ERRATUM

Erratum to: Coffee or tea consumption and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis

Young Ho Lee · Sang-Cheol Bae · Gwan Gyu Song

Published online: 10 January 2015 © International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) 2015

Erratum to: Clin Rheumatol (2014) 33:1575–1583 DOI 10.1007/s10067-014-2631-1

We found errors in the statistical analysis of the meta-analysis on combining cohort studies and case-control studies. We corrected an error by placing a 14.2 with a 1.2 in the calculations of relative risk (RR) of Karlson et al. study. Thus, the magnitude of effect for all RA was 2.426 in the original text, but is 1.217 in the revised text. The magnitude for analysis by study design for the cohort studies was 4.148, and now is 1.309. However, the corrected results did not change the statistical significance of the previous results and conclusion of the meta-analysis. We added a meta-analysis figure for decaffeinated coffee and one for caffeinated coffee to the erratum (Fig. 3). We added a revised summary paragraph for the erratum.

A revised summary: Meta-analysis of the cohort studies revealed a trend of an association between total coffee intake

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10067-014-2631 -1.

Y. H. Lee (🖂) · G. G. Song

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 126-1, Anam-dong 5-ga, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-705, South Korea e-mail: lyhcgh@korea.ac.kr

S.-C. Bae

The Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Hanyang University Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea

and RA incidence (RR of the highest vs. the lowest group = 1.309, 95 % confidence interval [CI] = 0.967-1.771, p = 0.085). Meta-analysis of case-control studies showed a significant association between total coffee intake and RA incidence (RR = 1.201, 95 % CI = 1.058-1.361, p = 0.005). There were differences in the reference groups (all categories of coffee) between the case-control meta-analysis that showed a significant association and the cohort studies where meta-analysis results were non-significant. In addition, the highest category of coffee intake varied between Heliovaara et al. cohort study from Finland where the highest category included drinking up to 13 cups per day, compared to US studies where it was very unusual to have > 4 cups coffee intake per day. Combining the data of the cohort and case-control studies showed a significant association between total coffee intake and RA incidence (RR = 1.217, 95 % CI = 1.083-1.368, p = 0.001). Meta-analysis stratified by seropositivity indicated a significant association between coffee consumption and seropositive RA risk (RR=1.309, 95 % CI=1.142–1.499, $p=1.1 \times 10-5$), but not seronegative RA risk (RR=1.097, 95 % CI=0.886-1.357, p=0.396). There was no significant association between decaffeinated coffee consumption and RA incidence (RR=1.709, 95 % CI 0.786-3.715), or between caffeinated coffee consumption and RA incidence (RR=1.055, 95 % CI 0.782 - 1.421

We apologize for this mistake. The corrected Tables 2 and 3 and Figs. 1, 2 and 3 are presented as follows:

Coffee intake (cups/day)	No. of Studies	Study design	Person-years and/o	or subjects	Test of	association		Test of l	neterogenei	ty
			Highest (or case)	Lowest (or control)	RR	95% CI	p value	Model	p value	I^2
Total coffee	5	Both	331,573	237,094	1.217	1.083-1.368	0.001	F	0.791	0
	3	Cohort	330,970	236,149	1.309	0.967-1.771	0.085	F	0.502	0
	2	Case-control	603	945	1.201	1.058-1.364	0.005	F	0.810	0
Caffeinated	2	Cohort	285,251	340,340	1.055	0.782-1.421	0.727	F	0.715	0
Decaffeinated	2	Cohort	46,114	522,601	1.709	0.786-3.715	0.176	R	0.062	71.2
Caffeine, mg, or ml/day	3	Both	397,779	371,218	1.074	0.864-1.334	0.521	F	0.563	0
	2	Cohort	397,691	371,042	0.980	0.744-1.291	0.885	F	0.836	0
	1	Case-control	88	176	1.245	0.876-1.769	0.221	NA	NA	NA
Tea	3	Both	88,958	437,269	0.983	0.830-1.164	0.839	F	0.116	53.5
	2	Cohort	88,870	437,093	0.679	0.224-2.056	0.493	R	0.043	75.5
	1	Case-control	88	176	1.000	0.583-1.716	1.000	NA	NA	NA

Table 2. Meta-analysis of studies on coffee or tea intake and the incidence of rheumatoid arthritis

Both: Cohort and case-control studies; CI confidence interval; RR Relative risk; F Fixed effects model; R Random effects model; NA Not available.

Table 3. Meta-analysis of studies on coffee intake and the incidence of seropositive or seronegative RA

Population	No. of Studies	Study design	Person-years	and/or subjects	Test of a	association		Test of h	eterogeneity	
			Highest (or case)	Lowest (or control)	RR	95% CI	p value	Model	p value	ľ
Seropositive RA	3	Both	193,796	204,555	1.309	1.142-1.499	1.1×10^{-5}	F	0.485	0
	2	Cohort	193,487	203,788	1.580	0.981-2.546	0.060	F	0.374	0
	1	Case-control	309	767	1.287	1.116-1.483	0.001	NA	NA	NA
Seronegative RA	2	Both	14,010	1,763	1.097	0.886-1.357	0.396	F	0.828	0
-	1	Cohort	13,874	996	1.390	0.161-11.99	0.765	NA	NA	NA
	1	Case-control	136	767	1.094	0.883-1.355	0.411	NA	NA	NA

Both: Cohort and case–control studies; RA rheumatoid arthritis; CI confidence interval; RR Relative risk; F Fixed effects model; R Random effects model; NA Not available.

Α

А



Fig. 1 Meta-analysis of the association between coffee consumption and rheumatoid arthritis risk for the highest versus lowest groups of coffee intake in the overall group (A) and each study design group (B)

Lowest

Highest

Study name	Sta	tistics f	or each	study	Risk	ratio	o an	d 95	% C	
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value						
Karlson, 2003 Pedersen, 2006 Heliovaara, 2000	1.500 1.287 3.870 1.309	0.919 1.116 0.508 1.142	2.449 1.483 29.503 1.499	0.10513 0.00051 0.19163 0.00011	0.1 0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10
					Lov	vest		Higi	nest	
3										
} Study name	Sta	tistics f	or each	study	Risk	ratio	o an	d 95	% C	:1
} Study name	<u>Sta</u> Risk ratio	tistics for Lower limit	or each Upper limit	study p-Value	Risk	ratio	o an	d 95	% C	:1
3 Study name Pedersen, 2006	Sta Risk ratio 1.094	tistics for Lower limit 0.883	or each Upper limit 1.355	study p-Value 0.411	Risk	ratio	o an	d 95	% C	<u>i</u>
3 Study name Pedersen, 2006 Heliovaara, 2000	<u>Sta</u> Risk ratio 1.094 1.390	tistics for Lower limit 0.883 0.161	or each Upper limit 1.355 11.987	study p-Value 0.411 0.765	<u>Risk</u>	ratio	o an	d 95	% c	<u>:</u>
Study name Pedersen, 2006 Heliovaara, 2000	Sta Risk ratio 1.094 1.390 1.097	tistics for Lower limit 0.883 0.161 0.886	or each Upper limit 1.355 11.987 1.357	study p-Value 0.411 0.765 0.396	Risk	ratio	an	d 95	% C	:1
3 Study name ² edersen, 2006 -leliovaara, 2000	<u>Sta</u> Risk ratio 1.094 1.390 1.097	tistics f Lower limit 0.883 0.161 0.886	or each Upper limit 1.355 11.987 1.357	study p-Value 0.411 0.765 0.396	<u>Risk</u>	ratio	⊃an ∳ 1	d 95	% C	<u>اا</u>

Fig. 2 Meta-analysis of the association between coffee consumption and seropositive (A) or seronegative (B) rheumatoid arthritis risk for the highest versus lowest groups of coffee intake

Study name	Sta	tistics fo	or each	study	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value	
Karlson, 2003 Milkuls, 2002	1.100 0.980 1.055	0.756 0.598 0.782	1.600 1.605 1.421	0.618 0.936 0.727	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
					Lowest Highest
3					
} Study name	Sta	itistics f	or each	study	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
} Study name	Sta Risk ratio	tistics for Lower limit	or each Upper limit	study p-Value	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
Study name Karlson, 2003	Sta Risk ratio	tistics fo Lower limit 0.550	or each Upper limit 2.200	study p-Value 0.788	Risk ratio and 95% CI
Study name Karlson, 2003 Milkuls, 2002	Sta Risk ratio 1.100 2.440	tistics fo Lower limit 0.550 1.525	or each Upper limit 2.200 3.903	<u>study</u> p-Value 0.788 0.000	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
} Study name Karlson, 2003 Milkuls, 2002	<u>Sta</u> Risk ratio 1.100 2.440 1.709	tistics fo Lower limit 0.550 1.525 0.786	or each Upper limit 2.200 3.903 3.715	study p-Value 0.788 0.000 0.176	Risk ratio and 95% Cl
Study name Starlson, 2003 Milkuls, 2002	Sta Risk ratio 1.100 2.440 1.709	tistics for Lower limit 0.550 1.525 0.786	or each Upper limit 2.200 3.903 3.715	study p-Value 0.788 0.000 0.176	Risk ratio and 95% Cl 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Fig. 3 Meta-analysis of the association between caffeinated (A) or decaffeinated (B) coffee and rheumatoid arthritis risk for the highest versus lowest groups of coffee intake