ORIGINAL PAPER

Igor I. Andrianov · Jan Awrejcewicz ·
Galina A. Starushenko · Vladimir A. Gabrinets

Refinement of the Maxwell formula for a composite reinforced by circular cross-section fibres. Part II: using Padé approximants

Received: 16 April 2020 / Revised: 4 July 2020 / Published online: 29 September 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract The effective properties of the fiber-reinforced composite materials with fibers of circle cross section are investigated. The novel estimation for the effective coefficient of thermal conductivity refining the classical Maxwell formula is derived. The method of asymptotic homogenization is used. For an analytical solution of the periodically repeated cell problem the Schwarz alternating process (SAP) was employed. Convergence of this method was proved by S. Mikhlin, S. Sobolev, V. Mityushev. Unfortunately, the rate of the convergence is often slow, especially for nondilute high-contrast composite materials. For improving this drawback we used Padé approximations for various forms of SAP solutions with the following additive matching of obtained expressions. As a result, the solutions in our paper are obtained in a fairly simple and convenient form. They can be used even for a volume fraction of inclusion very near the physically possible maximum value as well as for high-contrast composite constituents. The results are confirmed by comparison with known numerical and asymptotic results.

1 Introduction

This work extends the previous part of the paper [1]. In the paper [1] a detailed review of the literature is given, the relevance of the considered problem of the modification of Maxwell's formula is shown, and possible ways for its solution are described.

As it has been concluded in the first part of our investigations [1], in the case of the limiting large sizes of inclusions and conductivity, both MF and SAM do offer reliable results; they do describe neither qualitatively

I. I. Andrianov
Rhein Energie AG, Parkgürtel 24, 50823 Cologne, Germany
E-mail: i.andrianov@rheinenergie.com

J. Awrejcewicz (✉)
Department of Automation, Biomechanics and Mechatronics, Lodz University of Technology, Stefanowski St. 1/15, 90-924 Lodz, Poland
E-mail: jan.awrejcewicz@p.lodz.pl

G. A. Starushenko
Dnipro Regional Institute of Public Administration, National Academy of Public Administration, 29 Gogolya Str., Dnipro 49044, Ukraine
E-mail: gs_gala-star@mail.ru

V. A. Gabrinets
Dnipro National University of Railway Transport, Named after Academician V. Lazaryan 2 Ac. Lazaryan Str., Dnipro 49010, Ukraine
E-mail: gabrin62@gmail.com

nor quantitatively the behavior of the effective parameter and the emergence of an infinite physically confirmed cluster.

It means that the Schwarz alternating process in spite of its numerous benefits does not allow to study all related problems and requires modification. The latter requirement was already pointed out in the monograph [2]. In order to remove some drawbacks of SAM, Drygas et al. [2] employed a rigorous analysis based on infinite series. Our modification of the Schwarz alternating process (SAP) is based on the application of Padé approximants [3] to various forms of SAP solutions with additive matching of the obtained expressions. In result, our final form of solutions is simple and can be easily used in numerous applications.

Moreover, in order to address the question: why solutions for an ideally periodic lattice of inclusions are required, if in practice one deals with imperfections considered here and beyond ideal cases. For example, a center of each fiber can randomly deviate within a circle of diameter d , whereas these circles themselves form a regular square lattice of the period l . Such kind of a microstructure is usually referred to as a shaking-geometry composite [4,5]. In [4–6], it is shown that a regular lattice possesses the extreme effective properties among the corresponding shaking-geometry random structures. Therefore, a solution for the perfectly regular lattice can be considered as upper or lower bound on the effective transport coefficient.

This paper is organized as follows. Construction of the generalized relations for Maxwell's formula using Padé approximants (PA) is described in Sect. 2. The analysis of the obtained corrections to the MF is provided in Sect. 3. Numerical results are analyzed in Sect. 4. Finally, Sect. 5 presents the concluding remarks.

When referencing formulas from [1], we use triple numbering, e.g., formula (1.2.2) is formula (2.2) from [1].

2 Construction of Padé approximant

We consider the formula λ (1.4.2) obtained by the Schwarz alternating method (SAM) for the effective heat transfer parameter in part I of the paper:

$$\begin{aligned} q = & 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^3 + \dots + \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^2 a^6}{4} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} S_{\ell} \ell \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (\pi \ell)^{4n-2} a^{8n-4}}{2^{2n-2} (2n-1) (4n-1)!} \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^3 a^8}{16} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j j \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (\pi j)^{4m-2} a^{8m-4}}{(2m-1) (4m-1)! (4m-3)} \\ & \times \left((\pi \ell)^{4m-2} S_{\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{\ell+k+1} \frac{(4k-3)! (\pi \ell)^{4m-4k}}{2^{2k-2} \sinh \pi \ell} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (\pi \ell)^{4n-2} a^{8n-4}}{2^{2n-1} (2n-1) (4n-1)!}. \quad (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

In series (2.1), we leave the terms corresponding to $n = 2$, $m = 1$ of the series (1.4.7), (1.4.8), while, in the formula (1.4.5), we leave the terms of order not larger than a^{18} :

$$\begin{aligned} q = & 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^j a^{2j} + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^2 a^6}{4} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \\ & \times \left(\delta_1^{(1)} \frac{\pi^2 a^4}{4^2} + \delta_1^{(2)} \frac{\pi^6 a^{12}}{4^6} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \delta_2^{(11)} \frac{\pi^4 a^8}{4^4} \right) \\ = & 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \\ & \times \left(\delta_1^{(1)} + \frac{\pi^4 a^8}{4^4} \delta_1^{(2)} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi^3 a^6}{4^3} \delta_2^{(11)} \right). \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

Then we present the truncated series in (2.2) as a sum of two components, so-called the straight and inversed component. To this aim, we use the following transformations:

- (i) we transform the truncated series (2.2) into PA [0/18] with regard to parameter a (so-called inverse component):

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{[0/18]}(\lambda, a) = & \left[1 - 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 - 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 \right. \\
 & + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 \\
 & - \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4}{4^3} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{10} \\
 & + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^5}{4^4} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{12} + \\
 & - \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^7 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^6}{4^5} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{14} \\
 & + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^8 - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7}{4^6} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{16} + \\
 & \left. - \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^9 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)} - 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^8}{4^7} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{18} \right]^{-1} \\
 = & \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} \right. \\
 & \left. - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)} \right]^{-1}; \quad (2.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) we transform, using PA [18/0], expression (2.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) = & \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \right. \\
 & \left. \times \left(\delta_1^{(1)} + \frac{\pi^4 a^8}{4^4} \delta_1^{(2)} - \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi^3 a^6}{4^3} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) \right]^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also transfer function $q^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a)$ into PA [18/0], i.e., we obtain a new function $q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a)$, the so-called straight component of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) = & 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 \\
 & + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4}{4^3} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{10} \\
 & + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^5}{4^4} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{12} \\
 & + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^7 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^6}{4^5} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{14} \\
 & + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^8 - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7}{4^6} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^9 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)} - 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^8}{4^7} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{18} \\
& = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} \\
& \quad - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{2} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)}; \quad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) we match functions $q_{[0/18]}(\lambda, a)$ and $q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a)$ with regard to λ in the following way:

$$q(a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda+1} q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} q_{[0/18]}(\lambda, a).$$

This expression can be treated as three-point PA, because

- at $\lambda = 0$ expression

$$\begin{aligned}
q(a, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=0} &= q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) \Big|_{\lambda=0} = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(-\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j - \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{2} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} - \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

coincides with formula (2.2) at $\lambda = 0$, with the order of accuracy a^{14} ;

- at $\lambda = 1$ one has

$$q(a, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = \frac{1}{2} q_{[18/0]}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) \Big|_{\lambda=1} + \frac{1}{2} q_{[0/18]}(\lambda, a) \Big|_{\lambda=1} \equiv 1;$$

- at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the series expansion of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
q(a, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} &= q_{[0/18]}(\lambda, a) \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(-\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j - \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} - \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{2} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} - \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)}} \\
&\sim 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \frac{\pi a^{2j}}{4} + \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} + \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{2} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} + \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

coincides with expression (2.2) at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, with the order of accuracy a^{18} .

Therefore, combination of SAM-PA methods yields the following formulas for the effective heat transfer parameter:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\text{SAM-P}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda+1} \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^9 \left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^j - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4 a^{10}}{4^3} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7 a^{16}}{4^6} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right) \delta_2^{(11)} - \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^8 a^{18}}{4^7} \delta_1^{(2)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where the quantities $\delta_1^{(1)}$, $\delta_1^{(2)}$, $\delta_2^{(11)}$ are defined by formulas (1.4.8) and take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1^{(1)} &= \frac{8}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} S_{\ell} \ell^3; \\ \delta_1^{(2)} &= -\frac{64}{945} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} S_{\ell} \ell^7; \\ \delta_2^{(11)} &= \frac{32}{9} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left((\pi \ell)^2 S_{\ell} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell+2}}{\sinh \pi \ell} \right) \ell^3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j j^3.\end{aligned}\quad (2.6)$$

3 Analysis of the SAM-PA solution

In this Section we will carry out an analysis of the effective heat transfer parameter $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ (2.5), (2.6).

- (i) We show that splitting of expression (2.5) into a series for $a \rightarrow 0$ coincides with the original expression (2.2) for the effective coefficient with accuracy of a^{14} for arbitrary values of λ (the error caused by the second correction term Δ_2 is of order a^{16}). We have

$$\begin{aligned}q_{\text{SAM-P}} &\sim 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4}{4^3} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{10} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^5}{4^4} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{12} \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^7 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^6}{4^5} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{14} \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^8 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^7}{4^6} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{16} + O(a^{18}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0; \\ q &\sim 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4}{4^3} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{10} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^5}{4^4} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{12} \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^7 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^5 \frac{\pi^6}{4^5} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{14} \\ &+ \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^8 + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^7}{4^6} \delta_2^{(11)} \right) a^{16} + O(a^{18}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

- (ii) The Keller theorem [7] holds for $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ (2.5), since the terms of order a^{16} inclusively satisfy the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned}q_{\text{SAM-P}}(\lambda, a) &\sim 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^9 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^i + \frac{16}{\pi} \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^5 \\ &\times \left[\left(1 + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^3 \delta_2^{(11)} \right] + O(a^{20}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0; \\ q_{\text{SAM-P}}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) &\sim 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^8 \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^i + 2 \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^9\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{16}{\pi} \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^5 \\
& \times \left[\left(1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^2 \delta_1^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^3 \delta_2^{(11)} \right] + O(a^{20}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., we have

$$q_{\text{SAM-P}}(\lambda, a) \sim q_{\text{SAM-P}}^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}, a) + O(a^{18}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) Solution $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ (2.5), (2.6) is within the Hashin–Shtrikman pitchfork [8–10], since for $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$ we have

$$\frac{1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)}{1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)} = q_{\text{H-S}} \leq q_{\text{SAM-P}} \leq \bar{q}_{\text{H-S}} = \lambda \frac{2 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \frac{\pi a^2}{4}}{\frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(2 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)},$$

where $q_{\text{H-S}}$ and $\bar{q}_{\text{H-S}}$ denote lower and upper Hashin–Shtrikman bounds.

The left-hand side of the above inequality follows from comparison of the asymptotic formula $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ (3.1) and $q_{\text{H-S}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\text{H-S}} \sim & 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 \\
& + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 a^{10} + o(a^{10}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{\text{SAM-P}} \sim & 1 + 2 \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 a^4 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 a^6 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)^4 a^8 \\
& + \left(2 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^5 + \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right)^3 \frac{\pi^4}{4^3} \delta_1^{(1)} \right) a^{10} + o(a^{10}) \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

when $\lambda \geq 1$, $\delta_1^{(1)} > 0$.

If $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, the following estimation holds:

$$\lambda \frac{2 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \frac{\pi a^2}{4}}{\frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(2 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)} = q_{\text{H-S}} \leq q_{\text{SAM-P}} \leq \bar{q}_{\text{H-S}} = \frac{1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)}{1 + \frac{\pi a^2}{4} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \right)}.$$

The obtained inequality follows from (3.2), (3.3) for $\lambda \leq 1$.

Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 present values of the effective heat transfer coefficients $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$, yielded by formulas (2.5), (2.6). The behavior of the effective $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ parameter is of particular interest for the values of geometric and physical composite characteristics being closed to the limiting values. Therefore, we consider either very large (Figs. 3, 4) or very small (Figs. 1, 2) values of λ conductivity and we take into account large values of a (Figs. 5, 6, 7).

In Table 1, for average and large sizes of inclusions, the values of the effective heat transfer parameter are reported based on the carried out computations given in [11–16] for the case of absolutely conductive inclusions ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$). Further, they are compared with results of SAM-PA computations based on formulas (2.5), (2.6).

Table 2 reports the values of the averaged coefficient computed with a help of SAM-PA in contrast to the asymptotic solutions obtained in [11, 12, 15, 16] for inclusions of large sizes close to the limiting ones ($a \rightarrow 1$) and for large conductivity $\lambda \gg 1$ (including the limiting case $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$).

The so far carried out analysis of the obtained computational results allows to formulate the following statements.

- (i) The generalized SAM solution, with a help of the PA procedure, allows to essentially extend its area of applicability.

Fig. 1 Effective heat transfer coefficient: $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; $q_{\text{as s}}^{(0)}$ —asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11], recast by the Keller formula [7]; $q_{\text{MF}}(\bar{q}_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (upper Hashin–Shtrikman bound); $q_{\text{as}}^{(0)}$ —asymptotics (1.1.3)

Fig. 2 Effective heat transfer coefficient for inclusions of small conductivity $\lambda = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$: $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; q_f —formula (6.8.90) from [11]; $q_{\text{MF}}(\bar{q}_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (upper Hashin–Shtrikman bound); $q_{\text{as f}}^{(0)}$ —asymptotic formula (49) from [12], recast by the Keller formula [7]

Fig. 3 Effective heat transfer coefficient for inclusions with large conductivity $\lambda = 5 \cdot 10^2$: $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; q_f —formula (6.8.90) from [11]; $q_{\text{MF}}(\bar{q}_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (lower Hashin–Shtrikman bound); $q_{\text{as f}}^{(\infty)}$ —asymptotic formula (49) from [12]

Fig. 4 Effective heat transfer coefficient for the absolutely conductive inclusions ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$): $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; $q_{\text{as}}^{(\infty)}$ —asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11]; q_{num} —numerical solution [9]; $q_{\text{MF}} (q_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (lower Hashin–Shtrikman bound); $q_{\text{as}}^{(\infty)}$ —asymptotics (1.1.2)

Fig. 5 Effective heat transfer coefficient for large values of inclusions ($a = 0.9$): $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; $q_{\text{MF}} (q_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (Hashin–Shtrikman bounds); $q_{\text{as f}}^{(\infty)} (q_{\text{as f}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic formula (49) [12] (recast by Keller formula [7]); $q_{\text{as s}}^{(\infty)} (q_{\text{as s}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11] (recast by Keller formula [7])

- (ii) The formulas of the effective parameter (2.5), (2.6) correctly describe qualitatively its changes for limiting values of the geometric and physical composite parameters and yield the quantitative estimation close to the asymptotic ones for the inclusions size close to 1.
- (iii) The formula SAM-PA preserves accuracy accepted by practice for inclusions of the arbitrary conductivity ($0 \leq \lambda < \infty, \lambda \rightarrow \infty$) for the values $a \leq .996$.

4 Concluding remarks

The effective properties of the fiber-reinforced composite materials with fibers of circular cross section are investigated. The novel features of our research are concluded as:

- (i) Improvements of the SAM solution with a help of PA are developed.
- (ii) It is shown that the PA-modified solution does not change the structure of the effective heat transfer parameter. Namely, the following have been validated:
 - (a) Development into a series for small sizes of inclusions coincides with the input formula of the effective coefficient with accuracy up to the terms order of a^{14} inclusively;

Fig. 6 Effective heat transfer coefficient for large values of inclusions $a = 0.95$: $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; $q_{\text{MF}}(q_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (Hashin–Shtrikman bounds); $q_{\text{as f}}^{(\infty)}(q_{\text{as f}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic formula (49) from [12] (recast by the Keller formula [7]); $q_{\text{as s}}^{(\infty)}(q_{\text{as s}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11] (recast by Keller formula [7])

Fig. 7 Effective heat transfer coefficient for sizes of inclusions close to the maximum possible $a = 0.995$: $q_{\text{SAM-P}}$ —SAM-PA; $q_{\text{SAM(N)}}$ —generalized SAM; $q_{\text{MF}}(q_{\text{H-S}})$ —MF (Hashin–Shtrikman bounds); $q_{\text{as f}}^{(\infty)}(q_{\text{as f}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic formula (49) from [12] (recast by the Keller formula [7]); $q_{\text{as s}}^{(\infty)}(q_{\text{as s}}^{(0)})$ —asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11] (recast by Keller formula [7])

- (b) The Keller theorem is satisfied asymptotically including terms of order a^{16} ;
- (c) The proposed result is within the Hashin–Shtrikman pitchfork.
- (iii) Analysis of the SAM-PA relations and comparison of our computational results with those obtained by others authors allow to conclude that the transformation of the N-iteration SAM solution with a help of PA essentially extends the area of its applicability. Namely, the N-iteration SAM solution describes the percolation transition and gives close to asymptotic values of the reduced parameter up to the size of inclusions “ $a \approx 0.996$ ”.

Table 1 Effective heat transfer coefficient for absolutely conductive inclusions

Concentration of inclusions c Size of inclusions a	Expansion (3.9) from [14]	PA (3.12) from [14]	Formula (3.13) from [14]	Numerical results from [13]	Asymptotic formula (49) from [12]	Asymptotic solution (6.6.61) from [11]	Improved three-phase model [15]	Three-phase model and PA [16]	SAM-PA
0.1									
0.3568	1.210	1.247	1.223	1.2222	1.2214	1.2222	1.2234	1.2222	1.2222
0.2									
0.5046	1.470	1.544	1.506	1.5003	1.4973	1.5003	1.5065	1.5000	1.5001
0.3									
0.6180	1.811	1.918	1.879	1.8602	1.8546	1.8602	1.8790	1.8572	1.8582
0.4									
0.7136	2.306	2.417	2.395	2.3510	2.3432	2.3510	2.3955	2.3341	2.3394
0.5									
0.7979	3.270	3.145	3.172	3.0802	3.0700	3.0802	3.1720	3.0118	3.0301
0.6									
0.8740	7.106	4.386	4.517	4.3418	4.3245	4.3418	4.5175	4.1247	4.1771
0.7									
0.9441	–	7.409	7.769	7.4327	7.3857	7.4327	7.7695	6.9814	7.1213
0.74									
0.9707	–	10.91	11.46	11.0062	10.9254	11.0065	11.4624	10.7726	10.9935
0.76									
0.9837	–	15.29	15.99	15.4412	15.3284	15.4411	15.9902	15.7958	16.0741
0.77									
0.9901	–	20.18	21.04	20.4334	20.2952	20.4317	21.0488	21.2061	21.4902
0.78									
0.9966	–	35.01	36.60	35.934	35.7525	35.9216	36.6519	33.4056	33.4999

Table 2 Effective heat transfer coefficient for large sizes of inclusions and large conductivity

Conductivity of inclusions $\lambda = 10^2$							Conductivity of inclusions $\lambda = 5 \times 10^2$				
Size of inclusions a	Asymptotic solution [12]	Improved three-phase model [15]	Three-phase model and PA [162]	SAM-PA	Formula (6.8.90) from [11]	Size of inclusions a	Asymptotic solution [12]	Improved three-phase model [15]	Three-phase model and PA [16]	SAM-PA	Formula (6.8.90) from [11]
0.9	4.9108	5.0044	4.5270	4.5926	5.0255	0.9	5.0347	5.2456	4.7283	4.8007	5.2733
0.91	5.2473	5.3449	4.8118	4.8861	5.3756	0.91	5.3980	5.6282	5.0517	5.1345	5.6675
0.92	5.6413	5.7411	5.1482	5.2325	5.7840	0.92	5.8277	6.0792	5.4404	5.5353	6.1333
0.93	6.1112	6.2097	5.5546	5.6500	6.2683	0.93	6.3467	6.6213	5.9197	6.0287	6.6945
0.94	6.6841	6.7753	6.0584	6.1665	6.8542	0.94	6.9901	7.2893	6.5296	6.6555	7.3875
0.95	7.4037	7.4765	6.7043	6.8268	7.5818	0.95	7.8164	8.1407	7.3380	7.4842	8.2719
0.96	8.3438	8.3770	7.5684	7.7068	8.5175	0.96	8.9315	9.2776	8.4687	8.6398	9.4535
0.97	9.6432	9.5934	8.7920	8.9479	9.7815	0.97	10.5536	10.9067	10.1733	10.3755	11.1447
0.98	11.5936	11.3680	10.6703	10.8431	11.6229	0.98	13.2351	13.5334	13.0554	13.2939	13.8619
0.99	14.8171	14.3260	13.9399	14.1167	14.6791	0.99	19.0663	18.9367	19.0093	19.0093	19.4089
0.991	15.2200	14.7431	14.4058	14.5808	15.1086	0.991	20.1058	19.8518	19.9743	20.2269	20.3435
0.992	15.6149	15.1940	14.9093	15.0816	15.5725	0.992	21.3187	20.8999	21.0549	21.3008	21.4123
0.993	15.9721	15.6836	15.4549	15.6237	16.0759	0.993	22.7595	22.1169	22.2732	22.5087	22.6517
0.994	16.2297	16.2179	16.0484	16.2124	16.6246	0.994	24.5101	23.5539	23.6571	23.8773	24.1128
0.995	16.2513	16.8041	16.6962	16.8541	17.2260	0.995	26.7012	25.2868	25.2431	25.4411	25.8720
0.996	–	–	17.4063	17.5561	17.8893	0.996	29.5560	–	27.0788	27.2450	28.0480
Conductivity of inclusions $\lambda = 10^3$							Conductivity of inclusions $\lambda = 10^5$				
Size of inclusions a	Asymptotic solution [12]	Improved three-phase model [15]	Three-phase model and PA [16]	SAM-PA	Formula (6.8.90) from [11]	Size of inclusions a	Asymptotic solution [12]	Improved three-phase model [15]	SAM-PA	Formula (6.8.90) from [11]	
0.9	5.0502	5.2776	4.7552	4.8286	5.3064	0.9	5.0656	5.3099	4.8541	5.3395	
0.91	5.4168	5.6661	5.0841	5.1681	5.7067	0.91	5.4355	5.7043	5.1989	5.7461	
0.92	5.8510	6.1249	5.4803	5.5767	6.1805	0.92	5.8741	6.1709	5.6147	6.2282	
0.93	6.3761	6.6774	5.9701	6.0812	6.7527	0.93	6.4053	6.7342	6.1295	6.8116	
0.94	7.0283	7.3605	6.5958	6.7243	7.4613	0.94	7.0662	7.4325	6.7884	7.5362	
0.95	7.8680	8.2343	7.4290	7.5789	8.3692	0.95	7.9190	8.3297	7.6668	8.4683	
0.96	9.0049	9.4080	8.6017	8.7781	9.5890	0.96	9.0776	9.5418	8.9076	9.7279	
0.97	10.6674	11.1050	10.3864	10.5962	11.3503	0.97	10.7801	11.3109	10.8051	11.5637	

Acknowledgements This work has been supported by the Polish National Science Center under the Grant OPUS 14 No. 2017/27/B/ST8/01330.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Andrianov, I.I., Awrejcewicz, J., Starushenko, G.A., Gabrinets, V.A.: Refinement of the Maxwell formula for composite reinforced by circular cross-section fibres. Part I: using Schwarz alternating method. *Acta Mech.* (submitted) (2020)
2. Drygas, P., Gluzman, V., Mityushev, S., Nawalaniec, W.: *Applied Analysis of Composite Media: Analytical and Computational Results for Materials Scientists and Engineers*. Elsevier, New York (2020). <https://www.amazon.com/Applied-Analysis-Composite-Media-Computational-ebook/dp/B07ZB4K3YV>
3. Baker, G.A., Graves-Morris, P.: *Padé Approximants*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996)
4. Berlyand, L., Mityushev, V.: Generalized Clausius–Mossotti formula for random composite with circular fibres. *J. Stat. Phys.* **102**, 11–145 (2001)
5. Berlyand, L., Mityushev, V.: Increase and decrease of the effective conductivity of two phase composites due to polydispersity. *J. Stat. Phys.* **118**, 48–509 (2005)
6. Kozlov, G.M.: Geometrical aspects of averaging. *Russ. Math. Surveys* **44**, 91–144 (1989)
7. Keller, J.B.: A theorem on the conductivity of a composite medium. *J. Math. Phys.* **5**(4), 548–549 (1964)
8. Hashin, Z., Shtrikman, S.: A variational approach to the theory of the effective magnetic permeability of multiphase materials. *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 3125–3131 (1962)
9. Hashin, Z., Shtrikman, S.: A variational approach to the theory of the elastic behaviour of multiphase materials. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **11**, 127–140 (1963)
10. Zhikov, V.V.: Estimates for the averaged matrix and the averaged tensor. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **46**(3), 65–136 (1991)
11. Gluzman, S., Mityushev, V., Nawalaniec, W.: *Computational Analysis of Structured Media*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2017)
12. McPhedran, R.C., Poladian, L., Milton, G.W.: Asymptotic studies of closely spaced, highly conducting cylinders. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **415**, 185–196 (1988)
13. Perrins, W.T., McKenzie, D.R., McPhedran, R.C.: Transport properties of regular arrays of cylinders. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **369**, 207–225 (1979)
14. Kalamkarov, A.L., Andrianov, I.V., Danishevs'kyy, V.V.: Asymptotic homogenization of composite materials and structures. *Appl. Mech. Rev.* **62**(3), 030802-1–030802-20 (2009)
15. Andrianov, I.V., Awrejcewicz, J., Starushenko, G.A.: Application of an improved three-phase model to calculate effective characteristics for a composite with cylindrical inclusions. *Lat. Am. J. Sol. Struct.* **10**(1), 197–222 (2013)
16. Kalamkarov, A.L., Andrianov, I.V., Starushenko, G.A.: Three-phase model for a composite material with cylindrical circular inclusions. Part II: application of Padé approximants. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **78**, 178–191 (2014)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.