Correction to: A coprime action version of a solubility criterion of Deskins

Antonio Beltrán¹ · Changguo Shao²

Published online: 14 January 2020

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: Monatshefte für Mathematik
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-018-1256-x>

Abstract

In this Corrigendum we correct a missed case in the statement of Theorem 2.4 and a subsequent mistake in the proof of the main result in “A coprime action version of a solubility criterion of Deskins”, Monatsh. Math. **188**, 461–466 (2019).

Keywords Soluble groups · Maximal subgroups · Coprime action · Group action on groups

Mathematics Subject Classification 20D20 · 20D15

The main result of [1] is a coprime action version of a theorem of B. Huppert: If a finite group G has a maximal subgroup that is nilpotent with Sylow 2-subgroup of nilpotency class at most 2, then G is soluble (Satz IV.7.4 of [4]). This theorem is the completion of previous results by Huppert [5], J.G. Thompson [8], W.E. Deskins [2] and Z. Janko [6]. Professor M.D. Pérez Ramos noticed and informed us that there are some mistakes and inaccuracies in the last part of the proof of the main theorem of [1]. Thus the goal of this note is to correct them.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-018-1256-x>.

✉ Antonio Beltrán
abeltran@mat.uji.es

Changguo Shao
shaoguozi@163.com

¹ Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain

² School of Mathematical Science, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, Shandong, China

First, the proof of the main theorem uses two classification theorems due to Kondrat'ev [7] and to Gilman and Gorenstein [3], respectively. However, there is one simple group missed in the statement of Theorem 2.4 in [1], which joins both classifications. The correct statement is the following.

Theorem 2.4 *Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group and P a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . If $\mathbf{N}_G(P) = P$ and P has class at most 2, then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$, where $q \equiv 7, 9 \pmod{16}$ or $G \cong A_7$.*

Proof This is a consequence of combining the main result of [7] and Theorems 7.1 and 7.4 of [3]. $\square$

As a consequence of this correction, several modifications in the proof of Step 4 of the main theorem of [1] are necessary. Furthermore, in lines 28–29, page 465 it is claimed that the subgroup K is normalised by an element of order 3 lying in S . This is not true. For the reader's convenience we rewrite the whole proof of Step 4.

Proof of Step 4 Let N be a minimal A -invariant normal subgroup of G . We can assume that N is not soluble; otherwise by Step 1, N is not contained in M , and by maximality we obtain $NM = G$. As a consequence, G would be soluble and the proof is finished. Therefore, we can write $N = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ where S_i are isomorphic non-abelian simple groups (possibly $n = 1$). Put $S = S_1$. Notice that A permutes the S_i 's, but not necessarily this action is transitive. Let $B = \mathbf{N}_A(S)$ and let T be a transversal of B in A . On the other hand, since M is maximal in G , we have $\mathbf{N}_G(M \cap N) = M$, so in particular $\mathbf{N}_N(M \cap N) = M \cap N$. Further, as $M \cap N$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of N , we have $M \cap N = M \cap S \times \dots \times M \cap S_n$, so we conclude that $M \cap S$ is self-normalising in S . Also, it has nilpotency class exactly 2 by Lemma 2.1 and Step 3. Then by applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain $S \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$ with $q \equiv 7, 9 \pmod{16}$ or $S \cong A_7$. We distinguish separately these cases.

Assume first that $q \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, with $q > 9$. Then we can certainly choose an odd prime $r \mid (q - 1)/2$ and R to be a B -invariant Sylow r -subgroup of S . By Lemma 2.5(3), we know that $|\mathbf{N}_S(R)| = q - 1$, so $\mathbf{N}_S(R)$ has odd index in S and contains properly a Sylow 2-subgroup of S . Analogously, if $q \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$, with $q > 7$, there exists an odd prime $r \mid (q + 1)/2$ and we take R to be a B -invariant Sylow r -subgroup of S . Again by Lemma 2.5(2), we know that $|\mathbf{N}_S(R)| = (q + 1)$, so $\mathbf{N}_S(R)$ has odd index in S and hence, it contains properly a Sylow 2-subgroup of S . In both cases, we put $R_1 = \prod_{t \in T} R^t$, which is an A -invariant Sylow r -subgroup of $\prod_{t \in T} S_1^t$. We can argue similarly to construct an A -invariant Sylow r -subgroup for each orbit of the action of A on the S_i 's. Hence, we can construct $R_0 = R_1 \times \dots \times R_t$, where t denotes the number of orbits of A on the S_i 's, and this is certainly an A -invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of N . We conclude that $|N : \mathbf{N}_N(R_0)| = |S : \mathbf{N}_S(R)|^n$ is odd too. Now, by the Frattini argument, $G = N\mathbf{N}_G(R_0)$ and thus, $|G : \mathbf{N}_G(R_0)| = |N : \mathbf{N}_N(R_0)|$. We conclude that $\mathbf{N}_G(R_0)$ properly contains an A -invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of G , contradicting the maximality of M .

Finally, suppose that $S \cong \text{PSL}(2, 9)$, $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ or A_7 . In all cases, the Sylow 2-subgroups of S are dihedral groups of order 8. Now, $M \cap N$ is an A -invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of N , which is the direct product of n copies of a dihedral group, say D , of S . As M has nilpotence class two, then $[M, M \cap N] \leq M' \leq \mathbf{Z}(M)$, and since

$M \cap N \trianglelefteq M$ it follows that $[M, M \cap N] \leq \mathbf{Z}(M) \cap (M \cap N) \leq \mathbf{Z}(M \cap N)$. This implies that every subgroup of $M \cap N$ containing $\mathbf{Z}(M \cap N)$ must be normal in M . We will use this property later. Now let K be one of the two subgroups of D isomorphic to the 4-Klein group, which obviously satisfies $\mathbf{Z}(D) \leq K$ and set $K^A = \langle K^a \mid a \in A \rangle$. By the coprime action hypothesis we have that $|A|$ is odd, and then the fact that D has exactly two subgroups isomorphic to the 4-Klein group implies that for every $a \in A$, either $K^a = K$, or K^a lies in some other distinct copy of S . Furthermore, K^A is a direct product of certain copies of K , each of which lies in a different copy of S . Now, if the action of A on the S'_i s is transitive, we will just consider the subgroup K^A , but if the action is not transitive, then we proceed as follows. For each of the orbits of the action of A on the S_i , we choose j with S_j in the orbit, and choose a 4-Klein subgroup $K_j \leq D_j$, where D_j is the corresponding isomorphic copy of D appearing in $M \cap N$. Then we define the subgroup K_j^A similarly as K^A . Set K_0 to be the direct product of these subgroups, one for each orbit of the action of A on the S_i . We can write $K_0 = K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$, where each K_i is a 4-Klein group lying in S_i . By construction, K_0 is trivially A -invariant and, moreover, $K_0 \trianglelefteq M$, because $\mathbf{Z}(M \cap N) \leq K_0 \leq M \cap N$. By the above proved property, we get $M \leq \mathbf{N}_G(K_0)$, which is also A -invariant. Now $\mathbf{N}_G(K_0) = M\mathbf{N}_N(K_0)$ and $\mathbf{N}_N(K_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{N}_{S_i}(K_i)$. In fact, one can easily check that when $S \cong \text{PSL}(2, 9)$ or $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ then $\mathbf{N}_S(K) \cong S_4$, and when $S \cong A_7$, then $\mathbf{N}_S(K) \cong (A_4 \times C_3) \rtimes C_2$. In all cases we get a contradiction with the maximality of M .

Remark It is possible to give a simpler argument for the case $S \cong A_7$ by using that A_7 possesses a unique conjugacy class of $\{2, 3\}$ -Hall subgroups. In this case, by Glauberman's Lemma, there exists an A -invariant $\{2, 3\}$ -Hall subgroup of N , say H . Then the Frattini argument gives $G = N\mathbf{N}_G(H)$, so $|G : \mathbf{N}_G(H)|$ is a $\{2, 3\}'$ -number. This implies that the A -invariant subgroup $\mathbf{N}_G(H)$ properly contains an A -invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of G , contradicting the maximality of such Sylow 2-subgroup (Step 2).

Acknowledgements We would like to thank M.D. Pérez-Ramos for many helpful conversations on the subject. The first author was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, Proyecto PGC2018-096872-B-I00 and also by Proyecto UJI-B2019-03. The second author was supported by the Nature Science Fund of Shandong Province (No. ZR2019MA044) and the Opening Project of Sichuan Province University Key Laboratory of Bridge Non-destruction Detecting and Engineering Computing (No. 2018QZJ04).

References

1. Beltrán, A., Shao, C.G.: A coprime action version of a solubility criterion of Deskins. *Monat. Math.* **188**, 461–466 (2019)
2. Deskins, W.E.: A condition for the solvability of a finite group. *Ill. J. Math.* **5**, 306–313 (1961)
3. Gorenstein, D.: The classification of finite simple groups. *Simple groups and local analysis. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (New Ser.)* **1**(1), 43–199 (1979)
4. Huppert, B.: *Endliche Gruppen. I, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134*, Springer, Berlin, (1967)
5. Huppert, B.: Normalteiler und maximale Untergruppen endlicher Gruppen. (German) *Math. Z.* **60**, 409–434 (1954)
6. Janko, Z.: Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von B. Huppert und J.G. Thompson. (German) *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **12**, 280–281 (1961)

7. Kondrat'ev, A.S.: Normalizers of the Sylow 2-subgroups in finite simple groups. *Math. Zametki* **78**(3), 368–376 (2005); translation in *Math. Notes* **78**(3–4), 338–346 (2005) (**Russian**)
8. Thompson, J.: Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **45**, 578–581 (1959)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.