



Comment to: Laparoscopic versus hybrid approach for treatment of incisional ventral hernia: a prospective randomized multicenter study of 1-month follow-up results. Ahonen-Siirtola M, Nevala T, Vironen J et al

D. Snegovskikh¹

Received: 23 January 2019 / Accepted: 11 March 2019 / Published online: 28 March 2019
© Springer-Verlag France SAS, part of Springer Nature 2019

To the Editor,

I read with great interest the article by Ahonen-Siirtola et al. in a recent issue of the journal [1]. The authors performed a randomized study involving 193 patients undergoing treatment for incisional ventral hernia repair and concluded that patients in the hybrid repair group had higher pain scores on the first postoperative day compared to the laparoscopic group. The authors should be applauded for performing a well-designed multicenter study in an important topic (e.g., acute pain) in patients undergoing surgery [2, 3]. The need to improve postoperative recovery by reducing moderate/severe postoperative pain makes the topic very important in hernia surgery [4, 5].

Although the study of Ahonen-Siirtola et al. was well conducted, there are several critical points that need to be clarified by the authors to determine the validity of their findings. First, it is unclear if the patients received the same intraoperative and postoperative analgesics as this has the potential to affect the results. It is possible that patients in the laparoscopic group received more analgesics and had, therefore, less pain than the hybrid group. Second, the authors evaluated multiple outcomes but it appears that they did not correct their analysis to avoid a type I error. Last, it is not clear who collected the postoperative data. It is known that pain scores collected by clinical nurses are not reliable for analgesic studies.

I would welcome comments by the authors as this would further support the findings of this important clinical study.

✉ D. Snegovskikh
Denis_Snegovskikh@brown.edu

¹ Department of Anesthesiology, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, 593 Eddy Street, Providence, RI 02903, USA

Funding None

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The author declares that no conflict of interest exists.

Ethical approval Not applicable; ethical approval is not needed.

Research involving human participants and or/animals Not applicable; correspondence does not contain any research involving participants or animals.

Informed consent Not applicable.

References

1. Ahonen-Siirtola M, Nevala T, Vironen J, Kössi J, Pinta T, Niemeläinen S, Keränen U, Ward J, Vento P, Karvonen J, Ohtonen P, Mäkelä J, Rautio T (2018) Laparoscopic versus hybrid approach for treatment of incisional ventral hernia: a prospective randomized multicenter study of 1-month follow-up results. *Hernia* 22:1015–1022
2. Ueshima H, Otake H (2017) Clinical experience of anterior quadratus lumborum block after lumbar surgery. *J Clin Anesth* 37:131
3. Akgül N, Yaprak M, Dođru V, Balci N, Arici C, Mesci A (2017) Quantitative assessment of the impacts of stoppa repair and total extraperitoneal repair on the lower extremity muscular functions in cases of unilateral inguinal hernia: a randomized controlled study. *Hernia* 21:377–382
4. Yoon JU, Choi YM, Choi EJ, Park JY, Kim HY (2018) Persistent cortical blindness following total laparoscopic distal gastrectomy. *J Clin Anesth* 50:44–45
5. Elbakry AE, Sultan WE, Ibrahim E (2018) A comparison between inhalational (desflurane) and total intravenous anaesthesia (propofol and dexmedetomidine) in improving postoperative recovery for morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a double-blinded randomised controlled trial. *J Clin Anesth* 45:6–11

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.