**PSYCHIATRY AND PRECLINICAL PSYCHIATRIC STUDIES - ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Brain-derived neurotrophic factor protects serotonergic neurons against 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine ("Ecstasy") induced cytoskeletal damage

F. Bavato<sup>1</sup> · S. Stamatakos<sup>1,2</sup> · C. M. Yde Ohki<sup>3</sup> · E. Seifritz<sup>1</sup> · P. Romualdi<sup>2</sup> · E. Grünblatt<sup>3,4,5</sup> · B. B. Quednow<sup>1,4,5</sup>

Received: 4 March 2022 / Accepted: 6 April 2022 / Published online: 14 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

## Abstract

3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "Ecstasy") use has been linked to persistent alterations of the brain serotonergic (5-HT) system in animal and human studies, but the molecular underpinnings are still unclear. Cytoskeletal structures such as neurofilament light chain (NfL) are promising markers of drug-induced brain toxicity and may be involved in MDMA neurotoxicity. The brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) promotes the growth and sprouting of 5-HT neurons and its differential response to MDMA administration was suggested to mediate dose- and region-dependent 5-HT damage by MDMA. However, the role of BDNF pre-treatment in preventing MDMA neurotoxicity and the potential effects of MDMA on NfL are still elusive. Therefore, a differentiated 5-HT neuronal cell line obtained from rat raphe nucleus (RN46A) was treated in vitro with either MDMA, BDNF, MDMA + BDNF, or vehicle. Cell viability (measured by MTT) and intracellular NfL levels (immunocytochemistry assay) were reduced by MDMA, but partially rescued by BDNF co-treatment. Our findings confirmed that BDNF levels can influence MDMA-induced 5-HT damage, and support BDNF to be a crucial target for neuroprotective interventions of the 5-HT system. We also provide evidence on the sensitivity of NfL to MDMA neurotoxicity, with potential implications for *in-vivo* monitoring of drug-induced neurotoxicity.

Keywords  $Ecstasy \cdot MDMA \cdot Neurotoxicity \cdot Neurofilament light chain \cdot Brain-derived neurotrophic factor \cdot Serotonergic neurons$ 

F. Bavato and S. Stamatakos contributed equally to the work as shared first authors.

E. Grünblatt and B. B. Quednow contributed equally to the work as shared last authors.

F. Bavato francesco.bavato@bli.uzh.ch

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Psychiatric University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Lenggstrasse 31, CH-8032 Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Psychiatric University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>4</sup> Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>5</sup> Neuroscience Center Zurich, University of Zurich and Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

# Introduction

3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "Ecstasy") is a synthetic ring-substituted amphetamine derivate, which holds psychoactive proprieties through direct and indirect serotonergic (5-HT) and noradrenergic mechanisms (Gudelsky and Yamamoto 2008; Hysek et al. 2011). Due to its entactogenic effects, including enhancement of emotional empathy and prosocial feelings, MDMA is widely used for recreational purposes, especially in the club scene (Hysek et al. 2014). While in-vivo evidence of MDMA neurotoxicity from human studies is still limited, MDMA administration was consistently shown to induce selective and long-term damage of 5-HT neuron in animal and in-vitro models (Green et al. 2003). In animal studies, MDMAinduced alterations were shown to be dose- and regiondependent, with higher susceptibility for hippocampus and midbrain regions (Martínez-Turrillas et al. 2006; Battaglia et al. 1991). Particularly, hippocampal and fronto-cortical changes have been suggested to underlie consistently shown

memory impairments in chronic MDMA users (Gouzoulis-Mayfrank et al. 2003; Wunderli et al. 2017; Abad et al. 2016; Quednow et al. 2006). Environmental factors (e.g., social stress) have also been suggested to mediate the individual vulnerability to MDMA-induced cognitive impairment, but a clear understanding of this interaction is still lacking (García-Pardo et al. 2017).

The neurobiological underpinnings by which MDMA induces 5-HT damage are still unclear. It was postulated, that the massive and prolonged alterations of 5-HT signaling elicited by MDMA may impair the energetic cellular homeostasis and activate oxidative stress pathways in 5-HT neurons (Huether et al. 1997; Sprague et al. 1998; Shankaran et al. 2001; Yamamoto and Raudensky 2008). MDMA was also linked to altered expression of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which serves as a neurotrophin by promoting the growth and sprouting of 5-HT neurons (Hemmerle et al. 2012). In particular, the differential response of BDNF expression to MDMA administration observed in distinct brain regions in rats was suggested to mediate regiondependent neurotoxicity (Martínez-Turrillas et al. 2006). Regional differences in BDNF response may also differently drive the long-term recovery of 5-HT axons after MDMA treatment (Adori et al. 2010). Consequently, BDNF may be a potential molecular target for interventions directed to prevent or treat MDMA-induced brain toxicity. In this direction, BDNF administration with intracortical infusion was found to promote the regrowth of 5-HT axons in adult rats treated with a 5-HT-specific neurotoxin (p-chloroamphetamine) (Mamounas et al. 2000). On the other hand, reduced BDNF response, as observed under prolonged social stress exposure in different animal models, may increase the susceptibility to drug-induced cognitive impairments (García-Pardo et al. 2017; Miczek et al. 2011). However, the role of BDNF pre-treatment in preventing MDMA neurotoxicity was not investigated yet.

Persistent neural alterations after MDMA administration were not only shown at a synaptic level, but also involve axonal degeneration and impairment of cytoskeletal structures (Abad et al. 2016; García-Cabrerizo and García-Fuster 2015; Capela et al. 2009; Fischer et al. 1995; Petschner, et al. 2018; Ly et al. 2018). In particular, chronic exposure to MDMA was reported to decrease neurofilament proteins (NFs) in the hippocampus of adolescent and young adult rats (García-Cabrerizo and García-Fuster 2015). NFs are intermediate filaments exclusively expressed in neurons, which holds structural functions by maintaining radial growth of axons, but are also involved in neurotransmission and axonal transport (Yuan et al. 2017). A reduction of NFs in different brain areas was also observed after exposure to methamphetamine, cocaine, and morphine (Beitner-Johnson et al. 1992; Sanchez et al. 2003). Importantly, NFs are released in extracellular matrices during neuroaxonal damage and could now be detected in blood by new-generation immunoassay methods (Disanto et al. 2017). Consequently, NFs and particularly the neurofilament light chain (NfL) subunit recently emerged as promising *in-vivo* markers of active brain pathology in several neuropsychiatric disorders, including multiple sclerosis, neurodegenerative diseases, traumatic brain injuries, and depression (Barro et al. 2018; Khalil et al. 2018; Bavato et al. 2021). Our recent work also reported elevated NfL levels in subjects with ketamine dependence and in chronic cocaine users, confirming its sensitivity to drug-induced neurotoxicity (Liu, et al. 2021; Bavato, et al. 2022). Thus, NfL represents an ideal translational biomarker of drug-induced brain pathology, which could be applied in both preclinical and clinical settings.

In the current study, we investigated the effects of MDMA treatment on cell viability and NfL levels in a differentiated 5-HT neuronal cell line obtained from rat raphe nucleus (RN46A) and the potential protective role of BDNF treatment. RN46A line was selected as raphe nucleus in rat was shown to be sensitive to MDMA-induced toxicity and RN46A also express NfL (Mamounas et al. 2000; White et al. 1994). We hypothesized that MDMA administration will impair cell viability (measured using MTT assay) and reduce NfL levels (verified in immunocytochemistry assays) and that both effects will be at least partially prevented by BDNF treatment. Our findings may elucidate the interaction between BNDF and 5-HT neurotoxicity induced by MDMA administration.

# Methods

## Reagents

DMEM/F-12 (11,320,033, Gibco<sup>™</sup>), Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (16,000,036, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), poly-D-lysine (P0899, Sigma Aldrich), laminin (23,017,015, Gibco<sup>™</sup>), trypsin–EDTA 0.25% (25,200,056, Gibco<sup>™</sup>), neurobasal medium (21,103,049, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), B27 (17,504,044, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), PBS with calcium and magnesium (14,040,133, Gibco<sup>™</sup>), PBS, pH 7.4 w/o Calcium and magnesium (10,010,015, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), LookOut Mycoplasma PCR Detection kit (Thermofisher). D,I-MDMA.HCl (Lipomed) and BDNF (B3795, Thermofisher), Cell Proliferation Kit I (MTT) ( 11,465,007,001, Roche), MAP-2 (dilution 1:1000, 188 004 Synaptic Systems), anti-NfL antibody (2835, Cell signaling), DAPI (ab228549, abcam), Alexa Fluor® 647 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-guinea pig IgG 800X (dilution 1:1000, Jackson ImmunoResearch), goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 555 (diluition 1:50, A-21422 TermoFisher), DAKO Fluorescence Mounting Medium (S3023, Agilent). For further information see Supplementary Material 1.

## **Cell culture**

Rat brain raphe nucleus RN46A cell line was obtained from the laboratory of Prof. Whittemore (Kentucky Spinal Cord Injury Research Center). The cells (passage 21–27) were maintained in culture in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 33 °C in DMEM/F-12 (11,320,033, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>) medium supplemented with 10% of FBS (16,000,036, Gibco<sup>™</sup>) in six-well cell culture plates (83.3920, Sarstedt). For new passages, at approximately 80% confluency, the cells were removed by adding Trypsin–EDTA (25,200,056, Gibco<sup>™</sup>). To induce differentiation, RN46A cells (10,000 cells/well) were seeded for 24 h with DMEM/F-12 in 96-well plate (Nunc 167,008, Thermofisher) coated with poly-D-lysine (P0899, Sigma Aldrich) and laminin (code 23,017,015, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>). Then, the medium was changed with neurobasal medium (21,103,049 Gibco<sup>TM</sup>) supplemented with 2% B27 (17,504,044, Gibco<sup>TM</sup>) and after an adaptation period of 24 h at 33 °C, the temperature was shifted to 37 °C, to initiate the differentiation. All of the experiments were performed after 8 days of differentiation induction. The neurobasal medium was changed every 3 days. RN46A cells were negatively tested for mycoplasma contamination with the LookOut Mycoplasma PCR Detection kit (Thermofisher) (see Supplementary Material 2). The expression of 5-HT transporters in RN46A cells was not specifically tested in our study as it has been widely demonstrated in previous investigations (White et al. 1994; Fjorback et al. 2009; Rumajogee et al. 2006; Eaton et al. 1995).

#### Cell viability assay (MTT)

BDNF (B3795, Thermofisher) and d,1-MDMA.HCl (Lipomed) were dissolved in sterile water to create a stock solution at a concentration of 2000 ng/mL and 20 mM, respectively. For each experiment, fresh stock solution was diluted in culture medium (supplemented by B27) to obtain the final concentration of MDMA (1.3 mM) and BDNF (100 ng/mL). The neurotoxicity and the 50% growth inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) of RN46A was determined after exposing RN46A differentiated cells to different concentrations of MDMA (0.25-0.5-1-1.5-2 mM) for 24 h and 48 h (see Supplementary Material 3). For the pre-treatment studies, the cells were pretreated with 100 ng/mL BDNF 1 h prior to the MDMA treatment that was set to 1.3 mM (IC50 dose). For control experiments, sterile water (same volume as MDMA solution) was diluted in culture medium, to exclude buffer-associated effects. MTT assays were performed 24 h and 48 h after treatments following the Cell Proliferation Kit I (MTT) (11,465,007,001, Roche) manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, after the treatments,

the cells were incubated with the MTT solution (final concentration 0.5 mg/mL) at 37 °C for 4 h. Then, the solubilization buffer was added and the well plate was allowed to stay in the incubator overnight to ensure that the formazan crystals were dissolved. The optical density was measure at 570 nm with a reference wavelength of 670 nm, using the Mithras2 LB 943 Multimode Reader (Berthold Technologies). Cell viability was reported as a percentage of vehicle-treated controls. All experiments were performed at least in quadruplicates.

#### Immunofluorescence analysis

For immunocytochemistry experiments, RN46A cells (10,000 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates (Nunc 96-well plates, 167,008, Thermofisher) coated with poly-d-lysine (0.05 mg/mL, Sigma Aldrich, P0899) and laminin (0.01 mg/ mL, Gibco™, 23,017,015). After 8 days under differentiation conditions, the cells were pre-treated with 100 ng/mL BDNF or vehicle 1 h prior to 1.3 mM (IC<sub>50</sub>) of MDMA for 24 h and 48 h. Following the incubation times, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with paraformaldehyde 4% for 20 min at room temperature (RT), washed three times with PBS and permeabilized with blocking buffer solution (0.1% of Triton X-100, 1% BSA in PBS). The cells were incubated with MAP-2 (dilution 1:1000), and NfL (dilution 1:50) overnight at 4 °C. After 3 × washing with PBS, cells were incubated with secondary Donkey anti-guinea pig IgG Alexa Fluor 647 800X (dilution 1:1000), Goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 555 (dilution 1:50) and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 1:100; Abcam) for 30 min at RT. Cells were washed 3×with PBS and one drop per well of fluorescence mounting medium was added. Immunofluorescence was detected with the cellSens Dimension software (version 2.3) on an Olympus fluorescent microscope. Two independent experiments, performed in triplicate (five pictures per each well), were analyzed.

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism version 7.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Quantitative variables were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Group effects were analyzed by one-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiples comparison or Kruskal–Wallis followed by Dunn's multiples comparison test. To normalize the data of treatment effects on RN46A 5-HT neurons, the mean value of the control condition from two independent experiments with similar OD values was used. Quantitative data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Results with p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

# Effects of MDMA and BDNF on cell viability by MTT assay

Differentiation success was check under the microscope for morphological changes and using immunocytochemistry staining of MAP-2 marker, indicating mature neurons (see Supplementary Material 4). To determine the concentration of MDMA needed to cause 50% cell death/cell survival, differentiated RN46A cell line was exposed to different doses of MDMA for 24 h and 48 h. The IC<sub>50</sub> was found to be 1.75 mM after 24 h and 1.15 mM after 48 h of the treatment (see Supplementary Material 4). To compare the effect on MDMA both at 24 h and 48 h, the concentration of MDMA was selected to be at 1.3 mM. The effect of BDNF on cell viability after MDMA was assessed using the MTT assay at 24 h and 48 h, utilizing 100 ng/ ml of BDNF given 1 h prior 1.3 mM of MDMA. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between MDMAand BDNF + MDMA-conditions compared to control at both 24 h (MDMA vs CTRL: F = 242.74, p < 0.001; BDNF + MDMA vs. CTRL: F = 32.47, p < 0.001) and 48 h (MDMA vs. CTRL: F = 824.94, p < 0.001; BDNF + MDMA vs. CTRL: F = 1071.25, p < 0.001), while a significant difference between BDNF + MDMA compared to MDMA-condition was only found at 24 h (24 h: F = 34.68, p < 0.001; 48 h: F = 2.20, p = 0.160) (Fig. 1). In particular, MDMA-induced reduction of cell viability was partially counteracted by concomitant BDNF administration at 24 h but not at 48 h.

# Effects of MDMA and BDNF on NfL by ICC analysis

Two independent experiments, five pictures per well (3 wells per treatment), taken at  $20 \times magnification$ . The positive cells for NfL were counted manually and expressed in % relative to the total number of cells, assessed as DAPI positive cells. The immunocytochemistry assay revealed that the percentage of positive cell for NfL was significantly lower in MDMA and BDNF+MDMA-treated neurons compared to vehicle controls at 24 h (MDMA vs. CTRL: F = 92.84, *p* < 0.001; BDNF + MDMA vs. CTRL: F = 50.02, p < 0.001). Differently, the percentage of positive cell for NfL at 48 h was significantly lower in MDMA but not it BDNF+MDMA-treated neurons compared to vehicle controls (MDMA vs. CTRL: H(1) = 30.28, p = 0.001; BDNF + MDMA vs. CTRL: H(1) = 2.21, p = 0.137). Overall, the reducing effect of MDMA on NfL was counteracted by the pre-treatment with BDNF administration at both time-points (Figs. 2 and 3) (MDMA vs. BDNF + MDMA at 24 h: F = 9.95, p = 0.004; MDMA vs. BDNF + MDMA at 48 h: H(1) = 17.87, p < 0.001).





NOMA BONFINDMA

150

100

50·

0

cTRL

% cell viabilty

48h

Fig. 1 Effect of BDNF and MDMA treatment on RN46A serotonergic neurons viability. A Percentage of RN46A cell viability at 24 h. B Percentage of RN46A cell viability at 48 h. ANOVA followed by

Tukey's multiple comparison test: \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001 compared to CTRL; ###p < 0.001, compared to MDMA. The values represent the mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 independent experiments (n = 5/9)

BONF

Fig. 2 Alterations in NfLpositive 5-HT neurons after MDMA and protection via BDNF treatment at 24 h. A Exemplary immunofluorescence staining of NfL in RN46A differentiated cells at 24 h. NfL are stained red and cell nuclei are stained blue (DAPI). Scale bar: 200 µm. B The mean percentage of NfL-positive cells after 24 h treatment. The data represents mean  $\pm$  SD (CTRL n = 27, BDNF n = 27, MDMA n = 17, BDNF+MDMA n = 15) and are analyzed by ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001





#### Discussion

The main goals of the study were to clarify whether MDMAinduced toxicity on 5-HT neurons is rescued by BDNF treatment and to evaluate the sensitivity of NfL to MDMAinduced toxicity.

In the current investigation, a pre-treatment with BDNF partially prevented the decrease in cell viability of 5-HT neurons (RN46A) exposed to MDMA after 24 h. This finding is coherent with the literature on the protective functions of BDNF on 5-HT neurons (Homberg et al. 2014). Belonging to the neurotrophins family, BDNF supports the maintenance of monoaminergic and cholinergic neurons. A number of studies has demonstrated that reduced BDNF levels affect 5-HT signaling and the development of the serotonergic phenotype in neurons, but they also reported bidirectional

interactions of 5-HT on BDNF levels (Homberg et al. 2014). In particular, the lack of 5-HT transporters in knockout rats, but also the low activity polymorphism of the 5-HT transporter in humans, were consistently linked to reduction of BDNF expression (Bhang et al. 2011; Molteni et al. 2010). It was also proposed that a negative spiral of low BDNF levels and impaired 5-HT signaling may lead to a reduction of brain resilience to environmental challenges, with crucial implications for neuropsychiatric disorders such as depression (Homberg et al. 2014). Similarly, different pattern of BDNF expression may also mediate individual vulnerability to MDMA-induced damage. Environmental stress (acute social defeat) has been shown to increase MDMA-induced cognitive impairment and depression-like behavior (García-Pardo et al. 2017). Alterations of BDNF in response to social stress have been also suggested to mediate individual

Fig. 3 Alterations in NfL-positive 5-HT neurons after MDMA and protection via BDNF treatment at 48 h. A Exemplary immunofluorescence staining of NfL in RN46A differentiated cells at 48 h. NF-L are stained red and cell nuclei are stained blue (DAPI). Scale bar: 200 µm. B The mean percentage of NF-L-positive cells after 48 h treatment. The data represents mean  $\pm$  SD (CTRL n = 29, BDNF n = 27, MDMA n = 16, BDNF + MDMA n = 25) and are analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test. \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001



vulnerability to other stimulant drugs (e.g., cocaine) (Miczek et al. 2011). BDNF may therefore represent a crucial pathway in determining individual differences in vulnerability for drug-induced neurotoxicity.

Not surprisingly, the strong modulation of 5-HT transmission by MDMA administration affects BNDF levels itself. Elevated BDNF levels in cortex but not in hippocampus were observed in rats treated with MDMA (Ádori et al. 2010). Moreover, high-affinity (i.e., Trk-B) and low-affinity (p75NTR) neurotrophin receptors were shown to be upregulated in the prefrontal cortex of rats treated with MDMA (Hemmerle et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012). Considering these previous observations and our current findings, we suggest that BDNF elevation in specific brain regions can counteract MDMA-induced damage. On the contrary, the lack of a BNDF response in brain regions such as the hippocampus may explain their vulnerability to MDMA-induced toxicity. The absence of BDNF-related protective effects at 48 h may result from a saturation of this neuroprotective pathways over time. A prolonged exposition to MDMA could overwhelm the capacity of scavenging systems to protect the cellular homeostasis against oxidative stress reactions (Shankaran et al. 2001). In this direction, neural changes induced by MDMA were shown to be strongly variable with dosage regimen (Green et al. 2003).

The immunocytochemistry experiments confirmed a global decrease of NfL-positive neurons following MDMA treatment. Here, BDNF pre-treatment prevented NfL reduction at both 24 h and 48 h. This result suggest substantial neuroaxonal alterations after MDMA use and are in agreement with a previous study, in which NFs levels were decreased in rat hippocampus after chronic MDMA

treatment (García-Cabrerizo and García-Fuster 2015). Other substances such as methamphetamine, morphine, and cocaine were also found to decrease NFs levels in different brain areas (Beitner-Johnson et al. 1992; Sanchez et al. 2003). The reduction of NfL induced by MDMA may also underlie the macroscopic alterations observed in MDMA-treated brain slices, which include marked reduction in 5-HT axonal density and damage of axon terminals (Capela et al. 2009). In knockout experiments, mice lacking NfL had severe inhibition of axon radial growth, nerve conduction and axonal regeneration, but also showed dysfunctions of synaptic transmission and altered hippocampus-dependent spatial memory (Yuan et al. 2017). Notably, impairment of hippocampus-dependent spatial learning was repeatedly reported in animals treated with MDMA and was linked to dysfunctional synaptic adaptations (Piper and Meyer 2004; Busceti et al. 2008). Memory dysfunctions were also the most consistent cognitive symptoms associated with MDMA use in humans (Gouzoulis-Mayfrank et al. 2003; Wunderli et al. 2017; Kalechstein et al. 2007). The protective effects of BDNF on NfL were even more consistent than in the cell viability experiments, and were still significant at 48 h. Thus, our findings support NfL to be a suitable translational marker to investigate MDMA-induced neuronal toxicity. Future investigations in animal models and in humans should now clarify, whatever the activation of neuroprotective pathways such as BDNF are sufficient to counteract MDMAinduced toxicity on NfL in-vivo.

Our investigation does not provide direct evidence on the underlying molecular pathways linking BDNF and the susceptibility to MDMA-induced neurotoxicity. Moreover, we only investigated a 5-HT cellular line from the raphe nucleus. The susceptibility of other neural populations to the interaction between MDMA/BDNF could strongly differ from our findings. However, considering the predominant involvement of 5-HT neurons in MDMA-induced neurotoxicity, we provided relevant evidence on its relationship to BDNF levels. Furthermore, we only focused on NfL levels and not on other axonal components that may also be affected by MDMA. Nonetheless, considering the increasing attention on NfL in neuropsychiatric research, this *in-vitro* model confirms its sensitivity to drug-induced neurotoxicity.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-022-02502-8.

**Acknowledgements** The authors wish to acknowledge the laboratory help and support by Miryame Hofmann in managing the routine lab work and support in the cell culture.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by University of Zurich. This study was supported by a Marco Polo mobility fellowship from the University of Bologna (365/2020) to S. Stamatakos.

**Data availability** The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** All authors report no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Abad S, Camarasa J, Pubill D, Camins A, Escubedo E (2016) Adaptive plasticity in the hippocampus of young mice intermittently exposed to MDMA could be the origin of memory deficits. Mol Neurobiol 53:7271–7283. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12035-015-9618-z
- Ádori C et al (2010) Elevated BDNF protein level in cortex but not in hippocampus of MDMA-treated Dark Agouti rats: A potential link to the long-term recovery of serotonergic axons. Neurosci Lett 478:56–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010.04.061
- Barro C et al (2018) Serum neurofilament as a predictor of disease worsening and brain and spinal cord atrophy in multiple sclerosis. Brain 141:2382–2391. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy154
- Battaglia G, Sharkey J, Kuhar MJ, de Souza EB (1991) Neuroanatomic specificity and time course of alterations in rat brain serotonergic pathways induced by MDMA (3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine): assessment using quantitative autoradiography. Synapse 8:249–260
- Bavato F et al (2021) Altered neuroaxonal integrity in schizophrenia and major depressive disorder assessed with neurofilament light chain in serum. J Psychiatr Res 140:141–148. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jpsychires.2021.05.072
- Bavato F et al (2020) A longitudinal investigation of blood neurofilament light chain levels in chronic cocaine users (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2022)
- Beitner-Johnson D, Guitart X, Nestler E (1992) Neurofilament proteins and the mesolimbic dopamine system: common regulation by chronic morphine and chronic cocaine in the rat ventral tegmental area. J Neurosci 12:2165–2176. https://doi.org/10.1523/ jneurosci.12-06-02165.1992
- Bhang S, Ahn J-H, Choi S-W (2011) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and serotonin transporter gene-linked promoter region genes alter serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in humans. J Affect Disord 128:299–304
- Busceti CL et al (2008) Enhanced tau phosphorylation in the hippocampus of mice treated with 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine

("Ecstasy"). J Neurosci 28:3234–3245. https://doi.org/10.1523/ jneurosci.0159-08.2008

- Capela JP et al (2009) Molecular and cellular mechanisms of ecstasyinduced neurotoxicity: an overview. Mol Neurobiol 39:210–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-009-8064-1
- Disanto G et al (2017) Serum Neurofilament light: A biomarker of neuronal damage in multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol 81:857–870. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24954
- Eaton MJ, Staley JK, Globus MYT, Whittemore SR (1995) Developmental regulation of early serotonergic neuronal differentiation: the role of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and membrane depolarization. Dev Biol 170:169–182. https://doi.org/10.1006/ dbio.1995.1205
- Fischer C, Hatzidimitriou G, Wlos J, Katz J, Ricaurte G (1995) Reorganization of ascending 5-HT axon projections in animals previously exposed to the recreational drug (+/-)3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "ecstasy"). J Neurosci 15:5476–5485. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.15-08-05476.1995
- Fjorback AW et al (2009) Serotonin transporter oligomerization documented in RN46A cells and neurons by sensitized acceptor emission FRET and fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 380:724–728. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.128
- García-Cabrerizo R, García-Fuster MJ (2015) Chronic MDMA induces neurochemical changes in the hippocampus of adolescent and young adult rats: Down-regulation of apoptotic markers. Neurotoxicology 49:104–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2015. 06.001
- García-Pardo M, Roger-Sánchez C, Rodríguez-Arias M, Miñarro J, Aguilar M (2017) Cognitive and behavioural effects induced by social stress plus MDMA administration in mice. Behav Brain Res 319:63–72
- Gouzoulis-Mayfrank E, Thimm B, Rezk M, Hensen G, Daumann J (2003) Memory impairment suggests hippocampal dysfunction in abstinent ecstasy users. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 27:819–827
- Green AR, Mechan AO, Elliott JM, O'Shea E, Colado MI (2003) The pharmacology and clinical pharmacology of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "Ecstasy"). Pharmacol Rev 55:463–508. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.55.3.3
- Gudelsky GA, Yamamoto BK (2008) Actions of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) on cerebral dopaminergic, serotonergic and cholinergic neurons. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 90:198–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2007.10.003
- Hemmerle AM et al (2012) (±)3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine ("ecstasy") treatment modulates expression of neurotrophins and their receptors in multiple regions of adult rat brain. J Comp Neurol 520:2459–2474. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23048
- Homberg JR, Molteni R, Calabrese F, Riva MA (2014) The serotonin– BDNF duo: developmental implications for the vulnerability to psychopathology. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 43:35–47
- Huether G, Zhou D, Rther E (1997) Causes and consequences of the loss of serotonergic presynapses elicited by the consumption of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, ?ecstasy?) and its congeners. J Neur Transm 104:771–794. https://doi.org/10. 1007/bf01285547
- Hysek CM et al (2011) The norepinephrine transporter inhibitor reboxetine reduces stimulant effects of MDMA ("Ecstasy") in humans. Clin Pharmacol Ther 90:246–255. https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt. 2011.78
- Hysek CM et al (2014) MDMA enhances emotional empathy and prosocial behavior. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 9:1645–1652. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst161
- Kalechstein AD, De La Garza R, Mahoney JJ, Fantegrossi WE, Newton TF (2007) MDMA use and neurocognition: a meta-analytic

review. Psychopharmacology 189:531–537. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00213-006-0601-2

- Khalil M et al (2018) Neurofilaments as biomarkers in neurological disorders. Nat Rev Neurol 14:577–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41582-018-0058-z
- Liu YL et al (2021) Neurofilament light chain as novel blood biomarker of disturbed neuroaxonal integrity in patients with ketamine dependence. World J Biol Psych. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15622975.2021.1907709
- Ly C et al (2018) Psychedelics promote structural and functional neural plasticity. Cell Rep 23:3170–3182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2018.05.022
- Mamounas LA et al (2000) BDNF promotes the regenerative sprouting, but not survival, of injured serotonergic axons in the adult rat brain. J Neurosci 20:771–782. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci. 20-02-00771.2000
- Martínez-Turrillas R, Moyano S, Del Río J, Frechilla D (2006) Differential effects of 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "ecstasy") on BDNF mRNA expression in rat frontal cortex and hippocampus. Neurosci Lett 402:126–130
- Miczek KA, Nikulina EM, Shimamoto A, Covington HE (2011) Escalated or Suppressed cocaine reward, tegmental BDNF, and accumbal dopamine caused by episodic versus continuous social stress in rats. J Neurosci 31:9848–9857. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneur osci.0637-11.2011
- Molteni R et al (2010) Reduced function of the serotonin transporter is associated with decreased expression of BDNF in rodents as well as in humans. Neurobiol Dis 37:747–755
- Petschner P et al (2018) Gene expression analysis indicates reduced memory and cognitive functions in the hippocampus and increase in synaptic reorganization in the frontal cortex 3 weeks after MDMA administration in Dark Agouti rats. BMC Genom. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4929-x
- Piper BJ, Meyer JS (2004) Memory deficit and reduced anxiety in young adult rats given repeated intermittent MDMA treatment during the periadolescent period. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 79:723–731
- Quednow BB et al (2006) Memory deficits in abstinent MDMA (ecstasy) users: neuropsychological evidence of frontal dysfunction. J Psychopharmacol 20:373–384. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0269881106061200
- Rumajogee P, Vergé D, Hamon M, Miquel M-C (2006) Somato-dendritic distribution of 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B autoreceptors in the BDNF- and cAMP-differentiated RN46A serotoninergic raphe cell line. Brain Res 1085:121–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres. 2006.02.086
- Sanchez V et al (2003) The nNOS inhibitor, AR-R17477AR, prevents the loss of NF68 immunoreactivity induced by methamphetamine in the mouse striatum. J Neurochem 85:515–524. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.01714.x
- Shankaran M, Yamamoto BK, Gudelsky GA (2001) Ascorbic acid prevents 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)-induced hydroxyl radical formation and the behavioral and neurochemical consequences of the depletion of brain 5-HT. Synapse 40:55–64
- Sprague J, Everman SL, Nichols DE (1998) An integrated hypothesis for the serotonergic axonal loss induced by 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine. Neurotoxicology 19:427–442
- Wang C et al (2012) 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine upregulates p75 neurotrophin receptor protein expression in the rat brain★. Neural Regen Res 7:955–959. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-5374. 2012.12.013
- White LA et al (1994) Distinct regulatory pathways control neurofilament expression and neurotransmitter synthesis in immortalized serotonergic neurons. J Neurosci 14:6744–6753. https://doi.org/ 10.1523/jneurosci.14-11-06744.1994

- Wunderli MD et al (2017) Discrete memory impairments in largely pure chronic users of MDMA. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 27:987–999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.08.425
- Yamamoto BK, Raudensky J (2008) The role of oxidative stress, metabolic compromise, and inflammation in neuronal injury produced by amphetamine-related drugs of abuse. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 3:203–217. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-008-9121-7
- Yuan A, Rao MV, Nixon RA (2017) Neurofilaments and neurofilament proteins in health and disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a018309

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.