ERRATUM

Stem anatomy of *Rhipsalis* (Cactaceae) and its relevance for taxonomy

Alice Calvente · Regina H. P. Andreata · Ricardo C. Vieira

Published online: 14 November 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Several species of the genus *Rhipsalis* (Cactaceae) are extremely important as ornamentals and are endangered in their natural habitat. However, only a few studies have addressed its taxonomy, morphology (including anatomy), phylogeny and evolutionary history. Consequently, the limited knowledge of the genus coupled with the problematic delimitation of species had led to problems in the identification of taxa. In the current work six species of *Rhipsalis*, *R. cereoides*, *R. elliptica*, *R. grandiflora*, *R. paradoxa*, *R. pentaptera* and *R. teres* were studied to evaluate the relevance of anatomical characterization of the primary structure of the stem of *Rhipsalis* is provided highlighting the differences between species. Features of the stem epidermis are found to discriminate

An incorrect version of this article was published earlier. The correct version is given here. The online version of the originally published article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-008-0052-9.

A. Calvente (🖂)

Laboratório de Sistemática Vegetal, Dep. de Botânica, IB, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277, CEP: 05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil e-mail: acalvente@ib.usp.br; acalvente@gmail.com

R. H. P. Andreata

Laboratório de Taxonomia de Angiospermas, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e Ambientais, Universidade Santa Úrsula, R. Fernando Ferrari 75, Botafogo, CEP: 22231-040 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

R. C. Vieira

best between species and therefore provide clear and useful characters for the separation of species.

Keywords Anatomy · Brazil · Cactaceae · *Rhipsalis* · Taxonomy

Introduction

The majority of cacti have succulent stems and inhabit dry or arid lands. However, approximately 10% of the species of the family are epiphytes in humid regions. These species belong to the subfamily Cactoideae and form two natural groups: the tribe Hylocereae and the tribe Rhipsalideae (Barthlott 1983; Nyffeler 2002). *Rhipsalis* is the largest genus in the tribe Rhipsalideae with 36 species distributed in five subgenera: *Calamorhipsalis* K.Schum. (three spp.), *Epallagogonium* K.Schum. (seven spp.), *Erythrorhipsalis* A.Berger (eight spp.), *Phyllarthrorhipsalis* Buxb. (12 spp.), and *Rhipsalis* (six spp.) (Barthlott and Taylor 1995; Hunt 1999). The majority of species that belong to this genus (83% or 29 spp.) are endemic to Brazil, with several species presenting very restricted distribution ranges within the country.

Even though the various species of *Rhipsalis* are extremely important as ornamentals and are very endangered in their natural habitat, only few studies have addressed its taxonomy, morphology (including anatomy), phylogeny and evolutionary history (Barthlott 1983; Barthlott and Taylor 1995). Consequently, the limited knowledge of the genus and lack of adequate descriptions associated with identification keys, coupled with the problematic delimitation of species had led to problems in the identification of taxa within the genus (Calvente et al. 2005). Given the current degree of taxonomic uncertainty in the genus,

Laboratório de Morfologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, CCS-Bl. A, Sala 108, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, CEP: 21941-590 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

detailed studies that could help on the species' circumscriptions are urgently needed.

The anatomy of the cactus family has been carefully studied and Boke (1964, 1968), Gibson (1973), Gibson and Nobel (1986), Gibson and Horak (1978), Loza-Cornejo and Terrazas (2003), Mauseth and Plemons-Rodriguez (1995, 1997, 1998), Mauseth et al. (1995) and Terrazas and Mauseth (2002) provided important references on this matter. Terrazas and Arias (2003) produced a complete review about the stem anatomy of the subfamily Cactoi-deae where they emphasized the great relevance of the anatomical characters for the study of the taxonomy and evolution of this subfamily. Anatomical studies within *Rhipsalis* were produced in the wider context of the family with few species analyzed (Eggli 1984; Gibson 1973; Mauseth and Plemons-Rodriguez 1998) or inside the tribe Rhipsalideae in the work of Hartl et al. (2003).

In the current work six species of *Rhipsalis* Gaertn.: *R. cereoides* (Backeb. and Voll.) Backeb., *R. elliptica* G.A. Lindberg ex K.Schum., *R. grandiflora* Haw., *R. paradoxa* (Salm-Dyck ex Pfeiff.) Salm-Dyck, *R. pentaptera* Pfeiff. ex A.Dietr. and *R. teres* (Vell.) Steudel were studied to evaluate the relevance of anatomical characteris for the taxonomy of the genus. An anatomical characterization of the primary structure of the stem of *Rhipsalis* is provided highlighting the differences between species.

Materials and methods

The species studied are representatives of three of the five subgenera of *Rhipsalis* recognized in Barthlott and Taylor (1995): *Rhipsalis* (*R. grandiflora* and *R. teres*), *Epallagogonium* (*R. paradoxa* and *R. pentaptera*) and *Phyllarthrorhipsalis* (*R. cereoides* and *R. elliptica*). The specimens used for this study were collected in areas of the Atlantic Forest of the Rio de Janeiro State in Brazil. The minimum of two and the maximum of five specimens of each species were analyzed using available material, since these are endangered species (Calvente et al. 2005; Table 1). The middle third of the basal stem segments were fixed in FAA₇₀ (formalin–acetic acid–ethanol; Ruzin 1999) immediately after collection. After 24 h, the material was stored in a solution of equal parts of ethanol, glycerin and H₂O.

Transverse sections were taken by free hand, clarified by sodium hypochlorite, stained with Safranin and Astra blue (Bukatsch 1972), dehydrated through an ethanol series and mounted in Canada balsam. The epidermis was dissociated through immersion in the Jeffrey solution (nitric acid– chromic acid, Johansen 1940) for 24 h and then mounted according to the same procedure described for transverse sections. The number of stomata per area (mm²) was determined through the arithmetic mean of 25 microscope fields, each one corresponding to a 1 mm^2 . The classification of stomata followed Wilkinson (1979).

Sections of fresh living material were used to make histochemical tests. The cuticle and cutinized cell walls were identified using Sudan IV and the starch using lugol (Johansen 1940). Sections were embedded in 10% lead acetate for 6 min, washed with H_2O and stained with methylene blue for testing for the presence of cellulosic mucilage (Dop and Gautié 1928).

Results

Rhipsalis Gaertn.

Epidermis

Uniseriate, building an even surface with cells arranged at the same level in Rhipsalis cereoides and R. elliptica or uniseriate to biseriate, building a crenate surface in R. grandiflora and R. paradoxa, and a crenulate surface in R. pentaptera and R. teres (Fig. 1a-f). Rhipsalis grandiflora, R. paradoxa and R. pentaptera have stomata located in depressions (sunken) and in Rhipsalis teres, R. cereoides and R. elliptica the stomata are not located in the depressions (Fig. 1a-f). The crenate surface in R. paradoxa epidermis is formed by the presence of stomata sunken in depressions while in R. teres the crenulate surface is formed by the regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells (Fig. 1d, f). In R. grandiflora and R. pentaptera the crenate surface of the former and crenulate surface of the latter are formed both by the presence of sunken stomata and the regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells (Fig. 1c, e). In R. grandiflora however, the stomatal depressions are as deep as the regular epidermal notches while in R. pentaptera the stomatal depressions (deep depressions) surpass the level of the regular epidermal notches (shallow depressions, Fig. 1c, e).

The epidermal cells in all species are polygonal, with 4–6 sides in frontal view and square to rectangular in transverse section covered by a thick cuticle (Fig. 1a–1). The areolar region may contain pluricellular trichomes, scale leafs or spines but the other regions of the stem epidermis are glabrous (Fig. 1a–f).

In frontal view the epidermal depressions observed in transverse sections are arranged in different patterns. In *R. grandiflora* and *R. teres* the depressions are in the form of channels, however, in *R. grandiflora* these channels delimit groups of cells forming a reticulate pattern and in *R. teres* they have a longitudinal arrangement dividing the epidermis in parallel lanes of cells (Fig. 1i, 1). Channels between the ordinary epidermal cells are also found in

Table 1 Voucher of specimensused in this study

Species	Voucher
Rhipsalis cereoides	A.M.Calvente 71 (RUSU); A.A.Barros et al. 2302 (JBRJ)
Rhipsalis elliptica	A.M.Calvente and L.M.Versieux 96 (RUSU), 214, 350 (SPF)
Rhipsalis grandiflora	A.M.Calvente and L.M.Versieux 95, 118 (RUSU)
Rhipsalis paradoxa	A.M.Calvente and L.M.Versieux 116 (RUSU), L.Cardoso s/n (RUSU 14317)
Rhipsalis pentaptera	A.M.Calvente and L.M.Versieux 100, 113 (RUSU)
Rhipsalis teres	A.M.Calvente et al. 61 (RUSU), A.M.Calvente and L.M.Versieux 86, 91, 94 (RUSU), 255 (SPF)

Fig. 1 a-f Epidermis and hypodermis in transverse sections. g-l Epidermis in frontal view, m-r cortex and stele. a, g, q *Rhipsalis cereoides*. b, h, p, r *R. elliptica*. c, i, m, o *R. grandiflora*. d, j *R. paradoxa*. e, k *R. pentaptera*. f, l, n *R. teres*. *I* Mucilage cells or cavities. *II* Sclerenchyma



R. cereoides in frontal view. However, those channels are formed not by the presence of epidermal depressions but only by the thickening of the cuticule (Fig. 1a, g). The channels in *R. cereoides* epidermis delimit a circle or concentric circles around the stomata and irregular small

groups of cells, which forms a reticulate pattern that is very different from the reticulate pattern observed in *R. grandiflora* (Fig. 1g, i). In both *R. paradoxa* and *R. pentaptera* the circular depressions observed in frontal view are formed by the presence of sunken stomata and, therefore have the same size of the stomata. In *R. pentaptera* other depressions formed by the regular arrangement of the epidermal cells were also observed in transverse section but those could not be detected to form channels in frontal view (Fig. 1e, k).

The stomata are paracytic or sometimes parallelocytic (Fig. 1g–l). The density of stomata is 13 st./mm² in *R. elliptica* and *R. teres*; 14 st./mm² in *R. grandiflora*, 17 st./mm² in *R. cereoides*, 18 st./mm² in *R. paradoxa* and 30 st./mm² in *R. pentaptera*.

Cortex

Interior to the epidermis is a hypodermis with 1-3 layers of chlorophyllous cells with irregular size and smaller than the cortical parenchyma cells. Thick walled hypodermal cells were observed only in *R. teres* and *R. pentaptera*. Crystalliferous idioblasts with druses are dispersed among hypodermal cells, especially around the substomatal chambers. The substomatal chambers exceed the hypodermis and may reach the cortical parenchyma (Fig. 1a–f).

The cortex is composed mainly of aquiferous parenchyma with more or less rounded cells of irregular size and shape (Fig. 1m, n). Chloroplasts occur through the entire cortex, but are more concentrated in the outer layers which are adjacent to the hypodermis. Crystalliferous idioblasts with druses are observed in the cortex and are more abundant in *R. cereoides*, *R. elliptica* and *R. grandiflora* and scarce in *R. paradoxa* (Table 2). A more or less continuous ring next to the hypodermis is built with the sclerenchyma (Fig. 1b, e, n). Isolated and scattered sclerenchyma is found throughout the inner and middle areas of the cortex, what is more frequent in *R. grandiflora* and rare in *R. cereoides*, *R. pentaptera* and *R. teres* (Fig. 1m; Table 2).

Cellulosic mucilage cells are dispersed in the cortex of all species. Mucilage cavities occur in all species but *R. elliptica* and *R. grandiflora*, and they are conspicuous and abundant in *R. teres* and *R. cereoides* (Fig. 1a, b; Table 2).

The cortical vascular bundles are collateral with clusters of fibers next to the phloem (Fig. 1m). A fiber sheath involving all the cortical vascular bundle may occur (Fig. 1o).

Stele

The vascular system comprises collateral bundles that build a circular shape in *R. grandiflora*, *R. paradoxa* e *R. teres*; an elliptical shape in *R. elliptica*; a quadrangular or circular shape in *R. cereoides* and a pentagonal, quadrangular or rarely circular shape in *R. pentaptera* (Table 2). A ring around the stele is formed by the sclerenchyma (Fig. 1p).

The pith is parenchymatous with some cells having a starch content. Sclerified parenchyma cells and crystalliferous idioblasts with druses occur scattered in the pith (Table 2). Mucilage cavities are found in the medullary tissue of *R. cereoides*, *R. pentaptera* and *R. teres* (Fig. 1q; Table 2).

Discussion

The present study has shown that most of the features of the anatomy of *Rhipsalis* support the anatomical patterns found in Cactaceae. The mostly uniseriate epidermis with thin walled cells covered by a thick hydrophobic cuticle, the chlorophyllous hypodermis with many druses, the parenchymatous tissue specialized in photosynthesis and water storage and the presence of cortical bundles are the main features previously described for Cactaceae (Terrazas and Mauseth 2002) that are here observed in the *Rhipsalis* species studied.

Terrazas and Arias (2003) highlight the importance of the epidermis as a source of anatomical diagnostic characters for the subfamily Cactoideae. This is corroborated here since all Rhipsalis species studied can be distinguished through epidermal characters. For example, R. grandiflora and forms of R. teres can be very similar vegetatively, what can make the identification difficult, but using epidermal characters these species are easily separated. Moreover, the pattern formed by the depressions in the epidermis together with the stomata arrangement was shown to be very useful for the separation of all the studied species and R. cereoides, R. grandiflora, R. pentaptera and R. teres are particularly distinguished by the unique combination of these features (Table 2). The epidermal characters here described were found to be constant among all the specimens of each species and, therefore, are used as species characters.

The stomata arrangement when analyzed solely distinguishes two groups of species. The first one is composed of *R. cereoides*, *R. elliptica* and *R. teres* that show an absence of sunken stomata. The second group is composed by *R. grandiflora*, *R. pentaptera* and *R. paradoxa* that show sunken stomata. *Rhipsalis cereoides* and *R. elliptica* both have non-sunken stomata and belong to the same subgenus *Phyllarthrorhipsalis*. The same applies to *R. pentaptera* and *R. paradoxa* that have sunken stomata and that belong to the subgenus *Epallagogonium*. *Rhipsalis grandiflora* and *R. teres*. However, belong to the subgenus *Rhipsalis* but show sunken and not sunken stomata, respectively.

The sunken stomata are an adaptation to xeric conditions, and the distribution of this character among the

		R. cereoides	R. elliptica	R. grandiflora	R. paradoxa	R. pentaptera	R. teres
Stomata	arrangement	Not in depressions	Not in depressions	In depressions	In depressions	In depressions	Not in depressions
Stomata	density	17 est./mm ²	13 est./mm ²	14 est./mm ²	18 est./mm ²	30 est./mm ²	13 est./mm ²
Epiderm	is layers	1	1	1–2	1	1–(2)	1–2
Epiderm (trans ¹	is surface verse section)	Even	Even	Crenate, formed by sunken stomata and regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells	Crenate, formed only by sunken stomata	Crenulate, formed by sunken stomata (deep depressions) and regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells (shallow depressions)	Crenulate, formed only by regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells
Epiderm (fronti	is pattern al view)	Reticulate with channels delimitating one circle or concentric circles around the stomata and irregular small groups of cells	Even	Reticulate	Circular depressions	Circular depressions	With longitudinal channel delimiting parallel lanes
Hypoder	mis layers	1	1–2	2	1–3	(1)-2	1–2
Stele shi	ape	Quadrangular or circular	Elliptical	Circular	Circular	Pentagonal, quadrangular or circular	Circular
Cortex	Druses	Abundant	Abundant	Abundant	Rare	Present	Present
	Isolated sclerenchyma	More frequent near the stem angles	Present	Abundant	Present	Scarce	Rare
	Mucilage cavities	Abundant and conspicuous	Absent	Absent	Present	Frequent	Abundant and conspicuous
Pith	Druses	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present
	Isolated sclerenchyma	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present
	Mucilage cavities	Present	Absent	Absent	Absent	Present	Present

species suggest that it can have an interesting evolutionary history in the group, especially because all the species inhabit the dense and humid Atlantic forest suffering similar environmental conditions, except *R. cereoides* that inhabits the open and sunny rocky outcrops. A complete anatomical survey throughout the genus together with a reconstructed phylogeny for this group would provide further insights.

The occurrence of depressions and channels in the epidermis surface, despite the presence of sunken stomata also distinguishes two groups. The first one consists of R. grandiflora, R. pentaptera and R. teres that show the presence of depressions formed only by the regular arrangement of epidermal cells. The second group comprises R. grandiflora and R. teres that show the depressions associated with thickenings of the cuticle forming conspicuous channels in the epidermis. The placement of *R. cereoides* in this group is problematic since this species shows conspicuous channels in the epidermis in frontal view. However, in transverse section all epidermal cells occur in the same level and those channels are not composed of depressions but instead, of only thickenings of the cuticle. The occurrence of channels is also correlated with an adaptation to xeric conditions, but an analysis of the evolution of this character in this group should clearly follow a careful investigation since it can have distinct origins, it can be formed by epidermal depressions or by the thickening of the cuticle. The presence of depressions formed by the regular arrangement of ordinary epidermal cells, however, are considered a good taxonomic character because they are formed when one cells divides unequally, in different levels, producing clusters of epidermal cells (Terrazas and Mauseth 2002; Terrazas and Arias 2003).

The values of stomata density found in this study agree with the numbers related for the Cactaceae family, which are 20–80 st./mm² and is considered low compared to what is found in the leafs of other groups of plants (Terrazas and Mauseth 2002). The type of stomata previously observed for the subfamily Cactoideae is of the parallelocytic type (Terrazas and Arias 2003). However, in the present study the paracytic type was found more frequently among all the taxa. Also, the presence of a chlorenchymatous palisade parenchyma was previously observed for the Cactoideae species (Terrazas and Arias 2003). However, none of the studied *Rhipsalis* taxa have a palisade parenchyma.

Despite the fact that some of the characters seen in the cortex such as the presence of mucilage cavities, druses and isolated sclerenchyma are different among the taxa, they are mostly not constant among the specimens and not clear enough to be used solely as diagnostic characters (Table 2). Nevertheless, when used in combination they can be significant. The same was observed by Terrazas and Arias (2003) who found no taxonomical informative

characters in the fundamental tissue of the Cactoideae cortex, but stated that the high frequency of mucilage cavities and channels in the cortex can be useful for the separation of specific or intraspecific taxa which is confirmed here in the case of R. *cereoides* and R. *teres* (Table 2).

In the stele some characteristics can be useful altogether for the separation of species, such as the stele shape (Table 2). On the contrary, the ring around the stele formed by the sclerenchyma and the parenchymatous pith with starch content, scattered druses and sclerenchyma are homogeneous characteristics among the taxa. Despite the Rhipsalis species being epiphytes not presenting conspicuous wood, further studies are being developed with the aim to describe and evaluate the evolutive importance of the secondary vascular structure in *Rhipsalis*. Even so, previous studies within Cactaceae and the subfamily Cactoideae have shown that the wood is homogeneous and does not provide characters to be used in species level taxonomy (Terrazas and Arias 2003; Mauseth and Plemons-Rodriguez 1998).

Many of the anatomical characteristics observed in the studied species are typical of xerophytic plants, what is expected since it is known that the typical stem of the Cactaceae has two main functions associated with xeric adaptations, the photosynthesis and the water accumulation (Gibson and Nobel 1986). However, instead of occurring in deserts as typical cacti, the Rhipsalis species occupy more mesic environments: the tropical forests. Therefore, the xeric adaptations shown by those species may have favored the occupation of habitats that are more subjected to the water stress inside the forests, as the epiphytic and rocky outcrop habitats. Examples of these xeric adaptations are the presence of druses that can affect the penetration of solar irradiation to the interior of the plant and the accumulation of hydrophilic mucilage that can facilitate the storage of water (Gibson and Nobel 1986). Moreover, it was observed in all Rhipsalis species studied that certain regions of the medium and internal cortex could behave like the collapsible parenchyma described by Mauseth (1995), but in a much less conspicuous manner as he observed in the species of Bolivicereus, Borzicactus, Cleistocactus, Espostoa, Gymnocalycium, Haageocereus, Loxanthocereus and Jasminocereus (Fig. 1r). These collapsible parenchyma cells have more flexible and elastic walls that allow more variation in the degree of hydration of the plant without causing cell damage or death.

In conclusion, the present anatomical studies with *Rhipsalis* taxa revealed some important characters that can be used for the separation of the species. The most informative among them are in the epidermis, such as the position of the stomata and the pattern exhibited by the epidermal cells and depressions. This study is promising

and the sampling is being broadened among the genus and the tribe Rhipsalideae for a more detailed analysis of the anatomical variance and its implications towards the evolution of the group.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Ana Joffily, Carlos Marques, Danielle Domingues, Nathasha Carvalho and Rodrigo Léo for help during the development of this research; Teresa Terrazas for the suggestions about the anatomical procedures and for kindly providing copies of her papers; and Leonardo Versieux, Marcelo Pace, Mariane Souza, Louis Ronse De Craene and one anonymous reviewer for suggestions in the manuscript. This research was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Capes) and by the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas (CNPq) grants for the first and second authors, respectively and is part of the M.Sc. dissertation of the first author presented to the Programa de Pósgraduação em Ciências Biológicas (Botânica), Museu Nacional/UFRJ in 2005.

References

- Barthlott W (1983) Biogeography and evolution in neo and paleotropical Rhipsalinae (Cactaceae). In: Kubitzki K (ed) Dispersal and distribution: an international symposium, Sonderband des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg, vol 7, pp 241–248
- Barthlott W, Taylor NP (1995) Notes towards a monograph of Rhipsalideae (Cactaceae). Bradleya 13:43–79
- Boke NH (1964) The Cactus gynoecium: a new interpretation. Amer J Bot 51(6):598–610
- Boke NH (1968) Structure and development of the flower and fruit of *Pereskia diaz-romeroana*. Amer J Bot 55(10):1254–1260
- Bukatsch F (1972) Bemerkungen zur Doppelfärbung Astrablau-Safranin. Mikrokosmos 61(8):255
- Calvente AM, Freitas MF, Andreata RHP (2005) Listagem, distribuição geográfica e conservação das Cactaceae no Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Rodriguésia 56(87):141–162
- Dop P, Gautié A (1928) Manuél de technique botanique, 2 edn. J. Lamarre, Paris
- Eggli U (1984) Stomatal types of Cactaceae. Pl Syst Evol 146:197– 214
- Gibson AC (1973) Comparative anatomy of secondary xylem in Cactoideae (Cactaceae). Biotropica 5(1):29-65

- Gibson AC, Horak KE (1978) Systematic anatomy and phylogeny of Mexican columnar Cacti. Ann Missouri Bot Gard 65(4):999–1057
- Gibson AC, Nobel PS (1986) The Cactus primer. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Hartl WP, Barbier B, Klapper H, Müller P, Barthlott W (2003) Dimorphism of calcium oxalate crystals in stem tissues of Rhipsalideae (Cactaceae)—a contribution to the systematics and taxonomy of the tribe. Bot Jahrb 124(3):287–302
- Hunt D (comp) (1999) CITES Cactaceae checklist, 2 edn. Royal Botanical Gardens Kew and International Organization for Succulent Plant Study (IOS), UK
- Johansen DA (1940) Plant microtechnique. Mc Graw-Hill, New York
- Loza-Cornejo S, Terrazas T (2003) Epidermal and hypodermal characteristics in Northican Cactoideae (Cactaceae). J Pl Res 116:27–35
- Mauseth JD (1995) Collapsible water-storage cells in cacti. Bull Torrey Bot Club 122:145–151
- Mauseth JD, Plemons-Rodriguez BJ (1995) Developmentally variable, polymorphic woods in Cacti. Amer J Bot 82(10):1199– 1205
- Mauseth JD, Plemons-Rodriguez BJ (1997) Presence of paratracheal water storage tissue does not alter vessel characters in cactus wood. Amer J Bot 84(6):815–822
- Mauseth JD, Plemons-Rodriguez BJ (1998) Evolution of extreme xeromorphic characters in wood: a study of nine evolutionary lines in Cactaceae. Amer J Bot 85(2):209–218
- Mauseth JD, Uozumi Y, Plemons BJ, Landrum JV (1995) Structural and systematics of an unusual tracheid type in cacti. J Pl Res 108:517–526
- Nyffeler R (2002) Phylogenetic relationships in the cactus family (Cactaceae) based on evidence from *trnK/matK* and *trnL-trnF* sequences. Amer J Bot 89:312–326
- Ruzin SE (1999) Plant microtechnique and microscopy. Oxford University Press, New York
- Terrazas T, Arias S (2003) Comparative stem anatomy in the subfamily Cactoideae. Bot Rev 68(4):444–473
- Terrazas T, Mauseth JD (2002) Shoot anatomy and morphology. In: Nobel PS (ed) Cacti: biology and uses. University of California Press, Berkley, pp 23–40
- Wilkinson HP (1979) Stomata. In: Metcalfe CR, Chalk L (eds) Anatomy of the dicotyledons, vol 1, 2 edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford