklist, by two authors (B. A. and E. G.) independently. This checklist consisted of 23 questions; a score between 0 and 23 could be obtained (Supplementary Data S4). A score of 16 or higher was considered good quality and a score under 12 as high risk of bias and poor quality. These studies were not included in the meta-analysis. In case of discrepancies, differences were discussed until consensus was reached, and whenever necessary, a third author (A. B.) was consulted. Quality assessment was performed on the study level, and in case when additional





data were retrieved, quality assessment was only based on data available in the published article or abstract.

Data synthesis

For the qualitative synthesis, the findings of the included studies were narratively described, grouped on outcome measure. Both the qualitative and quantitative syntheses (meta-analysis) focused on the effect of TNF inhibiting therapy over time within the same group of patients, as we expected a wide range of variance in the anti-inflammatory treatment used in the control groups. In addition, the antiinflammatory treatment in the control group was expected to influence the vascular wall and cardiovascular risk in the same way as TNF-inhibitors, probably leading to no difference (or small differences) between the groups [16-18]. Therefore, studies were included in the meta-analysis when pre- and posttreatment measurements were performed and reported. Not reported MD and corresponding standard deviation (SD) were calculated using the pre- and posttreatment measurements and SDs. The results were stratified based on the primary outcome measure and follow-up period, because it was expected that the effect of TNF inhibiting treatment might be different for different treatment durations. The following follow-up periods were chosen to evenly distribute the studies along the groups: 0-2 months, 2-4 months, 5-12 months, and ≥ 12 months. For each outcome, an overall meta-analysis including all studies was performed. In case of multiple timepoints per study, only the longest follow-up time was included. Sensitivity analysis using the shortest follow-up was performed additionally. Subgroup summary estimates were only calculated when 3 or more studies were present.

A random effects model was used to compare the data. Heterogeneity was measured by I^2 statistic, reflecting the percentage of total variance that can be explained by heterogeneity. Visual inspection of funnel plots was used to assess asymmetry, in addition to Egger's test. The data were considered continuous outcomes and analyzed with MD (95% confidence interval (CI)). Statistical analysis was done in RStudio (version 3.6.1, using the metafor package [19]).

Results

Study selection

The database search yielded 1547 records and 146 records were identified through assessing trial registries, Research-Gate, and reversed snowballing of included studies (Fig. 1). After duplicates were removed, 1436 records were screened for eligibility based on title and abstract. A total of 1295 records were excluded, leaving 141 studies that were assessed for eligibility based on the full-text. Finally, 30 studies were included in qualitative synthesis and 23 in quantitative synthesis as 7 abstracts were excluded.

Study characteristics

Six studies were RCTs, one was a posthoc analysis of an RCT and 23 were nonrandomized clinical trials or prospective cohort studies (Table 1) [20–49]. Seventeen studies had a control group. A combined total of 907 RA patients with a mean age of 54 (SD 10) years were examined. The follow-up duration of the studies ranged between 6 weeks and 4 years (interquartile range (IQR) 38 weeks). Of the 30 included studies, 14 reported PWV, 11 AIx, and 19 IMT.

Risk of bias assessment

An overview of the risk of bias assessment for peer-reviewed full-text articles is shown in Fig. 2 and in Supplementary Figure S1 for abstracts. In addition, complete data are included as Supplementary Data S5. Of the peer-reviewed articles, 15 studies were of good quality (≥16 points). The other studies were of lower quality, but scored at least more than 50% of total points (12.5–15). Poor performance in risk of bias was the result of methodology, blinding, insufficient reporting of losses to follow-up, and power. All abstracts had a high risk of bias (score 0–10 points), mostly due to lack of given information. Because of poor quality and high risk of bias, these studies were not included in the meta-analyses.

Outcomes of studies

An overview of the results of the eligible studies is presented in Table 1. The complete data extraction table is included as Supplementary Data S3. Three studies responded to our request and provided additional data (Table 1).

Pulse wave velocity

Eight studies measured the aortic PWV [21, 29, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48], four studies the brachial PWV [28, 35, 36, 40], and two studies measured both [31, 32]. Seven studies showed a significant decrease in PWV: the studies of Maki-Petaja et al. 2006 [31] and 2012 [32], Oakley et al. [45], Puntmann et al. [46], Tam et al. [36], Vassilopoulos et al. [39], and Wong et al. [42]. A nonsignificant decrease was found in six studies: Blanken et al. [43], Daien et al. [21], Kerekes et al. [28], Taguchi et al. [35], Vegh et al. [40], and Zacariaz et al. [48]. The study of Komai et al. [29] showed a non-statistically significant increase.

Augmentation index

Eleven studies reported AIx [21, 25, 30–33, 36, 38, 39, 42, 43], of which 4 studies reported the AIx corrected for heart rate (AIx@75) [25, 30, 36, 43]. All studies measured the aortic AIx, except for the study of Daien et al. [21] which measured the carotid AIx. Five studies [25, 30, 36, 39, 43] showed a decrease in AIx, which was significant in the studies of Galarraga et al. [25], Kume et al. [30], and Blanken et al. [43] in the first six months of follow-up. The remaining six studies [21, 31–33, 38, 42] showed an increase in AIx, of which one reached statistical significance (Pieringer et al. [33]).

Intima media thickness

All studies reported the IMT of the common carotid arteries, of which one reported the mean IMT of the common carotid arteries and the carotid bulb [23] and two studies reported the mean IMT of the common and internal carotid arteries, and the carotid bulb [22, 36]. Of the 19 studies presenting IMT, seven studies [22, 24, 27, 28, 37, 47, 49] showed a decrease in IMT in the follow-up period. This decrease was statistically significant in the studies of Del Porto et al. [22], Ferrante et al. [24], Kerekes et al. [28], and Swierkot et al. [47]. Six studies showed an increase in IMT [20, 23, 26, 40, 41, 44, 48], which was statistically significant in three studies (Di Micco et al. [23], Vegh et al. [40], and Wasko et al. [41]). The studies of Blanken et al. [43], Kume et al. [30], Sidiropoulos et al. [34], Tam et al. [36], and Wong et al. [42] showed no change in IMT after the follow-up duration.

Meta-analysis

Meta-analysis was performed on the 23 studies eligible for inclusion in the quantitative analysis. For PWV (Fig. 3), there was a decrease in the summary estimates of all subgroups, which was statistically significant in the overall analyses and 2-4 months (overall: -0.51 m/s (95% CI: -0.96, -0.06), p = 0.027, sensitivity analysis: -0.40 m/s (95% CI: -0.70, -0.11), p = 0.008, and 2-4 months: MD-0.77 m/s (95% CI: -1.35, -0.18), p = 0.011). For AIx (Fig. 4), there was a nonsignificant decrease between 2-4 and 5–12 months and in the overall analysis (2–4 months: MD -1.07% (95% CI: -2.61, 0.48), p = 0.177, 5–12 months: -1.79% (95% CI: -3.85, 0.26), p = 0.087, overall: -0.57 (95% CI: -2.11-0.96), p = 0.463, and sensitivity analysis: -1.21% (95% CI: -2.60, 0.19), p = 0.089). For IMT (Fig. 5), there was a trend toward a small increase after TNF inhibiting treatment for the relatively short- and middle-term timepoints (2-4 months: no summary statistic possible, 5-12 months: MD 0.01 mm (95% CI: 0.001,

Reference	Intervention	Results
Full-text articles Bergstrom, 2018 [20]	14 RA patients receiving adalimumab, no controls	No significant difference in IMT after 3 months
Daien, 2013 [21]	28 RA patients treated with etanercept, 20 controls receiving methotrexate, sulfasalazine, or leftunomide	No significant difference in PWV and AIx after 3 and 6 months of follow-up. No significant difference in the control group in PWV and AIx after 3 and 6 months of follow-up
Del Porto, 2007 [22]	30 RA patients treated with etanercept or infliximab, compared with 10 con- trols receiving no biologicals	Decrease in right and left IMT (p =0.0001) after 12 months of follow-up. No significant difference in the control group after 12 months
Di Micco, 2009 [23]	7 RA patients treated with infliximab, compared to 7 controls receiving no biologicals	Increase in IMT ($p=0.026$) after 12 months, no significant difference in the control group
Ferrante, 2009 [24]	40 RA patients receiving adalimumab, etanercept or infliximab after 3 months of methotrexate, compared to 79 controls receiving no biologicals	Decrease in IMT (p <0.0001) after 24 months, no significant difference in the control group
Galarraga, 2009 [25]	26 RA patients treated with infliximab, compared to 21 controls receiving methotrexate	Decrease in AIx @75 (p =0.025) after 2 and 4 months, no significant difference in the control group
Gonzalez-Juanatey, 2006 [26]	8 RA patients treated with infliximab, 15 controls treated with methotrexate, leftunomide or prednisone	No significant difference in IMT after 3 years of follow-up
Gonzalez-Juanatey, 2012 [27]	34 RA patients treated with adalimumab, no controls	No significant difference in IMT after 12 months of follow-up
Kerekes, 2011 [28]	8 RA patients treated with adalimnmab, no controls	Decrease in IMT after 24 weeks ($p=0.002$), no significant difference in PWV
Komai, 2007 [29]	15 RA patients treated with infliximab, no controls	No significant difference in PWV after 2 and 6 weeks
Kume, 2011 [30]	41 patients treated with either etanercept or adalimumab, compared to 22 controls receiving tocilizumab	No significant difference in IMT after 24 weeks of follow-up, decrease in AIx @75 (etanercept (p =0.03), adalimumab (p =0.02)) after 24 weeks, no significant difference in the control group
Maki-Petaja, 2006 [31]	9 RA patients treated with etanercept, no controls	Decrease in a rtic PWV (p =0.0003) and no significant difference in brachial PWV and A1x after 4 weeks and 12 weeks of follow-up
Maki-Petaja, 2012 [32]	17 RA patients treated with either etanercept or adalimumab, no controls	Decrease in a rtic PWV (p =0.04) and brachial PWV (p =0.06) after 8 weeks of follow-up. No significant difference in AIx after 8 weeks
Pieringer, 2010 [33]	17 RA patients treated with infliximab, no controls	Increase in AIx ($p=0.01$) after 7 weeks of follow-up
Sidiropoulos, 2009 [34]	12 RA patients treated with either adalimumab or infliximab, 6 controls receiving methotrexate, sulfasalazine, or hydroxychloroquine	No significant difference in IMT after 3 months and 18 months of follow-up. No significant difference in the control group
Taguchi, 2012 [35]	6 RA patients treated with etanercept, no controls	No significant difference in PWV after 12 months of follow-up
Tam, 2012 [36]	20 RA patients treated with infliximab, 20 controls receiving methotrexate	Lower PWV in the MTX + IFX group, compared to the MTX alone group (<i>p</i> =0.044) after 6 months of follow-up. No significant difference in IMT and AIx
Turiel, 2010 [37]	10 RA patients treated with adalimumab, 10 controls receiving methotrexate	No significant difference in IMT in the RA group after 18 months and no sig- nificant difference in IMT between RA patients and controls
Van Doornum, 2005 [38]	14 RA patients treated with adalimumab, etanercept or infliximab, no controls	No significant difference in AIx after 6 weeks of follow-up
Vassilopoulos, 2014 [39]	18 RA patients treated with adalimumab, 18 controls receiving methotrexate	Decrease in PWV after 12 weeks (p =0.00006), no significant difference in AIx. No significant difference in the control group in PWV and AIx after 12 weeks of follow-up

Table 1 Overview of results of eligible studies on effect of TNF inhibitors on IMT, PWV, and AIx

Table 1 (continued)		
Reference	Intervention	Results
Vegh, 2020 [40] ^a	26 RA patients treated with either etanercept or certolizumab pegol, no con- trols	IMT showed an increase after 6 and 12 months of follow-up, PWV showed no significant difference
Wasko, 2014 [41]	290 RA patients treated with either 100 mg golimumab + placebo, 91 with 50 mg golimumab + methotrexate or 100 mg golimumab + methotrexate, 92 controls receiving placebo + methotrexate	Slight increase of IMT in the 100 mg golimumab + methotrexate after 24 weeks when compared to the placebo + methotrexate group ($p = 0.005$), while no difference after 52 weeks of follow-up. No significant difference after 24 and 52 weeks of follow-up in the 50 mg golimumab + methotrexate group and 100 mg golimumab compared to placebo + methotrexate group
Wong, 2009 [42]	26 RA patients treated with infliximab, no controls	No significant difference in IMT and AIx after 24 and 56 weeks of follow-up. PWV showed no significant difference after 24 weeks and a decrease after 56 weeks of follow-up (<i>p</i> =0.004)
Abstracts		
Blanken, 2019 [43] ª	31 RA patients treated with adalimumab, 30 controls receiving methotrexate, sulfasalazine, and/or hydroxychloroquine	No significant difference in IMT and PWV after 6 months and 4 years of follow up. AIx @75 showed a significant decrease after 6 months and no difference after 4 years of follow-up. In the control group there was no significant differ- ence in IMT and PWV after 6 and 18 months, AIx @75 showed a decrease after 6 months and 4 years of follow-up
Heinz, 2018 [44] ^a	22 RA patients treated with etanercept, certolizumab pegol or adalimumab, no controls	No significant difference in IMT after 3 and 12 months of follow-up
Oakley, 2016 [45]	26 RA patients treated with adalimumab, 27 controls receiving a placebo	Decrease in PWV after 4 and 12 weeks of follow up in the adalimumab group and no significant difference after 1 and 24 weeks. Compared to the control group in the group with established RA there was a decrease after 4 and 12 weeks and no significant difference after 1 and 24 weeks. In the group with early RA there was a significant decrease after 4 and 12 weeks and no significant cont difference after 1 and 24 weeks.
Puntmann, 2011 [46]	13 RA patients treated with TNF inhibitors	Decrease in aortic PWV after 3 months
Swierkot, 2019 [47]	38 RA patients treated with either etanercept or adalimumab, 22 healthy controls	Decrease in IMT after 12 months of follow up $(p<0.05)$
Zacariaz, 2014 [48]	10 RA patients treated with TNF inhibitors, 15 controls receiving DMARDs	No significant difference in IMT and PWV after 12 months, no difference in control group
Zhu, 2016 [49]	50 RA patients treated with etanercept, 50 controls receiving DMARDs	Decrease in IMT after 24 weeks (no p value reported)
Alx augmentation index, L factor	MARDs disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, IMT intima media thickness, mg m	uilligram, PWV pulse wave velocity, RA rheumatoid arthritis, TNF tumor necrosis
^a Additional data received 1	ipon request	

Bergstrom et al. 2018	Daien et al. 2013	Del Porto et al. 2007	Di Micco et al. 2009	Ferrante et al. 2009	Galarraga et al 2009	Gonzalez-Juanatey et al. 2006	Gonzalez-Juanatey et al. 2012	Kerekes et al. 2011	Komai et al. 2007	Kume et al. 2011	Maki-Petaja et al. 2006	Maki-Petaja et al. 2012	Pieringer et al. 2010	Sidiropoulos et al. 2009	Taguchi et al. 2012	Tam et al. 2012	Turiel et al. 2010	Van Doornum et al. 2005	Vassilopoulos et al. 2014	Vegh et al. 2020	Wasko et al. 2014	Wong et al. 2009	
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Objective described clearly
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Outcomes described clearly
yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	Patient characteristics described clearly
yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	partially	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Interventions described clearly
no	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	nla	nla	nla	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	nla	yes	partially	nla	yes	yes	yes	yes	Principal confounders described clearly
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Findings described clearly
yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Estimates of random variability reported
yes	no	partially	no	partially	no	yes	unclear	nla	nla	no	unclear	unclear	unclear	yes	nla	yes	partially	unclear	partially	unclear	no	no	Lost to follow-up described
yes	no	partially	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	partially	no	partially	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	partially	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	Actual probabities reported
yes	partially	partially	yes	partially	yes	partially	partially	yes	yes	partially	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	partially	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	Representative participants
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	unclear	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	unclear	yes	Representative intervention
no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	Intervention blinded
yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	unclear	no	unclear	partially	partially	no	yes	unclear	partially	unclear	no	no	no	yes	yes	Outcomes blinded
nla	nla	nla	nla	nla	yes	nla	nla	nla	nla	nla	yes	nla	nla	nla	no	nla	nla	nla	nla	nla	no	yes	Data dradging made clear
yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Intervention time comparable
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	unclear	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Appropriate statistical tests
unclear	unclear	no	unclear	yes	yes	no	unclear	yes	yes	yes	yes	unclear	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	Compliance to intervention
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	unclear	yes	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	partially	yes	yes	yes	Accurately measured outcomes
yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	Comparable intervention
nla	no	yes	yes	partially	no	yes	nla	nla	nla	yes	nla	nla	no	unclear	nla	yes	yes	nla	yes	no	yes	yes	Patients in different groups from same population
unclear	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	unclear	yes	yes	unclear	unclear	unclear	yes	yes	yes	unclear	no	unclear	yes	yes	Recruited of same time period
nla	unclear	yes	unclear	yes	unclear	yes	nla	nla	unclear	yes	unclear	partially	unclear	yes	nla	yes	yes	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	Lost to follow-up taken into account
unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	yes	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	no	unclear	yes	unclear	unclear	unclear	no	Sufficient power
17	14.5	17.5	16	16.5	16.5	18	16.5	17	13.5	18	17	12.5	14.5	14.5	14	20	17	15	16.5	14.5	17	20	Total points

Fig. 2 Overview of risk of bias assessment of peer-reviewed articles. Risk of bias assessment of abstracts is shown in Supplementary Figure 1 and full overview in Supplementary Data S4. Additional data

or information that weas received via e-mail was not included in this assessment

0.02), p = 0.026), whereas this increase disappeared on the long-term (≥ 12 months: MD -0.003 mm (95% CI: -0.04, 0.03), p = 0.867). When all the timepoints were combined, there was no overall difference in IMT after TNF inhibiting treatment (overall: -0.01 (95% CI: -0.04, 0.02), p = 0.615, sensitivity analysis: -0.001 (95% CI: -0.03, 0.03), p = 0.947)

Heterogeneity was high between the studies with longer follow-up time (PWV ≥ 12 months $I^2=78.5\%$, IMT ≥ 12 months $I^2=95.7\%$) and the overall estimates for IMT ($I^2=93.1\%$). There was no considerably asymmetry observed in the funnel plots (Supplementary Figure S2) for PWV, which was confirmed by the results of Egger's test (p=0.584). For AIx and IMT, there seemed to be some asymmetry, as more studies reported an outcome larger than the MD (AIx Egger's test p=0.060; IMT Egger's test p=0.134).

Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to provide an overview of the literature examining the effects of TNF inhibitors on PWV, AIx, and IMT in RA patients. The risk of bias in all studies with full-text had a moderate to low risk of bias, while abstracts had a high risk of bias due to a lack of information. Although the follow-up periods and the type of TNF inhibitors used in the studies varied, PWV and AIx seemed to decrease over time. IMT increased slightly in the first year of treatment, but the pooled results showed that overall IMT remained stable.

Differences in results between studies

Differences between the effects of TNF inhibitors on the surrogate markers between the studies can be explained by several factors. First, concomitant treatments largely differed between studies and studies used different types of TNF inhibitors. Only three studies included patients with anti-TNF monotherapy, without concomitant csDMARDS [30, 33, 37]. Although all types of TNF inhibitors have been proven to be effective in RA patients, they have structural differences, which effect the binding with TNF and the stability of the complexes. It is still unclear whether these differences might result in different effects on the vascular wall of RA patients, although it has been suggested that adalimumab and etanercept might have better efficacy in decreasing PWV and AIx compared to infliximab [50, 51].

Fig. 3 Forest plot for PWV comparing different time intervals. PWV: pulse wave velocity, MD: mean difference, m/s: meter/second, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval

AUTHOR AND YEAR		MD [95%CI]
0-2 months		
Komai et al., 2007	⊢	0.30 [-0.39, 0.99]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2006	<u>ا</u>	-0.88 [-2.16, 0.40]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2012	⊢	-0.46 [-1.22, 0.30]
Summary estimate for subgroup ($I^2 = 43.6\%$)		-0.23 [-0.89, 0.44]
2-4 months		
Daien et al., 2013	⊢ ∎i	-0.40 [-0.92, 0.12]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2006	 1	-1.14 [-2.33, 0.05]
Vassilopoulos et al., 2014	⊢−−−− +	-1.17 [-2.05, -0.29]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =36.8%)	-	-0.77 [-1.35, -0.18]
5-12 months		
Daien et al., 2013	⊢	-0.09 [-0.65, 0.47]
Kerekes et al., 2011	F	-0.40 [-1.57, 0.77]
Tam et al., 2012	FI	-0.72 [-1.83, 0.39]
Vegh et al., 2020	⊢ 1	-0.02 [-0.81, 0.76]
Wong et al., 2009	⊢∎ <u>+</u>	-0.60 [-1.43, 0.23]
Summary estimate for subgroup ($I^2 = 0.0\%$)	-	-0.26 [-0.62, 0.09]
<u>≥12 months</u>		
Tam et al., 2012	⊢	-0.06 [-0.68, 0.56]
Vegh et al., 2020	I	-0.18 [-1.60, 1.23]
Wong et al., 2009	⊢	-1.60 [-2.30, -0.90]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =78.5%)		-0.66 [-1.71, 0.39]
Summary estimate (I ² =63.3%)	-	-0.51 [-0.96, -0.06]
Sensitivity analysis estimate (I ² =15.3%)	•	-0.40 [-0.70, -0.11]
		- · ·
-3	-2 -1 U I 2	

Second, the follow-up period between the studies varied in a wide range, with most studies having a relatively short follow-up period between 3 months and 1 year. On the one hand, a larger follow-up period seems to be needed to obtain unambiguous results, since the processes of arterial stiffening and atherosclerosis are slow. On the other hand, we found a large heterogeneity between the studies with longer follow-up periods, as differences in concomitant medication, differences over time in RA disease activity and other uncontrollable factors that happen over time also influence the results. In addition, other differences in baseline factors such as the presence of previous CVD, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status, and autoantibody status might have resulted in differences between study outcomes. For example, the studies of Del Porto et al. [22], Pieringer et al. [33], and Vassilopoulos et al. [39] excluded patients with previous CVD, hypertension, and/or smokers.

Comparison with previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis focusing on PWV, AIx, and IMT, specifically in RA patients. Four previous studies have investigated the body of evidence regarding the effect of TNF inhibitors on PWV, AIx, or IMT in RA or related diseases: three systematic reviews and one meta-analysis [50–53]. Two older systematic reviews of Dulai et al. [53] and Tam et al. [52], both without a meta-analysis, concluded that the balance of evidence suggests that TNF inhibitors may have beneficial effect on arterial stiffness and/or arterial wall thickness, although they state that larger more robust studies are warranted to confirm these findings. In contrast, the most recent systematic review by Knowles et al. [51], which included studies of several chronic inflammatory diseases, found that

Fig. 4 Forest plot for AIx comparing different time intervals. ADA: adalimumab, AIx: augmentation index, ETN: etanercept, MD: mean difference, 95% CI: 95% confidence

interval

AUTHOR AND YEAR		MD [95%CI]
0-2 months		
Galarraga et al., 2009	⊢₩1	-2.50 [-6.34, 1.34]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2006	FI	0.00 [-6.93, 6.93]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2012	F	2.00 [-3.23, 7.23]
Pieringer et al., 2010	⊢ I	3.00 [-1.76, 7.76]
Van Doornum et al., 2005	⊢	1.00 [-2.94, 4.94]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =12.3%)	•	0.48 [-1.75, 2.71]
2-4 months		
Daien et al., 2013	F- ■ -1	-0.90 [-2.79, 0.99]
Galarraga et al., 2009	⊢ ∎1	-2.50 [-5.98, 0.98]
Maki-Petaja et al., 2006	⊢ I	2.00 [-5.22, 9.22]
Vassilopoulos et al., 2014	F	-0.70 [-5.95, 4.55]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =36.8%)	•	-1.07 [-2.61, 0.48]
5-12 months		
Daien et al., 2013	⊢	0.50 [-1.87, 2.87]
Kume et al. (ETN), 2011		-3.54 [-3.77, -3.31]
Kume et al. (ADA), 2011		-1.03 [-1.22, -0.84]
Tam et al., 2012	⊢	-6.00 [-10.69, -1.31]
Wong et al., 2009	├ ──── ∃	1.00 [-4.11, 6.11]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =98.8%)	•	-1.79 [-3.85, 0.26]
≥12 months		
Tam et al., 2012	⊢	-4.20 [-9.88, 1.48]
Wong et al., 2009	⊢	3.10 [-1.60, 7.80]
Summary estimate (I ² =96.8%)	•	-0.57 [-2.11, 0.96]
Sensitivity analysis estimate (I²=95.9%)	•	-1.21 [-2.60, 0.19]
	-15 -10 -5 0 5 10	
	MD Alx (%)	

TNF inhibitors had a worsening or no effect on AIx and IMT, while their results showed a mixed effect of TNF inhibitors on PWV. The authors noticed differences between controlled and uncontrolled studies: multiple small uncontrolled studies had a positive result (a decline in surrogate markers), while larger controlled studies had a negative result (no decline in surrogate markers). In contrast, in our study, we primarily focused on the uncontrolled within-group measurements, as the control groups mostly also received antiinflammatory therapy (e.g., methotrexate and tocilizumab) which also has an effect on the vascular wall and cardiovascular risk [16–18]. A placebo control group of RA patients without concomitant anti-inflammatory treatment would be the ideal control group to measure the effect of a TNF inhibitor on IMT, PVW, and AIx. However, it is unethical to withhold RA patients from proven effective treatment; therefore, none of the included studies had a placebo control group without concomitant anti-inflammatory treatment. Furthermore, in the study of Knowles et al., no change in the surrogate markers was considered as a negative effect [51]. Since PWV, AIx, and IMT also increase with biological age [54–57], these markers remaining stable indicate a beneficial effect of TNF inhibitors on the cardiovascular risk. Finally, our study confirms the findings of a meta-analysis of Vlachopoulos et al. [50], which only focused on PWV and AIx, included a literature search until August 2016 and also included studies with only a small amount of RA patients.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. The included studies varied widely in several aspects, e.g., methodology and included patients. First, we included RCTs, prospective cohort studies, and nonrandomized clinical trials. Uncontrolled cohort studies and nonrandomized trials introduce a bias toward the group with TNF inhibiting therapy. Second, the follow-up period and number of included patients differed and were in most studies small (≤ 1 year and under 50 patients), leading Fig. 5 Forest plot for IMT comparing different time intervals. GOL: golimumab, IMT: intima media thickness, MD: mean difference, mm: millimeter, MTX: methotrexate, PCB: placebo, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval

AUTHOR AND YEAR		MD [95%CI]
2-4 months		
Bergstrom et al., 2018	⊢	0.02 [-0.06, 0.10]
Sidiropoulos et al., 2009	⊢	0.01 [-0.13, 0.15]
5-12 months		
Kerekes et al., 2011	⊢-■	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]
Kume et al. (ETN), 2011	⊢ i	0.00 [-0.10, 0.10]
Kume et al. (ADA), 2011	⊢ ∎	-0.01 [-0.07, 0.05]
Tam et al., 2012	⊢	0.01 [-0.03, 0.05]
Wasko et al. (GOL 50mg + MTX), 2014	—	0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]
Wasko et al. (GOL 100mg + PCB), 2014		0.01 [-0.00, 0.02]
Wasko et al. (GOL 100mg + MTX), 2014		0.02 [0.01, 0.03]
Wong et al., 2009	•	0.10 [-0.01, 0.21]
Vegh et al., 2020	€- ∎1	0.03 [-0.00, 0.07]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I ² =34.9%)	•	0.01 [0.00, 0.02]
≥12 months		
Del Porto et al., 2007	⊢∎→	-0.12 [-0.17, -0.08]
Di Micco et al., 2009	⊢	• 0.33 [0.08, 0.58]
Ferrante et al., 2009	H∎H	-0.11 [-0.14, -0.08]
Gonzalez-Juanatey et al., 2006	⊦∎⊣	0.04 [0.01, 0.07]
Gonzalez-Juanatey et al., 2012	⊢ ∎1	0.04 [-0.02, 0.10]
Sidiropoulos et al., 2009	⊢	0.01 [-0.09, 0.11]
Tam et al., 2012	⊢	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]
Vegh et al., 2020	⊢ ≞ ⊣	0.01 [-0.03, 0.05]
Wasko et al. (GOL 50mg + MTX), 2014		0.02 [0.01, 0.04]
Wasko et al. (GOL 100mg + MTX), 2014	•	0.02 [0.01, 0.03]
Wasko et al. (GOL 100mg + PCB), 2014		0.00 [-0.01, 0.02]
Wong et al., 2009	⊢	0.00 [-0.12, 0.12]
Summary estimate for subgroup (I²=95.7%)	+	-0.00 [-0.04, 0.03]
Summary estimate (l ² =93.1%)	•	-0.01 [-0.04, 0.02]
Sensitivity analysis estimate (I ² =94.7%)	•	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]
	· · · · ·	
	-0.2 0 0.2	0.4 0.6
	MD IMT (mm)	

to high heterogeneity in some meta-analyses. Most of this heterogeneity disappeared after grouping the studies based on a follow-up period, but the studies with longer follow-up (\geq 12 months) still had high heterogeneity. Next to the differences between the studies that were explained above, an additional explanation might be that there was still a wide range of follow-up times in this group (12 months to 3 years). Another limitation is the lack of proper control groups and not be able to compare the mean differences of the surrogate endpoints to the group with TNF inhibitors. Only 12 studies included in the meta-analysis had a control group and the medication used in these groups varied widely. Due to insufficient data on the differences over time for IMT, PWV, and AIx for the control groups, it was not

possible to compare the different anti-inflammatory treatments used in the control groups. We did not take differences in outcome measurements into consideration, such as the difference between the mean IMT of the common and internal carotid arteries, carotid-femoral PWV, and aortic PWV or the augmentation corrected for the heart rate [58, 59].

Implications for clinical practice and future research

It has been shown that TNF inhibitors decrease the number of cardiovascular events in RA patients [60]. The mechanism behind this is largely unknown. PWV, AIx, and IMT are independent predictors of CVD [61–64] and known to be increased in RA [65–67]. This systematic review and meta-analysis is the first focusing on PWV, AIx, and IMT, specifically in RA patients and showed that IMT remained stable over time. and PWV and AIx decreased after TNF inhibiting therapy (although this was not significant for AIx). Since these markers normally increase with age, remaining stable also indicates a beneficial effect of TNF inhibitors. It is unclear whether this beneficial effect is merely due to reducing systemic inflammation, or also due to a direct effect of specifically TNF inhibitors. The exact mechanisms behind the changes of arterial stiffness and intima media thickness by TNF inhibitors need to be clarified in future research. Our results showed that effects of TNF inhibitors on surrogate markers can be measured within a short time period (within months). For future research, PWV, AIx, and IMT can be used as surrogate endpoints, especially for studies with a short (< 2 years) follow-up period, since "hard" endpoints of cardiovascular disease, e.g., cardiovascular events or mortality, are not always usable endpoints.

Proper control groups without antirheumatic drugs (other than TNF inhibitors) were not included, since withdrawing patients from effective antirheumatic treatment is considered unethical. It might however be of added value when healthy controls would be followed for the same period of time as the RA patients, to give an indication of how PWV, AIx, and IMT behave over time. In addition, clinical trials with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up times are needed, where patients preferably receive the same TNF inhibitor and concomitant treatments are similar. In our study, we did not examine the relationship between the surrogate markers and the disease activity. Studies have shown that arterial stiffness shows no significant correlation with the 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28) and mixed results for IMT [68].

Conclusion

Our systematic review and meta-analysis of 30 studies investigating the effects of TNF inhibitors on PWV, AIx, and IMT in RA patients, suggests that IMT remained stable over time, while PWV and AIx suggest a decrease. With these surrogate markers also increasing with aging, our findings indicate a favorable effect of TNF inhibitors on these wellestablished (surrogate) markers for subclinical cardiovascular disease and therefore on the cardiovascular disease risk. The studies showed heterogeneity considering the study design, follow-up time, and treatment patients received. Surrogate markers can be used as surrogate endpoints for CVD, especially for studies with a short follow-up period. Studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to correlate the findings with "hard" cardiovascular endpoints, taking also the phase of RA and type of TNF inhibitor into account.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-023-06505-y. **Acknowledgements** We gratefully thank Martin van der Esch for the assistance in the protocol design for this systematic review, Remke Albers for her help with the first version of the search strategy, and Sharon Remmelzwaal for excellent assistance in performing the meta-analysis.

Author contribution B. Abdulmajid performed the search strategy, performed the critical appraisal, conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, contributed data and analysis tools, performed the analysis, and wrote the paper. A. B. Blanken perfomed the search strategy, performed the critical appraisal, conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, contributed data and analysis tools, performed the analysis, and wrote the paper. E. H. van Geel performed the critical appraisal, conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, contributed data and analysis tools, performed the critical appraisal, conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, contributed data and analysis tools, performed the analysis, and wrote the paper. J. G. Daams performed the search strategy and reviewed the manuscript. M. T. Nurmohamed codeveloped this systematic review and meta-analysis and its conceptual design, kept the overview of the conduct of the whole process, critically appraised the applied analyses, and reviewed all versions of the manuscript

Data availability The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

Declarations

Ethics approval Ethical approval is not obtained for this systematic review. Only prepublished information is collected and combined to answer the research question. All authors take full responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of all aspects of this manuscript.

Disclosures None.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Loppenthin K, Esbensen BA, Ostergaard M, Ibsen R, Kjellberg J et al (2019) Morbidity and mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with an age- and sex-matched control population: a nationwide register study. J Comorb 9:2235042X19853484
- del Rincon ID, Williams K, Stern MP, Freeman GL, Escalante A (2001) High incidence of cardiovascular events in a rheumatoid arthritis cohort not explained by traditional cardiac risk factors. Arthritis Rheum 44:2737–2745
- Widdifield J, Paterson JM, Huang A, Bernatsky S (2018) Causes of death in rheumatoid arthritis: how do they compare to the general population? Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 70:1748–1755
- Naz SM, Symmons DP (2007) Mortality in established rheumatoid arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 21:871–883

- Avouac J, Allanore Y (2008) Cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis: effects of anti-TNF drugs. Expert Opin Pharmacother 9:1121–1128
- England BR, Thiele GM, Anderson DR, Mikuls TR (2018) Increased cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis: mechanisms and implications. BMJ 361:k1036
- Boyer JF, Gourraud PA, Cantagrel A, Davignon JL, Constantin A (2011) Traditional cardiovascular risk factors in rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis. Joint Bone Spine 78:179–183
- Gonzalez A, Maradit Kremers H, Crowson CS, Ballman KV, Roger VL et al (2008) Do cardiovascular risk factors confer the same risk for cardiovascular outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis patients as in non-rheumatoid arthritis patients? Ann Rheum Dis 67:64–69
- Agca R, Heslinga SC, van Halm VP, Nurmohamed MT (2016) Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic inflammatory joint disorders. Heart 102:790–795
- Aronson JK (2005) Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints. Br J Clin Pharmacol 59:491–494
- van Trijp MJ, Bos WJ, van der Schouw YT, Muller M, Grobbee DE et al (2006) Non-invasively measured structural and functional arterial characteristics and coronary heart disease risk in middle aged and elderly men. Atherosclerosis 187:110–115
- Bartels CM, Roberts TJ, Hansen KE, Jacobs EA, Gilmore A et al (2016) Rheumatologist and primary care management of cardiovascular disease risk in rheumatoid arthritis: patient and provider perspectives. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 68:415–423
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC et al (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372:n71
- Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A (2016) Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev 5:210
- 15. Rohatgi A (2015) WebPlotDigitizer user manual version:3.4
- Kisiel B, Kruszewski R, Juszkiewicz A, Raczkiewicz A, Bachta A et al (2015) Methotrexate, cyclosporine A, and biologics protect against atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. J Immunol Res 2015:759610
- Kim HJ, Kim MJ, Lee CK, Hong YH (2015) Effects of methotrexate on carotid intima-media thickness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Korean Med Sci 30:1589–1596
- Micha R, Imamura F, Wyler von Ballmoos M, Solomon DH, Hernan MA et al (2011) Systematic review and meta-analysis of methotrexate use and risk of cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol 108:1362–1370
- 19. Viechtbauer W (2010) Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw 36:1–48
- Bergstrom U, Jovinge S, Persson J, Jacobsson LTH, Turesson C (2018) Effects of treatment with adalimumab on blood lipid levels and atherosclerosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp 89:1–6
- 21. Daien CI, Fesler P, du Cailar G, Daien V, Mura T et al (2013) Etanercept normalises left ventricular mass in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 72:881–887
- 22. Del Porto F, Lagana B, Lai S, Nofroni I, Tinti F et al (2007) Response to anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha blockade is associated with reduction of carotid intima-media thickness in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 46:1111–1115
- Di Micco P, Ferrazzi P, Librè L, Mendolicchio L, Quaglia I et al (2009) Intima-media thickness evolution after treatment with infliximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Gen Intern Med 2:141–1ss
- 24. Ferrante A, Giardina AR, Ciccia F, Parrinello G, Licata G et al (2009) Long-term anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy reverses the progression of carotid intima-media thickness in female patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 30:193–198
- 25. Galarraga B, Khan F, Kumar P, Pullar T, Belch JJ (2009) Etanercept improves inflammation-associated arterial stiffness in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 48:1418–1423

- Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Llorca J, Garcia-Porrua C, Martin J, Gonzalez-Gay MA (2006) Effect of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy on the progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in severe rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 55:150–153
- 27. Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Vazquez-Rodriguez TR, Miranda-Filloy JA, Gomez-Acebo I, Testa A et al (2012) Anti-TNF-alpha-adal-imumab therapy is associated with persistent improvement of endothelial function without progression of carotid intima-media wall thickness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to conventional therapy. Mediat Inflamm 2012:674265
- Kerekes G, Soltesz P, Szucs G, Szamosi S, Der H et al (2011) Effects of adalimumab treatment on vascular disease associated with early rheumatoid arthritis. Isr Med Assoc J 13:147–152
- 29. Komai N, Morita Y, Sakuta T, Kuwabara A, Kashihara N (2007) Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy increases serum adiponectin levels with the improvement of endothelial dysfunction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol 17:385–390
- 30. Kume K, Amano K, Yamada S, Hatta K, Ohta H et al (2011) Tocilizumab monotherapy reduces arterial stiffness as effectively as etanercept or adalimumab monotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis: an open-label randomized controlled trial. J Rheumatol 38:2169–2171
- 31. Maki-Petaja KM, Hall FC, Booth AD, Wallace SM, Yasmin, et al. (2006) Rheumatoid arthritis is associated with increased aortic pulse-wave velocity, which is reduced by anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy. Circulation 114:1185–1192
- 32. Maki-Petaja KM, Elkhawad M, Cheriyan J, Joshi FR, Ostor AJ et al (2012) Anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy reduces aortic inflammation and stiffness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Circulation 126:2473–2480
- Pieringer H, Stuby U, Pohanka E, Biesenbach G (2010) Augmentation index in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis treated with infliximab. Clin Rheumatol 29:723–727
- 34. Sidiropoulos PI, Siakka P, Pagonidis K, Raptopoulou A, Kritikos H et al (2009) Sustained improvement of vascular endothelial function during anti-TNFalpha treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Scand J Rheumatol 38:6–10
- Taguchi H, Nishi K, Suzuki T, Okano Y (2012) Anti-atherosclerotic effects of etanercept in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Nihon Rinsho Meneki Gakkai Kaishi 35:183–187
- 36. Tam L-S, Shang Q, Li EK, Wang S, Li R-J et al (2012) Infliximab is associated with improvement in arterial stiffness in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis — a randomized trial. J Rheumatol 39:2267–2275
- 37. Turiel M, Tomasoni L, Sitia S, Cicala S, Gianturco L et al (2010) Effects of long-term disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on endothelial function in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Cardiovasc Ther 28:e53–e64
- Van Doornum S, McColl G, Wicks IP (2005) Tumour necrosis factor antagonists improve disease activity but not arterial stiffness in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 44:1428–1432
- Vassilopoulos D, Gravos A, Vlachopoulos C, Kandili A, Ioakeimidis N et al (2015) Adalimumab decreases aortic stiffness independently of its effect in disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 34:359–364
- 40. Vegh E, Kerekes G, Pusztai A, Hamar A, Szamosi S et al (2020) Effects of 1-year anti-TNF-α therapy on vascular function in rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. Rheumatol Int 40:427–436
- 41. Wasko MC, Hsia EC, Kirkham B, Touboul P-J, Fleischmann R et al (2014) Effect of golimumab on carotid atherosclerotic disease measures and cardiovascular events in inflammatory arthritides. J Clin Rheumatol 20
- 42. Wong M, Oakley SP, Young L, Jiang BY, Wierzbicki A et al (2009) Infliximab improves vascular stiffness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 68:1277–1284
- Blanken A, Agca R, van der Laken CJ, Nurmohamed M (2019) FRI0027 Prognostic markers for preclinical cardiovascular disease

in rheumatoid arthritis and correlation with disease activity. Ann Rheum Dis 78:674

- 44. Heinz K, Oelzner P, Eidner T, Goetze K, Saemann A et al (2018) FRI0072 The impact of anti-tnf-therapy on endothelial function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis or ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 77:582
- 45. Oakley S, Major G, Mathers D, van der Kallen J, Collins M et al (2016) A randomised controlled trial evaluating the effect of adalimumab upon biomarkers of cardiovascular risk in ACPA-positive rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 68:3306–3308
- 46. Puntmann VO, Taylor P, Gebker R, Schnackenburg B, Chiribiri A et al (2011) Unravelling the phenotype of cardiovascular inflammation with magnetic resonance imaging: detecting the change with anti-TNF treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 13:P320
- 47. Swierkot J, Madej M, Rozumek G (2019) AB0411 TNF alpha inhibitors influence the cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Ann Rheum Dis 78:1666
- Zacariaz J, Lancioni E, Cazenave T, Marengo F, Edith Schneeberger E, et al. Vascular endothelial function changes during treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis; 2014. Wiley-Blackwell 111 River St, Hoboken 07030-5774, NJ USA. pp. S174-S175.
- Zhu R, Shen H (2016) THU0154 Effects of etanercept biomimics on lipid profiles in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 75:238
- Vlachopoulos C, Gravos A, Georgiopoulos G, Terentes-Printzios D, Ioakeimidis N et al (2018) The effect of TNF-a antagonists on aortic stiffness and wave reflections: a meta-analysis. Clin Rheumatol 37:515–526
- Knowles L, Nadeem N, Chowienczyk PJ (2020) Do anti-tumour necrosis factor-alpha biologics affect subclinical measures of atherosclerosis and arteriosclerosis? A systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol 86:837–851
- Tam LS, Kitas GD, Gonzalez-Gay MA (2014) Can suppression of inflammation by anti-TNF prevent progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in inflammatory arthritis? Rheumatology (Oxford) 53:1108–1119
- 53. Dulai R, Perry M, Twycross-Lewis R, Morrissey D, Atzeni F et al (2012) The effect of tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists on arterial stiffness in rheumatoid arthritis: a literature review. Semin Arthritis Rheum 42:1–8
- 54. Lorenz MW, Polak JF, Kavousi M, Mathiesen EB, Volzke H et al (2012) Carotid intima-media thickness progression to predict cardiovascular events in the general population (the PROG-IMT collaborative project): a meta-analysis of individual participant data. Lancet 379:2053–2062
- 55. van Hout MJ, Dekkers IA, Westenberg JJ, Schalij MJ, Widya RL et al (2021) Normal and reference values for cardiovascular magnetic resonance-based pulse wave velocity in the middle-aged general population. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 23:46

- Diaz A, Tringler M, Wray S, Ramirez AJ, Cabrera Fischer EI (2018) The effects of age on pulse wave velocity in untreated hypertension. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 20:258–265
- Su TC, Chien KL, Jeng JS, Chen MF, Hsu HC et al (2012) Ageand gender-associated determinants of carotid intima-media thickness: a community-based study. J Atheroscler Thromb 19:872–880
- 58. Polak JF, Pencina MJ, Meisner A, Pencina KM, Brown LS et al (2010) Associations of carotid artery intima-media thickness (IMT) with risk factors and prevalent cardiovascular disease: comparison of mean common carotid artery IMT with maximum internal carotid artery IMT. J Ultrasound Med 29:1759–1768
- Mackinnon AD, Jerrard-Dunne P, Sitzer M, Buehler A, von Kegler S et al (2004) Rates and determinants of site-specific progression of carotid artery intima-media thickness: the carotid atherosclerosis progression study. Stroke 35:2150–2154
- Barnabe C, Martin BJ, Ghali WA (2011) Systematic review and meta-analysis: anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy and cardiovascular events in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 63:522–529
- Tardif JC, Heinonen T, Orloff D, Libby P (2006) Vascular biomarkers and surrogates in cardiovascular disease. Circulation 113:2936–2942
- Bots ML (2006) Carotid intima-media thickness as a surrogate marker for cardiovascular disease in intervention studies. Curr Med Res Opin 22:2181–2190
- Lim HE, Park CG, Shin SH, Ahn JC, Seo HS et al (2004) Aortic pulse wave velocity as an independent marker of coronary artery disease. Blood Press 13:369–375
- Rosenbaum D, Giral P, Chapman J, Rached FH, Kahn JF et al (2013) Radial augmentation index is a surrogate marker of atherosclerotic burden in a primary prevention cohort. Atherosclerosis 231:436–441
- Avalos I, Chung CP, Oeser A, Gebretsadik T, Shintani A et al (2007) Increased augmentation index in rheumatoid arthritis and its relationship to coronary artery atherosclerosis. J Rheumatol 34:2388–2394
- 66. Yildiz M, Soy M, Kurum T, Ozbay G (2004) Increased pulse wave velocity and shortened pulse wave propagation time in young patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Can J Cardiol 20:1097–1100
- 67. van Sijl AM, Peters MJ, Knol DK, de Vet HC, Gonzalez-Gay MA et al (2011) Carotid intima media thickness in rheumatoid arthritis as compared to control subjects: a meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 40:389–397
- Georgiadis AN, Voulgari PV, Argyropoulou MI, Alamanos Y, Elisaf M et al (2008) Early treatment reduces the cardiovascular risk factors in newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis patients. Semin Arthritis Rheum 38:13–19

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Bafrin Abdulmajid^{1,2} · Annelies B. Blanken^{1,3,4} · Eva H. van Geel^{1,3} · Joost G. Daams⁴ · Michael T. Nurmohamed^{1,3,4}

- ¹ Department of Rheumatology, Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center, Location Reade, Amsterdam Dr. Jan van Breemenstraat 2, PO Box 58271, 1040 HG Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ² Amsterdam UMC, Location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ³ Department of Rheumatology, Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center, Location Amsterdam UMC, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁴ Department of Medical Library, Amsterdam UMC, Location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands