### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# The development of sensitive graphene-based surface acoustic wave sensors for NO<sub>2</sub> detection at room temperature

Valentin Buiculescu<sup>1</sup> · Livia Alexandra Dinu<sup>1</sup> · Lucia Monica Veca<sup>1</sup> · Cătălin Pârvulescu<sup>1</sup> · Madalina Mihai<sup>1</sup> · Oana Brîncoveanu<sup>1</sup> · Florin Comănescu<sup>1</sup> · Costin Brașoveanu<sup>1</sup> · Marius Stoian<sup>1</sup> · Angela Mihaela Baracu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 6 February 2024 / Accepted: 28 April 2024 / Published online: 10 May 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

# Abstract

Bilayer graphene (Bl-Gr) and sulphur-doped graphene (S-Gr) have been integrated with LiTaO<sub>3</sub> surface acustic wave (SAW) sensors to enhance the performance of NO<sub>2</sub> detection at room temperature. The sensitivity of the Bl-Gr SAW sensors toward NO<sub>2</sub>, measured at room temperature, was 0.29°/ppm, with a limit of detection of 0.068 ppm. The S-Gr SAW sensors showed 0.19°/ppm sensitivity and a limit of detection of 0.140 ppm. The origin of these high sensitivities was attributed to the mass loading and elastic effects of the graphene-based sensing materials, with surface changes caused by the absorption of the NO<sub>2</sub> molecules on the sensing films. Although there are no significant differences regarding the sensitivity and detection limit of the two types of sensors, the measurements in the presence of interferent gases and various humidity conditions outlined much better selectivity and sensing performances towards NO<sub>2</sub> gas for the Bl-Gr SAW sensors.

Keywords SAW sensors  $\cdot$  Sulphur-doped graphene  $\cdot$  Bilayer graphene  $\cdot$  NO<sub>2</sub>

# Introduction

Environmental issues represent one of the biggest concerns nowadays, hence, new ways to detect and monitor ambient air pollutants and toxic gases become critical. One of the most dangerous species is nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), accountable for acid rains, and ozone formation, being a major environmental cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, in case of repetitive or short-term exposure, even in low concentrations of 100 ppb (1-h average exposure, World Health Organization—WHO) [1–3]. The short-term exposure limit for NO<sub>2</sub> is 1 ppm, a value recommended by many safety and health organizations, such as the European Chemicals Agency [4] the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Occupational Safety

Valentin Buiculescu and Livia Alexandra Dinu contributed equally to this work.

Angela Mihaela Baracu angela.baracu@imt.ro

and Health Administration (OSHA) [5], etc. Ensuring compliance with such limits requires individual and continuous  $NO_2$  gas monitoring.

The most used sensors for NO<sub>2</sub> detection are based on chemiresistive devices and surface acoustic wave (SAW) structures. Despite the different gas sensing mechanisms, both types of sensors use NO<sub>2</sub>-sensitive materials. Over time, various promising sensing nanomaterials, including colloidal quantum dots [6–8], thin films of metal oxide semiconductor [9–14], or carbon-based nanomaterials [15–19] have been employed as gas-adsorption films, leading to enhanced sensitivity of the NO<sub>2</sub> sensor. Amongst the mentioned sensing films, graphene has been the focus of intense research in recent years due to its unique electronic and mechanical properties, and high chemical stability [20].

Graphene is particularly attractive for  $NO_2$  detection due to its large specific surface area and room temperature (RT) operation ability. These features led to the development of  $NO_2$  sensors with extremely low limits of detection (LOD). For example, by using a  $NO_2$  chemiresistive sensor based on chemical vapor deposited (CVD) graphene, an extremely low LOD of 2.06 ppt was achieved. The respective LOD was attributed mainly to the minimal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Institute for Research and Development in Microtechnologies (IMT Bucharest), 126A Erou Iancu Nicolae Street, 077190 Voluntari (Ilfov), Romania

Microchim Acta (2024) 191:323

noise that is generated by the very low resistivity of CVDgraphene ( $10^{-6} \Omega$  cm) [21]. However, most of the NO<sub>2</sub> graphene-based chemiresistive sensors suffer from low selectivity and their sensing performances are highly affected by humidity and temperature when operating under ambient conditions, restricting their extensive usage [22].

These shortcomings can be overcome by using surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors, which are another promising alternative method to detect de NO<sub>2</sub> gas. The deployment of SAW devices for sensing applications is an emerging area of research due to their miniaturization, high sensitivity, operation without DC power sources, ability to be used for on-site detection, and fast response time along with inherent passive nature [23]. In addition, the fabrication process of SAW devices is fully compatible with microelectronics technology, which offers customized design, scalability, possibility of integration with micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) [24-28] for smart sensors' development and high throughput mass production. Moreover, room temperature NO<sub>2</sub> detection can be easily achieved by integrating SAW devices with graphene-based materials. The sensing properties of graphene are highly dependent on the preparation methods and fabrication processes, which influence its physical morphology, surface chemistry, and electrical features. Therefore, researchers have focused on various methods to obtain, modify, and enhance the properties of graphene for NO<sub>2</sub> sensing applications.

Bilayer graphene (Bl-Gr) deposited by CVD has received increasing attention for  $NO_2$  sensing due to its two-dimensional properties, similar to monolayer graphene, but with superior electrical quality with Bernal AB stacking order [29, 30]. Moreover, the structure of Bl-Gr presents more available active sites compared to monolayer graphene, leading to improved adsorption of the  $NO_2$  molecules.

Another promising approach to enhance the graphene affinity to  $NO_2$  is the doping heteroatom, which involves introducing atoms of different elements, such as sulphur, into the graphene lattice [31]. Heteroatom doping introduces defects into the graphene lattice and modifies its electronic structure, resulting in enhanced sensitivity to specific analytes [32]. In particular, sulphur-doped graphene (S-Gr) has shown enhanced sensitivity and selectivity for gas applications [33], while the large surface area makes it a promising material for SAW sensors.

This paper reports the development of  $NO_2$  SAW sensors based on both Bl-Gr and S-Gr nanomaterials and presents their comparison in terms of sensing performances, exploring the potential impact of this type of sensing system on the future of gas sensing technology.

# Materials and methods

## **Reagents and instrumentation**

Sulphur-doped graphene, potassium persulfate, and dimethyl formamide (DMF) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Germany), polymethyl methacrylate (950 PMMA A4), liftoff resist (LOR) 5A, AZ 1518 positive photoresist and AZ 726 developing solution were purchased from MicroChem. LiTaO<sub>3</sub>-36°YX piezoelectric wafers were purchased from the Roditi International Corporation Ltd. Monolayer graphene on copper foil was purchased from Graphenea, with the following characteristics: CVD synthesis as growth method, 0.345 nm theoretical thickness,  $\approx 1500 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \cdot \text{s}$ electron mobility on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si and  $350 \pm 40 \Omega/\text{sq}$  sheet resistance on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (an area of 1 cm × 1 cm was considered).

The (photolithographic) masks writing process was performed by Pattern generator—DWL 66 fs Laser Lithography System (Heidelberg Instruments Mikrotechnik, Germany), the metallic thin films were deposited by the Electron Beam Evaporation System (Temescal FC-2000, USA), while the SiO<sub>2</sub> guiding layer was deposited by the Plasma-Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD-LPX-CVD, with LDS module, STS, UK). Electrical connections between open packages and sensor chips were performed by Au wires using the Wire Bonder System equipment (HB05, Nano Vacuum Pty Ltd, Australia). The morphological and compositional characterization of Gr-based nanomaterials was performed by the Nova NanoSEM 630 Scanning Electron Microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) using a UHR detector (Through-Lens-Detector-TLD) at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV and an element energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) system (Smart Insight AMETEK). The Raman spectra were carried out at RT (24 °C) using a LabRAM HR800 Raman spectrometer in setup with a He-Ne laser. All sensors' measurements in the NO<sub>2</sub> (target analyte), CH<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, and CO (interfering gases) atmosphere were carried out by the high-resolution vector network analyzer (VNA), Anritsu model 37397D.

# Design and fabrication of the surface acoustic wave devices

The SAW-delay line (DL) devices were fabricated by conventional photolithography technology on lithium tantalate (LiTaO<sub>3</sub>-36°YX) piezoelectric substrate. This material allows the propagation of shear waves, with a phase velocity of 4150 m/s, and benefits from a very high coupling coefficient and moderate temperature dependence. The proposed SAW structures use a SiO<sub>2</sub> guiding layer, providing very high stability to the sensors and high sensitivity to the surface perturbation due to the lower acoustic wave velocity in the guiding layer as compared to the wave velocity in the piezoelectric substrate. Moreover, the amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub> layer also improves the temperature stability of the SAW device due to its positive temperature coefficients of elastic constants, opposite to those of the LiTaO<sub>3</sub> piezoelectric substrate. The design parameters of the guided DL-SAW devices are listed in Table S1, resulting in an operating frequency of 121 MHz for the proposed sensors.

To reduce the cost of the entire SAW manufacturing process, a three-step technological flow was proposed (Figure S1). The fabrication process started with the cleaning of the LiTaO<sub>3</sub> piezoelectric substrate in acetone, aimed to remove organic contaminants and impurities, followed by repeated rinsing in isopropyl alcohol and drying by centrifugation at 6000 rpm. The wafers were then dehydrated at 150 °C for 5 min on the hot plate.

The first step of the technological flow consisted of a bi-layer photoresist deposition to configure the IDTs. The first layer of LOR 5A was deposited by spin coating at 3000 rpm for 60 s, followed by a soft bake at  $155^{\circ}$  C for 5 min. The second layer of AZ 1518 positive photoresist was spin-coated at 4000 rpm for 60 s and soft-baked at 95 °C for 45 s, resulting in a thickness of 1.8 µm. Exposure of the first photolithographic mask was performed at 405 nm irradiation for 2.8 s (39.2 mJ). The exposed photoresist was developed in AZ 726 developing solution for 50 s.

A thin layer of 15 nm of Cr was initially deposited to obtain good adhesion to the substrate, followed by the deposition of 150 nm Au film, using e-beam evaporation. The lift-off process was carried out by immersion of the wafers in hot acetone for 5 min. As the layer of LOR 5A was not dissolved in acetone, it was removed by immersion in a solution Page 3 of 11 323

based on potassium hydroxide (KOH), with a concentration of 1.33%, for 1 min.

A 3  $\mu$ m thick SiO<sub>2</sub> guiding layer was deposited at 150 °C by PECVD from the SiH<sub>4</sub> precursor, confining the acoustic energy near the surface and protecting the IDTs during the functionalization protocols of the SAW device, since the distance between the sensing area and IDTs is small (only 190  $\mu$ m).

The second photolithographic process consisted of a new deposition and patterning of AZ 1518 positive photoresist to open the electric contacts (pads) in the guiding layer. Etching of SiO<sub>2</sub> through the photoresist mask was performed in a buffered oxide etchant (BOE) solution based on a mixture of hydrofluoric acid (HF) and ammonium fluoride (NH<sub>4</sub>F) (v:v 6:1). To control the etching rate, the solution was kept at a temperature of 23 °C.

The third photolithographic process, consisted of the configuration of the detection area of the SAW device, followed the same photolithographic processes described in the first step of the technological flow.

At the end of the technological processes, the structures were investigated using optical microscopy. The areas of interest were represented by the IDTs' electrodes. Details of the structures obtained onto  $LiTaO_3$  substrate can be seen in Fig. 1(a). After the optical inspection, the wafers were diced into chips and prepared for encapsulation (Fig. 1(b)). Open packages (OPs) made on FR-4 substrate as printed circuit boards (PCBs) with two metal layers (Figure S2) were used for chips' encapsulation.

# Design of the GR-based SAW sensors

n The packaged SAW devices were further functionalized by using Bl-Gr and S-Gr sensing nanomaterials for the sensitive and selective detection of NO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. 1 Image of the SAW structure on LiTaO<sub>3</sub> piezoelectric substrate: (a) IDT details and (b) encapsulated SAW sensor

### The transfer protocol of the BI-Gr

The transfer of the graphene (Gr) layer from the growth substrate to the SAW device employed an electrochemical delamination approach. To this end, the commercial monolayer graphene on copper foil  $(1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2)$  was first spin-coated with PMMA at 1100 rpm for 1 min and then cured on a hot plate at 150 °C for 2 min. Subsequently, a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) frame with an inner diameter of 4 mm was placed on top of the PMMA/ Gr/Cu stack. Electrochemical delamination of the graphene monolayer was carried out in 0.05 mM aqueous solution of potassium persulfate  $(K_2S_2O_8)$ , with the PET/ PMMA/Gr/Cu foil and graphite as cathode and anode of the electrolytic cell. The floating PET/PMMA/Gr stack was subsequently hand-picked, rinsed in deionized water several times, and placed on the predefined sensing area of the SAW device, releasing thereafter the PMMA/graphene from the PET frame. After 1 h at room temperature (24 °C), the PMMA layer was removed in acetone. Following the same procedure, the second graphene layer was placed on top of the first graphene layer, finishing with the PMMA removal in acetone.

# **Drop-casting of S-Gr dispersion**

The preparation protocol, previously reported in [34], was based on dispersing the S-Gr in DMF, under ultrasonication for 10 min at 30 °C, in a final concentration of 1.33 mg/mL. The functionalization of the SAW devices is illustrated in Figure S3. The dispersed S-GR was drop-casted on top of the square sensing area of the SAW device, under thermal treatment, on a hotplate [35]. The dispersion was deposited at 1  $\mu$ L at a time until a final volume of 10  $\mu$ L was reached. In the end, the functionalized device was removed from the plate and let to cool down and dry completely for at least 12 h. The dispersion protocol takes no more than 5–7 min after the plate is heated. Since more than 15 devices can be functionalized at the same time, on the hot plate, this functionalization technique has proven to be very fast.

# Gas sensing measurements

Because of the  $NO_2$  toxicity, the sensors used to measure the concentration of this analyte were placed in a sealed stainless-steel enclosure, and provided with separate nozzles for the admission and exhaust of the gas mixtures. The enclosure accommodates up to two sensors for measurements under controlled atmosphere conditions. A removable stainless-steel lid seals the measuring enclosure using a rubber gasket inserted in a shallow groove. Since the sensor was inserted in a sealed enclosure, without direct access to its ports, scattering matrix measurement requires the addition of interconnection elements specific to the high-frequency techniques: coaxial cables, adapters between different types of connectors, etc. Moreover, the sensor package was connected to these interconnection elements using a compatible socket. Therefore, hermetically sealed SMA jack to bulkhead jack adapters model SF2991-6002 from Amphenol SV Microwave, passing through the cavity lid, allowed the highfrequency signal to access the sensor ports to determine their response to the concentration of analytes introduced into the enclosure.

Accurate characterization of the NO<sub>2</sub> sensors required calibration of the measurement system, which resulted in transferring the reference planes of the VNA to the terminals of the socket into which the packaged sensor was inserted. A calibration procedure was performed using short, open, load, through (SOLT) circuit elements [36] designed and manufactured as PCB, fully compatible with the layout of the assembly socket. Once the calibration protocol was completed, the measurement system was ready to determine the specific characteristics of NO<sub>2</sub> sensors at 24 °C. In the case of sensors operating by signal transmission at high frequencies, sensitivity refers to the change in the measured forward transfer scattering parameter S<sub>21</sub> (i.e., amplitude and/or phase) as a function of actual analyte concentration. Since the amplitude characteristic of the NO<sub>2</sub> sensors changes very slowly over a wide frequency band, on top of which periodic fluctuations overlap [35], it was not considered suitable for sensitivity measurements. Consequently, only the phase variation of the transfer coefficient vs NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was used for this operation.



Fig. 2 The experimental setup used for gas sensing tests of SAW sensors

The simplified representation of the gas sensing experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. 2. The fabricated sensor was placed into a sealed cavity, externally connected to a network analyzer and a PC. The gaseous analytes used for sensitivity and selectivity measurements were diluted with nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>), and stored in pressurized cylinders. The gas mixture was injected into the sealed cavity containing the SAW sensor(s) under test at the rate of 500 sccm. This value was selected to ensure a laminar flow, otherwise, measurement errors may occur on the phase transfer coefficient due to temperature changes caused by compression or extension of the gas mixture at the inlet to the measurement enclosure. The temperature (24° C) and humidity in the sealed test cavity were maintained constant throughout the experiment.

# **Results and discussions**

# Morphological and structural characterization of Gr-based nanomaterials

In order to characterize the surface morphology and composition of the sensing materials (Bl-Gr/Au and S-Gr), SEM and EDAX techniques were used. The SEM micrographs of Bl-Gr/Au (Fig. 3(a)), after the transfer process



Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) BI-Gr/Au after the transfer process and (b) S-Gr deposited by drop casting on the Au sensing area of the sensor



Fig. 4 Raman spectra of (a) Bl-Gr/Au after the transfer process and (b) S-Gr/Au

illustrate the conformal deposition with minimal defects or discontinuities of the Bl-Gr on Au sensing area. Figure 3(b) presents the sulphur nanoparticles (S-NPs) distribution on the graphene sheet. The nearly spherically shaped S-NPs with a mean size of  $24.7 \pm 8.6$  nm appear as individual entities, forming a scattered pattern across the graphene surface.

To further identify and quantify the elemental composition of the S-Gr material, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was performed (inset Fig. 3(b)), highlighting the presence and composition of oxygen (O), carbon (C), and sulphur (S) in the sensing material.

A Raman spectroscope was further employed to analyze the molecular structure and lattice defects of the bilayer graphene transferred on the Au sensing area. The results presented in Fig. 4(a) highlight the corresponding graphene bands, G (1587.2  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and 2D, representing the sp<sup>2</sup>-bonded graphitic carbon atom and the double resonance of second-order processes involving two phonons, respectively. Some Raman bands corresponding to PMMA can also be observed at 1448.7  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1530.3  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , which most likely appeared during the graphene transfer process, where PMMA was used as a sacrificial layer. The formation of additional bonds between graphene and remnants of PMMA could be a possible explanation for the width of the D band (~ 1330  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), associated with the lattice defects. An important characteristic that can also be observed in Fig. 4(a) consists of four components of the 2D band, associated with the bilayer graphene. The full-width half maximum of 2640.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> Raman band is 49.91 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is much larger than single-layer graphene ( $\sim 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), which proves that the transfer process of the bilayer graphene has been successfully carried out. Moreover, the quality ratio I<sub>2D</sub>/I<sub>G</sub> value is 1.97, corresponding to bilayer graphene [37].

From the  $I_D/I_G$  ratio, where the D band is proportional to the number of point defects in the graphene material, the defect density can be estimated from the following formula [38]:

$$n_d^2 \left( cm^{-2} \right) = \frac{5.9 \times 10^{14}}{E_L^4} \left[ \frac{I(D)}{I(G)} \right]^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where EL is the laser excitation energy in eV, ID, and IG are intensities of the D and G band, respectively.

A value of  $7.2 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> was obtained for the defect density in Bl-Gr material.

Figure 4 (b) presents the Raman spectrum acquired for the S-Gr sample. The D  $(1324.8 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and G  $(1585.1 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ Raman bands, corresponding to graphene derivatives, were approximated by Gauss functions. No bands indicating the formation of C-S bonds were found [39]. The Raman spectrum of S-Gr is quite similar to graphene oxide, most likely due to the 7.5% oxygen content and low content of sulphur (2.0%-4.0%) in the material. The  $I_D/I_G$  defect ratio has values within the 1.34-1.67 range, which indicates the existence of graphene oxide, having various degrees of reduction in the deposited layer.

The respective  $I_D/I_G$  ratio in S-Gr material corresponds to a defect density of around  $1.3 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, a value lower than that found in Bl-Gr material. The higher defect density in Bl-Gr material can indicate more adsorption sites for gas molecules, thus an increase in the sensing properties for the SAW sensor. Moreover, the XRD analysis of the S-Gr material supports the presence of S-doped graphene, reduced graphene oxide, and sulphur phases (Figure S4). The dislocation density for the graphene phase, indicative of the number of defects in the material, was around  $8.8 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is close to the value resulted from Raman analysis.

# Gas sensing mechanism of the Gr-based SAW sensors

The interaction between the graphene-based sensing materials and the target gas is based on the chemisorption of the NO<sub>2</sub> molecules on the graphene surface via the direct charge transfer process. The adsorption of target gas molecules on the sensing surface is due to the electron-withdrawing property of the NO<sub>2</sub> molecule, which interacts with the electron-rich graphene surface, resulting in the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ions formation after the charge transfer (Fig. 5).

The surface changes occurring at the graphene interface with the target gas after the chemisorption process can modify the wave propagation properties by mass loading, elastic and acoustoelectric effects of the sensing film, according to the following equation [14, 24]:

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f_0} \cong \frac{\Delta v}{v_0} = -C_m f_0 \Delta(\rho_s) + 4C_e f_0 \Delta(hG') - \frac{k^2}{2} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{v_o c_s}{\sigma_s}\right)^2}\right)$$
(2)

where  $\Delta f$  and  $f_0$  are frequency shift and the operating frequency;  $\Delta v$  and  $v_0$  represent the change in SAW velocity and unperturbed wave velocity;  $C_m$  and  $C_e$  are the sensitivity coefficients of the mass and elasticity;  $\rho_s$  and h are the surface mass density per unit area and the thickness of the sensing film; G' and  $k^2$  are the shear modulus and the electromechanical coupling coefficient;  $\sigma_s$  and  $c_s$  are the sheet conductivity of the sensing film and the capacitance per unit length of the device.

Since the developed graphene-based sensors use an Au sensing area between the IDTs (Fig. 1), as a substrate



Fig. 5 The schematic representation of the interaction mechanism between Gr-based nanomaterials and NO<sub>2</sub> molecules

for the graphene-based sensing materials, the acoustoelectric effect will no longer influence the resonance frequency of the sensors [24].

The Bl-Gr surface has a more available active area compared to monolayer graphene, thus enhancing the charge transfer towards  $NO_2$  molecules, and, at the same time, increasing the total number of absorbed molecules on the sensor's sensing surface.

On the other hand, the sulphur atoms incorporated into the graphene lattice as a result of the replacement of carbon atoms during the synthesis process introduce defects into the graphene lattice, thus changing its electronic and



Fig. 6 NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensing response of the SAW sensors at 24 °C (insets: Phase shift plotted versus the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration) using (a) Bl-Gr and (b) S-Gr sensing layers

chemical properties [40] and enhancing the adsorption of molecules onto the graphene surface [33].

# Electrical characterization of the Gr-based SAW sensors

### Sensing performance in NO<sub>2</sub> detection

To investigate the potential practical application of Bl-Gr and S-Gr towards  $NO_2$  sensing, the SAW sensors were further characterized in terms of LOD and selectivity. The sensors' output signal was measured at room temperature (24 °C) in the presence of  $NO_2$  as the target gas, and formaldehyde (CH<sub>2</sub>O), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), and carbon monoxide (CO) as possible interfering gases at 121 MHz operating frequency.

The data reported in Fig. 6 were calculated as the difference in phase between the corresponding values measured in the 0.3—12 ppm range and the value recorded for the lowest gas concentration. Since our tests have shown that the sensor's response reaches its steady-state value in less than 300 s after each increase in NO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the measurement chamber, the time interval between two consecutive measurements has been set to 5 min.

As shown in the inset of Fig. 6(a), by plotting the Bl-Gr SAW sensor phase change as a function of  $NO_2$  gas concentration, a linear regression of data has been fitted in the 0.5-2.4 ppm measured concentration range, suggesting the advantage of using this sensor in low- NO<sub>2</sub> concentration detection. A decrease of 0.53° in the sensor response for the 0.5-2.4 ppm concentration range was observed for the Bl-Gr SAW sensor. The sensitivity of the Bl-Gr SAW sensor toward NO<sub>2</sub> has been measured by the slope of the calibration curve as 0.29% ppm, a value comparable to other data reported in the literature [10]. The origin of this high sensitivity was attributed to the elastic effect (Eq. 2) of the graphene sensing material. From the linear regression of data depicted in Fig. 6(a) for the Bl-Gr SAW sensor, the LOD of 0.068 ppm, calculated as 3.3  $\sigma/S$  ( $\sigma$  is the standard error of the linear regression slope and S is the slope of the calibration curve), has been achieved at room temperature.

In the same way, the variation of the phase shift with the  $NO_2$  gas concentration for the S-Gr SAW sensor was plotted in Fig. 6(b), highlighting a linear regression fit in the 0.3 – 2 ppm concentration range. In this case, an increase of 0.3° in the output signal phase was observed for the S-Gr functionalized SAW sensor, and a sensitivity of 0.19°/ppm was obtained from the slope of the calibration curve in the linear range. Moreover, an LOD of 0.140 ppm was obtained at RT for the S-Gr SAW sensor. The sensitivity of the S-Gr SAW-based sensor was attributed mainly to the mass loading effect, according to Eq. 2, since the phase shift increased with the  $NO_2$  concentration.

Table 1 Analytical parameters of the Gr-based SAW sensors measured for  $NO_2$ 

Analytical parameters	Bl-Gr	S-Gr
Linear range (ppm)	[0.5–2.4]	[0.3–2]
Slope (deg./ppm)	-0.291	0.190
Standard error of the slope	0.00605	0.00802
Intercept (deg.)	0.183	-0.035
Correlation coefficient	0.993	0.981
LOD (ppm)	0.068	0.140
LOQ* (ppm)	0.208	0.423

\*Limit of quantification  $LOQ = 10\sigma/S$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard error of the linear regression slope and S is the slope of the calibration curve

The LOD values of both SAW sensors, in the sub-ppm domain range, are lower than other reported values in previous literature, as summarized in Table S2, which includes SAW devices with different carbon-based sensing materials for  $NO_2$  detection, suggesting the high potential of the sensors for practical RT based gas sensing applications, especially of the as-prepared Bl-Gr/LiTaO<sub>3</sub> sensor.

The sensing performances of the Bl-Gr SAW sensor were superior in terms of sensitivity, LOD, and linear range domain as compared to the S-Gr functionalized sensor (Table 1). Although the S-Gr SAW sensor showed lower sensing performances, it has a great advantage in the functionalization process for mass production of the NO<sub>2</sub> sensors with good reproducibility, involving only two simple steps (Figure S3). On the other hand, the functionalization protocol using Bl-Gr is a more challenging process, carried out by successive depositions of a single layer of graphene, in which each step can create defects in the graphene structure, which subsequently affects the sensing performance of the NO<sub>2</sub> SAW sensor.

#### Selectivity of the Gr-based SAW sensors

To evaluate the selectivity of the developed SAW-based sensors, gas sensing tests were performed at RT towards various possible interfering gas species, such as  $CH_2O$ ,  $NH_3$ , and CO. In Fig. 7(a), the phase shift responses for both Bl-Gr and S-Grbased sensors for each tested gas species were evidenced, where the response in percentile is defined as the ratio between the phase shift of the direct transfer coefficient  $S_{21}$  resulting from the sensor in the presence of 2 ppm interfering gas, and the phase shift of the sensor in the presence of 2 ppm NO<sub>2</sub>.

It can be observed that both sensors exhibit high phase shift responses for the  $NO_2$  gas compared to the other interfering gas species. Notably, the recorded response of the BI-Gr SAW sensor showed small changes in phase shift for the interfering gases, showcasing the high selectivity of the developed sensor to detect  $NO_2$  at room temperature with no influence from



Fig. 7 (a) Selectivity test of Bl-Gr (blue) and S-Gr (red) based sensors towards various interfering gases at RT; (b) NO<sub>2</sub> sensing performances of Bl-Gr (blue) and S-Gr (red) based sensors under different relative humidity condition at RT

other gas molecules, with negligible shift response. However, the S-Gr SAW sensor displayed significant changes in a phase shift in the presence of some interfering gases, especially for  $CH_2O$ , evidencing a lower selectivity towards  $NO_2$ .

The SAW responses indicate that the Bl-Gr nanomaterial is more suitable for  $NO_2$  detection, outlining its great potential as a sensing coating for the development of  $NO_2$ -SAW sensors. Moreover, as compared to the S-Gr SAW sensor, the Bl-Gr-based sensor presented better performance also in terms of linear range, sensitivity, and LOD (Table 1).

# The sensors' response in relative humidity conditions and stability

To further evaluate the influence of relative humidity (RH) on gas sensors' performance, measurements were carried out at 2 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> concentration under various RH values, ranging between 20 and 80% at RT, as depicted in Fig. 7(b).

It can be noticed that the Bl-Gr-based sensor shows a larger phase shift variation at 0% RH compared to the S-Gr sensor, presenting thus an enhanced sensitivity towards  $NO_2$  gas. At increasing RH levels, it was observed a gradual increase in the phase shift signal for both sensors, however, the variation of phase shift signal recorded for the Bl-Gr sensor was notably lower, whereas the S-Gr sensor exhibits a five-fold increase in phase shift at the highest RH value of 80%. These results indicate that the Bl-Gr material has higher stability in humidity conditions, in comparison to the S-Gr material, emphasizing its great potential as a sensing coating for  $NO_2$  detection even in high RH levels.

The reproducibility of both SAW sensors was evaluated by measurements in the presence of 2 ppm  $NO_2$  at room temperature, showing good reproducibility, with no significant changes in phase shift responses (Figure S5a). The recovery of graphene-based SAW sensors was performed at room temperature by purging the gas chamber with nitrogen, for almost 2 h. The results showed that the recovery process is slow at room temperature, most probably due to the strong binding between NO<sub>2</sub> and the sp<sup>2</sup> carbons of graphene [41]. During the long-term stability measurements at 2 ppm NO<sub>2</sub>, considerable variations in the phase shift response were observed for both graphene-based sensors after a day between tests (Figure S5b), owing to the chemisorption of the NO2 molecules on the carbonic surface, which are slowly desorbed. Partial recovery of the response over time for both carbon-based sensors can be evidenced after several days, however, the S-Gr-based sensor showed a lower recovery rate in the response, due to the presence of oxygen species on the material surface which bind stronger to the NO<sub>2</sub> molecules. This limitation has lately been addressed in several papers, and the main proposed approaches were UV light activation [42] and MXene graphene activation [43]. However, significant improvement in the recovery time can be also obtained by running successive thermal treatments at high temperatures to facilitate the gas desorption before other gas sensing experiments [44].

# Conclusion

DL-SAW devices with SiO<sub>2</sub> guiding layer were designed and fabricated by surface micromachining on LiTaO<sub>3</sub>-36°YX piezoelectric substrate. Bl-Gr and S-Gr sensing nanomaterials have been successfully deposited on as-fabricated SAW devices, via electrochemical delamination and drop-casting

approaches, and demonstrated their potential applicability for the high-performance room temperature NO<sub>2</sub> gas sensors. The sensitivity of BI-Gr SAW sensors towards NO<sub>2</sub>, measured at room temperature, exhibited a value of 0.2°/ppm and an LOD of 0.068 ppm, whereas the S-Gr SAW sensor achieved a sensitivity of 0.19% ppm and a LOD of 0.140 ppm. Although there are no significant differences regarding the sensitivity and LODs of the two sensors, the phase shift response showed much better selectivity for the BI-Gr SAW sensors. Moreover, the Bl-Gr SAW sensor presented higher stability in humidity conditions, highlighting the great potential of Bl-Gr as a sensing coating for NO<sub>2</sub> detection even in high RH levels. Due to its better performances (in terms of linear range, sensibility, LOD, and selectivity) as compared to the S-Gr SAW sensor, the Bl-Gr SAW sensor proves to be a very suitable option in wireless NO<sub>2</sub> sensing platforms. BI-Gr SAW sensor achieved a low LOD (68 ppb), which is one of the lowest values reported so far in literature for the SAW sensors, which use the phase variation of the transfer coefficient for NO<sub>2</sub> detection. The origin of high sensitivity was attributed to the elastic effect of the Bl-Gr sensing material. Besides, the large specific surface area of the Bl-Gr enables the charge transfer towards NO<sub>2</sub> molecules, increasing the total number of absorbed molecules on the sensor's sensing surface. The Bl-Gr SAW sensor showed remarkable results, outlining its potential for sensitive and selective detection of NO<sub>2</sub> at room temperature.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-024-06397-y.

**Funding** This work was supported by grants of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS—UEFISCDI, project number: PN-III-P1-1.1-PD-2021–0495 and PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2021–3279, within PNCDI III.

**Data availability** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

#### Declaration

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical approval This research did not involve human or animal samples.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will

need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Khomenko S, Cirach M, Pereira-Barboza E et al (2021) Premature mortality due to air pollution in European cities: a health impact assessment. Lancet Planet Health 5:e121–e134. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30272-2
- World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (2003) Health aspects of air pollution with particulate matter, ozone and nitrogen dioxide, chapter 7 nitrogen dioxide. World Health Organization (WHO). https://iris.who.int/bitstream/10665/107478/1/ E79097.pdf. Accessed 12 Apr 2024
- European Environment Agency (2020) Air quality in Europe -2020 report, EEA Report No 09/2020. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2800/786656
- Commission Directive (EU) (2023) Substance Infocard Nitrogen dioxide. European Chemicals Agency. https://echa.europa.eu/ substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.030.234. Accessed 12 Apr 2024
- Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management (DTSEM) (2021) Nitrogen dioxide. Occupational safety and health administration. https://www.osha.gov/chemicaldata/21. Accessed 12 Apr 2024
- Li M, Kan H, Chen S et al (2019) Colloidal quantum dot-based surface acoustic wave sensors for NO2-sensing behavior. Sens Actuators B Chem 287:241–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb. 2019.02.042
- Luo J, Feng X, Kan H et al (2021) One-Dimensional Bi2S3Nanobelts-Based Surface Acoustic Wave Sensor for NO2Detection at Room Temperature. IEEE Sens J 21:1404–1408. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/JSEN.2020.3020301
- Li H, Li M, Kan H et al (2019) Surface acoustic wave NO 2 sensors utilizing colloidal SnS quantum dot thin films. Surf Coat Technol 362:78–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.01.100
- Shen C-Y, Cheng Y-H, Wang S-H (2010) A nitrogen dioxide surface acoustic wave sensor based on polyaniline/tungsten oxide nanocomposite. 2010 International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation, Changsha, China, pp 204–207. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMTMA.2010.24
- Kumar R, Al-Dossary O, Kumar G, Umar A (2015) Zinc oxide nanostructures for no2 gas-sensor applications: A review. Nanomicro Lett 7:97–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40820-014-0023-3
- An W, Wu X, Zeng XC (2008) Adsorption of O2, H2, CO, NH3, and NO 2 on ZnO nanotube: A density functional theory study. J Phys Chem C 112:5747–5755. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp711105d
- Rana L, Gupta R, Tomar M, Gupta V (2017) ZnO/ST-Quartz SAW resonator: An efficient NO2 gas sensor. Sens Actuators B Chem 252:840–845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.06.075
- Rana L, Gupta R, Kshetrimayum R et al (2018) Fabrication of surface acoustic wave based wireless NO2 gas sensor. Surf Coat Technol 343:89–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2017.10.077
- Pasupuleti KS, Reddeppa M, Chougule SS et al (2022) High performance langasite based SAW NO2 gas sensor using 2D g-C3N4@TiO2 hybrid nanocomposite. J Hazard Mater 427:128174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.128174
- Penza M, Aversa P, Cassano G et al (2007) Layered SAW gas sensor with single-walled carbon nanotube-based nanocomposite coating. Sens Actuators B Chem 127:168–178. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.snb.2007.07.028

- Thomas S, Cole M, De Luca A et al (2014) Graphene-coated Rayleigh SAW resonators for NO2 detection. Procedia Eng 87:999– 1002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.11.328
- Hu Y, Xiang J, Sun X et al (2016) Sensitivity Improvement of SAW NO2 Sensors by p-n Heterojunction Nanocomposite Based on MWNTs Skeleton. IEEE Sens J 16:287–292. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/JSEN.2015.2480788
- Xiong S, Zhou J, Wu J et al (2021) High Performance Acoustic Wave Nitrogen Dioxide Sensor with Ultraviolet Activated 3D Porous Architecture of Ag-Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide and Polypyrrole Aerogel. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 13:42094– 42103. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c13309
- Pasupuleti KS, Reddeppa M, Nam DJ et al (2021) Boosting of NO2 gas sensing performances using GO-PEDOT:PSS nanocomposite chemical interface coated on langasite-based surface acoustic wave sensor. Sens Actuators B Chem 344:130267. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.130267
- 20. Novoselov KS, Geim AK, Morozov SV et al (1979) (2004) Electric field in atomically thin carbon films. Science 306:666–669. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102896
- Chen G, Paronyan TM, Harutyunyan AR (2012) Sub-ppt gas detection with pristine graphene. Appl Phys Lett 101:053119. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4742327
- Recum P, Hirsch T (2024) Graphene-based chemiresistive gas sensors. Nanoscale Adv 6:11–31. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3NA00423F
- Dinu LA, Buiculescu V, Baracu AM (2022) Recent Progress on Nanomaterials for NO2 Surface Acoustic Wave Sensors. Nanomaterials 12:2120. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12122120
- Pustan M, Chiorean R, Birleanu C et al (2017) Reliability design of thermally actuated MEMS switches based on V-shape beams. Microsyst Technol 23:3863–3871. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00542-015-2789-8
- Voicu RC, Gavrila R, Obreja AC et al (2015) Design, microfabrication and analysis of polysilicon thin layers for MEMS vibrating structures. Analog Integr Circ Sig Process 82:611–620. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10470-014-0485-8
- Voicu R-C, Pustan M, Birleanu C, Baracu A, Müller R (2015) Mechanical and tribological properties of thin films under changes of temperature conditions. Surf Coat Technol 271:48–56
- Pustan M, Birleanu C, Dudescu C, Muller R, Baracu A (2018) Integrated thermally actuated MEMS switch with the signal line for the out-of-plane actuation. Symposium on Design, Test, Integration & Packaging of MEMS and MOEMS (DTIP), Rome, Italy, pp 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/DTIP.2018.8394201
- Birleanu C, Pustan M, Müller R, Dudescu C, Merie V, Voicu R, Baracu A (2016) Experimental investigation by atomic force microscopy on mechanical and tribological properties of thin films. Int J Mater Res 107(5):429–438. https://doi.org/10.3139/ 146.111358
- Seekaew Y, Phokharatkul D, Wisitsoraat A, Wongchoosuk C (2017) Highly sensitive and selective room-temperature NO 2 gas sensor based on bilayer transferred chemical vapor deposited graphene. Appl Surf Sci 404:357–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apsusc.2017.01.286
- Akbari E, Yusof R, Ahmadi MT, Enzevaee A, Kiani MJ, Karimi H, Rahmani M (2014) Bilayer graphene application on NO2 sensor modelling. J Nanomater. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/534105
- Hu C, Liu D, Xiao Y, Dai L (2018) Functionalization of graphene materials by heteroatom-doping for energy conversion and storage. Prog Nat Sci: Mater Int 28:121–132. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.pnsc.2018.02.001

- Singh A, Ahmed A, Sharma A, Arya S (2022) Graphene and its derivatives: synthesis and application in the electrochemical detection of analytes in sweat. Biosensors 12:910. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/bios12100910
- Yu Y, Liao Z, Meng F, Yuan Z (2021) Theoretical and experimental research on ammonia sensing properties of sulfur-doped graphene oxide. Chemosensors 9:220. https://doi.org/10.3390/ chemosensors9080220
- Dinu Gugoasa LA, Baracu AM, Craciun G (2021) Electrochemical characterization and application of a gold microfabricated device, 2021 International Semiconductor Conference (CAS). Romania 283–286. https://doi.org/10.1109/CAS52836.2021. 9604180
- Baracu AM, Buiculescu V, Dinu LA, Brasoveanu C, Müller R (2022) Surface acoustic wave sensors for NO2 detection based on sulfur-doped graphene. 2022 International Semiconductor Conference (CAS), Poiana Brasov, Romania, pp 269–272. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/CAS56377.2022.9934549
- Rumiantsev A, Ridler N (2008) VNA calibration. IEEE Microw Mag 9:86–99. https://doi.org/10.1109/MMM.2008.919925
- Ferrari AC (2007) Raman spectroscopy of graphene and graphite: Disorder, electron–phonon coupling, doping and nonadiabatic effects. Solid State Commun 143:47–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ssc.2007.03.052
- Ferrari AC, Basko DM (2013) Raman spectroscopy as a versatile tool for studying the properties of graphene. Nat Nanotechnol 8:235–246
- Bautista-Flores C, Arellano-Peraza JS, Sato-Berrú RY et al (2016) Sulfur and few-layer graphene interaction under thermal treatments. Chem Phys Lett 665:121–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cplett.2016.10.056
- Nam K-H, Kim K, Kim SG, Lee HS, Jung H, Yu J, Jang SG, Ku B-C, Moon B, You N-H (2019) Sustainable production of reduced graphene oxide using elemental sulfur for multifunctional composites. Compos B Eng 176:107236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. compositesb.2019.107236
- 41. Hong HS, Phuong NH, Huong NT et al (2019) Highly sensitive and low detection limit of resistive NO2 gas sensor based on a MoS2/graphene two-dimensional heterostructures. Appl Surf Sci 492:449–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.06.230
- 42. Pasupuleti KS, Vidyasagar D, Ambadi LN et al (2023) UV light activated g-C3N4 nanoribbons coated surface acoustic wave sensor for high performance sub-ppb level NO2 detection at room temperature. Sens Actuators B Chem 394:134471. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.snb.2023.134471
- 43. Li X, Feng Y, Long J et al (2024) MXene-activated graphene oxide enhancing NO2 capture and detection of surface acoustic wave sensors. Sens Actuators B Chem 401:135006. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.snb.2023.135006
- 44. Sayago I, Santos H, Horrillo M et al (2008) Carbon nanotube networks as gas sensors for NO2 detection. Talanta 77:758–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.07.025

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.