Correction to: A method to quantify the “cone of economy”

Ram Haddas¹ · Isador H. Lieberman²

Published online: 2 February 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Eur Spine J

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5321-2>

Unfortunately, in the abstract at the results section units have been published incorrectly.

The complete correct sentences are given below.

Abstract

Results ADS patients presented more COM sway in the sagittal (ADS: 1.59 cm vs. H: 0.61 cm; $p = 0.049$) and coronal (ADS: 2.84 cm vs. H: 1.72 cm; $p = 0.046$) directions in comparison to the non-scoliotic control. ADS patients presented with more COM (ADS: 33.30 cm vs. H: 19.13 cm; $p = 0.039$) and head (ADS: 31.06 cm vs. H: 19.13 cm; $p = 0.013$) displacements in comparison to the non-scoliotic controls.

Similarly, same units have been published incorrectly in the result section on the second paragraph.

The complete correct sentences are given below.

When comparing the sway, ADS patients presented more sway in the sagittal (ADS: 1.59 cm vs. H: 0.61 cm; $p = 0.049$) and coronal (ADS: 2.82 cm vs. H: 1.72 cm; $p = 0.046$) directions at the middle and top rings (sagittal—ADS: 1.04 cm vs. H: 0.69 cm; $p > 0.050$ and coronal—ADS: 1.81 cm vs. H: 1.34 cm; $p = 0.022$) in comparison to the non-scoliotic controls. When comparing the displacement, ADS patients presented with more COM (ADS: 33.30 cm vs. H: 19.13 cm; $p = 0.039$) and head (ADS: 31.06 cm vs. H: 19.13 cm; $p = 0.013$) displacements in comparison to the non-scoliotic controls (Table 1).

The original article is also updated.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5321-2>.

✉ Ram Haddas
rhaddas@texasback.com

¹ Texas Back Institute Research Foundation, 6020 West Parker Road, Plano, TX 75093, USA

² Texas Back Institute, Plano, TX, USA