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#### Abstract

A result of Wright from 1937 shows that there are arbitrarily large natural numbers which cannot be represented as sums of $s k$ th powers of natural numbers which are constrained to lie within a narrow region. We show that the analogue of this result holds in the shifted version of Waring's problem.
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Waring's problem with shifts asks whether, given $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta \in(0,1]$, along with shifts $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{s} \in(0,1)$ with $\theta_{1} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we can find solutions in natural numbers $x_{i}$ to the following inequality, for all sufficiently large $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(x_{1}-\theta_{1}\right)^{k}+\cdots+\left(x_{s}-\theta_{s}\right)^{k}-\tau\right|<\eta \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem was originally studied by Chow in [3]. In [1], the author showed that an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions to (1) can be obtained whenever $k \geq 4$ and $s \geq k^{2}+(3 k-1) / 4$. The corresponding result for $k=3$ and $s \geq 11$ is due to Chow in [2].

[^0]An interesting variant is to consider solutions of (1) subject to the additional condition

$$
\left|x_{i}-(\tau / s)^{1 / k}\right|<y(\tau), \quad(1 \leq i \leq s)
$$

for some function $y(\tau)$. In other words, we are confining our variables to be within a small distance of the "average" value.

In 1937, Wright studied this question in the setting of the classical version of Waring's problem, and proved in [6] that there exist arbitrarily large natural numbers $n$ which cannot be represented as sums of $s k$ th powers of natural numbers $x_{i}$ satisfying the condition $\left|x_{i}^{k}-n / s\right|<n^{1-1 / 2 k} \phi(n)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, no matter how large $s$ is taken. Here, $\phi(n)$ is a function satisfying $\phi(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In [4] and [5], Daemen showed that if we widen the permitted region slightly, we can once again guarantee solutions in the classical case. Specifically, he obtains a lower bound on the number of solutions under the condition

$$
\left|x_{i}-(n / s)^{1 / k}\right|<c n^{1 / 2 k}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq s)
$$

for a suitably large constant $c$, and an asymptotic formula under the condition

$$
\left|x_{i}-(n / s)^{1 / k}\right|<n^{1 / 2 k+\epsilon}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq s)
$$

In this note, we show that (a slight strengthening of) Wright's result remains true in the shifted case. Specifically, we prove the following.

Theorem 1 Let $s, k \geq 2$ be natural numbers. Fix $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{s}\right) \in(0,1)^{s}$, and let $c, c^{\prime}>0$ be suitably small constants which may depend on $s, k$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. There exist arbitrarily large values of $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ which cannot be approximated in the form (1), with $0<\eta<c \tau^{1-2 / k}$, subject to the additional condition that $\left|x_{i}-(\tau / s)^{1 / k}\right|<c^{\prime} \tau^{1 / 2 k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof This follows the structure of Wright's proof in [6], with minor adjustments to take into account the shifts present in our problem. As such, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\tau_{m}=s m^{k}+k m^{k-1}\left(s-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \theta_{i}\right)$, and we note that $\tau_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout the proof, we allow $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ to denote positive constants which do not depend on $m$, although they may depend on the fixed values of $s, k, \boldsymbol{\theta}, c$ and $c^{\prime}$. We also note that $\eta<c \tau^{1-2 / k}$ implies that $\eta \ll m^{k-2}$.

Suppose $\tau_{m}$ satisfies (1) with $0<\eta<c \tau_{m}^{1-2 / k}$ and $\left|x_{i}-\left(\tau_{m} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right|<c^{\prime} \tau_{m}^{1 / 2 k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. We write $x_{i}=m+a_{i}$, and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{k-1}\left|a_{i}\right| & =m^{k-1}\left|x_{i}-m\right| \\
& \leq m^{k-1}\left(\left|x_{i}-\left(\tau_{m} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right|+\left|\left(\tau_{m} / s\right)^{1 / k}-m\right|\right) \\
& \leq c^{\prime} m^{k-1} \tau_{m}^{1 / 2 k}+\left|\tau_{m} / s-m^{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of $\tau_{m}$, we obtain

$$
m^{k-1}\left|a_{i}\right| \leq c_{1} m^{k-1} m^{1 / 2}+k m^{k-1}\left(1-s^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \theta_{i}\right)
$$

and therefore $\left|a_{i}\right| \leq c_{2} m^{1 / 2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Expanding (1), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta & >\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(x_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{k}-\tau_{m}\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(m+a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{k}-\left(s m^{k}+k m^{k-1}\left(s-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \theta_{i}\right)\right)\right|  \tag{2}\\
& \geq k m^{k-1}\left|s-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}\right|-\left|\sum_{j=2}^{k}\binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{j}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Rearranging, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|s-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}\right| & <\eta k^{-1} m^{1-k}+\left|\sum_{j=2}^{k}\binom{k}{j} k^{-1} m^{1-j} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{j}\right| \\
& \leq \eta k^{-1} m^{1-k}+\sum_{j=2}^{k}\binom{k}{j} k^{-1} m^{1-j} s\left(c_{3} m^{1 / 2}\right)^{j} \\
& \leq c_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing our original $c, c^{\prime}$ to be sufficiently small, we may conclude that $c_{4} \leq 1$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}=s$. Substituting this back into (2), when $k=2$ we obtain

$$
\eta>\binom{k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

and consequently

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}<c_{5},
$$

which is a contradiction if we choose $c, c^{\prime}$ sufficiently small, since we know that $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2} \gg 1$.

When $k \geq 3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta & >\left|\sum_{j=2}^{k}\binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{j}\right| \\
& \geq\binom{ k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}-\left|\sum_{j=3}^{k}\binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{j}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2} & <\eta+\sum_{j=3}^{k}\binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left|a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right|^{j} \\
& \leq \eta+\sum_{j=3}^{k}\binom{k}{j} m^{k-j}\left(c_{3} m^{1 / 2}\right)^{j-2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \eta+c_{6} m^{k-5 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}<c_{7}+c_{8} m^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(a_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

which is again a contradiction when $m$ is large.
We conclude that for all sufficiently large $m$, it is impossible to approximate $\tau_{m}$ in the manner claimed. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2 For $s, k \geq 2$ natural numbers, $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{s}\right) \in(0,1)^{s}$, and suitably small constants $C, C^{\prime}>0$, there exist arbitrarily wide gaps between real numbers $\tau$ for which the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(x_{1}-\theta_{1}\right)^{k}+\cdots+\left(x_{s}-\theta_{s}\right)^{k}-\tau\right|<C \tau^{1-2 / k} \\
& \left|x_{i}-(\tau / s)^{1 / k}\right|<C^{\prime} \tau^{1 / 2 k}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq s) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

has a solution in natural numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$.
Proof By Theorem 1, we fix $\tau_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there is no solution in natural numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ to $\left|\left(x_{1}-\theta_{1}\right)^{k}+\cdots+\left(x_{s}-\theta_{s}\right)^{k}-\tau_{0}\right|<c \tau_{0}^{1-2 / k}$ with $\left|x_{i}-\left(\tau_{0} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right|<c^{\prime} \tau_{0}^{1 / 2 k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Let $0<\delta \leq C_{0} \tau_{0}^{1-2 / k}$ for some $C_{0}>0$, and let $\tau \in\left[\tau_{0}-\delta, \tau_{0}+\delta\right]$. Let $C, C^{\prime}>0$ be suitably small constants depending on $c, c^{\prime}$ and $C_{0}$ to be chosen later, and suppose that $x_{1} \ldots, x_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that (3) is satisfied.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(\tau / s)^{1 / k}-\left(\tau_{0} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right| & \leq s^{-1 / k}\left|\left(\tau_{0}-\delta\right)^{1 / k}-\tau_{0}^{1 / k}\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} \delta \tau_{0}^{1 / k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{i}-\left(\tau_{0} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right| & \leq\left|x_{i}-(\tau / s)^{1 / k}\right|+\left|(\tau / s)^{1 / k}-\left(\tau_{0} / s\right)^{1 / k}\right| \\
& <C^{\prime} \tau^{1 / 2 k}+C_{1} \delta \tau_{0}^{1 / k-1} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}+\delta\right)^{1 / 2 k}+C_{1} C_{0} \tau_{0}^{-1 / k} \\
& \leq C_{2} \tau_{0}^{1 / 2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(x_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{k}-\tau_{0}\right| & \leq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(x_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{k}-\tau\right|+\left|\tau-\tau_{0}\right| \\
& <C \tau^{1-2 / k}+\delta \\
& \leq C\left(\tau_{0}+\delta\right)^{1-2 / k}+C_{0} \tau_{0}^{1-2 / k} \\
& \leq C_{3} \tau_{0}^{1-2 / k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $C_{0}, C, C^{\prime}$ small enough to ensure that $C_{2} \leq c^{\prime}$ and $C_{3} \leq c$ gives a contradiction to our original choice of $\tau_{0}$. Consequently, there is no solution to (3) in an interval of radius $\asymp \tau_{0}^{1-2 / k}$ around $\tau_{0}$.
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