



Comment on the paper “Microsystem Technologies, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-018-3996-x>”

Asterios Pantokratoras¹

Received: 23 October 2018 / Accepted: 9 November 2018 / Published online: 19 November 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

The present comment concerns some doubtful results included in the above paper.

In the above paper the energy equation (Eq. 3 in Saleem et al. 2018) is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho c)_f \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \\ & = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + (\rho c)_p \left\{ D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + \frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{\rho C_p} (T - T_\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The units of the term $(\rho c)_f \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)$ are $kg(mass)m^{-1}(length^{-1})sec^{-3}(time^{-3})$, whereas the units of the term $\frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2$ are $Kelvin(temperature)sec^{-1}(time^{-1})$.

This means that the Eq. (1) is wrong taking into account that all terms must have the same units.

Another subsequent form of the above equation is the following (Eq. 6 in Saleem et al. 2018)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho c)_f \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \\ & = \kappa \left(1 + \frac{16\sigma^* T_\infty^3}{3k^*} \right) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + (\rho c)_p \left\{ D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{\rho C_p} (T - T_\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The units of the term $\frac{16\sigma^* T_\infty^3}{3k^*}$ are $kg(mass)Kelvin^{-1}(temperature^{-1})m(length)sec^{-3}(time^{-3})$, whereas the term 1 is dimensionless. In Physics you can not add quantities with different units and for that reason the Eq. (2) is also wrong.

The Prandtl number and the Schmidt number are defined as $Pr = \frac{\nu}{k}$, $Sc = \frac{\nu}{D_B}$ but ν and k do not exist in the paper.

In addition the radiation parameter R has not been defined in the paper. It is unknown.

In the transformed Eqs. (9) and (10) a parameter s appears. However, no such parameter exist in the paper.

Reference

Saleem S, Nadeem S, Rashidi MM, Raju CSK (2018) An optimal analysis of radiated nanomaterial flow with viscous dissipation and heat source. *Microsyst Technol.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-018-3996-x>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

✉ Asterios Pantokratoras
apantokr@civil.duth.gr

¹ School of Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 67100 Xanthi, Greece