

Commutative subalgebras of the algebra of smooth operators

Tomasz Ciaś¹ 

Received: 22 May 2015 / Accepted: 15 March 2016 / Published online: 30 March 2016
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We consider the Fréchet *-algebra $\mathcal{L}(s', s) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ of the so-called smooth operators, i.e. continuous linear operators from the dual s' of the space s of rapidly decreasing sequences to s . This algebra is a non-commutative analogue of the algebra s . We characterize closed *-subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which are at the same time isomorphic to closed *-subalgebras of s and we provide an example of a closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which cannot be embedded into s .

Keywords Topological algebras of operators · Nuclear Fréchet spaces · Smooth operators

Mathematics Subject Classification 46H35 · 46J40 · 46A11 · 46A63

1 Introduction

The algebra $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to the algebra

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty := \left\{ (x_{j,k})_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^2} : \sup_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{j,k}| j^q k^q < \infty \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

Communicated by A. Constantin.

The research of the author was supported by the National Center of Science, Grant no. 2013/09/N/ST1/04410.

✉ Tomasz Ciaś
tcias@amu.edu.pl

¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

of rapidly decreasing matrices (with matrix multiplication and matrix complex conjugation). Another representation of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is the algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with the Volterra convolution

$$(f \cdot g)(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, z)g(z, y)dz$$

as multiplication and the involution

$$f^*(x, y) := \overline{f(y, x)}.$$

In these forms, the algebra $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ usually appears and plays a significant role in K -theory of Fréchet algebras (see Bhatt and Inoue [1, Ex. 2.12], Cuntz [6, p. 144], [7, p. 64–65], Glöckner and Langkamp [11], Phillips [14, Def. 2.1]) and in C^* -dynamical systems (Elliot, Natsume and Nest [9, Ex. 2.6]). Very recently, Piszczek obtained several results concerning closed ideals, automatic continuity (for positive functionals and derivations), amenability and Jordan decomposition in \mathcal{K}_{∞} (see Piszczek [16–19] and his forthcoming paper “The noncommutative Schwartz space is weakly amenable”). Moreover, in the context of algebras of unbounded operators, the algebra $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ appears in the book [20] as

$$\mathbb{B}_1(s) := \{x \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2) : x\ell_2 \subseteq s, x^*\ell_2 \subseteq s \text{ and } \overline{axb} \text{ is nuclear for all } a, b \in \mathcal{L}^*(s)\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$ is the so-called maximal O^* -algebra on s (see also [20, Def. 2.1.6, Prop. 2.1.8, Def. 5.1.3, Cor. 5.1.18, Prop. 5.4.1 and Prop. 6.1.5]).

The algebra of smooth operators can be seen as a noncommutative analogue of the commutative algebra s . The most important features of this algebra are the following:

- it is isomorphic as a Fréchet space to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of smooth rapidly decreasing functions on the real line;
- it is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to many algebras of operators acting between natural spaces of distributions and functions, e.g. to the algebra of operators from the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ of tempered distributions on the real line to the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (see also [8, Th. 1.1]);
- it is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ of compact operators on ℓ_2 ;
- it is (properly) contained in the intersection of all Schatten classes $\mathcal{S}_p(\ell_2)$ over $p > 0$; in particular $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is contained in the class $\mathcal{HS}(\ell_2)$ of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, and thus it is a unitary space;
- the operator C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2}$ is the so-called dominating norm on that algebra (the dominating norm property is a key notion in the structure theory of nuclear Fréchet spaces – see [3, Prop. 3.2] and [13, Prop. 31.5]).

The main result of the present paper is a characterization of closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which are at the same time isomorphic as Fréchet $*$ -algebras to closed $*$ -subalgebras of the algebra s (Theorem 6.2). It turns out that these are exactly those subalgebras which satisfy the classical condition (Ω) of Vogt, i.e. which are isomorphic (as Fréchet spaces) to complemented subspaces of s . Then in Theorem 6.9 we give an

example of a closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which does not satisfy this condition.

To prove this result we characterize in Sect. 4 closed $*$ -subalgebras of Köthe sequence algebras (Proposition 4.3). In particular, we give such a description for closed $*$ -subalgebras of s (Corollary 4.4). In Sect. 5 we describe all closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ as suitable Köthe sequence algebras (see Corollary 5.4 and compare with [3, Th.4.8]).

The present paper is a continuation of [3, 8] and it focuses on descriptions of closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ (especially those with the property (Ω)). Most of the results have been already presented in the author's PhD dissertation [2].

2 Notation and terminology

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

By a *projection* on the complex separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 we always mean a continuous orthogonal (i.e. self-adjoint) projection.

By e_k we denote the vector in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose k -th coordinate equals 1 and the others equal 0.

By a *Fréchet space* we mean a complete metrizable locally convex space over \mathbb{C} (we will not use locally convex spaces over \mathbb{R}). A *Fréchet algebra* is a Fréchet space which is an algebra with continuous multiplication. A *Fréchet $*$ algebra* is a Fréchet algebra with continuous involution.

For locally convex spaces E, F , we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the space of all continuous linear operators from E to F . To shorten notation, we write $\mathcal{L}(E)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

We use standard notation and terminology. All the notions from functional analysis are explained in [4, 13] and those from topological algebras in [10, 24].

3 Preliminaries

3.1 The space s and its dual

We recall that the *space of rapidly decreasing sequences* is the Fréchet space

$$s := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_q := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2q} \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

with the topology corresponding to the system $(|\cdot|_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of norms. We may identify the strong dual of s (i.e. the space of all continuous linear functionals on s with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of s , see e.g. [13, Definition on p. 267]) with the *space of slowly increasing sequences*

$$s' := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|'_q := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{-2q} \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

equipped with the inductive limit topology given by the system $(|\cdot|'_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of norms (note that for a fixed q , $|\cdot|'_q$ is defined only on a subspace of s'). More precisely, every $\eta \in s'$ corresponds to the continuous linear functional on s :

$$\xi \mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \overline{\eta_j}$$

(note the conjugation on the second variable). These functionals are continuous, because, by the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\xi \in s$ and $\eta \in s'$ we have

$$|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq |\xi|_q |\eta|'_q.$$

Conversely, one can show that for each continuous linear functional y on s there is $\eta \in s'$ such that $y = \langle \cdot, \eta \rangle$.

Similarly, we identify each $\xi \in s$ with the continuous linear functional on s' :

$$\eta \mapsto \langle \eta, \xi \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j \overline{\xi_j}.$$

In particular, for each continuous linear functional y on s' there is $\xi \in s$ such that $y = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$.

We emphasize that the “scalar product” $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is well-defined on $s \times s' \cup s' \times s$ and, of course, on $\ell_2 \times \ell_2$.

3.2 The property (DN) for the space s

Closed subspaces of the space s can be characterized by the so-called property (DN).

Definition 3.1 A Fréchet space $(X, (|\cdot|_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0})$ has the *property* (DN) (see [13, Definition on p. 359]) if there is a continuous norm $|\cdot|$ on X such that for all $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$||x||_q^2 \leq C ||x|| ||x||_r$$

for all $x \in X$. The norm $|\cdot|$ is called a *dominating norm*.

Vogt (see [23] and [13, Ch. 31]) proved that a Fréchet space is isomorphic to a closed subspace of s if and only if it is nuclear and it has the property (DN).

The property (DN) for the space s reads as follows (see [13, Lemma 29.2(3)] and its proof).

Proposition 3.2 *For every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\xi \in s$ we have*

$$|\xi|_p^2 \leq \|\xi\|_{\ell_2} |\xi|_{2p}.$$

In particular, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2}$ is a dominating norm on s .

3.3 The algebra $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$

It is a simple matter to show that $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets in s' is a Fréchet space. It is isomorphic to $s \widehat{\otimes} s$, the completed tensor product of s (see [12, §41.7(5)] and note that, s being nuclear, there is only one tensor topology), and thus $\mathcal{L}(s', s) \cong s$ as Fréchet spaces (see e.g. [13, Lemma 31.1]). Moreover, it is easily seen that $(\|\cdot\|_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$,

$$\|x\|_q := \sup_{|\xi|'_q \leq 1} |x\xi|_q,$$

is a fundamental sequence of norms on $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.

Let us introduce multiplication and involution on $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$. First observe that s is a dense subspace of ℓ_2 . Moreover, ℓ_2 is a dense subspace of s' , and, finally, the inclusion maps $j_1: s \hookrightarrow \ell_2, j_2: \ell_2 \hookrightarrow s'$ are continuous. Hence,

$$\iota: \mathcal{L}(s', s) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell_2), \quad \iota(x) := j_1 \circ x \circ j_2,$$

is a well-defined (continuous) embedding of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ into the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$, and thus it is natural to define a multiplication on $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ by

$$xy := \iota^{-1}(\iota(x) \circ \iota(y)),$$

i.e.

$$xy = x \circ j \circ y,$$

where $j := j_2 \circ j_1: s \hookrightarrow s'$. Similarly, an involution on $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is defined by

$$x^* := \iota^{-1}(\iota(x)^*),$$

where $\iota(x)^*$ is the hermitian adjoint of $\iota(x)$. One can show that these definitions are correct, i.e. $\iota(x) \circ \iota(y), \iota(x)^* \in \iota(\mathcal{L}(s', s))$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(s', s)$ (see also [3, p.148]).

From now on, we will identify $x \in \mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and $\iota(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ (we omit ι in the notation).

A Fréchet algebra E is called *locally m -convex* if E has a fundamental system of submultiplicative seminorms. It is well-known that $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is locally m -convex (see e.g. [14, Lemma 2.2]), and moreover, the norms $\|\cdot\|_q$ are submultiplicative (see [3, Proposition 2.5]). This shows simultaneously that the multiplication introduced above

is separately continuous, and thus, by [24, Theorem 1.5], it is jointly continuous. Moreover, by [10, Corollary 16.7], the involution on $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is continuous.

We may summarize this paragraph by saying that $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is a noncommutative $*$ -subalgebra of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ which is (with its natural topology) a locally m -convex Fréchet $*$ -algebra isomorphic as a Fréchet space to s .

4 Köthe algebras

In this section we collect and prove some folklore facts on Köthe algebras which are known for specialists but probably never published.

Definition 4.1 A matrix $A = (a_{j,q})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of non-negative numbers such that

- (i) for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $a_{j,q} > 0$
- (ii) $a_{j,q} \leq a_{j,q+1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$

is called a *Köthe matrix*.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a Köthe matrix A we define the *Köthe space*

$$\lambda^p(A) := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_{p,q}^p := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j|^p a_{j,q}^p < \infty \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

and for $p = \infty$

$$\lambda^\infty(A) := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_{\infty,q} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_j| a_{j,q} < \infty \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

with the locally convex topology given by the seminorms $(|\cdot|_{p,q})_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (see e.g. [13, Definition p. 326]).

Sometimes, for simplicity, we will write $\lambda^\infty(a_{j,q})$ (i.e. only the entries of the matrix) instead of $\lambda^\infty(A)$.

It is well-known (see [13, Lemma 27.1]) that the spaces $\lambda^p(A)$ are Fréchet spaces and sometimes they are Fréchet $*$ -algebras with pointwise multiplication and conjugation (e.g. if $a_{j,q} \geq 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, see also [15, Proposition 3.1]); in that case they are called *Köthe algebras*.

Clearly, s is the Köthe space $\lambda^2(A)$ for $A = (j^q)_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and it is a Fréchet $*$ -algebra. Moreover, since the matrix A satisfies the so-called Grothendieck–Pietsch condition (see e.g. [13, Proposition 28.16(6)]), s is nuclear, and thus it has also other Köthe space representations (see again [13, Proposition 28.16 and Example 29.4(1)]), i.e. for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $s = \lambda^p(A)$ as Fréchet spaces.

We use ℓ_2 -norms in the definition of s to clarify our ideas, for example we have $\|\xi\|_0 = \|\xi\|_{\ell_2}$ for $\xi \in s$ and $\|\eta\|'_0 = \|\eta\|_{\ell_2}$ for $\eta \in \ell_2$. However, in some situations the supremum norms $|\cdot|_{\infty,q}$ (as they are relatively easy to compute) or the ℓ_1 -norms will be more convenient.

Proposition 4.2 Let $A = (a_{j,q})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $B = (b_{j,q})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be Köthe matrices and for a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ let $A_\sigma := (a_{\sigma(j),q})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Assume that $\lambda^\infty(A)$ and $\lambda^\infty(B)$ are Fréchet *-algebras. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\lambda^\infty(A) \cong \lambda^\infty(B)$ as Fréchet *-algebras;
- (ii) there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^\infty(A_\sigma) = \lambda^\infty(B)$ as Fréchet *-algebras;
- (iii) there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^\infty(A_\sigma) = \lambda^\infty(B)$ as sets;
- (iv) there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that
 - (α) $\forall q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N} a_{\sigma(j),q} \leq C b_{j,r}$,
 - (β) $\forall r' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists q' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C' > 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N} b_{j,r'} \leq C' a_{\sigma(j),q'}$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that there is an isomorphism $\Phi : \lambda^\infty(A) \rightarrow \lambda^\infty(B)$ of Fréchet *-algebras. Clearly, if $\xi^2 = \xi$, then $\Phi(\xi) = \Phi(\xi^2) = (\Phi(\xi))^2$, and the same is true of Φ^{-1} , i.e. Φ maps the idempotents of $\lambda^\infty(A)$ onto the idempotents of $\lambda^\infty(B)$. Hence for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi(e_k) = e_I,$$

where e_I is a sequence which has 1 on an index set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and 0 otherwise. Suppose that $|I| \geq 2$ and let $j \in I$. Then $e_I = e_j + e_{I \setminus \{j\}}$, where $e_j \in \lambda^\infty(B)$ and $e_{I \setminus \{j\}} = e_I - e_j \in \lambda^\infty(B)$. Therefore, there are nonempty subsets $I_j, I'_j \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Phi(e_{I_j}) = e_j$ and $\Phi(e_{I'_j}) = e_{I \setminus \{j\}}$. We have

$$e_{I_j} e_{I'_j} = \Phi^{-1}(e_j) \Phi^{-1}(e_{I \setminus \{j\}}) = \Phi^{-1}(e_j e_{I \setminus \{j\}}) = 0,$$

and thus $I_j \cap I'_j = \emptyset$. Consequently,

$$\Phi(e_k) = e_j + e_{I \setminus \{j\}} = \Phi(e_{I_j}) + \Phi(e_{I'_j}) = \Phi(e_{I_j \cup I'_j}),$$

whence $1 = |\{k\}| = |I_j \cup I'_j| \geq 2$, a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(e_k) = e_{n_k}$ for some $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. for the bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $n_{\sigma(k)} := k$ we have $\Phi(e_{\sigma(k)}) = e_k$. Therefore, a Fréchet *-isomorphism Φ is given by $(\xi_{\sigma(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $(\xi_{\sigma(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \lambda^\infty(A)$, and thus $\lambda^\infty(A_\sigma) = \lambda^\infty(B)$ as Fréchet *-algebras.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) The proof follows from the observation that the identity map $\text{Id} : \lambda^\infty(A_\sigma) \rightarrow \lambda^\infty(B)$ is continuous (use the closed graph theorem).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) It is easy to see that $\Phi : \lambda^\infty(A) \rightarrow \lambda^\infty(B)$ defined by $e_{\sigma(k)} \mapsto e_k$ is an isomorphism of Fréchet *-algebras. \square

In the following proposition we characterize infinite-dimensional closed *-subalgebras of nuclear Köthe algebras whose elements tend to zero (note that if a Köthe space is contained in ℓ_∞ then it is a Köthe algebra). Consequently, we obtain a characterization of closed *-subalgebras of s (Corollary 4.4).

Proposition 4.3 For $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{N}$ let $e_{\mathcal{N}}$ denote a sequence which has 1 on \mathcal{N} and 0 otherwise. Let $A = (a_{j,q})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a Köthe matrix such that $\lambda^\infty(A)$ is nuclear and $\lambda^\infty(A) \subset c_0$. Let E be an infinite-dimensional closed *-subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(A)$. Then

- (i) there is a family $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers such that $(e_{\mathcal{N}_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of E ;
- (ii) $E \cong \lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} a_{j,q})$ as Fréchet $*$ -algebras and the isomorphism is given by $e_{\mathcal{N}_k} \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Conversely, if $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers and F is the closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(A)$ generated by the set $\{e_{\mathcal{N}_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, then
- (iii) $(e_{\mathcal{N}_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of F ;
- (iv) $F \cong \lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} a_{j,q})$ as Fréchet $*$ -algebras and the isomorphism is given by $e_{\mathcal{N}_k} \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof In order to prove (i) and (ii) set

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \xi_j = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in E\}$$

and define an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$ by

$$i \sim j \Leftrightarrow \xi_i = \xi_j \text{ for all } \xi \in E.$$

Since E is infinite-dimensional, our relation produces infinitely many equivalence classes \mathcal{N}_k , say

$$\mathcal{N}_k := [\min(\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{N}_{k-1})]_{\sim}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $\xi \in E$ such that $\xi_j \neq 0$ for $j \in \mathcal{N}_\kappa$. Denote $\eta_\kappa := \xi_j$ if $j \in \mathcal{N}_\kappa$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta_j| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\eta_i| \right\}.$$

Assume we have already defined $\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{l-1}$. If there is $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{M}_{l-1}\}$ such that $\eta_j \neq 0$ then we define

$$\mathcal{M}_l := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |\eta_j| = \sup\{|\eta_i| : i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{M}_{l-1}\}\}.$$

Otherwise, denote $\mathcal{I} := \{1, \dots, l - 1\}$. If this procedure leads to infinitely many sets \mathcal{M}_l then we set $\mathcal{I} := \mathbb{N}$. It is easily seen that for each $l \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $\mathcal{I}_l \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_l = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}_l} \mathcal{N}_k$. By assumption $\xi \in c_0$, hence $(|\eta_k|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$ as well, and thus each \mathcal{M}_l is a finite nonempty set.

We first show that $e_{\mathcal{M}_l} \in E$ for $l \in \mathcal{I}$. For $l \in \mathcal{I}$ fix $m_l \in \mathcal{M}_l$. If $\mathcal{I} = \{1\}$, then $\xi_j = 0$ for $j \notin \mathcal{M}_1$, and $e_{\mathcal{M}_1} = \frac{\xi \bar{\xi}}{|\eta_{m_1}|^2} \in E$. Let us consider the case $|\mathcal{I}| > 1$. Since in nuclear Fréchet spaces every basis is absolute (and thus unconditional), we have

$$\sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} |\eta_l|^2 e_{\mathcal{M}_l} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\xi_j|^2 e_j = \xi \bar{\xi} \in E,$$

and, consequently,

$$x_n := \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{|\eta_l|}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \right)^{2n} e_{\mathcal{M}_l} = \left(\frac{\xi \bar{\xi}}{|\eta_{m_1}|^2} \right)^n \in E$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for q and n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - e_{\mathcal{M}_1}\|_{\infty,q} &= \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{|\eta_l|}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \right)^{2n} e_{\mathcal{M}_l} - e_{\mathcal{M}_1} \right\|_{\infty,q} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{1\}} \left(\frac{|\eta_l|}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \right)^{2n} e_{\mathcal{M}_l} \right\|_{\infty,q} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{1\}} \left(\frac{|\eta_l|}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \right)^{2n} \|e_{\mathcal{M}_l}\|_{\infty,q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \left(\frac{|\eta_{m_2}|}{|\eta_{m_1}|} \right)^{2n-1} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{1\}} |\eta_l| \|e_{\mathcal{M}_l}\|_{\infty,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an absolute basis in $\lambda^\infty(A)$, the above series is convergent. Note also that $|\eta_{m_2}| < |\eta_{m_1}|$. This shows that $x_n \rightarrow e_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ in $\lambda^\infty(A)$, and $e_{\mathcal{M}_1} \in E$. Assume that $e_{\mathcal{M}_1}, \dots, e_{\mathcal{M}_{l-1}} \in E$. If $|\mathcal{I}| = l - 1$ then we are done. Otherwise, $\eta_{m_l} \neq 0$ and

$$x_n^{(l)} := \left(\frac{\xi \bar{\xi} - \xi \bar{\xi} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} e_{\mathcal{M}_j}}{|\eta_{m_l}|^2} \right)^n \in E$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As above we show that $x_n^{(l)} \rightarrow e_{\mathcal{M}_l}$ in $\lambda^\infty(A)$, and thus $e_{\mathcal{M}_l} \in E$. Proceeding by induction, we prove that $e_{\mathcal{M}_l} \in E$ for $l \in \mathcal{I}$.

Now, we shall prove that $(e_{\mathcal{N}_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of E . Choose $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\kappa \in \mathcal{I}_\iota$ and for $k \in \mathcal{I}_\iota$ let n_k be an arbitrary element of \mathcal{N}_k . Then $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}_\iota} \eta_{n_k} e_{\mathcal{N}_k} = \xi e_{\mathcal{M}_\iota} \in E$. Consequently, by [3, Lemma 4.1], $e_{\mathcal{N}_k} \in E$. Since κ was arbitrarily chosen, each $e_{\mathcal{N}_k}$ is in E and it is a simple matter to show that $(e_{\mathcal{N}_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of E .

Moreover, $\|e_{\mathcal{N}_k}\|_{\infty,q} = \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} a_{j,q}$ hence, by [13, Corollary 28.13] and nuclearity, E is isomorphic as a Fréchet space to $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} a_{j,q})$. The analysis of the proof of [13, Corollary 28.13] shows that this isomorphism is given by $e_{\mathcal{N}_k} \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus it is also a Fréchet *-algebra isomorphism.

Now, we prove (iii) and (iv). First note that every element of F is the limit of elements of the form $\sum_{k=1}^M c_k e_{\mathcal{N}_k}$, where $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1, \dots, c_M \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, if $\xi \in F$, then $\xi_i = \xi_j$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \mathcal{N}_k$. This shows that each $\xi \in F$ has the unique series representation $\xi = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \xi_{n_k} e_{\mathcal{N}_k}$, where $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an arbitrarily chosen sequence such that $n_k \in \mathcal{N}_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the series is absolutely convergent, $(e_{\mathcal{N}_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of F . Statement (iv) follows by the same method as in (ii). \square

Corollary 4.4 *Every infinite-dimensional closed *-subalgebra of s is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to $\lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ for some strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural*

numbers. Conversely, if $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers, then $\lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some infinite-dimensional closed $*$ -subalgebra of s . Moreover, every closed $*$ -subalgebra of s is a complemented subspace of s .

Proof We apply Proposition 4.3 to the Köthe matrix $(j^q)_{j \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers. We have

$$\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} j^q = (\max\{j : j \in \mathcal{N}_k\})^q \tag{1}$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the bijection for which $(\max\{j : j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}\})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (strictly) increasing and let $n_k := \max\{j : j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Proposition 4.2,

$$\lambda^\infty \left(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} j^q \right) \cong \lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$$

as Fréchet $*$ -algebras, and therefore the first two statements follow from Proposition 4.3.

Now, let E be a closed $*$ -subalgebra of s . If E is finite dimensional then, clearly, E is complemented in s . Otherwise, by Proposition 4.3(i), E is a closed linear span of the set $\{e_{\mathcal{N}_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for some family $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers. Define $\pi : s \rightarrow E$ by

$$(\pi x)_j := \begin{cases} x_{n_k} & \text{for } j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and σ are as above. From (1) we have for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi x|_{\infty, q} &= \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |(\pi x)_j| j^q \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{n_k}| \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} j^q = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{n_k}| (\max\{j : j \in \mathcal{N}_k\})^q \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{n_k}| n_k^q \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j| j^q = |x|_{\infty, q}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus π is well-defined and continuous. Since π is a projection, our proof is complete. □

5 Representations of closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ by Köthe algebras

The aim of this section is to describe all closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ as Köthe algebras $\lambda^\infty(A)$ for matrices A determined by orthonormal sequences whose elements belong to the space s (Theorem 5.3 and Corollaries 5.4 and 5.5). For the convenience of the reader, we quote two results from [3] (with minor modifications which do not require extra arguments).

For a subset Z of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ we will denote by $\text{alg}(Z)$ ($\overline{\text{lin}}(Z)$, resp.) the closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ generated by Z (the closed linear span of Z , resp.).

By [3, Lemma 4.4], every closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra E of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ admits a special Schauder basis. This basis consists of all nonzero minimal projections in E ([3, Lemma 4.4] shows that these projections are pairwise orthogonal) and we call it the *canonical Schauder basis* of E .

Proposition 5.1 [3, Proposition 4.7] *Every sequence $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}(s', s)$ of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections is the canonical Schauder basis of the algebra $\text{alg}(\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$. In particular, $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basic sequence in $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$, i.e. it is a Schauder basis of the Fréchet space $\overline{\text{lin}}(\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$.*

Theorem 5.2 [3, Theorem 4.8] *Let E be an infinite-dimensional closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and let $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical Schauder basis of E . Then*

$$E = \text{alg}(\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) \cong \lambda^\infty(\|P_k\|_q)$$

as Fréchet $*$ -algebras and the isomorphism is given by $P_k \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Please note that a projection P is in $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ if and only if it is of the form

$$P\xi = \sum_{k \in I} \langle \xi, f_k \rangle f_k$$

for some finite set I and an orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in I} \subset s$.

We will also use the identity

$$\lambda^\infty(\|\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k\|_q) = \lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q) \quad (2)$$

which holds for every orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$. (see [3, Rem. 4.11]).

Now we are ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.3 *Every closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some closed $*$ -subalgebra of the algebra $\lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q)$ for some orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$. More precisely, if E is an infinite-dimensional closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and $(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is its canonical Schauder basis for some family of finite pairwise disjoint subsets $(\mathcal{N}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers and an orthonormal sequence $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$, then E is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to the closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q)$ generated by $\{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} e_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the isomorphism is given by $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j \mapsto \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} e_j$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Conversely, if $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ is an orthonormal sequence, then every closed $$ -subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.*

Proof By Theorem 5.2, $E = \text{alg}\left(\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ for $(\mathcal{N}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ as in the statement. Let F be the closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q)$ generated by $\{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} e_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Define

$$\Phi : \text{alg}(\{ \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$$

by $\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \mapsto e_k$, where $\mathcal{N} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_k$. By Proposition 5.1, $\{ \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ is the canonical Schauder basis of $\text{alg}(\{ \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \}_{k \in \mathcal{N}})$, and thus Theorem 5.2 and (2) imply that Φ is a Fréchet *-algebra isomorphism. Hence, $(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} e_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (\Phi(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of $\Phi(E)$ and $\Phi(E)$ is a closed *-subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$. Therefore,

$$\Phi(E) = \overline{\text{lin}} \left(\left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} e_j \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \subset F \subset \Phi(E),$$

whence $\Phi(E) = F$. In consequence $\Phi|_E$ is a Fréchet *-algebra isomorphism of E and F , which completes the proof of the first statement.

If now $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ is an arbitrary orthonormal sequence then, according to Proposition 5.1, Theorem 5.2 and identity (2), $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to $\text{alg}(\{ \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$. Consequently, every closed *-subalgebra of $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to some closed *-subalgebra of $\text{alg}(\{ \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$. □

The following characterization of infinite-dimensional closed commutative *-subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.4 *Every infinite-dimensional closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to the algebra $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q)$ for some orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ and some family $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers. In fact, if E is an infinite-dimensional closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and $(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is its canonical Schauder basis, then*

$$E \cong \lambda^\infty \left(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q \right)$$

as Fréchet *-algebras and the isomorphism is given by $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, if $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ is an orthonormal sequence and $\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of finite nonempty pairwise disjoint sets of natural numbers, then $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to some infinite-dimensional closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.

At the end of this section we consider the case of maximal commutative subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$. A closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is said to be *maximal commutative* if it is not properly contained in any larger closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.

We say that an orthonormal system $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ℓ_2 is *s-complete*, if every f_k belongs to s and for every $\xi \in s$ the following implication holds: if $\langle \xi, f_k \rangle = 0$ for every

$k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\xi = 0$. A sequence $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections belonging to $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is called $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ -complete if there is no nonzero projection P belonging to $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ such that $P_k P = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

One can easily show that an orthonormal system $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is s -complete if and only if the sequence of projections $(\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ -complete. Hence, by [3, Theorem 4.10], closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra E of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is maximal commutative if and only if there is an s -complete sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the canonical Schauder basis of E . Combining this with Corollary 5.4, we obtain the first statement of the following corollary.

Corollary 5.5 *Every closed maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to the algebra $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$ for some s -complete orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. More precisely, if E is a closed maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ with the canonical Schauder basis $(\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, then*

$$E \cong \lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$$

as Fréchet $*$ -algebras and the isomorphism is given by $\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k \mapsto e_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, if $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an s -complete orthonormal sequence, then $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some closed maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.

Proof In order to prove the second statement, take an arbitrary s -complete orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Proposition 5.1 and the remark above our Corollary, $\text{alg}(\{\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$ is maximal commutative and from the first statement it follows that it is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to $\lambda^\infty(|f_k|_q)$. \square

It is also worth pointing out the following result.

Proposition 5.6 *Every commutative (not necessary closed) $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is contained in some maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.*

Proof Let E be a commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{X} := \{\tilde{E} : \tilde{E} \text{ commutative } * \text{-subalgebra of } \mathcal{L}(s', s) \text{ and } E \subset \tilde{E}\}$$

with the inclusion relation is a partially ordered set. Consider a nonempty chain \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{X} and let $E_{\mathcal{C}} := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{C}} F$. It is easy to check that $E_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{X}$, and, of course, $E_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an upper bound of \mathcal{C} . Hence, by the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma, \mathcal{X} has a maximal element; let us call it M . By the continuity of the algebra operations, $\overline{M}^{\mathcal{L}(s', s)}$ is a closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$, hence from the maximality of M , we have $M = \overline{M}^{\mathcal{L}(s', s)}$, i.e. M is a (closed) maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ containing E . \square

6 Closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ with the property (Ω)

The main purpose of the last section is to prove that a closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some closed $*$ -subalgebra of s if

and only if it is isomorphic as a Fréchet space to some complemented subspace of s (Theorem 6.2), i.e. if it has the so-called property (Ω) .

Definition 6.1 A Fréchet space E with a fundamental sequence $(\|\cdot\|_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of semi-norms has the property (Ω) if the following condition holds:

$$\forall p \exists q \forall r \exists \theta \in (0, 1) \exists C > 0 \forall y \in E' \quad \|y\|'_q \leq C \|y\|'_p^{1-\theta} \|y\|'_r^\theta,$$

where E' is the topological dual of E and $\|y\|'_p := \sup\{|y(x)| : \|x\|_p \leq 1\}$.

The property (Ω) (together with the property (DN)) plays a crucial role in the theory of nuclear Fréchet spaces (for details, see [13, Ch. 29]).

Recall that a subspace F of a Fréchet space E is called *complemented* (in E) if there is a continuous projection $\pi : E \rightarrow E$ with $\text{im} \pi = F$. Since every subspace of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ has the property (DN) (and, by [3, Proposition 3.2], the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2}$ is already a dominating norm) [13, Proposition 31.7] implies that a closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of s if and only if it has the property (Ω) . The class of complemented subspaces of s is still not well-understood (e.g. we do not know whether every such subspace has a Schauder basis—the Pełczyński problem) and, on the other hand, the class of closed $*$ -subalgebras of s has a simple description (see Corollary 4.4). The following theorem implies that, when restricting to the family of closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$, these two classes of Fréchet spaces coincide.

Theorem 6.2 *Let E be an infinite-dimensional closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and let $(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be its canonical Schauder basis. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) E is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some closed $*$ -subalgebra of s ;
- (ii) E is isomorphic as a Fréchet space to some complemented subspace of s ;
- (iii) E has the property (Ω) ;
- (iv) $\exists p \forall q \exists r \exists C > 0 \forall k \quad \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q \leq C \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|'_p$.

In order to prove Theorem 6.2, we will need Lemmas 6.3, 6.4 and Propositions 6.5, 6.6.

The following result is a consequence of nuclearity of closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$.

Lemma 6.3 *Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset s$ be an orthonormal sequence and let $(\mathcal{N}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of finite pairwise disjoint subsets of natural numbers. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\sigma_r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection such that the sequence $(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_r(k)}} |f_j|_r)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing. Then there is $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_r(k)}} |f_j|_r} = 0$$

for all $r \geq r_0$.

Proof By Corollary 5.4, $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q)$ is a nuclear space. Hence, by the Grothendieck–Pietsch theorem (see e.g. [13, Theorem 28.15]), for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_r} < \infty.$$

In particular (for $q = 0$), there is r_0 such that for $r \geq r_0$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_r(k)}} |f_j|_r} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_r} < \infty.$$

Since the sequence $(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_r(k)}} |f_j|_r)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing, the conclusion follows from the elementary theory of number series. \square

Lemma 6.4 *Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing sequence such that $a_k \geq 2k$ for k big enough. Then there exist a strictly increasing sequence $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} a_k \leq b_k \leq C a_k^2$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a_k \geq 2k$ for $k > k_0$ and choose $C \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\frac{1}{C} a_k \leq k \leq C a_k^2$$

for $k \in \mathcal{N}_0 := \{1, \dots, k_0\}$. Denote also $\mathcal{N}_1 := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : a_k = a_{k_0+1}\}$ and, recursively, $\mathcal{N}_{j+1} := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : a_k = a_{\max \mathcal{N}_j+1}\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{N}_j are finite, pairwise disjoint, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{N}_j = \mathbb{N}$ and $k < l$ for $k \in \mathcal{N}_j, l \in \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$.

Let $b_k := k$ for $k \in \mathcal{N}_0$ and let

$$b_{m_j+l-1} := C[\max\{a_{m_j-1}^2, a_{m_j}\}] + l$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq |\mathcal{N}_j|$, where $m_j := \min \mathcal{N}_j$ and $\lceil x \rceil := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq x\}$ stands for the ceiling of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We will show inductively that $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$\frac{1}{C} a_k \leq b_k \leq C a_k^2 \tag{3}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly, the condition (3) holds for $k \in \mathcal{N}_0$. Assume that $(b_k)_{k \in \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{N}_j}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers for which the condition (3) holds. For

simplicity, denote $m := \min \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$. By the inductive assumption, we obtain $b_{m-1} \leq Ca_{m-1}^2$, hence

$$b_m - b_{m-1} \geq C[\max\{a_{m-1}^2, a_m\}] + 1 - Ca_{m-1}^2 \geq Ca_{m-1}^2 + 1 - Ca_{m-1}^2 \geq 1$$

so $b_{m-1} < b_m$, and, clearly, $b_m < b_{m+1} < \dots < b_{\max \mathcal{N}_{j+1}}$.

Fix $1 \leq l \leq |\mathcal{N}_{j+1}|$. We have

$$b_{m+l-1} \geq Ca_m = Ca_{m+l-1} \geq \frac{1}{C}a_{m+l-1}$$

so the first inequality in (3) holds for $k \in \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$. Next, by assumption, we get

$$a_{m+l-1} \geq 2(m+l-1), \tag{4}$$

whence

$$l \leq a_{m-l+1} - m + 1. \tag{5}$$

Consider two cases. If $a_m \geq a_{m-1}^2$, then, from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} b_{m-l+1} &= C[a_m] + l = C[a_{m+l-1}] + l \leq 2Ca_{m+l-1} + a_{m+l-1} - m + 1 \\ &\leq (2C + 1)a_{m+l-1} \leq Ca_{m+l-1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because $C \geq 1$ and, from (4), we have

$$a_{m-l+1} \geq 2(m+l-1) \geq 2m \geq 2(k_0 + 1) \geq 4.$$

Finally, if $a_{m-1}^2 > a_m$, then, from (4), we obtain (note that, by the definition of \mathcal{N}_j and \mathcal{N}_{j+1} , we have $a_{m-1} < a_m$)

$$\begin{aligned} b_{m-l+1} &= C[a_{m-1}^2] + l \\ &\leq C[(a_m - 1)^2] + l \\ &= C[a_m^2 - 2a_m + 1] + l \\ &\leq C(a_m^2 - 2a_m + 2) + l \\ &\leq Ca_m^2 - 2Ca_m + 2C + Cl \\ &= Ca_{m+l-1}^2 - C(2a_{m+l-1} - 2 - l) \\ &\leq Ca_{m+l-1}^2 - C(4(m+l-1) - 2 - l) \\ &= Ca_{m+l-1}^2 - C(4m + 3l - 6) \leq Ca_{m+l-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have shown that the second inequality in (3) holds for $k \in \mathcal{N}_{j+1}$, and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 6.5 *Let E be an infinite-dimensional closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ and let $(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \langle \cdot, f_j \rangle f_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be its canonical Schauder basis. Moreover, let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers and let F be the closed $*$ -subalgebra of s generated by $\{e_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) E is isomorphic to F as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra;
- (ii) $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q) \cong \lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ as Fréchet $*$ -algebras;
- (iii) there is a bijection $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_q) = \lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ as Fréchet $*$ -algebras;
- (iv) there is a bijection $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^\infty(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_q) = \lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ as sets;
- (v) there is a bijection $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that
 - (α) $\forall q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_q \leq C n_k^r$,
 - (β) $\forall r' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists q' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C' > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} n_k^{r'} \leq C' \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{q'}$.

Proof This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 5.4. \square

In view of Corollary 4.4, every closed $*$ -subalgebra of s is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to $\lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ (i.e. the closed $*$ -subalgebra of s generated by $\{e_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) for some strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$, hence Proposition 6.5 characterizes closed commutative $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which are isomorphic as Fréchet $*$ -algebras to some $*$ -subalgebra of s .

The property (DN) for the space s gives us the following inequality.

Proposition 6.6 *For every $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for all $\xi \in s$ with $\|\xi\|_{\ell_2} = 1$ the following inequality holds*

$$|\xi|_p^r \leq |\xi|_q.$$

Proof Take $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $r \leq 2^j$. Applying iteratively (j -times) the inequality from Proposition 3.2 to $\xi \in s$ with $\|\xi\|_{\ell_2} = 1$ we get

$$|\xi|_p^r \leq |\xi|_p^{2^j} \leq |\xi|_{2^j p},$$

and thus the required inequality holds for $q = 2^j p$. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): By Corollary 4.4, each closed $*$ -subalgebra of s is a complemented subspace of s .

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii): See e.g. [13, Proposition 31.7].

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): By Corollary 5.4 and nuclearity (see e.g. [13, Proposition 28.16]),

$$E \cong \lambda^\infty \left(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q \right) = \lambda^1 \left(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_q \right)$$

as Fréchet *-algebras. Hence, by [21,22, Proposition 5.3], the property (Ω) yields

$$\forall l \exists m \forall n \exists t \exists C > 0 \forall k \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_l^t \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_n \leq C \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} |f_j|_m^{t+1}.$$

In particular, taking $l = 0$, we get (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Take p from the condition (iv). By Lemma 6.3(ii), there is $p_1 \geq p$ and a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1}} = 0$. Consequently, for k big enough

$$\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1} \geq 2k,$$

and therefore, by Lemma 6.4, there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C_1} \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1} \leq n_k \leq C_1 \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1}^2 \tag{6}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by the conditions (iv) and (6), we get that for all q there is r and $C_2 := CC_1^r$ such that

$$\max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_q \leq C \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1}^r \leq C_2 n_k^r$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so the condition (α) from Proposition 6.5(v) holds. Finally, by (6) and Proposition 6.6 we obtain that for all r' there is q' and $C_3 := C_1^{r'}$ such that

$$n_k^{r'} \leq C_3 \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{p_1}^{2r'} \leq C_3 \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}} |f_j|_{q'}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the condition (β) from Proposition 6.5(v) is satisfied, and therefore, by Proposition 6.5, E is isomorphic as a Fréchet *-algebra to the closed *-subalgebra of s generated by $\{e_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. □

Now we shall give an example of some class of closed commutative *-subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which are isomorphic to closed *-subalgebras of s .

Example 6.7 Let $\mathbb{H}_1 := [1]$. We define recursively *Hadamard matrices*

$$\mathbb{H}_{2^n} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{H}_{2^{n-1}} & \mathbb{H}_{2^{n-1}} \\ \mathbb{H}_{2^{n-1}} & -\mathbb{H}_{2^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the matrices $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{2^n} := 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \mathbb{H}_{2^n}$ are unitary, and thus their rows form an orthonormal system of 2^n vectors. Now fix an arbitrary sequence $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ and define

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{2^{d_1}} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{2^{d_2}} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{2^{d_3}} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let f_k denote the k -th row of the matrix U . Then $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of ℓ_2 and clearly each f_k belongs to s . We will show that the closed (maximal) commutative $*$ -subalgebra $\text{alg}(\{\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$ of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ is isomorphic to some closed $*$ -subalgebra of s . By Theorem 6.2, it is enough to prove that

$$\exists p \forall q \exists r \exists C > 0 \forall k \quad |f_k|_{\infty, q} \leq C |f_k|_{\infty, p}^r. \tag{7}$$

Fix $q \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_{n-1}} < k \leq 2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_n}$. Then

$$\frac{|f_k|_{\infty, q}}{|f_k|_{\infty, 1}^{2q}} = \frac{2^{-\frac{dq}{2}} (2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_n})^q}{2^{-d_n q} (2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_n})^{2q}} = 2^{d_n(q-1/2)} (2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_n})^{-q} \leq 1$$

and thus the condition (7) holds with $p = C = 1$ and $r = 2q$.

The next theorem solves in negative [3, Open Problem 4.13]. In contrast to the algebra s , all of whose closed $*$ -subalgebras are complemented subspaces of s (Corollary 4.4), Theorems 6.2 and 6.9 imply that there is a closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which is not complemented in $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ (otherwise it would have the property (Ω) , see [13, Proposition 31.7]). In the proof we will use the following identity.

Lemma 6.8 *For every increasing sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ and every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\alpha_j^{p-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^p \alpha_i.$$

Proof For $j \geq p + 1$ we get

$$\frac{\alpha_j^{p-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_i}{\prod_{i=1}^p \alpha_i} = \alpha_j^{p-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=p+1}^{j-1} \alpha_i = \frac{\prod_{i=p+1}^{j-1} \alpha_i}{\alpha_j^{j-p-1}} \leq 1$$

and, similarly, for $j \leq p - 1$ we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha_j^{p-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_i}{\prod_{i=1}^p \alpha_i} = \frac{\alpha_j^{p-j+1}}{\prod_{i=j}^p \alpha_i} \leq 1.$$

Since $\alpha_p^{p-p+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_i = \prod_{i=1}^p \alpha_i$, the supremum is attained for $j = p$, and we are done. □

Theorem 6.9 *There is a closed commutative *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which is not isomorphic to any closed *-subalgebra of s .*

Proof Let m_k be the k -th prime number, $N_{k,1} := m_k$, $N_{k,j+1} := m_k^{N_{k,j}}$ for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $a_{k,1} := c_k$ and

$$a_{k,j} := c_k \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}}$$

for $j \geq 2$, where the sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is chosen so that $\|(a_{k,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} = 1$, i.e.

$$c_k := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2}.$$

The numbers c_k make sense, because, by Lemma 6.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}} \right)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(N_{k,j}^{-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_{k,j}^2} \left(N_{k,j}^{1-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(N_{k,j}^{1-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i} \right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_{k,j}^2} \\ &= N_{k,1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_{k,j}^2} < N_{k,1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, define an orthonormal sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$f_k := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} e_{N_{k,j}}.$$

We will show that $\text{alg}(\{(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\})$ is a closed *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ which is not isomorphic as an algebra to any closed *-subalgebra of s . By Theorem 6.2 and nuclearity, it is enough to show that each f_k belongs to s and for every $p, r \in \mathbb{N}$ the following condition holds

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f_k|_{\infty, p+1}}{|f_k|'_{\infty, p}} = \infty,$$

where $|\xi|_{\infty, q} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_j| j^q$.

Note first that $|f_k|_{\infty,p} = a_{k,p}N_{k,p}^p$. In fact, by Lemma 6.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_k|_{\infty,p} &= \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{k,j}N_{k,j}^p = c_k \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(N_{k,j}^p \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}} \right) \\ &= c_k \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(N_{k,j}^{p-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i} \right) \\ &= c_k \prod_{i=1}^p N_{k,i} = c_k N_{k,p}^p \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,p}^{p-1}} = a_{k,p}N_{k,p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $f_k \in s$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{a_{k,j+1}N_{k,j+1}^j}{a_{k,j}} = \frac{c_k N_{k,j+1}^j \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j+1}^j}}{c_k \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j N_{k,i}}{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} N_{k,i}}{N_{k,j}^{j-1}}} = N_{k,j}^j.$$

Moreover, for every $j, r \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\frac{N_{k,j+1}}{N_{k,j}^r} = \frac{m_k^{N_{k,j}}}{N_{k,j}^r} \geq \frac{2^{N_{k,j}}}{N_{k,j}^r} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty,$$

and clearly $a_{k,j} \leq 1$ for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for $p, r \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f_k|_{\infty,p+1}}{|f_k|_{\infty,p}^r} &= \frac{a_{k,p+1}N_{k,p+1}^{p+1}}{a_{k,p}^r N_{k,p}^{pr}} = \frac{a_{k,p+1}N_{k,p+1}^p}{a_{k,p}} \cdot \frac{1}{a_{k,p}^{r-1}} \cdot \frac{N_{k,p+1}}{N_{k,p}^{pr}} \\ &= N_{k,p}^p \cdot \frac{1}{a_{k,p}^{r-1}} \cdot \frac{N_{k,p+1}}{N_{k,p}^{pr}} \geq \frac{N_{k,p+1}}{N_{k,p}^{pr}} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

We end this section with two consequences of Theorem 6.2.

For a monotonically increasing sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j = \infty$ we define the power series space of infinite type

$$\Lambda_\infty(\alpha) := \{(\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\xi_k|^2 e^{2q\alpha_k} < \infty \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Corollary 6.10 *Let E be a closed commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ isomorphic as Fréchet space to $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$. Then E is isomorphic to $\Lambda_\infty(\alpha)$ as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra.*

Proof Let $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical Schauder basis of E . In view of Proposition 4.2, we should show that there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha) \quad & \forall q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|P_{\sigma(k)}\|_q \leq C e^{r\alpha k}, \\
 (\beta) \quad & \forall r' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists q' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C' > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad e^{r'\alpha k} \leq C' \|P_{\sigma(k)}\|_{q'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6.2, E is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to some infinite-dimensional closed $*$ -subalgebra of s , and thus by Corollary 4.4, E is isomorphic as a Fréchet $*$ -algebra to $\lambda^\infty(n_k^q)$ for some strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} . Hence, by Proposition 4.2, there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|P_{\sigma(k)}\|_q \leq C n_k^r, \tag{8}$$

$$\forall r' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists q' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C' > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad n_k^{r'} \leq C' \|P_{\sigma(k)}\|_{q'}. \tag{9}$$

Since $\lambda^\infty(n_k^q) = \Lambda_\infty(\log n_k)$, it follows from [13, Theorem 29.1] that there is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and k_0 such that for $k \geq k_0$

$$\frac{1}{q} \alpha_k \leq \log n_k \leq q \alpha_k.$$

Consequently, there is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D > 0$ such that

$$e^{\alpha_k} \leq D n_k^q \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq D e^{q\alpha_k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now (8) and (9) yield the desired conclusion. □

By the theorem of Crone and Robinson [5] it follows that all bases of the space s are quasi-equivalent, i.e. given any two bases $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of s , there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-zero scalars such that the operator $T : s \rightarrow s$ defined by $T e_k = c_k f_{\sigma(k)}$ is a Fréchet space isomorphism. Our last result shows that in the case of bases of s which form an orthonormal sequence of ℓ_2 , the sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ can always be taken constant and equal to 1.

Corollary 6.11 *For every Schauder basis $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the space s which is at the same time an orthonormal sequence of ℓ_2 there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $T : s \rightarrow s$ defined by $T e_k := f_{\sigma(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Fréchet space isomorphism.*

Proof Clearly, the closed $*$ -subalgebra E of $\mathcal{L}(s', s)$ generated by the sequence of one-dimensional projections $(\langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is isomorphic as a Fréchet space to s . Hence, by Corollaries 5.5 and 6.10, $\lambda^\infty(\|f_k\|_q) \cong E \cong s$ as Fréchet $*$ -algebras. Now, by Proposition 4.2, there is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|f_{\sigma(k)}\|_r &\leq C k^r, \\
 \forall r' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists q' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C' > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad k^{r'} &\leq C' \|f_{\sigma(k)}\|_{q'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the map $T : s \rightarrow s$ which sends e_k to $f_{\sigma(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, defines an automorphism of the Fréchet space s . □

Acknowledgments I would like to thank Paweł Domański for his constant and generous support.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Bhatt, S.J., Inoue, A., Ogi, H.: Spectral invariance, K -theory isomorphism and an application to the differential structure of C^* -algebras. *J. Oper. Theory* **49**(2), 389–405 (2003)
2. Ciał, T.: Algebra of smooth operators. PhD dissertation, A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań (2014). https://repozytorium.amu.edu.pl/jspui/bitstream/10593/10958/1/phd_thesis_TCias
3. Ciał, T.: On the algebra of smooth operators. *Studia Math.* **218**(2), 145–166 (2013)
4. Conway, J.B.: A course in functional analysis. In: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2nd edn. vol. 96. Springer, New York (1990)
5. Crone, L., Robinson, W.B.: Every nuclear Fréchet space with a regular basis has the quasi-equivalence property. *Studia Math.* **52**, 203–207 (1974)
6. Cuntz, J.: Bivariante K -theorie für lokalkonvexe Algebren und der Chern–Connes–Charakter. *Doc. Math.* **2**, 139–182 (1997)
7. Cuntz, J.: Cyclic theory and the bivariant Chern–Connes character. Noncommutative geometry. In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1831, pp. 73–135. Springer, Berlin (2004)
8. Domański, P.: Algebra of smooth operators. Unpublished Note. <http://www.staff.amu.edu.pl/~domanski/salgebra1>
9. Elliot, G.A., Natsume, T., Nest, R.: Cyclic cohomology for one-parameter smooth crossed products. *Acta Math.* **160**, 285–305 (1998)
10. Fragoulopoulou, M.: Topological algebras with involution. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 200. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam (2005)
11. Glöckner, H., Langkamp, B.: Topological algebras of rapidly decreasing matrices and generalizations. *Topol. Appl.* **159**(9), 2420–2422 (2012)
12. Köthe, G.: Topological Vector Spaces II. Springer, Berlin (1979)
13. Meise, R., Vogt, D.: Introduction to Functional Analysis. Oxford University Press, New York (1997)
14. Phillips, N.C.: K -theory for Fréchet algebras. *Internat. J. Math.* **2**(1), 77–129 (1991)
15. Pirkovskii, A. Yu.: Homological dimensions and approximate contractibility for Köthe algebras. *Banach algebras 2009*, pp. 261–278. Banach Center Publ., vol. 91. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw (2010)
16. Piszczek, K.: One-sided ideals of the non-commutative Schwartz space. *Monatsh. Math.* **178**(4), 599–610 (2015)
17. Piszczek, K.: A Jordan-like decomposition in the noncommutative Schwartz space. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91**(2), 322–330 (2015)
18. Piszczek, K.: Automatic continuity and amenability in the non-commutative Schwartz space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432**(2), 954–964 (2015)
19. Piszczek, K.: Corrigendum to Automatic continuity and amenability in the non-commutative Schwartz space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **432**(2), 954–964 (2015). (*J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **435**(1), 1015–1016)(2016)
20. Schmüdgen, K.: Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin (1990)
21. Vogt, D.: Subspaces and quotient spaces of (s) . In: Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results (Proc. Conf., Paderborn, 1976), pp. 167–187. North-Holland Math. Stud. **27**
22. Vogt, D.: *Notas de Mat.*, No. 63. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977)
23. Vogt, D.: On the functors $\text{Ext}^1(E, F)$ for Fréchet spaces. *Studia Math.* **85**(2), 163–197 (1987)
24. Żelazko, W.: Selected topics in topological algebras. Lectures 1969/1970, Lectures Notes Series, vol. 31. Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus (1971)